

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Preparation, characterisation and application of naturally derived polar lipids through lipolysis



A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy
in
Food Technology

at
Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Noor-Soffalina SOFIAN-SENG

2016

Abstract

Monoglycerides are lipid based emulsifiers extensively used for their broad technical function in the food industry. Commercial monoglycerides are generally manufactured through chemical synthesis; however, lipolysis of triglycerides by lipase enzyme provides a biochemical pathway by which monoglycerides may be produced. This is particularly appealing for consumers for whom all natural and clean labelled food products are a particular driver. Accordingly, rather than replacing monoglycerides from formulations with other types of emulsifiers (and that may lack the requisite functionality), an alternative approach may be to develop a non-chemical and more natural pathway to produce the emulsifier, thereby allowing the particular monoglyceride functionality to be retained within products. Therefore, this study was conducted to investigate the feasibility of using lipase enzyme as a processing tool to synthesise polar lipids, namely monoglycerides, *in situ* of the manufacture of whippable food emulsions, such as cream and ice cream.

This concept idea was initially proven viable through interfacial tension (IFT) measurements obtained using a straight-forward surface characterisation technique. *R. miehei* lipase was found to competitively bind at the interface of vegetable oils-water and that the adsorbed protein (sodium caseinate and whey protein isolate) or surfactant layer (lecithin and Tween 80) did not act as a barrier to lipase adsorption at the oil-water interface. IFT measurements were also able to demonstrate the progressive accumulation of polar lipids at the oil-water interface arising from lipolysis, and were additionally used to indicate how thermal treatment of the enzyme could be used to terminate activity.

In considering how the requisite functionality could be achieved for whippable emulsion formulations, emulsion droplet size, type of emulsifiers used as well as lipase concentration were shown to be key variables by which the extent and rate of lipolysis could be manipulated and controlled. The results showed that formulation (emulsifier types and oil content) and processing conditions (Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes) had significant effects on the emulsion droplet size.

As part of controlling the extent of lipolysis, the conditions by which the reaction could be terminated were investigated by measuring the viability of *R. miehei* lipase against thermal treatment. Results showed that the *R. miehei* lipase was thermostable up to temperatures of 70 °C. Above this temperature, substantial reduction of the residual activity occurred. However, even elevated temperature of between 90 and 100 °C did not immediately inactivate the lipase, with heating for ~ 2 min required before activity was no longer detected. In terms of emulsion stability, the palm oil emulsion tested in this study was found to be thermostable up to 100 °C, thus allowing development of a thermalisation step that was able to inactivate the enzyme without compromising the stability of the emulsion.

The shear stability analyses on lipolysed O/W emulsion showed the lipolysed emulsions were susceptible to shear-induced aggregation, and that the degree of aggregation could be manipulated as a consequence of controlling the extent of lipolysis through either enzyme concentration or holding time. The drastic increase in the viscosity curve between the non-lipolysed and lipolysed emulsion suggested that the shear-induced partial coalescence was primarily due to the lipolysis reaction and was not as a result of the high fat content (30 %). The findings elucidate the ability of the generated polar lipids in the emulsion to displace the

existing sodium caseinate adsorbed layer, thus compromising emulsion stability upon shearing.

The quantification of synthesised polar lipids from the triglyceride component of fat droplets by the lipolysis reaction showed a mixture of fatty acids, di- and mono-glycerides being produced. Palmitic acid was observed to be the main liberated fatty acids. While, monoolein and monopalmitin were the most prominent monoglycerides, with measured concentrations of 3.755 ± 0.895 and 1.660 ± 0.657 mg / g fat respectively after 15 min with lipase concentration of 50 mg /g fat. The relative concentration of polar lipids produced was found to be dependent on the lipase concentration as well as time of lipolysis. Furthermore, up to 30 min of lipolysis (concentration 50 mg /g fat) were seen to have no observable effect on the droplet size distribution of the emulsion suggesting that quiescently stable emulsions could be produced. The results show the importance of controlling reaction conditions (both enzyme concentration and reaction time) in order to provide requisite functionality without excessively destabilising emulsions such that droplet structuring can occur under quiescent conditions.

