

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Modeling of the break process to improve tomato paste production quality

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment for the degree of Masters in
Engineering (Bioprocess) at Massey University, Palmerston North

Arunee Srichantra

2002

Abstract

The pectic enzyme, Pectinmethylesterase (PE) and Polygalacturonase I and II (PGI and PGII), in the tomato fruit released after crushing during tomato processing reduce the viscosity of tomato paste by breaking down the insoluble pectin in the cell wall. To achieve higher viscosity tomato paste, the cold break (<60°C) or hot break (>60-95°C) processes can be used to inactivate the pectic enzyme and to achieve higher viscosity tomato paste.

The study of tomato solids and PG enzyme activity showed that the levels of insoluble solids, total solids, pectin, and °Brix in Ferry Morse tomatoes were independent of fruit ripeness. The amount of PG enzymes was high in orange and dark red tomatoes and the activity of PG enzymes increased as a function of ripeness, from green to dark red. In the dark red tomato, the inactivation of PG enzyme activity was required to retain the level of pectin. Cold break temperatures below 60°C can not inactivate the PG enzyme activity. The PG enzymes started to be denatured when the hot break temperature was above 65°C and be completely destroyed when the break temperature was above 80°C.

A mathematical model of the break process was formulated and Matlab programme was used to predict the effect of break temperatures on the pectin and PG enzyme concentration of the tomato pulp in the break tank for any inputs of feed rate (the flow rate to the break tank), feed ripeness, and residence time. The model was used to demonstrate the understanding and the optimisation of break process performance. Longer residence time of dark red tomato pulp in the break tank can decrease pectin fraction residual and increase enzyme inactivation in the tank temperature range 40 to 60°C. The pectin fraction remaining increased when the tank temperature was above 60°C because of the inactivation of PG enzymes. At 80°C there was no effect of residence time, the pectin fraction residual increased and reached 90% and enzyme fraction residual decreased to 10%.

The effect of mixed tomato ripeness between the ripe fruit (orange and dark red) with the unripe fruit (green, breaker, and turning), the level of PG enzymes in the break tank decreased and affected on the higher pectin fraction remaining. Lower break temperature can be therefore used in this process to inactivate the low amount of PG enzyme and to achieve the same extent of pectin hydrolysis.

The interruption of the feed coming into the break tank during tomato processing can increase the pectin fraction remaining and the enzyme fraction remaining in a new steady state when the feed was turned on.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Dr. John Bronlund who taught, encouraged, supported, and pushed me along with this work. Also thanks to Dr. Pak Lam Yu who spent time to expand my knowledge of enzyme and laboratory instructions.

Thanks to Colin Watson and Daya Vithanage at Heinz-Watties, Hastings, who let me take part in this research and sent me the tomato fruit every week during my experimental research.

I would like to salute in Thai manner (Khob-khun-ka) to Gavin Watson (an engineer at Chevron Niugini Ltd.), Worasit Tochampa, Stephen Werner and Gary Field-Mitchell. Thanks to your kindness to edit my Thai-English to Kiwi-English and supports useful advices. Thank you very much.

Special thanks to Anne-Marie Jackson, Mike, and Suzanne who support my laboratory work.

Weerawate Utto, one of my best friends who always encouraged and supported me along with this thesis.

Wisanu Srichantra, thank for your kindness to support me as a lab boy, cook and nice husband. Thank you to God to give us a little angel, Amy, who always cheers me up with her four teeth smile.

