Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# What they do in the shadows: Habitat utilisation and diet of brown kiwi (*Apteryx mantelli*) adults within a high-density island population.

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science in Ecology

Massey University, Palmerston North,

New Zealand.

Thomas Dixon 2015

## 



"The natural world is the greatest source of excitement; the greatest source of visual beauty; the greatest source of intellectual interest. It is the greatest source of so much in life that makes life worth living."

Sir David Attenborough

#### Abstract

Exploring the complex interactions between an animal and its spatial environment can reveal much about its biology and behaviour and identify strategies to improve future management. Despite this, surprisingly little research has been undertaken in this field in respect to one of New Zealand's most iconic endangered species, the brown kiwi (*Apteryx mantelli*).

This thesis aims to produce the most comprehensive report to date of brown kiwi spatial behaviour, investigating the habitat utilisation of brown kiwi adults within a high-density population while they are active at night and when roosting during the day. Additionally, the study examines how habitat utilisation varies, and explores the likely drivers of brown kiwi spatial behaviour including food availability, social/reproductive cues, population demographics and environmental variables.

Forty seven radio-tagged brown kiwi adults were tracked across a 1.2km<sup>2</sup> study site on Ponui Island from March 2013 to February 2014. The utilisation of major habitat types (forest, scrub, pasture and swamp) by each bird was measured, plotted upon a generated habitat map, and compared to predicted rates based on habitat availability to assess habitat selection. To assess habitat selection while foraging, brown kiwi were tracked at night using radio telemetry and their positions estimated using a triangulation methodology. Exact bird locations were also recorded during the day to evaluate their roost habitat selection. Roost sites were also classified into four different types of roost (tree burrow, soil burrow, surface, swamp site). Brown kiwi faecal samples were collected over this time and compared with pitfall trap samples to analyse diet and identify spatial patterns in foraging behaviour.

As hypothesised, brown kiwi selected forest habitat most often for both foraging and roosting, also choosing the more structurally stable tree and soil burrow shelter sites. Other habitat types were utilised much less than predicted, though rates varied between seasons, gullies, demographics and behaviours. Pasture was identified as seasonally important for brown kiwi, utilised increasingly by study birds over summer and autumn when foraging. Additionally, a relationship between their spatial behaviour while foraging and while roosting was recognised for the first time, suggesting that these behaviours are not independent. Invertebrate availability was identified as the primary driver of brown kiwi spatial behaviour, with foraging behaviour trends closely matching nocturnal spatial

behaviour. Social and breeding behaviours were discussed as other potential drivers, though further research is required to fully understand these relationships. Research findings confirmed that brown kiwi have an opportunistic diet, appearing to select those invertebrate groups that provide the highest protein input more often in their diet. Foraging strategy changed between seasons and locations, likely driven by a combination of changing invertebrate lifecycles, environmental conditions and dietary requirements.

This study has improved our understanding of brown kiwi spatial behaviour, introducing new information and refining previous knowledge. The findings provide valuable information for managers as they work to conserve remaining brown kiwi populations, and will become increasingly relevant in the future as population densities begin to rise.

#### Acknowledgements

After almost 200 days spent in the field chasing kiwi and what feels like ten times that behind a computer or in the lab, I have finally reached the end of this great adventure! Luckily I have had people to help me every stage of the way. Firstly I need to thank my new family, the Ponui Family:

- To Isabel Castro, the mother of our group. Your supply of enthusiasm and advice is what started us up, kept us going, and helped see us home with a new qualification in tow. Thank you for your support both on and off the island, and for finding the right blend between being our kind mother and (only a little) feared supervisor. A big thank you for introducing me to the world of Kiwi.
- To my Ponui siblings Alex Brighten, David Izquierdo, Kat Strang and Natasha Bansal, I am lucky to have found a bunch of fellow researchers with whom I get along with so well. Thank you for your companionship in the bush during the unholy hours we frequented, your advice and ideas for the study, and for being a big source of laughter and friendship. Be it poo collecting or finding birds, you all assisted me over my study, for which I am hugely grateful. I am sure we will remain close friends.
- Rounding out the family is the Chamberlin's. I have never met a finer group of people. Thank you all so much for letting us be a part of your island and your life. Dave and Ros, for this analogy to work you will have to be the Ponui Family Grandparents (apologies). Your combined font of knowledge is as unrivalled as it is freely given, and your advice was hugely appreciated throughout the study. Thank you for the time and effort spent transporting me, and ensuring I was never malnourished or lonesome. To the Chamberlin girls (our cousins), thank you for your friendship and advice. That I now consider myself part farm-boy is credit to just how much you and your parents have taught me.

