

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Optimising Visual Solutions for Complex Strategic Scenarios

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Psychology

at Massey University, Wellington, New Zealand

Thomas Jack Huggins

2015

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the author.

## **I. Abstract**

Attempts to pre-emptively improve post-disaster outcomes need to reflect an improved understanding of cognitive adaptations made by collaborating researchers and practitioners. This research explored the use of visual logic models to enhance the quality of decisions being made by these professionals. The research looked at the way visual representations serve to enhance these decisions, as part of cognitive adaptations to considering the complexity of relevant pre-disaster conditions constituting community resilience. It was proposed that a visual logic model display, using boxes and arrows to display linkages between activities and downstream objectives, could support effective, efficient and responsive approaches to relevant community resilience interventions being carried out in a pre-disaster context.

The first of three phases comprising this thesis used Q-methodology to identify patterns of opinions concerning building a shared framework of pre-disaster, community resilience indicators for this purpose. Three patterns identified helped to assess the needs for applied research undertaken in phase two. The second phase of this thesis entailed building an action-focused logic model to enhance associated collaborations between emergency management practitioners and researchers. An analysis of participant interviews determined that the process used to build this logic model served as a catalyst for research which could help improve community resilience interventions. The third phase used an experimental approach to different display formats produced during phase two to test whether a visual logic model display stimulated a higher quality of decisions, compared with a more conventional, text-based chart of key performance indicators. Results supported the use of similar methods for much larger scale research to assess how information displays support emergency management decisions with wide-ranging, longer-term implications.

Overall, results from these three phases indicate that certain logic model formats can help foster collaborative efforts to improve characteristics of community resilience against disasters. This appears to occur when a logic model forms an integrated component of efficient cognitive dynamics across a network of decision making agents. This understanding of logic model function highlights clear opportunities for further research. It also represents a novel contribution to knowledge about using logic models to support emergency management decisions with complex, long term implications.

## II. Preface

This thesis is based on three research manuscripts. The first manuscript was published in the Journal of Contingencies and Crisis Management in 2015, following two rounds of peer review. The second manuscript was published in the International Journal of Disaster Risk Science in 2015, following two rounds of peer review. The third manuscript was published in Disaster Prevention and Management in 2015, following one round of peer review.

The ideas presented in this thesis are completely my own. My supervisors helped me to refine my arguments. They provided me with advice regarding methods and statistical analysis and they helped to edit each of the three manuscripts. For these reasons, Dr Robin Peace, Dr Stephen Hill, Dr David Johnston and Dr Alicia Cuevas Muñiz have been acknowledged as co-authors for the three publications comprising this thesis.



Thomas Huggins  
Doctoral Candidate  
Massey University



### III. Acknowledgements

This thesis was funded by the Earthquake Commission of New Zealand, GNS<sup>1</sup> Science and Massey University. Persons overseeing this funding did not participate in the study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of data, or in the writing and submission of this paper. The research was also directly supported by non-financial contributions from the Wellington Region Emergency Management Office and coordinators of the International Centre of Excellence who provided access to their organisational development, including. This access extended to working documents which formed an essential part of Study Three. Other essential contributions included piloting, inter-rating, expert ratings and proof reading from Alfa Santaana Martinez, Dr Raj Prasanna, Dr Julia Becker, Jon Mitchell, and Mary Wilson. All these contributions were in addition to invaluable guidance from each of my supervisors, Dr Robin Peace, Dr Stephen Hill, Dr David Johnston and Dr Alicia Cuevas Muñiz. Each study in this thesis was conducted within Massey University Human Ethics Committee guidelines and procedures, using a Low Risk Notification procedure.

---

<sup>1</sup> This is the official trading name of the organisation formerly known as the Institute of Geological and Nuclear Sciences.