Table of contents

Abstract.....	I
Acknowledgements	II
Table of contents.....	III
List of Figures	IX
List of Tables.....	XII

CHAPTER 1

PROJECT OVERVIEW

1.1 PROBLEM DEFINITION	1-1
1.2 PROJECT AIMS	1-2

CHAPTER 2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 INTRODUCTION.....	2-1
2.2 TOMATO	2-1
2.2.1 Tomato physiology	2-1
2.2.2 Composition of tomatoes.....	2-2
2.2.2.1 Total solids.....	2-2
2.2.2.2 Carbohydrates	2-3
2.2.2.3 Proteins and amino acids	2-3
2.2.2.4 Acids.....	2-4
2.2.2.5 Minerals	2-4
2.2.2.6 Pigments in tomatoes.....	2-5
2.2.2.7 Nutrients in tomatoes.....	2-5
2.2.2.8 Volatile components in tomatoes	2-6
2.2.3 PG and PE enzyme biochemistry in tomato.....	2-6
2.2.3.1 Polygalacturonase (PG)	2-7

2.2.3.2 Pectinmethylesterase (PE)	2-7
2.2.4 Mechanism of changes during ripening and storage	2-7
2.3 TOMATO PASTE PRODUCTION.....	2-9
2.3.1 Definition of processed tomatoes	2-9
2.3.2 Desired qualities in processed tomatoes	2-10
2.3.2.1 Colour	2-10
2.3.2.2 Flavour.....	2-10
2.3.2.3 Consistency	2-11
2.3.2.4 Serum separation	2-11
2.3.2.5 Total acidity and pH.....	2-11
2.3.2.6 Nutritive value.....	2-11
2.3.3 Tomato processing & diagram.....	2-11
2.3.3.1 Washing, sorting, and trimming.....	2-13
2.3.3.2 Breaking process	2-13
2.3.3.3 Juice extraction.....	2-13
2.3.3.4 Concentration	2-13
2.3.3.5 Pasteurisation	2-14
2.3.3.6 Filling, closing, and cooling.....	2-14
2.4 CHARACTERISATION OF TOMATO PASTE	2-14
2.4.1 Compositional and functional properties of tomato paste.....	2-14
2.4.2 Measurement of colour changes of tomato paste	2-15
2.4.2.1 Ridgway Charts	2-15
2.4.2.2 Maerz and Paul Colour Dictionary.....	2-15
2.4.2.3 Munsell Colour System and Charts.....	2-15
2.4.2.4 CIE or ICI system.....	2-15
2.4.2.5 Macbeth-Munsell Disk Colorimeter.....	2-16
2.4.2.6 Hunterlab and Colour and Colour Difference Meter.....	2-16
2.4.3 Measurement of consistency/viscosity of tomato paste	2-18
2.4.3.1 Penetrometer	2-18
2.4.3.2 Potentiometric viscometer	2-18
2.4.4 Measurement of tomato solids of tomato paste	2-19
2.4.4.1 Determination of total solids.....	2-19
2.4.4.2 Determination of soluble solids.....	2-19
2.5 EFFECT OF BREAK PROCESS	2-19
2.5.1 Enzyme activation in broken pulp.....	2-20
2.5.2 Kinetic of enzyme inactivation.....	2-20
2.5.3 Ultrasound application.....	2-21
2.5.3.1 Ultrasound velocity.....	2-21
2.5.3.2 Attenuation.....	2-22
2.5.3.3 Acoustic Impedance	2-23
2.5.3.4 Applications of ultrasound in food processing.....	2-23
2.5.4 Kinetics of colour changes.....	2-24
2.5.4.1 Factors contributing to tomato colour	2-24
2.5.4.2 Effect from lycopene	2-25
2.5.5 Consistency of tomato paste.....	2-28
2.5.5.1 Cultivar	2-28

2.5.5.2 Break temperature	2-29
2.5.5.3 Role of pectin	2-29
2.5.5.4 Cell walls	2-29
2.5.5.5 Screen size and speed of blades in pulper or finisher.....	2-29
2.5.5.6 Electrolytes.....	2-30
2.5.5.7 Pectin-protein interactions	2-30
2.5.5.8 Fruit solids.....	2-30
2.5.6 Rheological properties of tomato paste	2-31
2.5.6.1 Effect of Shear rate on viscosity.....	2-32
2.5.6.2 Prediction of viscosity for changing temperature	2-33
2.6 CONCLUSION	2-33