The generation of monoglycerides at *quantum satis* levels able to impart critical functionality was demonstrated in whipped cream and ice cream. The addition of *R. miehei* lipase at very low concentration of 5 mg /g fat was able to produce a rigid and stable whipped cream with overrun exceeding 100 %. However, good stability of the whipped cream over time was achievable with concentration above 10 mg /g fat. Similarly, ice cream made with the addition of 5 mg /g fat exhibited good melt stability and firmness. The findings proved the feasibility of *in situ* production of polar lipids, namely monoglycerides and fatty acids, in replicating the functionality imparted by commercial monoglycerides in whippable emulsions.

Thus, the findings in this thesis offer an alternative biochemical pathway for the generation of polar lipids to that of commercially available monoglycerides, which are currently produced synthetically. The potential for using this approach as part of the processing step for food emulsion manufacture has also been demonstrated. The concept can be tailored for various emulsion based food products.

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, my utmost gratitude to the Almighty God for His blessing and mercy that allow me to be where I am today. Alhamdulillah.

I would like to express my sincere thanks and appreciation to my main supervisor Prof Matt Golding (IFNHH, Massey University) for his supervision, patient guidance and genuine advice throughout my study. His positive outlook and confidence in my research kept me going. He allowed me a lot of space for me to be very independent but was always there to guide me in the right direction. Thank you for making time to Skype with me when I was in Malaysia to ensure this thesis comes to a successful completion. I consider myself extremely lucky to have him as a mentor. In the future, when I have my own students to supervise, I will at least try my very best to follow his footsteps.

To my supervisory committee: Associate Prof Dr Kelvin Goh (Singapore), Dr Lee Sung Je (Albany, NZ) and Dr Patrick Janssen (Palmerton North, NZ), thank you for making the time for our video-conference meetings. Your advices and contributions are greatly appreciated.

I would also like to express my gratitude to Ministry of Education, Malaysia for providing me the opportunity to pursue my PhD in New Zealand and for providing the scholarship and operational fund to do so.

My sincere thanks to the following people in the IFNHH and Riddet Institute, Massey University that have helped me in one way or another:

Michelle Tamehana for the Rheometer training and helping me with various lab stuffs while I was based in the Food Characterisation lab, Steve Glasglow for helping me with Texture analysis, Garry Radford for the help with my homogenisation, pasteurisation and ice cream making in the pilot plant. Not forgetting Warwick Johnson for the use of PD lab for my whipped cream making and for always being funny and nice. Janiene Gilliland and Chris Hall in Riddet's main lab. Amit Taneja, who trained me on how to use the Microfluidizer and come rescuing when it acted up. Jack Cui for the advices with pHstat. Natascha Stroebinger for her kind help with Gas Chromatography, she even paused her sample run for me, thank you so much. Christine Ramsay who manage my operational account, my claims and ordering,

Acknowledgement

always with a smile and her trademark 'good as gold dear!'. Also to Yvonne Parkes for all her administration work as well as helping with my consumables orders sometimes.

Huge thanks and gratitude to my friends in New Zealand who made living here feels like home. Special thanks to Nor Khaizura aka Juju and her husband, who opened up their home to me when I first arrived. The transition to live here was certainly made easier with people like them. Huge appreciation for my lovely housemate, Mazidah Abdul Rahman. Her awkward way of showing her care shines through especially through her wonderful cooking. To my kind hearted friend; Khairul Farihan Kasim, thank you so much for all your kindness and never saying no to me. I wished I appreciated it more when you were here. To the super-busy Doris Adeyinka, thank you for the time we spent together. To Yap Sia Yen, thank you for your kind thoughts always and the squash sessions. To Anges Teo and Daisy Wen, thank you for the time spent playing and working together. I am particularly indebted with a very selfless friend, Sharifah Salmah Syed Hussain (Sha) for just being there whenever I'm in need, for her continuous encouragement and generosity. I owed her so much that only God can repay her kindness. Cheers to my officemates especially to Sandra and Hayley who apparently are fans of Miranda too. 'What have you done today to make you feel proud?'. Not forgetting my long time buddy Yang Hayati for her support and kindness.