To the other half of my supervisor team - Dianne Brunton, your immense brainpower, help and support during the analysis and write up period helped get me over the line. Thank you for giving up some of your much sought after time to help shape and edit this study.



Thanks also to my unofficial third supervisor – Alex Wilson. The time and energy you spent teaching me how to be an ecologist cannot be understated. Thanks also for your words of encouragement and advice throughout the study.

Thanks to Alisha Thomas, Emily Lindsay, Andrew Babbage, Matt Thomas, Jon Cope, Tracey and Chris Dixon, John and Inge Bolt and Simon and Christine Brotherton for donating some of your time to help in the field. The long days and nights spent in the bush were much brighter when you were around. I am glad you could all share in the Ponui experience. I also want to thank Emily Craig, Anne Kim, Arjane Kerkhoven, Nicki Van Zyl and Rebekah Ingrid for your help in the lab. Thanks also to Beatrix Jones, Daniel Thomas and William Ong for your help with stats, and Alisha Thomas and Aaron Harmer for proof reading drafts!

I had the pleasure of being part of both the Albany and Palmy Ecology groups during my time at Massey. Thank you to the technicians Cleland Wallace, Mark Delaney, Shaun Nielson and Tracy Harris for helping with lab equipment, invert analysis; and Sharon Wright for her tireless work behind the scenes. Huge thanks are required to my fellow postgrad students for forming such a tight knit group. The future of ecology & conservation in NZ looks bright if you lot are anything to go by. Special thanks to Jon, Jacqui, Alaine, Nicki, Lena, Emily, Jess, Luca, Viv and Wesley for your friendship over the study.

Thank you to all my friends who have put up with me constantly being away or else writing. Thanks to my parents and sisters for supporting my decisions and being there through the good and bad in this latest endeavour. I am lucky to have such fantastic family and friends.

Finally, thank you Alisha Thomas. You put up with me being away for weeks on end, every month without complaint, followed by months of write up. The fact you are so happy seeing me achieve my own dreams is just one of the reasons why I love you so much. Without you I would likely have been writing for all of time, so thank you.

This project was funded with the help of the Massey Masterate Scholarship, Lovell and Berys Clark Scholarship and the Ecology Bursary, without which the study would have been impossible. I am extremely grateful for each and every dollar.

Thank you to everyone involved for sharing in my epic adventure.

#### **Table of Contents**

ABS	TRACT	111
ACK	NOWLEDGEMENTS	v
LIST	OF TABLES	IX
LIST	OF FIGURES	IX
LIST	OF PLATES	XI
LIST	OF APPENDICES	XI
INTR	RODUCTION	1
SPAT	IAL SYSTEMS	2
1.1.1 1.1.2	HABITAT SELECTION	
AVIA	N DIET	6
1.2.1 1.2.2	DIETARY FACTORS TO CONSIDER	
STUD	DY SPECIES	10
1.3.1 1.3.2	Classification Physiology and Behaviour	12
1.3.5	BROWN KIWI SPATIAL BEHAVIOUR	
1.3.6	Brown Kiwi Diet	22
STUD		
1.4.1		
1.4.2 1.4.3	STUDY SITE AND HABITAT	
RESE	ARCH GOALS	32
1.5.1	THESIS STRUCTURE	34
THE	DIET OF BROWN KIWI	. 37
INTR	ODUCTION	38
METI	HODS	42
2.2.1	Measuring Invertebrate Availability	42
2.2.2	MEASURING INVERTEBRATE EXPLOITATION	
2.3.1	INVERTEBRATE AVAILABILITY BROWN KIWI DIET: INVERTEBRATE EXPLOITATION	
2.3.3	EXPLOITATION VS AVAILABILITY	62
4 DISCUSSION		64
2.4.1	INVERTEBRATE AVAILABILITY	-
2.4.2 2.4.3		
	ACK LIST LIST LIST INTF SPAT 1.1.1 1.1.2 AVIA 1.2.1 1.2.2 STUE 1.3.1 1.3.2 1.3.3 1.3.4 1.3.5 1.3.6 STUE 1.4.1 1.4.2 1.4.3 RESE 1.5.1 THE INTR METI 2.2.1 2.2.3 RESU 2.3.1 2.3.2 2.3.3 DISC 2.4.1 2.4.2 2.4.3	1.1.2       MONITORING         AVIAN DIET         1.2.1       DIETARY FACTORS TO CONSIDER.         1.2.2       SAMPLING DIET.         STUDY SPECIES