CHAPTER 3

CHARACTERISATION OF TOMATO SOLIDS

3.1 INTRODUCTION.....	3-1
3.2 TOMATO RIPENESS	3-1
3.3 TOMATO FRUIT SUPPLY	3-3
3.4 TOMATO PULP PREPARATION	3-3
3.4.1 Fresh tomato pulp preparation.....	3-3
3.4.2 Microwave-heated tomato pulp	3-3
3.5 TOTAL SOLIDS MEASUREMENT	3-4
3.6 SOLUBLE SOLIDS MEASUREMENT	3-6
3.7 INSOLUBLE SOLIDS MEASUREMENT	3-7
3.8 PECTIN MEASUREMENT	3-8
3.9 TOTAL SOLIDS, INSOLUBLE SOLIDS, SOLUBLE SOLIDS AND PECTIN AS A FUNCTION OF TOMATO RIPENESS.....	3-11
3.9.1 Total solids and insoluble solids as a function of ripeness	3-11
3.9.2 Soluble solids as a function of ripeness.....	3-12
3.9.3 Pectin as a function of ripeness.....	3-12
3.10 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	3-13
3.11 CONCLUSIONS.....	3-16

CHAPTER 4

CHARACTERISATION OF PG ENZYMES IN TOMATOES

4.1 INTRODUCTION.....	4-1
4.2 MECHANISM OF TOMATO RIPENING	4-1
4.3 POLYGALACTURONASE ENZYME ACTIVITY MEASUREMENT	4-3
4.3.1 Method requirements.....	4-4
4.3.1.1 Viscosity reduction.....	4-4
4.3.1.2 Pectin hydrolysis	4-5
4.3.1.3 Formation of total reducing sugars.....	4-6
4.3.2 Methodology used in this work for PG activity assay.....	4-9
4.4 KINETICS OF PG ENZYME ACTIVITY AS A FUNCTION OF RIPENESS 4-11	
4.4.1 Experimental design.....	4-11
4.4.1.1 Ripeness scale	4-11
4.4.1.2 Numbers of samples	4-11
4.4.1.3 Sample temperature.....	4-11
4.4.1.4 Total reducing sugar determination.....	4-11
4.4.1.5 The amount of the tomato pulp in the experiments.....	4-12
4.4.1.6 Procedures.....	4-13
4.4.2 Results and discussion.....	4-14
4.5 KINETIC MODEL FOR PECTIN HYDROLYSIS.....	4-16
4.5.1 The rapid equilibrium assumption	4-18
4.5.2 The quasi-steady-state assumption.....	4-18
4.6 EFFECT OF TEMPERATURE ON PG ENZYME ACTIVITY	4-22
4.6.1 Tomato pulp	4-22
4.6.2 Numbers of samples	4-22
4.6.3 Reference temperature.....	4-22
4.6.4 Total reducing sugar determination.....	4-23
4.6.5 Procedure.....	4-23
4.6.6 Results and discussion.....	4-23
4.7 THERMAL DEGRADATION OF PG ENZYME DURING BREAK PROCESS.....	4-30
4.7.1 Experimental Design	4-33
4.7.2 Results and discussion.....	4-33
4.8 CONCLUSIONS.....	4-39