My love goes out to Doug and Jan Dickson for their warmth and kindness. They were not like landlords but more like a family to me. Doug with his skills of repairing almost everything and for the ride in his 1920's Alvis. Jan for her healthy desserts and treats. Also thank you for sharing the 'kitties' love with me, especially Raoul who I will always remember. I am also indebted to a very charming person, a kind hearted friend and a good listener, E.D. who passed away during my 2nd year of PhD. Not forgetting to my Kiwi mom and dad, Rosemary and the late Bruce Teulon, thank you for all your love.

Finally, I would like to express my sincere appreciation for my family back in Malaysia for their continuous support and encouragement, especially to my biggest pillar of support, my mother, Fatimah Abdul Rahman and my father, Lim Khai Seng @ Sofian Seng Abdullah. Thank you Mak for your prayers, love and support, without which I would not be here today.

Table of Contents

	Page
Abstract	iii
Acknowledgments	v
Table of Contents	vii
List of Figures	xii
List of Tables	xvi
List of Abbreviations	xviii
List of Equations	xix
Chapter 1: General Introduction and Thesis Structure	
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Objectives	4
1.3 Thesis Structure	5
Chapter 2: Literature Review	
2.1 Emulsion	8
2.1.1 Emulsion formation	10
2.2 Emulsion stability	13
2.3 Destabilisation of emulsion	15
2.3.1 Flocculation	18
2.3.2 Creaming	19
2.3.3 Coalescence	20
2.4 Emulsion interfacial characteristic	24
2.5 Emulsifier and their application	25
2.5.1 Monoglycerides in food	33
2.5.2 Legal aspect of emulsifiers	34
2.6 Lipid	37
2.6.1 Synthesis of monoglycerides	38
2.6.1.1 Esterification	39
2.6.1.2 Glycerolysis	38
2.6.1.3 Enzymatic hydrolysis of triglycerides	42
2.6.2 Triglycerides	43
2.6.3 Fatty acids	46
2.7 Lipase	47
2.7.1 Microbial lipase	48
2.7.2 Lipase specificity	50
2.7.3 Lipase mediated reactions and synthesis of monoglycerides	52
Chapter 3: Materials and Methodology	
3.1 Materials	60
3.1.1 Lipase	60
3.1.2 Emulsifiers	60
3.1.3 Vegetable oils	60

Table of Contents

3.1.4 Chemicals	61
3.2 Methodology	61
3.2.1 Emulsification by microfluidization	61
3.2.2 Determination of emulsion droplet size	62
3.2.3 Determination of lipase activity	63
3.2.4 Microscopic observation with confocal laser scanning microscope	63
3.2.5 Lipolysis of emulsion	64

Chapter 4: Effect of *Rhizomucor miehei* lipase-catalysed reactions on the interfacial tension (IFT) at the oil-water interface

4.1 Abstract	65
4.2 Introduction	66
4.3 Materials and methodology	69
4.3.1 Materials	69
4.3.2 Methodology	69
4.3.2.1 Interfacial tension measurement by pendant-drop tensiometer	69
4.3.2.2 Statistical analyses	71
4.4 Results and discussion	71
4.4.1 Effect of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase on the IFT of oil and water	71
4.4.2 Effect of different types of emulsifier on the adsorption of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase on the interface	75
4.4.2.1 Protein emulsifiers-sodium caseinate and whey protein isolate	75
4.4.2.2 Low molecular weight surfactant – lecithin and Tween 80	78
4.4.3 Effect of monopalmitin, monostearin and monoolein on the IFT of vegetable oil and aqueous phase containing protein emulsifiers and surfactants	84
4.5 Conclusion	90

Chapter 5: Effect of lipid specific surface area, oil mass and type, emulsifier type and lipase loading on the lipolysis of oil-in-water (O/W) emulsions