	NOCTURNAL HABITAT UTILISATION BY BROWN KIWI	79
3.1	INTRODUCTION	80
3.2	METHODS	
	<ul> <li>3.2.1 SAMPLE POPULATION</li></ul>	85 86 89
3.3	3 RESULTS	
	<ul> <li>3.3.1 HABITAT AVAILABILITY</li></ul>	
3.4	DISCUSSION	105
	<ul> <li>3.4.1 NOCTURNAL HABITAT UTILISATION</li></ul>	
3.5	SUMMARY	116
	DIURNAL UTILISATION OF SHELTERS BY BROWN KIWI	
4.1	INTRODUCTION	118
4.2	METHODS	122
	<ul> <li>4.2.1 SAMPLE POPULATION</li></ul>	
4.3	RESULTS	
	<ul> <li>4.3.1 HABITAT AVAILABILITY</li></ul>	
4.4	DISCUSSION	
	<ul><li>4.4.1 ROOST SELECTION</li><li>4.4.2 NOCTURNAL AND DIURNAL INTERACTION</li></ul>	
4.5	SUMMARY	_
	PATTERNS IN BROWN KIWI SPATIAL BEHAVIOUR - CONCLUSIONS	
5.1	SYNOPSIS	
5.2	SYNTHESIS	
	5.2.1 CONSERVATION IMPLICATIONS	
5.3		
5.4	FUTURE RESEARCH	
AP	PENDICES	

#### **List of Tables**

${\sf TABLe \ 1.1-Summary \ of \ all \ previously \ published \ studies \ examining \ nocturnal \ habitat \ utilisation \ in \ brown \ kiwi.}$	18
TABLE 1.2 - SUMMARY OF ALL PREVIOUSLY PUBLISHED STUDIES EXAMINING THE DIET OF KIWI.	23
TABLE 1.3 - GROSS NUTRIENT COMPOSITION OF DIETARY INGREDIENTS REGULARLY CONSUMED BY WILD BROWN KIWI	27
TABLE 3.1 – TOTAL AREA AND PROPORTIONS OF THE STUDY SITE ON PONUI ISLAND COVERED BY EACH OF THE FOUR MAIN	
HABITAT TYPES	94
TABLE 3.2 – TABLE SHOWING THE TOTAL NUMBER AND PROPORTIONS OF NOCTURNAL LOCATIONS OF BROWN KIWI ON PONUL	
ISLAND OVER THE COURSE OF THE STUDY BETWEEN EACH OF THE FOUR MAIN HABITAT TYPES	97
TABLE 3.3 – RESULTS OF THE FINAL GLMM, SHOWING RESULTS OF THE OVERALL MODEL, AS WELL AS THE FOUR MOST	
IMPORTANT DRIVING FACTORS OF HABITAT UTILISATION IN BROWN KIWI ON PONUI ISLAND.	.102
TABLE 3.4 – RESULTS OF THE FIRST GLMM, SHOWING RESULTS OF THE OVERALL MODEL. INCLUDES THE FOUR MOST IMPORTAN	١T
DRIVING FACTORS OF BROWN KIWI HABITAT UTILISATION, FOLLOWED BY THOSE FACTORS THAT WERE REMOVED DURING	
STEPWISE BACKWARDS ELIMINATION.	.102
TABLE 4.1 – TOTAL AREA AND PROPORTIONS OF THE STUDY SITE ON PONUI ISLAND COVERED BY EACH OF THE FOUR MAIN	
HABITAT TYPES	.127
TABLE 4.2 – TOTAL NUMBER AND PROPORTIONS OF DIURNAL ROOSTING LOCATIONS OF BROWN KIWI ON PONUI ISLAND OVER	
THE COURSE OF THE STUDY BETWEEN EACH OF THE FOUR MAIN HABITAT TYPES	.129
TABLE 4.3 – TOTAL NUMBER AND PROPORTIONS OF DIURNAL ROOSTING LOCATIONS OF BROWN KIWI ON PONUI ISLAND OVER	
THE COURSE OF THE STUDY BETWEEN EACH OF THE FOUR DIFFERENT SHELTER TYPES.	.132