CHAPTER 5

BREAK PROCESS MODEL

5.1 INTRODUCTION.....	5-1
5.2 MODEL FORMULATION.....	5-1
5.2.1 Model purpose.....	5-1
5.2.2 Conceptual model development.....	5-1
5.2.2 Assumptions.....	5-3
5.2.3 Validity of assumptions.....	5-3
5.2.3.1 No evaporation.....	5-3
5.2.3.2 Constant thermal properties.....	5-3
5.2.3.3 Complete mixing in the break tank.....	5-4
5.2.3.4 Negligible tomato pulp hold up in the pump and pipeworks.....	5-4
5.2.3.5 Constant flow rate.....	5-4
5.2.3.6 Constant ambient temperature.....	5-4
5.3 MATHEMATICAL FORMULATION.....	5-4
5.4 SYSTEM INPUT DETERMINATION.....	5-7
5.4.1 Specific heat capacity of tomato pulp.....	5-7
5.4.2 Thermal conductivity of tomato pulp.....	5-9
5.4.3 Density of tomato pulp.....	5-11
5.4.4 Ambient temperature.....	5-12
5.4.5 Surface area of break tank.....	5-13
5.4.6 Maximum mass of tomato pulp in the break tank.....	5-13
5.4.7 Feed temperature.....	5-13
5.4.8 Steam temperature.....	5-13
5.4.9 Flow rate to the break tank and through the heat exchanger.....	5-13
5.4.10 Convective heat transfer coefficient between tank and air.....	5-15
5.4.11 Heat transfer coefficient of heat exchanger.....	5-16
5.5 NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF THE MODEL.....	5-18
5.6 MODEL CHECKING.....	5-20
5.6.1 Numerical error checking.....	5-20
5.6.2 Analytical checking.....	5-22
5.6.2.1 Tank temperature.....	5-22
5.6.3 Model validation.....	5-23
5.7 CONCLUSION.....	5-23