5.1 Abstract	91
5.2 Introduction	92
5.3 Materials and methodology	95
5.3.1 Materials	95
5.3.2 Methodology	95
5.3.2.1 Emulsification by microfluidization	95
5.3.2.2 Lipolysis of emulsion	95
5.3.2.3 Determination of emulsion droplet size	95
5.3.2.4 Determination of lipolysis rate	95
5.3.2.5 Microscopic observation with confocal laser scanning microscope	96
5.3.2.6 Experimental design	96
5.3.2.7 Statistical analyses	98
5.4 Result and discussion	98
5.4.1 Effect of microfluidization pressure, number of passes, emulsifier types and oil content on emulsion droplet size distribution	98
5.4.1.1 Canola oil emulsion with protein emulsifiers i.e. sodium caseinate and whey protein isolate	98
5.4.1.2 Canola oil emulsion with low molecular weight surfactants i.e. lecithin and Tween 80	100

Table of Contents

5.4.1.3 Palm oil emulsion with protein emulsifier i.e. sodium caseinate and whey protein isolate	101
5.4.1.4 Microscopic observation of emulsion	103
5.4.1.5 Main effect and interaction plots	106
5.4.2 Effect of specific surface area and emulsifier types area on the lipolysis of O/W emulsion	116
5.4.3 Effect of enzyme loading on the lipolysis of O/W emulsion	122
5.4.4 Effect of oil mass and oil type on lipolysis rate of O/W emulsion	123
5.4.5 Main effect of specific surface area, emulsifier type, oil mass and type, and lipase loading on V_o and FFA_{max} during lipolysis of O/W emulsion	126
5.4.6 Kinetic of O/W emulsions lipolysis	130
5.5 Conclusion	132

Chapter 6: Thermal stability of sodium caseinate stabilised O/W emulsion and *Rhizomucor miehei* lipase

6.1 Abstract	134
6.2 Introduction	134
6.3 Materials and methodology	137
6.3.1 Materials	137
6.3.2 Methodology	137
6.3.2.1 Emulsification by microfluidization	137
6.3.2.2 Lipolysis of emulsion	137
6.3.2.3 Heat stability studies on <i>R. miehei</i> lipase	137
6.3.2.4 Heat stability studies on O/W emulsion	138
6.3.2.5 Determination of lipase residual activity	138
6.3.2.6 Determination of emulsion droplet size	138
6.3.2.7 Statistical analyses	138
6.4 Results and discussion	139
6.4.1 Influence of thermal treatment of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase activity	139
6.4.2 Influence of thermal treatment of O/W emulsion stability	142
6.5 Conclusion	147

Chapter7: Effect of lipolysis by *Rhizomucor miehei* lipase on the shear stability of sodium caseinate stabilised O/W emulsion

7.1 Abstract	148
7.2 Introduction	149
7.3 Materials and methodology	152
7.3.1 Materials	152
7.3.2 Methodology.....	152
7.3.2.1 Emulsification by microfluidization	152
7.3.2.2 Lipolysis of emulsion	152
7.3.2.3 Shear stability analysis	152
7.3.2.4 Determination of emulsion droplet size	153
7.3.2.5 Statistical analyses	153
7.4 Results and discussion	153
7.4.1 Effect of shear rates on shearing stability of O/W emulsion	153
7.4.2 Effect of lipolysis with <i>R. miehei</i> lipase on shearing stability of O/W emulsion	155
7.4.3 Effect of aging on shearing stability of O/W emulsion	158
7.4.4 Changes to emulsion droplets distribution profile during shearing	159
7.5 Conclusion	162

Chapter 8: Characterisation and quantification of lipolysis on O/W emulsions

8.1 Abstract	164
8.2 Introduction	165
8.3 Materials and methodology	167
8.3.1 Materials	167
8.3.2 Methodology	168
8.3.2.1 Emulsification by microfluidization	168
8.3.2.2 Lipolysis of O/W emulsion	168
8.3.2.3 Determination of O/W emulsion droplet size	168
8.3.2.4 Extraction of lipid from O/W emulsion	168
8.3.2.5 Separation of lipid species by thin layer chromatography (TLC)	169
8.3.2.6 Derivatization for fatty acid methyl ester (FAME) analysis	170
8.3.2.7 Detection of FAMES by gas chromatography (GC)	171
8.3.2.8 Conjugation of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase with carboxyl quantum dots	171
8.3.2.9 Microscopic observation with confocal laser scanning microscope	172
8.3.2.10 Statistical analyses	172
8.4 Results and discussion	173
8.4.1 Lipolysis product quantification	173
8.4.2 Changes of O/W emulsion droplet size distribution as a result of lipolysis	180
8.4.3 Microscopic observation of lipolysed O/W emulsion	183
8.5 Conclusion	188

