Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

ASPECTS OF SEED TRANSFER WITHIN

A DIRECT DRILLING COULTER (OPENER).

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Agricultural Science in Agricultural Mechanisation at Massey University.

WILLIAM ROWLAN RITCHIE
1982

Table of Contents

	ABSTRACT (i) LIST OF TABLES (iii)
	LIST OF FIGURES(vi)
	LIST OF APPENDICES(viii)
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS(x)
1	INTRODUCTION1
2	LITERATURE REVIEW.
	2.1 Definitions4
	2.2 Advantages and disadvantages of zero tillage
	seedbeds5
	2.2.1 Soil moisture, temperature, infiltration
	and erosion5
	2.2.2 Weed control
	2.2.3 Soil structure9
	2.2.4 General aspects of the zero tillage system10
	2.3 Equipment for direct drilling12
	2.4 Precision seed placement
	2.4.1 Crop factors16
	2.4.2 Conventional precision spacing seed drills18
	2.4.3 Precision seed spacing direct drilling equipment22
	2.5 Assessment of seed spacing accuracy23
3	MATERIALS AND METHODS. 3.1 Selection of a direct drilling coulter25
	3.2 Selection of a precision seed metering mechanism26
	3.3 Seed transfer options28
	3.3.1 Seeder/coulter combination on a common axle28
	3.3.2 Seed transfer through a tube29
	3.3.3 Air-flow-assisted tube transfer29
	3.3.4 Electrostatic charging of seeds for tube
	transfer
	3.3.5 Fluid drilling
	3.3.6 Wheel and belt transfer systems
	3.4 Experimentation and development
	3.4.1 Objective (a) -Experimental drill evaluation36
	3.4.1.1 Experiment a/1 (Laboratory experiment)36 3.4.1.2 Experiments a/2 and a/3 (Field
	experiments)41
	3.4.2 Objective (b) - Evaluation of simple seed
	transfer alternatives49
	3.4.2.1 Experiment b/1 (Seed-tube transfer)49
	3.4.3 Development (i) - An experimental technique
	for assessing seeder/transfer mechanism
	interaction54
	3.4.4 Objective (c) - Evaluation of seeder release
	characteristics58
	3.4.4.1 Experiment c/1 (Seed cell release)58
	3.4.4.2 Experiment c/2 (Seed deflection
	mechanisms)60
	3.4.5 Development (ii) "Nodet Gougis" seeder
	modifications 6

	3.4.6 Objective (d) - Assessment of modified equipment
	3.4.7 Development (iii) - Incorperation of a tube transfer system with a modified "Nodet
	Gougis" seeder and a chisel coulter69 3.4.8 Measurements72
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.
	4.1 Objective (a) - Experimental drill evaluation
	alternatives
	characteristics
	4.4 Objective (d) - Assessment of modified equipment106 4.4.1 Experiment d/1 (Modified seeder/tube transfer performance testing)
5	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS119
6	BIBLIOGRAPHY124
7	PERSONAL COMMUNICATIONS
8	APPENDICES137

¥.

ABSTRACT.

A number of laboratory and field experiments were conducted in order to identify and quantify seed and seedling spacing variations produced by an experimental direct drill. Seed contact with both stationary and moving components of the coulter assembly was considered to contribute to the increased variability of seed spacing that resulted from the positioning of a chisel direct drilling coulter beneath a "Nodet Gougis" seed selection mechanism.

Alternatives for transferring the seeds from the seed selection mechanism to the soil were considered. The simplest of these alternatives, a seed-transfer tube, was tested in a number of different shapes and positions. It was found that the seeder required modifications to its release characteristics in order to satisfactorily incorperate the tube transfer system. A number of deflector plates were fitted to the seeder to control seed release trajectory. A 40 degree seed deflector plate was found to produce a seed path that was most compatible with a straight vertical seed-transfer tube.

A video recording technique was used to assess the effects of seeder modifications on seed release trajectory.

The modified seeder and the tube transfer system were combined with the chisel direct drilling coulter, and seed spacing performance was retested. Seed spacing variability was found to be considerably less than with the original experimental drill in the laboratory, although field performance was not improved to the same extent. It was considered that this effect

may have been attributable to the effects of soil flow with the direct drilling coulter and the manner with which the seed was released into the soil, which differed with the tube system compared with the unmodified coulter tested initially.