#### **List of Figures**

FIGURE 1.1 – AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS OF: 1) NEW ZEALAND WITH AUCKLAND HIGHLIGHTED, 2) AUCKLAND WITH PONUI ISLANE	)
highlighted, 3) Ponui Island with the study site highlighted.	29
FIGURE 1.2 – AERIAL PHOTOGRAPH OF THE STUDY SITE, WITH GULLY BOUNDARIES AND HABITAT TYPES MARKED.	31
FIGURE 2.1 – MAP OF THE STUDY AREA AND GULLY BOUNDARIES WITH PITFALL SITES MARKED AND LABELLED.	43
FIGURE 2.2 - PROPORTION OF ALL INVERTEBRATES MADE UP BY EACH INVERTEBRATE GROUP IDENTIFIED IN PITFALL TRAPS ON	
Ponui Island, in order of most abundant.	48
FIGURE 2.3 - COMBINED MONTHLY INVERTEBRATE ABUNDANCE (MEASURED IN COMBINED AVERAGE WEIGHT (G) PER PITFALL)	
CAUGHT OVER 12 MONTHS IN PITFALL TRAPS ON PONUI ISLAND, COLOURED FOR SEASON	50
FIGURE 2.4 – PROPORTION OF INDIVIDUALS OF EACH GROUP IDENTIFIED IN PITFALL TRAPS ON PONUI ISLAND OVER THE COURSE	:
OF FOUR SEASONS, IN ORDER OF MOST ABUNDANT.	50
FIGURE 2.5 - COMBINED MONTHLY INVERTEBRATE ABUNDANCE (MEASURED IN COMBINED AVERAGE WEIGHT (G) PER PITFALL)	
CAUGHT OVER 12 MONTHS IN PITFALL TRAPS ON PONUI ISLAND, COLOURED FOR HABITAT TYPE	52
FIGURE 2.6 - PROPORTION OF ALL INDIVIDUALS OF EACH GROUP CAUGHT IN PITFALL TRAPS ON PONUI ISLAND IN DIFFERENT	
HABITAT TYPES, IN ORDER OF MOST ABUNDANT.	52
FIGURE 2.7 – NON-METRIC MDS ORDINATION; COMPARISON OF INVERTEBRATE AVAILABILITY ON PONUI ISLAND BETWEEN: A	=
SEASONS, B = HABITAT TYPES AND C = GULLIES.	54
FIGURE 2.8 – FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE AND PROPORTIONS FOR EACH OF THE 16 INVERTEBRATE GROUPS AND COLEOPTERA	
FAMILIES IDENTIFIED OVER 12 MONTHS WITHIN 442 BROWN KIWI FAECAL SAMPLES ON PONUI ISLAND	56
FIGURE 2.9 – FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE RATES FOR EACH GROUP IDENTIFIED IN BROWN KIWI FAECAL SAMPLES COLLECTED O	N
PONUI ISLAND OVER THE COURSE OF FOUR SEASONS, IN ORDER OF MOST ABUNDANT.	58
FIGURE 2.10 – TOTAL NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS OF EACH COLEOPTERA FAMILY IDENTIFIED IN BROWN KIWI FAECAL SAMPLES	
COLLECTED ON PONUI ISLAND OVER THE COURSE OF FOUR SEASONS.	58
FIGURE 2.11 - PROPORTION OF ALL COLEOPTERA AT ADULT AND LARVAE STAGES IDENTIFIED IN BROWN KIWI FAECAL SAMPLES	
COLLECTED ON PONUI ISLAND OVER THE COURSE OF FOUR SEASONS.	59