Chapter 9: Functionality of lipolysed O/W emulsion as imitation whipped cream and ice cream

9.1 Abstract	189
9.2 Introduction	190
9.3 Materials and methodology	196
9.3.1 Materials	196
9.3.2 Methodology	196
9.3.2.1 Processing of emulsion mix for whipped cream.....	196
9.3.2.2 Whipping process and determination of overrun of whipped lipolysed emulsion	200
9.3.2.3 Processing of ice cream	201
9.3.2.4 Physicochemical analyses	203
9.3.2.4.1 Visual test and piping stability of whipped cream	203
9.3.2.4.2 Determination of freeze-thaw stability of whipped cream	203
9.3.2.4.3 Determination of serum drainage of whipped cream	204
9.3.2.4.4 Determination of hardness of whipped emulsion	205
9.3.2.4.5 Determination of hardness and tackiness of ice cream	205
9.3.2.4.6 Determination of emulsion droplet size for whipped cream and ice cream	206
9.3.2.4.7 Microscopic observation of whipped cream by confocal laser scanning microscope	206
9.3.2.5 Statistical analyses	207
9.4 Results and discussion	207
9.4.1 Physicochemical analyses of whipped cream made with lipolysed emulsion	207
9.4.1.1 Whipping process and overrun	207
9.4.1.2 Visual observation and piping stability of whipped cream	210
9.4.1.3 Freeze thaw stability of whipped emulsion	218
9.4.1.4 Serum drainage of the whipped emulsion	220
9.4.1.5 Determination of hardness of whipped emulsion	221

Table of Contents

9.4.1.6 Droplet size distribution of whipped emulsion	223
9.4.1.7 Microscopic observation of whipped emulsion microstructure	224
9.4.2 Physicochemical analyses of ice cream made with lipolysed emulsion	227
9.4.2.1 Determination of melt stability	227
9.4.2.2 Determination of hardness and tackiness of ice cream	229
9.4.2.3 Determination of droplet size distribution of ice cream	231
9.4 Conclusion	233
Chapter 10: Summary and Recommendations	
10.1 Summary	234
10.2 Recommendation for future works	239
10.3 Concluding remarks	241
References	242

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Various physical mechanisms of emulsion destabilisation, including creaming, sedimentation, flocculation, coalescence and phase inversion	17
Figure 2.2: Different stages of the coalescence process; droplet contact, droplet crystallisation and oil mixing around the contact point	23
Figure 2.3: Esterification of glycerol and fatty acid	39
Figure 2.4: Glycerolysis of triglycerides with glycerol	40
Figure 2.5: Hydrolysis of triglycerides by 1,3-selective lipase	43
Figure 2.6: Triglyceride molecule.....	45
Figure 2.7: (1) The synthesis of 2-monoglyceride using selective hydrolysis with 1,3-specific lipase, (2) Esterification of glycerol and fatty acids and (3) glycerolysis of fats/oil with glycerol to produce 1(2)-monoglyceride	56
Figure 4.1: Interfacial tension of canola oil, soybean oil and olive oil with aqueous phase, with the addition of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase, inactivated <i>R. miehei</i> lipase or no enzyme into the system	72
Figure 4.2: Interfacial tension of canola oil, soybean oil and olive oil with aqueous phase containing sodium caseinate, with or without the addition of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase into the system	76
Figure 4.3: Interfacial tension of canola oil, soybean oil and olive oil with aqueous phase containing whey protein isolate, with or without the addition of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase into the system	77
Figure 4.4: Interfacial tension of canola oil, soybean oil and olive oil with aqueous phase containing lecithin, with or without the addition of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase into the system	80
Figure 4.5: Interfacial tension of canola oil, soybean oil and olive oil with aqueous phase containing Tween 80, with or without the addition of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase into the system ..	82
Figure 4.6: Main effects plot for interfacial tension (IFT) for oil type, presence of lipase and emulsifier type	83
Figure 4.7: Interaction plot of all tested variables (oil type, presence of lipase and emulsifier type) for interfacial tension (IFT)	83
Figure 4.8: Interfacial tension of canola oil with aqueous phase stabilised by three different types of monoglyceride	85
Figure 4.9: Interfacial tension of canola oil with aqueous phase stabilised by three different types of monoglyceride /sodium caseinate or whey protein isolate	87