	LIST OF TABLES. Page
1.	Effects of coulter type, ground speed and seed type
	on eveness of spacing by a "Nodet Gougis" seeder75
2.	Effect of seed passage through a chisel coulter on
	seed spacing76
3.	Effect of ground speed on seed spacing performance
	by a precision seeder and direct drilling coulter76
4.	Effect of seed type on seed spacing performance by
	a precision seeder and direct drilling coulter77
5.	Effect of seed type, coulter blade wing angle and a
	coulter disc scraper on seedling spacing using
	an experimental direct drilling unit in pasture81
6.	Effect of seed type, coulter blade wing angle and a
	coulter disc scraper on seedling spacing using an
	experimental direct drilling unit in barley stubble82
7.	Effect of coulter blade wing angle on seedling
	spacing variation using an experimental direct
	drilling unit in pasture84
8.	Effect of coulter blade wing angle on seedling
	spacing variation using an experimental direct
	drilling unit in barley stubble84
9.	Effect of seed type on seedling spacing variation
	using an experimental direct drilling unit in
	pasture85
10.	Effect of seed type on seedling spacing variation
	using an experimental direct drilling unit in

barley stubble......85

11.	Effect of a coulter disc scraper on seedling
	spacing variation using an experimental direct
	drilling unit in pasture86
12.	Effect of a coulter disc scraper on seedling spacing
	variation using an experimental direct drilling
	unit in barley stubble86
13.	Effect of seed type, coulter blade wing angle and a
	coulter disc scraper on lateral displacement of
	seedlings from the seed groove centre using an
	experimental direct drilling unit in pasture87
14.	Effect of seed type, coulter blade wing angle and a
	coulter disc scraper on lateral displacement of
	seedlings from the seed groove centre using an
	experimental direct drilling unit in barley stubble88
15.	Effect of seed type on the lateral displacement of
	seedlings from the seed groove centre using an
	experimental direct drilling unit in pasture89
16.	Effect of seed type on the lateral displacement of
	seedlings from the seed groove centre using an
	experimental direct drilling unit in barley stubble89
17.	Effect of coulter blade wing angle on the lateral
	displacement of seedlings from the seed groove
	centre using an experimental direct drilling unit
	in pasture91
18.	Effect of coulter blade wing angle on the lateral
	displacement of seedlings from the seed groove
	centre using an experimental direct drilling unit
	in barley stubble91

19.	Effect of "seed" type on seeder and transfer tube
	seed spacing performance with a "Nodet Gougis"
	seeder unit95
20.	Effect of tube transfer configuration on seed
	spacing performance with a "Nodet Gougis" seeder95
21.	Effect of seed transfer tube shape on spacing
	performance of a "Nodet Gougis" seeder98
22.	Comparisons of free seed drop trajectory of lupin
	seeds released from "Nodet Gougis" and "Hassia"
	seeders102
23.	Effect of seed selection plates fitted to a "Nodet
	Gougis" seeder mechanism on lupin seed release
	trajectory at two seed selection plate speeds104
24.	Effect of seed deflection modifications and different
	seed transfer tubes on lupin seed spacing variation
	with a "Nodet Gougis" seeder112
25.	Lupin seed spacing variation with a modified "Nodet
	Gougis" seeder/tube transfer/chisel coulter test
	unit as measured in the laboratory116
26.	Lupin seed spacing variation with a modified "Nodet
	Gougis" seeder/tube transfer/chisel coulter test
	unit, laboratory/field comparison116