FIGURE 2.12 - NON-METRIC MDS ORDINATION; COMPARISON OF INVERTEBRATE EXPLOITATION BY BROWN KIWI ON PONUI ISLAND BETWEEN: A = SEASONS AND B = HABITAT TYPES
FIGURE 2.13 - NON-METRIC MDS ORDINATION; COMPARISON OF INVERTEBRATE AVAILABILITY ( > ) AND INVERTEBRATE EXPLOITATION BY BROWN KIWI ( > ) OVER A 12 MONTH PERIOD ON PONUI ISLAND
FIGURE 2.14 – PROPORTION OF ALL INVERTEBRATES COMMON TO BOTH AVAILABILITY AND EXPLOITATION DATASETS IDENTIFIED
IN PITFALL TRAPS AND BROWN KIWI FAECAL SAMPLES ON PONUI ISLAND OVER THE COURSE OF FOUR SEASONS, ORDERED ALPHABETICALLY
FIGURE 3.1 – HABITAT MAP OF THE STUDY SITE ON PONUI ISLAND. SHOWING THE AREA OF FOREST, PASTURE, SCRUB AND
SWAMP HABITAT WITHIN EACH OF THE THREE GULLIES
FIGURE 3.2 – GRID MAP OF THE STUDY SITE ON PONUI ISLAND. SHOWING THE LOCATIONS OF EVERY GRID STATION WITHIN EACH OF THE THREE GULLIES
FIGURE 3.3 – EXAMPLE OF THE TRIANGULATION METHODOLOGY IN KAURI GULLY
FIGURE 3.4 – COMPARISON OF THE AVAILABILITY OF EACH OF THE FOUR MAIN HABITAT TYPES BETWEEN THE THREE GULLIES
SAMPLED WITHIN THE STUDY SITE
FIGURE 3.5 – GIS MAP ILLUSTRATING ALL 2377 NOCTURNAL LOCATIONS OF BROWN KIWI ON PONUI ISLAND OVER THE COURSE
OF THE STUDY, WITH THE CORRESPONDING HABITAT TYPE FOR EACH POINT IDENTIFIED BY COLOUR
FIGURE 3.6 – PERCENTAGE OF NOCTURNAL LOCATIONS OF BROWN KIWI FOUND WITHIN EACH OF THE FOUR MAIN HABITAT TYPES
OVER THE COURSE OF THE STUDY, COMPARED TO THE EXPECTED UTILISATION RATES BASED ON HABITAT AVAILABILITY96
FIGURE 3.7 - PERCENTAGE OF NOCTURNAL LOCATIONS OF BROWN KIWI FOUND WITHIN EACH OF THE THREE SAMPLED GULLIES,
COMPARED TO THE EXPECTED UTILISATION RATES BASED ON HABITAT AVAILABILITY.
FIGURE 3.8 – VARIATION IN NOCTURNAL HABITAT UTILISATION RATES OF BROWN KIWI IN FOUR HABITAT TYPES ON PONUI ISLAND
COMPARED TO EXPECTED RATES BASED ON HABITAT AVAILABILITY, ACROSS A NUMBER OF POTENTIAL DRIVING FACTORS: 100
Figure 3.9 - Variation in nocturnal habitat utilisation rates of brown kiwi in four habitat types on Ponui Island
COMPARED TO EXPECTED RATES BASED ON HABITAT AVAILABILITY, ACROSS A NUMBER OF POTENTIAL DRIVING FACTORS: 101