CHAPTER 6
MODEL APPLICATION

6.1 INTRODUCTION.....6-1

6.2 APPLICATION OF THE MODEL TO TOMATO PROCESSING.....6-1

 6.2.1 Effect of residence time on break tank temperature..... 6-1

 6.2.2 Effect of ripeness combination..... 6-8

 6.2.3 Effect of feed interrupted from external 6-9

6.3 CONCLUSIONS.....6-13

CHAPTER 7
CONCLUSION

7.1 CONCLUSIONS.....7-1

7.2 SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK.....7-1

REFERENCES8-1

APPENDIX A1 NOMENCLATUREA1-1

APPENDIX A2 MODEL FORMULATIONA2-1

APPENDIX A3 MATLAB PROGRAMME.....A3-1

APPENDIX A4 DATA FOR FIGURE 4.5A4-1

APPENDIX A5 DATA FOR FIGURE 4.6A5-1

APPENDIX A6 DATA FOR FIGURE 4.7A6-1

APPENDIX A7 DATA FOR FIGURE 4.14A7-1

APPENDIX A8 DATA FOR FIGURE 4.23A8-1

List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Tomato anatomy.....	2-2
Figure 2.2	The actions of enzymes in tomatoes.....	2-8
Figure 2.3	Flow diagram for canned tomato paste production	2-12
Figure 2.4	Diagram showing dimensions of the Hunter L, a , and b colour solid....	2-17
Figure 2.5	Munsell hue and chroma coordinates in terms of Hunter a_L and b_L	2-17
Figure 2.6	Molecular structure of lycopene in tomato.....	2-25
Figure 2.7	Transformation of cis-trans lycopene degradation pathway during production and storage.....	2-27
Figure 2.8	Effect of break temperature on pectin retention of canned tomato paste.....	2-31
Figure 2.9	Flow behavior of Newtonian, Power Law, Bingham and Herschel, Bulkley fluids.....	2-32
Figure 3.1	Five different ripeness of the tomato fruits.....	3-2
Figure 3.2	Kinetics of changes in absorbance during pectin analysis when using m-hydroxydiphenyl as a reagent.....	3-9
Figure 3.3	Relationship between slope of concentration curve and WIS/TS ratio.....	3-11
Figure 3.4	Comparison of of % insoluble solids/tomato pulp between the fresh and heated pulp as a function of ripeness (1=Green, 2=Breaker, 3=Turning, 4=Orange, 5=Dark red).....	3-13
Figure 3.5	Plot of % total solids/tomato pulp of the heated pulp as a function of ripeness (1=Green, 2=Breaker, 3=Turning, 4=Orange, 5=Dark red)....	3-14
Figure 3.6	Plot of % insoluble solids/total solids of the heated pulp as a function of ripeness (1=Green, 2=Breaker, 3=Turning, 4=Orange, 5=Dark red)....	3-14
Figure 3.7	Comparison of pectin concentration between the fresh and heated pulp as a function of ripeness (1=Green, 2=Breaker, 3=Turning, 4=Orange, 5=Dark red).....	3-15
Figure 3.8	Plot of °Brix for the heated pulp as a function of ripeness (1=Green, 2=Breaker, 3=Turning, 4=Orange, 5=Dark red).....	3-16
Figure 4.1	The pathway of PGI, PGIIa, and PGIIb formation.....	4-2
Figure 4.2	Hydrolysis of 0.5% Sodium pectate to galacturonic acid by PG enzyme.....	4-6
Figure 4.3	Changes in PGI(o) and PGII(•) activities in tomato pericarp during ripening.....	4-8
Figure 4.4	Plot of preliminary of 15 microlitre of PG industrial enzyme on 1%PGA solution (pH4.5)	4-10
Figure 4.5	Standard curve of D-galacturonic acid solution (raw data shown in Appendix 4).....	4-12
Figure 4.6	PG activity of 2.54 g and 5.04 g dark red tomato pulp (raw data shown in Appendix 5).....	4-13
Figure 4.7	Plot of PG activity at different ripeness.....	4-14
Figure 4.8	Schematic of the lock and key model of enzyme catalysis.....	4-16
Figure 4.9	The enzyme-substrate complex formation scheme	4-17

Figure 4.10	Effect of substrate concentration on the rate of an enzyme-catalyzed reaction.....	4-17
Figure 4.11	The formation of an enzyme/substrate complex and initiation of steady state.....	4-20
Figure 4.12	Rate constant as a function of tomato ripeness (1=Green, 2=Breaker, 3=Turning, 4=Orange, 5=Darkred).....	4-21
Figure 4.13	Concentration of D-galacturonic acid in fresh tomato pulp as a function of ripeness (1=Green, 2=Breaker, 3=Turning, 4=Orange, 5=Dark red)...	4-21
Figure 4.14	Plot of PG activity at different temperature (25, 30, 35, 40, and 50°C)	4-24
Figure 4.15	Plot of PG activity in the dark red tomato pulp at 30°C.....	4-25
Figure 4.16	Plot of PG activity in the dark red tomato pulp at 40°C.....	4-25
Figure 4.17	Plot of PG activity in the dark red tomato pulp using Michaelis-Menten model at 50°C.....	4-27
Figure 4.18	Plot of PG activity at different break temperature (25,30,35,40,and 50°C) between empirical data and model using square residual method	4-29
Figure 4.19	Heat treatment of PG1 at 86°C(●), 90°C(o), 92.9°C(■), and 95.4°C(□)	4-31
Figure 4.20	Heat treatment of PG2 at 64°C(●), 67.2°C(o), 70°C(■), and 73°C(□) ..	4-31
Figure 4.21	PGI inactivation by: simple heat treatment at 86°C (▲) and MTS at 37°C (●) and 86°C (■). The dashed line represents the theoretical additive effect of enzyme inactivation by MTS at 37°C and heating at 86°C.....	4-32
Figure 4.22	PGII inactivation by: simple heat treatment at 52.5°C (solid line) estimated from experimental data for 50°C, 64°C and 70°C and MTS at 37°C (●) and 52.5°C (■). The dashed line represents the theoretical additive effect of enzyme inactivation by MTS at 37°C and heating at 52.5°C	4-32
Figure 4.23	Plot of PG activity at different temperature (30,40,50,55,60,70,and 80°C).....	4-34
Figure 4.24	Plot of PG activity at different break temperature (25,30,35,40,and 50°C) between empirical data and model using square residual method.....	4-38
Figure 5.1	Process diagram of the break tank in tomato processing.....	5-2
Figure 5.2	Comparison of specific heat capacity of tomato pulp as a function of water fractions and temperatures between calculated values and experimental predictions based on Rahman's model.....	5-8
Figure 5.3	Comparison of thermal conductivity of tomato pulp as a function of temperatures and water fractions between calculated values and experimental predictions based on Rahman's work	5-10
Figure 5.4	Density of tomato pulp at different temperatures (25-80°C).....	5-11
Figure 5.5	Break process diagram over the break tank and the heat exchanger at steady state.....	5-13
Figure 5.6	Tank temperature at Rel. Tol. number of 1e-6 and 1e-7.....	5-20
Figure 5.7	Pectin fraction at Rel. Tol. number of 1e-6 and 1e-7	5-21
Figure 5.8	Enzyme fraction at Rel. Tol. number of 1e-6 and 1e-7	5-21
Figure 5.9	Numerical and analytical solution of break tank temperature.....	5-23
Figure 6.1	Break process diagram over the break process and the heat exchanger ...	6-1
Figure 6.2	Effect of residence times on steam temperature and tank temperature ...	6-3
Figure 6.3	Break tank diagram of the change of enzyme concentration at steady state	6-4