Figure 4.10: Interfacial tension of canola oil with aqueous phase stabilised by three different types of monoglyceride/lecithin or Tween 80	89
Figure 5.1: Effect of processing and formulation on specific surface area of canola oil emulsion stabilised with 1 % (w/w) sodium caseinate or whey protein isolate	99
Figure 5.2: Effect of processing and formulation on specific surface area of canola oil emulsion stabilised with 1 % (w/w) lecithin and Tween 80	101
Figure 5.3: Effect of processing and formulation on specific surface area of 30% palm oil emulsion stabilised with sodium caseinate and whey protein isolate (1 % w/w)	102
Figure 5.4: Effect of Microfluidizer® processing on the microstructure of 30 % (w/w) canola oil emulsion stabilised with sodium caseinate (1 % w/w)	104
Figure 5.5: Effect of Microfluidizer® processing on the microstructure of 30 % (w/w) palm oil emulsion stabilised with sodium caseinate (1 % w/w)	105
Figure 5.6: Main effects plots of emulsifier type, oil content, Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes on canola oil emulsion specific surface area	107
Figure 5.7: Main effects plots of emulsifier type, Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes on canola oil emulsion specific surface area	108
Figure 5.8: Effect of specific surface area on initial velocity of lipolysis of canola oil (20 % w/w)-in-water emulsion	117
Figure 5.9: Effect of specific surface area on the extent of lipolysis (FFA _{max}) of canola oil (20 % w/w)-in-water emulsion	118
Figure 5.10: Effect of specific surface area on initial velocity of lipolysis of palm oil (30 % w/w)-in-water emulsion	121
Figure 5.11: Effect of specific surface area on the extent of lipolysis (FFA _{max}) of palm oil (30 % w/w)-in-water emulsion	122
Figure 5.12: Effect of lipase loading on the lipolysis of palm oil (30 % w/w)-in-water emulsion stabilised by sodium caseinate (1 % w/w)	123
Figure 5.13: Effect of oil mass and oil type on initial velocity of lipolysis of O/W emulsion	125
Figure 5.14: Effect of oil mass and oil type on the extent of lipolysis (FFA _{max}) of O/W emulsion	125
Figure 5.15: Main effect plot of specific surface area, emulsifier type, and lipase concentration on V _o and FFA _{max} for the lipolysis of O/W emulsion	128
Figure 5.16: Main effect plot of oil mass and oil type on V _o and FFA _{max} for the lipolysis of O/W emulsion	129
Figure 5.17: Lineweaver-Burk double reciprocal plot of velocity as function of canola oil concentration (emulsion of canola oil-sodium caseinate)	131