FIGURE 3.10 – COEFFICIENT OF VARIANCE (COV) WITH 2*SE FOR ALL FACTORS INCLUDED IN THE FINAL MODEL. ILLUSTRATES
HOW EACH FACTOR CONSIDERED SINGULARLY IMPACTS THE LIKELIHOOD OF BEING FOUND WITHIN A CERTAIN HABITAT TYPE
COMPARED TO BEING FOUND WITHIN THE REFERENCE CATEGORY OF FOREST. A) GULLY, B) SEASON, C) SOCIAL
GROUPING, D) AVERAGE WEIGHT OF INVERTEBRATE CONTENT PER PITFALL
FIGURE 4.1 – HABITAT MAP OF THE STUDY SITE ON PONUI ISLAND. SHOWING THE AREA OF FOREST, PASTURE, SCRUB AND
Swamp habitat within each of the three gullies
FIGURE 4.2 - GIS MAP ILLUSTRATING THE LOCATION OF ALL 455 DIFFERENT DIURNAL ROOSTING SITES OF BROWN KIWI ON
Ponui Island over the course of the study, with the corresponding habitat type for each point identified by colour.
FIGURE 4.3 – PERCENTAGE OF DIURNAL ROOSTING LOCATIONS OF BROWN KIWI FOUND WITHIN EACH OF THE FOUR MAIN HABITAT TYPES OVER THE COURSE OF THE STUDY, COMPARED TO THE EXPECTED UTILISATION RATES BASED ON HABITAT AVAILABILITY
FIGURE 4.4 - VARIATION IN DIURNAL HABITAT UTILISATION RATES OF BROWN KIWI IN FOUR HABITAT TYPES WHILE ROOSTING ON
PONUI ISLAND COMPARED TO EXPECTED RATES BASED ON HABITAT AVAILABILITY, ACROSS A NUMBER OF POTENTIAL
FIGURE 4.5 - GIS MAP ILLUSTRATING THE LOCATION OF ALL 455 DIFFERENT DIURNAL ROOSTING SITES OF BROWN KIWI ON
PONUI ISLAND OVER THE COURSE OF THE STUDY, WITH THE CORRESPONDING ROOST TYPE FOR EACH POINT IDENTIFIED BY
COLOUR
FIGURE 4.6 - PROPORTION OF BROWN KIWI DIURNAL ROOSTING LOCATIONS RECORDED FOR EACH SHELTER TYPE WITHIN FOUR
DIFFERENT TYPES OF HABITAT OVER THE COURSE OF THE STUDY
FIGURE 4.7 - VARIATION IN DIURNAL ROOST SITE UTILISATION RATES OF BROWN KIWI IN FOUR DIFFERENT ROOST TYPES ON
Ponul Island, across a number of potential driving factors:
FIGURE 4.8 – MEANS AND STANDARD ERROR FOR THE DISTANCE BETWEEN ROOST SITES AND PASTURE OR FOREST HABITAT OVER
THE FOUR SEASONS SAMPLED OVER THE COURSE OF THE STUDY.
FIGURE 4.9 - MEANS AND STANDARD DEVIATION FOR THE DISTANCE BETWEEN ROOST SITES AND PASTURE OR FOREST HABITAT IN
EACH OF THE FOUR LOCATIONS SAMPLED OVER THE COURSE OF THE STUDY