Figure 6.4	Effect of residence time on the break tank temperature and enzyme fraction	6-5
Figure 6.5	Break process diagram of the change of pectin concentration at steady state	6-6
Figure 6.6	Effect of residence time on the break tank temperature and pectin fraction remaining.....	6-8
Figure 6.7	Effect of ripeness on the break tank temperature and pectin fraction remaining.....	6-9
Figure 6.8	Effect of feed interruption on mass of tomato pulp in break tank.....	6-10
Figure 6.9	Effect of feed interruption on the break tank temperature	6-11
Figure 6.10	Effect of feed interruption on pectin fraction remaining in the break tank.....	6-11
Figure 6.11	Effect of ripeness on pectin fraction remaining when the feed was interrupted.....	6-12
Figure 6.12	Effect of feed interrupted on enzyme fraction remaining in the break tank.....	6-13

List of Tables

Table 2.1	Composition of fresh tomatoes	2-2
Table 2.2	Amino acid content of fresh and processed tomato juice.....	2-3
Table 2.3	Organic acids in fresh and processed tomato juice.....	2-4
Table 2.4	Concentration range of constituents in normal ripe tomato fruit per 100g fresh tissue.....	2-4
Table 2.5	The contribution of carotenoid species in tomato fruits	2-5
Table 2.6	Vitamin range in normal ripe tomato fruit per 100g fresh tissue	2-5
Table 2.7	Relative amounts of the most characteristic compounds present in fresh and heated juice (100°C for 10 minutes).....	2-6
Table 2.8	Definitions of the processed tomato products	2-10
Table 2.9	Composition of fresh tomato and tomato products (100g)	2-14
Table 2.10	The colour of <i>a</i> and <i>b</i> for Hunterlab and Colour Difference Meter.....	2-16
Table 2.11	Elastic modulus for different materials.....	2-22
Table 2.12	Physical properties of lycopene.....	2-25
Table 2.13	Reaction order and activation energy for the kinetics changes during heating of double concentrated tomato paste	2-28
Table 3.1	USDA tomatoes classes.....	3-2
Table 3.2	Total and soluble (acetate-EDTA buffer) pectins in ethanol powders from tomato pericarp and locular gel	3-12
Table 3.3	Changes in sugar content of various parts of tomato fruit harvested at five stages of ripeness, expressed as percent fresh weight.....	3-12
Table 4.1	Characteristics of the isoforms of tomato polygalacturonase	4-1
Table 4.2	Polygalacturonase activity in six varieties of tomato fruit at the commercial picking stage.....	4-3
Table 4.3	Kinetic constants of PGI and PGII from tomato	4-7
Table 4.4	Kinetic parameters for PGI and PGII	4-8
Table 4.5	D-values for MTS and heating inactivation for PGI and PGII	4-9
Table 4.6	D and Z value for PGI and PGII.....	4-32
Table 4.7	D values for inactivation of PG enzymes by simple heating and manothermosonication at different temperatures.....	4-33
Table 4.8	Values of $k_{eo}E_o$ of different tomato ripeness at 30°C.....	4-40
Table 5.1	Heat capacity as a function of temperatures (22-80°C) at $X_w=0.6410$	5-3
Table 5.2	Specific heat capacity of tomato pulp as a function of temperature (22-80°C) and water content.....	5-8
Table 5.3	Specific heat capacity of tomato pulp as a function of water fractions from Rahman's model	5-8
Table 5.4	Calculated thermal conductivity of tomato pulp as a function of temperatures (20 to 80°C)	5-10
Table 5.5	Thermal conductivity of tomato pulp as a function of temperatures and water contents from the Rahman model.....	5-10
Table 5.6	Density of tomato pulp at different temperature (25-80°C).....	5-12