List of Figures

Figure 5.18: Lineweaver-Burk double reciprocal plot of velocity as function of canola oil concentration (emulsion of canola oil-lecithin)	131
Figure 6.1: Inactivation kinetics of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase at 50, 60, 70, 80, 90 and 100 °C	139
Figure 6.2: Arrhenius plot of temperature dependence of the logarithm of k_{exp} constant versus inverse temperature in K^{-1} for the determination of energy of deactivation	142
Figure 6.3: Particle size distribution of thermally treated palm oil (30 % w/w) emulsion	145
Figure 6.4: Particle size distribution of thermally treated lipolysed palm oil (30 % w/w) emulsion (<i>R. miehei</i> lipase 50 mg per g fat, 30 min)	147
Figure 7.1: Effect of shear rates on shearing stability of lipolysed O/W emulsion. Lipolysis time: 30 min, <i>R. miehei</i> lipase concentration: 50 mg / g fat (Insert: The shear curve of only 500, 800 and 1500 s^{-1})	155
Figure 7.2: Effect of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase concentration on shearing stability of lipolysed O/W emulsion. Lipolysis time: 30 min, Shearing rate: 100 s^{-1}	157
Figure 7.3 Effect of lipolysis time on shearing stability of lipolysed O/W emulsion. <i>R. miehei</i> lipase concentration: 50 mg / g fat, Shearing rate: 100 s^{-1}	157
Figure 7.4: Effect of aging time on shearing stability of lipolysed O/W emulsion. Lipolysis time: 30 min, <i>R. miehei</i> lipase concentration: 50 mg / g fat, Shearing rate: 100 s^{-1}	158
Figure 7.5: The shear-induced aggregation curve of lipolysed O/W emulsion at shear rate 100 s^{-1} . Lipolysis time: 30 min, <i>R. miehei</i> lipase concentration = 50 mg per g of fat. Insert graph – The changes of lipolysed emulsions' droplet distribution profile at different shearing time (A) 0s, B) 210 s, C) 1200 s, D) 1800 s and E) 3000 s	161
Figure 7.6: Specific surface area and D(4.3) of lipolysed palm oil (30 %) emulsion at different shearing time	162
Figure 8.1: Example of separated lipid spots on TLC plates when observe under UV light	173
Figure 8.2: Droplet size distribution of O/W emulsion lipolysed with <i>R. miehei</i> lipase (50 mg per g fat) at different lipolysis time	182
Figure 8.4 (a): Confocal laser scanning microscope image of O/W emulsion lipolysed with excess QDs conjugated <i>R. miehei</i> lipase	187
Figure 8.4 : (b) Confocal laser scanning microscope image of O/W emulsion lipolysed with limited QDs conjugated <i>R. miehei</i> lipase (c - h) Zoomed images of a single fat globules with attached QDs conjugated <i>R. miehei</i> lipase on the surface at different time (0, 1, 3, 5, 6 and 8 min)	187
Figure 9.1: Schematic diagram of the structure development taking place during the formation of whipped cream	192
Figure 9.2: Flow chart of emulsion mixes processing for whipping cream	199
Figure 9.3: Flow chart of ice cream processing	202

List of Figures

Figure 9.4: Paper ruler inserted to measure the cream shrinkage for determining the freeze-thaw stability	204
Figure 9.5: Determination of serum drainage set-up	205
Figure 9.6: Overrun of whipped O/W emulsion (30 % fat) lipolysed with different <i>R. miehei</i> lipase concentration (Lipolysis time - 15 min)	208
Figure 9.7: Non-lipolysed emulsion remained in liquid form after aging process overnight at 4 °C	211
Figure 9.8: Scoopable soft solid structure of lipolysed emulsion after aging overnight at 4 °C (Lipolysis condition: <i>R. miehei</i> lipase concentration 50 mg per g of fat, 15 min)	211
Figure 9.9: Agglomerated fat globules forming after whipping of non- lipolysed emulsion	212
Figure 9.10: Piping stability of whipped emulsion lipolysed with different lipase concentration at ambient conditions (21 °C)	214
Figure 9.11: The visual changes of whipped emulsion during the freeze-thaw stability test	219
Figure 9.12: Serum drainage of whipped emulsion lipolysed with different lipase concentration after 1 h at ambient temperature (21 °C)	221
Figure 9.13: Hardness of whipped emulsion represented as maximum force (N) recorded	222
Figure 9.14: Droplet size distribution of non-lipolysed emulsion in its original form and after whipping	223
Figure 9.15: Droplet size distribution of whipped emulsion lipolysed with different lipase concentration	225
Figure 9.16: Confocal micrographs of whipped cream from emulsion lipolysed with various <i>R. miehei</i> lipase concentration (black-air bubbles, red/yellow-fat, green-protein in serum phase), scale bar = 10 µm	226
Figure 9.17: Melt stability of ice cream samples at 21 °C	228
Figure 9.18: Hardness of ice cream samples	230
Figure 9.19: Tackiness of ice cream samples	231
Figure 9.20: Droplet size distribution of ice cream	232

