

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**A CRITERION VALIDATION OF THE NEW ZEALAND ARMY
OFFICER SELECTION BOARD**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science in Psychology at Massey University,
Palmerston North, New Zealand.

Kathryn Benjamin

2006

Abstract

The purpose of this research was to assess the incremental validity of selection measures employed on the New Zealand Army Officer Selection Board (OSB) over and above measures of cognitive ability. The study assessed whether the use of measures of personality, cognitive ability, peer assessment ratings, and observer competency gradings, could predict future training performance and job performance. Criterion measures of training and job performance included Officer Cadet School (OCS) performance results, supervisor ratings, and annual reporting documents. The sample population consisted of 72 New Zealand Army officers. Of these participants 15 were female and 57 were male. The average age of the participants was 27.5 years. It was hypothesised that individual elements of the assessment centre (observer ratings, psychologist ratings, and peer assessment ratings) would provide incremental validity over cognitive ability testing. It was also hypothesised that elements of the Eysenck Personality Questionnaire (EPQ-R) and the Gordon Personal Profile-Inventory (GPP-I) would be positively correlated with measures of training performance and job performance. Lastly, it was hypothesised that increased time since commissioning would be positively correlated with higher job performance. The results demonstrate that no linear combination of predictors was able to predict future training performance or job performance. Only the last hypothesis was supported and the results are discussed in light of methodological shortcomings.

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge the invaluable assistance of the following people in producing this thesis:

My Supervisor, Dr Fiona Alpass for her knowledge, support, and unfaltering calm in the face of research hurdles. I would like to acknowledge the assistance provided in data collection and statistical analysis. Thank you for your time, effort and honest advice.

My Co-Supervisor, Major Helen Horn (Senior Psychologist Army) for her help and support in gaining access to resources, her commitment to completing this research, and her never-ending optimism and patience.

Lieutenant Colonel Paul King (Commandant of the Officer Cadet School) and his staff, for their willingness and assistance in gaining access to records and information.

Nicole Frost, for your patience and honesty whilst proof-reading my work.

My friend Carolyn Freeman, who motivated, empathised and laughed along with me on our journey to thesis completion.

Finally, I would like to thank the employees of the New Zealand Army who participated in this research. Your involvement is greatly appreciated.

Contents

Abstract		i
Acknowledgements		ii
Contents		iii
List of Figures and Tables		vi
List of Figures and Tables		vii
Glossary of Abbreviations		viii
Chapter 1	Introduction	1
1.1	Overview of the Issues	1
1.2	Literature Review	2
1.2.1	Conceptual Analysis of Validity	9
1.2.2	The Assessment Centre	15
	<i>Assessment Centre Validity</i>	
	<i>Problems in the Assessment Centre</i>	
	<i>Competency Based Frameworks</i>	
	<i>Job Relevant Simulations</i>	
	<i>The Interview</i>	
	<i>Measures of Cognitive Ability</i>	
	<i>Personality Questionnaires</i>	
1.2.3	The New Zealand Officer Selection Board	28
	<i>Past Validation</i>	
	<i>Pre-Selection Process</i>	
	<i>Current Selection Process</i>	
	<i>Key Functional Groups</i>	
	<i>Assessment Criteria and Techniques</i>	
	<i>Physical Testing</i>	
1.3	The Present Research	38
1.4	Hypotheses	38
Chapter 2	Design	41
2.1	Sample	41
2.2	Predictor Measures	42
2.2.1	Psychometric Tests	42
	<i>Cognitive Ability Testing</i>	
	ACER B90	
	Raven's APM	
	<i>Personality Testing</i>	
	GPPI	
	EPQ-R	

	Intentional Distortion and Impression Management	
2.2.2.	Rating Scales... ..	47
	<i>MTO Ratings</i>	
	<i>Psychologist Ratings</i>	
	<i>Peer Assessment Ratings</i>	
2.3	Criterion Measures... ..	50
	2.3.1 Performance during Training... ..	50
	2.3.2 Performance as a Junior Officer... ..	51
2.4	Summary of Quantitative Information... ..	53
2.5	Method... ..	54
	2.5.1 Statistical Analysis... ..	56
	2.5.2 Power Analysis... ..	56
	2.5.3 Data Screening... ..	58
	2.5.4 Sample and Range Restriction... ..	59
	2.5.5 Criterion Attenuation... ..	60
Chapter 3	Results... ..	61
	3.1 Demographic Characteristics... ..	61
	3.2 Results of Data Screening... ..	64
	3.3 Results of Range Restriction Analysis... ..	65
	3.4 Results of Criterion Attenuation Analysis... ..	67
	3.5 Descriptive Statistics... ..	68
	3.6 Predictor and Criterion Correlations... ..	68
	3.7 Multiple Regression Analyses... ..	69
	3.8 Hypothesis Six... ..	71
	3.9 Hypothesis Seven... ..	71
	3.10 Hypothesis Eight... ..	71
	3.11 Additional Analysis... ..	73
Chapter 4	Discussion... ..	74
	4.1 Predictive Validity of the B90... ..	74
	4.2 Predictive Validity of MTO ratings, Psychologist Ratings and Peer Assessments... ..	75
	4.3 Personality, Training Performance and Job Performance... ..	76
	4.4 Time Since Commissioning and Job Performance... ..	77
	4.5 Subsequent Analysis... ..	77
	4.6 Methodological Effects on the Data Obtained... ..	78
	4.6.1 Methodology of the Study... ..	79
	4.6.2 Problems with Predictor Measurement... ..	79
	4.6.3 Problems with Criterion Measurement... ..	81
	4.6.4 Methodology of the Selection System... ..	84
Chapter 5	Summary and Conclusions... ..	86
Chapter 6	Recommendations... ..	88
References... ..		91