#### **List of Plates**

PLATE 1.1 - FEMALE BROWN KIWI EMILY HAS CHOSEN A SURFACE SITE WITH VERY LITTLE COVER TO SPEND THE DAY IN RED STON	Y
GULLY. PHOTO BY AUTHOR	1
PLATE 2.1 - TREE WETA (HEMIDEINA THORACICA) UP CLOSE ON PONUI ISLAND. PHOTO BY AUTHOR	37
PLATE 3.1 - AN UNIDENTIFIED BROWN KIWI ON PONUI ISLAND FORAGING AT NIGHT ON THE RED STONY TRACK. PHOTO BY	
Kathryn Strang, Massey University	79
PLATE 4.1 - MALE BROWN KIWI MAURO RESTING DURING THE DAY INSIDE A SURFACE BURROW IN THE MIDDLE OF A CLUMP OF	
REEDS IN PASTURE. PHOTO BY AUTHOR	117
PLATE 5.1 – MALE BROWN KIWI MAURO RESTS IN THE ARMS OF A RESEARCHER PRIOR TO RE-BANDING. PHOTO BY AUTHOR	147

### List of Appendices

APPENDIX A - TAXA/FAMILY CLASSIFICATION AND COMMON NAMES FOR ALL INVERTEBRATE GROUPS IDENTIFIED OVER 12
MONTHS WITHIN PITFALL TRAPS AND BROWN KIWI FAECAL SAMPLES ON PONUI ISLAND172
APPENDIX B - INVERTEBRATE AVAILABILITY ABUNDANCE IN GRAMS OF DRY WEIGHT PER PITFALL FOR INVERTEBRATES CAUGHT
OVER 12 MONTHS IN PITFALL TRAPS ON PONUI ISLAND
APPENDIX C - INVERTEBRATE AVAILABILITY ABUNDANCE IN NUMBERS OF INDIVIDUAL INVERTEBRATES OF 16 DIFFERENT TAXA
CAUGHT OVER 12 MONTHS IN PITFALL TRAPS ON PONUI ISLAND, SORTED ALPHABETICALLY.
APPENDIX D - ANOSIM TEST RESULTS TABLE FOR INVERTEBRATE AVAILABILITY, INCLUDING GLOBAL AND PAIRWISE RESULTS174
APPENDIX E - PERMDISP TEST RESULTS TABLE FOR DISPERSION BETWEEN GROUPS IN INVERTEBRATE AVAILABILITY (A) AND
UTILISATION (B), INCLUDING ANOVA F STATISTIC AND Z SCORES
APPENDIX F - INVERTEBRATE EXPLOITATION ABUNDANCE IN NUMBERS OF INDIVIDUAL INVERTEBRATES OF FIFTEEN DIFFERENT
TAXA IDENTIFIED OVER 12 MONTHS IN BROWN KIWI FAECAL SAMPLES COLLECTED ON PONUI ISLAND
APPENDIX G - INVERTEBRATE EXPLOITATION FREQUENCY OF OCCURRENCE FOR FIFTEEN DIFFERENT INVERTEBRATE TAXA
IDENTIFIED OVER 12 MONTHS IN BROWN KIWI FAECAL SAMPLES COLLECTED ON PONUI ISLAND
APPENDIX H - ANOSIM TEST RESULTS TABLE FOR INVERTEBRATE EXPLOITATION, INCLUDING GLOBAL AND PAIRWISE RESULTS176
Appendix I – Table of all 49 brown kiwi banded with transmitters on Ponui Island from March 2013 to March
2014
Appendix J – Graph showing the proportion of locations for each individual brown kiwi tracked on Ponui Island
AT NIGHT FOUND WITHIN EACH OF THE FOUR MAIN HABITAT TYPES178
APPENDIX K – RESULTS OF ALL CHI SQUARED ANALYSES, INCLUDING STATISTICAL OUTPUTS OF THE TEST AS WELL AS THE
EXPECTED AND OBSERVED NUMBERS OF EACH HABITAT TYPE FOR EACH GROUPING
APPENDIX L – RESULTS OF THE FINAL GLMM, COEFFICIENTS OF VARIANCE, SE, 2*SE AND T VALUES FOR EACH GROUPING OF ALL
FOUR FACTORS INCLUDED IN THE FINAL MODEL, COMPARING BETWEEN ALL FOUR HABITAT TYPES
APPENDIX M – TABLE SHOWING THE NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF NOCTURNAL BROWN KIWI LOCATIONS FOR FOUR IMPORTANT
FACTORS, INDICATING AN EVEN SPREAD OF SAMPLING
APPENDIX N – GRAPH SHOWING THE PROPORTION OF ROOSTING LOCATIONS FOR EACH INDIVIDUAL BROWN KIWI TRACKED ON
Ponui Island found within each of the four main habitat types181
APPENDIX O – RESULTS OF ALL CHI SQUARED ANALYSES FOR HABITAT, INCLUDING STATISTICAL OUTPUTS OF THE TEST AS WELL AS
THE EXPECTED AND OBSERVED NUMBERS OF EACH HABITAT TYPE FOR EACH GROUPING182
APPENDIX P – RESULTS OF ALL CHI SQUARED ANALYSES FOR SHELTER TYPE, INCLUDING STATISTICAL OUTPUTS OF THE TEST AS
WELL AS THE EXPECTED AND OBSERVED NUMBERS OF EACH HABITAT TYPE FOR EACH GROUPING
Appendix Q – Results of all Kruskal Wallis Tests for distance to habitat, including statistical outputs as well as
NUMBER OF SAMPLES, MEAN, STANDARD DEVIATION AND STANDARD ERROR BETWEEN SEASONS OR GULLIES

XI