List of Tables

Table 2.1: Food emulsifiers, their legal numbers and typical uses in food	31
Table 2.2: Isolation of lipase from various microorganisms	49
Table 2.3: Different types of lipase mediated reaction, substrates and source of lipase used by several authors	59
Table 5.1: Factorial experiment factors and levels for investigating the effect of emulsifier type, oil content, Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes on canola oil emulsion droplet size	96
Table 5.2: Factorial experiment factors and levels for investigating the effect of emulsifier type, Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes on palm oil emulsion droplet size	97
Table 5.3: Variables used for investigating the effect of specific surface area, emulsifier type, oil content and lipase loading on lipolysis of O/W emulsion	97
Table 5.4: Analysis of variance for the effect of emulsifier type, oil content, Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes on the specific surface area of canola oil emulsion	106
Table 5.5: Analysis of variance for the effect of emulsifier type, oil content, Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes on the specific surface area of palm oil emulsion	108
Table 5.6: Combination of Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes to obtain coarse, intermediate and fine emulsion used for lipolysis experiments for the analysis of effect of specific surface area and type of emulsifier	114
Table 5.7: Combination of Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes to obtain emulsion used for lipolysis experiments for the analysis of effect of lipase loading	115
Table 5.8: Combination of Microfluidizer® pressure and number of passes to emulsion with similar specific surface area for lipolysis experiments for the analysis of effect of oil mass and type	115
Table 5.9: Effect of specific surface area, emulsifier type, oil mass and type, and lipase concentration on V_o and FFA_{max} for the lipolysis of O/W emulsion	127
Table 6.1: Kinetic (k_{exp} and $t_{1/2}$) parameters of <i>R. miehei</i> lipase thermal inactivation	140
Table 6.2: Mean volume weighted diameter ($D_{4,3}$) of thermally treated O/W emulsion	144
Table 6.3: Mean volume weighted diameter ($D_{4,3}$) of thermally treated lipolysed (50 mg per g fat, 30 min) O/W emulsion	148
Table 8.1: Fatty acids concentration from lipolysed O/W emulsion with 5 mg lipase per g of fat	176

List of Tables

Table 8.2: Fatty acids concentration from lipolysed O/W emulsion with 25 mg lipase per g of fat	177
Table 8.3: Fatty acids concentration from lipolysed O/W emulsion with 50 mg lipase per g of fat	180
Table 8.4: Specific surface area, D(4,3) and D(3,2) of lipolysed O/W emulsion with <i>R. miehei</i> lipase (50 mg per g fat) at different time	182
Table 9.1: Formulation of ice cream mix	201
Table 9.2: The collapsed height of whipped emulsion and assessment on the cream shrinkage after the freeze-thaw test	220

List of Abbreviations

°C	degree celcius
cont.	continue
D(3,2)	particle volume/surface mean (also called the Sauter mean)
D(4,3)	particle mean diameter over volume
FAME	fatty acid methyl ester
FFA _{max}	extent of lipolysis
GC	gas chromatography
h	hour
HLB	Hydrophilic-lipophilic balance
IFT	Interfacial tension
k _{exp}	deactivation rate constant
kg	kilogram
K _m	Michaelis constant
L	Litre
m	metre
mg	milligram
min	minute
ml	millilitre
µm	micrometre
µmol	micromole
MPa	megapascal
N	Newton
NaOH	sodium hydroxide
η _D /η _C	viscosity ratio dispersed over continuous phase
nm	nanometer
O/W	oil-in-water
Pa.s	Pascal second
psi	pounds per square inch
PUFA	polyunsaturated fatty acids
QDs	Quantum dots
rpm	revolution per minute
s	second
[S]	substrate concentration
SMP	skim milk powder
sn	stereospecific numbering
sp.	species
t _{1/2}	half-life values
t _c	aggregation time
TLC	thin layer chromatography
U	enzyme activity unit
US FDA	United State of America Food and Drug Administration
UV	Ultra violet
V	velocity
v _o	initial lipolysis velocity
wt %	weight percent
w/w	weight per weight

List of Equations

Equation 2.1- Terminal velocity of a creaming particle (Stoke's law)	19
Equation 5.1- Michaelis-Menten model	128
Equation 6.1- First order kinetic reaction equation	139