Appendices

1.	NZ Army OSB Competencies	101
2.	New Zealand Army Officer Selection Recruitment Process ...	102
3.	Behavioural Specific Exercises	103
4.	MD68 Assessment Criteria	104
5.	Supervisor Rating Sheet and Rating Information	105
6.	Approval to conduct research within NZDF	109
7.	Massey University Ethics Approval	110
8.	Participant Information and Consent Form	111
9.	Letter to participants from Deputy Chief of Army	117
10.	Supervisor Information and Consent Form	118

Statistical Appendices

1.	Bivariate Correlation Table for Individual MTO Ratings and Supervisor Composite OAR Elements	123
2.	Bivariate Correlation Table for Time Since Commissioning ...	125

List of Figures

Figures		
<i>Figure 1.</i>	Flowchart of the Officer Training Process	4
<i>Figure 2.</i>	Nomological framework proposed by Nunnally (1978)	9
<i>Figure 3.</i>	Conceptual Framework for personnel selection proposed by Binning and Barrett (1989)	10
<i>Figure 4.</i>	Conceptualisation of the Performance domain. Adopted from Binning and Barrett (1989)	11
<i>Figure 5.</i>	Idiographic Framework for Selection proposed by Binning and Barrett (1989)	14

List of Tables

Tables		
Table 1.	<i>Responsibilities of Senior Board Members taken from the OSB Folder</i>	33
Table 2.	<i>Responsibilities of Syndicate Board Members taken from the OSB folder</i>	34
Table 3.	<i>Summary of Quantitative Information</i>	53
Table 4.	<i>Demographic Comparison Figures Between New Zealand Army Regular Force Officers and the Sample</i>	61
Table 5.	<i>One-Way Analysis of Variance in ACER B90 Score and Age By Group</i>	63
Table 6.	<i>Ethnic Breakdown of the Sample by Gender</i>	63
Table 7.	<i>McNemar's Formula Corrections for ACER B90 Range Restriction on Main Variables</i>	66
Table 8.	<i>Formula Corrections for Criterion Unreliability on Main Variables</i>	68
Table 9.	<i>Means, Standard Deviations and Case Numbers for Main Variables</i>	69
Table 10.	<i>Intercorrelations Between Main Variables for Sample</i>	70
Table 11.	<i>Intercorrelations Between Subscales of the EPQ-R and GPP-I for Training Performance and Job Performance</i>	72
Table 12.	<i>Intercorrelations Between Individual MTO Ratings and Supervisor Composite OAR Elements</i>	123
Table 13.	<i>Intercorrelations for Time Since Commissioning with MD68 Elements and Supervisor Composite OAR Elements</i>	125

Glossary of Abbreviations

AC	Assessment Centre
ADFA	Australian Defence Force Academy
AIB	Admiralty Interview Board
APS	Army Psychology Service
B90	ACER Advanced Test B90
BARS	Behavioural Anchored Rating Scales
BP	Board President
CSSB	British Civil Service Selection Board
DBP	Deputy Board President
DCA	Deputy Chief of Army
DV	Dependant Variable
EFL	Entry Fitness Level
EPQ-R	Eysenck Personality Questionnaire Revised
FOR	Frame of Reference
FOSB	Final Officer Selection Board (Navy)
GMA	General Mental Ability
GPP-I	Gordon Personality Profile Inventory
IOT	Initial Officer Training
IV	Independent Variable
KASO's	Knowledge, Abilities, Skills, Other attributes
LO	Liaison Officer
MS	Military Secretary
MTO	Military Testing Officer
NCO	Non-Commissioned Officer
NZCC	New Zealand Commissioning Course
OAR	Overall Assessment Rating
OCS (NZ)	Officer Cadet School
OIC	Officer In Charge
OSB	Officer Selection Board
RATEL	Radio Telephone Procedure
Raven's APM	Raven's Advanced Progressive Matrices

RCB	Regular Commissions Board
RFL	Required Fitness Level
RMAS	Royal Military Academy Sandhurst
RMAS YO	Royal Military Academy Sandhurst Young Officer
RNZAF	Royal New Zealand Air Force
RNZN	Royal New Zealand Navy
TCS	Training Criterion Score
TEWT	Tactical Exercise Without Troops
TFMS	Territorial Force Military Secretary
TTCP	The Technical Cooperation Program
WOSB	War Office Selection Board
YO	Young Officer