Table 6.1	Summary of residence time and tank temperature of 90% enzyme inactivation.....	6-5
Table 6.2	Effect of ripeness on the break tank temperature and pectin fraction remaining.....	6-9

Chapter 1

Project Overview

1.1 Problem definition

The tomato is an important ingredient in the present food industry. It can be consumed as a fresh fruit or processed to form tomato pulp, tomato juice, tomato puree or tomato paste. Tomato paste or concentrated tomato is widely used by food manufacturers as a starting material for a wide range of tomato products. The paste imparts viscosity, colour and flavour to formulated products. Concentrated product enables storage for long periods of time for use outside the tomato growing season.

Heinz-Watties Australasia Ltd., Hastings produces a wide range of tomato products such as soups, sauces, baked beans, spaghetti and pasta sauces for several different brands including Watties, Oak, Heinz, Weight Watchers. Tomato paste is used as the base ingredient for many of these products. Tomato paste processing comprises many stages including washing, crushing, evaporating, sterilising and packaging.

Campbell (2002) found that the viscosity of tomato puree after crushing was not uniform and that affected the subsequent viscosity of tomato paste. This was due to the activity of pectinmethylesterase (PE) and polygalacturonase I and II (PG I and II) enzymes (pectic enzymes). These enzymes breakdown the insoluble pectin in the cell wall and as a result, the viscosity was reduced. The hot break process is designed to keep the temperature greater than 80°C to help inactivate both enzymes and achieve the higher viscosity.

Campbell (2002) also showed that the ratio of soluble solid to total solids of paste produced at Heinz-Watties was variable. These findings were assumed to be due to the changing residence time in the break tank resulting in variable pectic enzyme inactivation and therefore varying the degree of the conversion of insoluble pectin into soluble sugars and organic acids. It was therefore assumed that tomato pulp with a short residence time in the break tank may result in high IS/total solid ratios, whereas tomato puree with a long residence time may achieve lower IS/total solid levels.

The aim of this work was to develop a model for the break process to allow process optimisation and thereby providing a more consistent tomato paste for Heinz-Watties. This involves characterisation of the kinetics of pectin hydrolysis and PG enzyme destruction in the process and the modelling of temperature and reaction in the break tank.

1.2 Project Aims

The intermediate aims to achieve these goals are;

- To measure the kinetics of PG enzyme activity.
- To measure of the kinetics of PG enzyme inactivation due to heat processing of tomato fruit at the temperature range 25 to 80°C.
- To mathematically model the break process to allow the prediction of break tank temperature, extent of pectin hydrolysis and enzyme inactivation as a function of time and temperature in the break process.
- To use the model to suggest strategies for the better control of tomato paste quality.