	LIST OF FIGURES. Pa	age
1.	Experimentation and development	34
2.	Positioning of the conventional "Nodet Gougis"	
	seeder above the greased tray (experiment a/1)	38
3.	Experimental direct drilling unit modifications	
	for laboratory experiment a/1	38
4.	Redeveloped chisel coulter blade	.43
5.	Redeveloped chisel coulter with disc scraper	
	modification	.44
6.	Plot layout of field experiment - Pasture	
	(experiment a/2)	.46
7.	Plot layout of field experiment - Crop stubble	
	(experiment a/3)	.47
8.	Seed-transfer tube configuration	.52
9.	Attachment of the seed-transfer tube to the "Nodet	
	Gougis" seeder	. 55
10.	Video recording apparatus	.57
11.	Video monitor reference lines	.57
12.	Variable speed seeder drive mechanism	.61
13.	Seed deflector plate modifications to the "Nodet	
	Gougis" seeder	.63
14.	Seed deflector plate configuration	.64
15.	Modified seeder/tube transfer/direct drilling	
	coulter unit	.70
16.	Modified seeder/tube transfer/direct drilling coulter	
	unit operating in a sprayed pasture plot	.70
17.	Modified seeder/tube transfer/direct drilling coulter	
	unit denth control wheel	71

18.	Lateral seed placement variation with a "Nodet Gougis"
	seeder with (right) and without (left) a straight
	vertical seed-transfer tube97
19.	Seed trajectory distribution from "Nodet Gougis" and
	"Hassia" seeders107
20.	Seed trajectory distribution from a "Nodet Gougis"
	seeder - peripheral plate speed = 0.12 m.sec ⁻¹ 108
21.	Seed trajectory distribution from a "Nodet Gougis"
	seeder - peripheral plate speed = 0.36 m.sec -1109
22.	Seed path from a "Nodet Gougis" seeder fitted with
	a straight deflector plate110
23.	Seed path from a "Nodet Gougis" seeder fitted with
	a 20° deflector plate110
24.	Seed path from a "Nodet Gougis" seeder fitted with
	a 40° deflector plate111
25.	Comparison of lupin seed spacing variation114

	LIST OF APPENDICES.	Page
1.	Seed spacing variation with a "Nodet Gougis"	
	seeder on the greased tray - Raw data	. 137
2.	Seedling spacing variation with an experimental direct	
	drilling unit in pasture - Raw data	138
3.	Seedling spacing variation with an experimental direct	
	drilling unit in barley stubble - Raw data	139
4.	Seedling displacement from the seed groove centre with	
	an experimental direct drilling unit in pasture	
	- Raw data	140
5.	Seedling displacement from the seed groove centre with	
	an experimental direct drilling unit in barley	
	stubble - Raw data	141
6.	Percentage seedling establishment in experiments	
	a/2 and a/3	142
7.	Seed/seedling spacing with an experimental direct	
	drilling unit - comparison of laboratory and	
	field experiments	143
8.	"Seed" spacing variation with a "Nodet Gougis" seeder	
	with different seed transfer tubes - Raw data	144
9.	Lupin seed spacing variation with a "Nodet Gougis"	
	seeder with different seed deflection plates and	
	seed-transfer tubes - Raw data	145
10.	Lupin seed spacing variation with a modified "Nodet	
	Gougis" seeder/tube transfer/chisel coulter test	
	unit - Raw data	146

11.	Lupin seed/seedling spacing variation with a
	modified seeder/tube transfer/chisel coulter test
	unit at 6.4 km.hr ⁻¹ - Raw data147
12.	Seed/seedling numbers in experiment d/2148

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.

I am sincerely grateful to the following individuals and organisations for their assistance and interest throughout the course of this project:

To Dr John Baker, Reader in Agricultural Machinery, Agronomy Department, Massey University: For patient hours of supervision, guidance, criticism and encouragement and for his initiative and expertise in this field.

To R.C. MacDonald Ltd and Development Finance Corperation: For financial assistance with research expenses.

To academic, technical and clerical staff members of the Agronomy Department, Massey University: For their various contributions to this project, especially Julie Vickers; for many patient hours during the typing and compiling of this thesis.

To Mr G.C. Arnold, Mathematics and Statistics Department, Massey University: For understanding and guidance with statistical aspects.

To C.B. Norwood Ltd: For the loan of equipment.

To Mr T.I. Cox, M.A.F. Horticultural Research Centre, Levin: For co-operation and assistance.

To Mr T. Hedderwick, Forestry Research Institute, Rotorua: For information and discussion.

To Central Photographic Unit, Massey University: For technical assistance with photography and presentation.

Finally, to my wife, Mary, and to my family and friends: For their continuing encouragement, interest and understanding.