## Table of Contents

I. Abstract .....	i
II. Preface .....	iii
III. Acknowledgements .....	v
IV. List of Figures .....	xi
V. List of Tables.....	xiii
VI. List of Abbreviations .....	xv
<b>1. Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Thesis Background.....	5
1.2 Specific Research Context .....	28
1.3 From Linear Coherence to Workable Complications .....	30
1.4 Additional Key Concepts .....	46
1.5 Aim and Research Questions .....	49
1.6 Overall Research Design.....	54
1.7 Conclusion.....	62
<b>2. Methodology for Study One.....</b>	<b>67</b>
1.1 Background Assumptions .....	71
1.2 Potential Limitations .....	77
1.3 Methods.....	81
1.4 Conclusion.....	96
<b>3. Study One: Politics of Practical and Academic Knowledge .....</b>	<b>99</b>
3.1 Introduction .....	100
3.2 Method .....	104
3.3 Results .....	107
3.4 Discussion .....	111
3.5 Conclusion.....	119
<b>4. Study One Exposition .....</b>	<b>125</b>
4.1 Summary of Results .....	126
4.2 Further Discussion.....	127
4.3 Limitations .....	130

4.4 Conclusion.....	136
<b>5. Methodology for Study Two .....</b>	<b>141</b>
5.1 Study Two as Action Research .....	143
5.2 The Evaluability Assessment Approach .....	143
5.3 Using VMEP to Facilitate an Evaluability Assessment .....	146
5.4 Methods.....	156
5.5 Conclusion.....	163
<b>6. Study Two: Visually Modelling Collaborative Research into Innovative Community Disaster Resilience Practice, Strategy and Governance .....</b>	<b>167</b>
6.1 Introduction .....	168
6.2 Methods.....	174
6.3 Results .....	181
6.4 Discussion .....	184
6.5 Conclusion: How Visual Monitoring and Evaluation Supported ICoE:CR Development .....	192
<b>7. Study Two Exposition.....</b>	<b>197</b>
7.1 Summary of Results .....	198
7.2 Further Discussion.....	202
7.3 Limitations .....	208
7.4 Conclusion.....	210
<b>8. Methodology for Study Three.....</b>	<b>215</b>
8.1 Overarching Rationale.....	216
8.2 The Logically Ordered Need for Logic Models.....	219
8.3 Distributed Cognition and Distributed Situation Awareness .....	221
8.4 Post-Positivist Epistemology.....	225
8.5 Methods.....	226
8.6 Conclusion.....	229
<b>9. Study Three: Assessing Displays for Supporting Strategic Emergency Management .....</b>	<b>233</b>
9.1 Introduction .....	234
9.2 Method .....	240

9.3 Results .....	251
9.4 Conclusion.....	253
<b>10. Study Three Exposition.....</b>	<b>257</b>
10.1 Summary of Results .....	258
10.2 Further Discussion.....	258
10.3 Limitations .....	259
10.4 Conclusion.....	262
<b>11. Conclusion .....</b>	<b>269</b>
11.1 Research Question One .....	272
11.2 Research Question Two .....	273
11.3 Research Question Three .....	275
11.4 Implications for Practice .....	277
11.5 Theoretical Implications.....	283
11.6 Strengths and Limitations of the Thesis as a Case Study.....	290
11.7 Opportunities for Further Research.....	293
<b>12. References.....</b>	<b>299</b>
<b>13. Appendices.....</b>	<b>325</b>
Appendix A: Statement of Contribution to Doctoral Thesis Containing Publications	325
Appendix B: Statement of Contribution to Doctoral Thesis Containing Publications	326
Appendix C: Statement of Contribution to Doctoral Thesis Containing Publications	327
Appendix D: Study One Statement Samples.....	328
Appendix E: Study One Data Set.....	343
Appendix F: Tables Showing Initial Results from Study One Factor Analysis.....	345
Appendix G: Characteristics of Factor B in Order of Descending Z-Scores .....	346
Appendix H: Characteristics of Factor C in Order of Descending Z-Scores .....	347
Appendix I: VMEP Logic Model Design .....	349
Appendix J: Final Study Two Interview Schedule.....	354
Appendix K: Detailed Assessment of the Study Two Action Research Intervention..	355
Appendix L: Expert Rating Form.....	364
Appendix M: Low Risk Notifications to Ethics Committee .....	365



#### IV. List of Figures

Figure 1.	Simplified example of a logic model displaying linkages between program activities and downstream objectives.....	16
Figure 2.	Simplified illustration of a VMEP logic model after stage one.....	40
Figure 3.	Simplified illustration of a VMEP logic model after stage three.....	41
Figure 4.	Relationship between different studies and common objectives.....	56
Figure 5.	Initial sampling matrix by dimension and position.....	82
Figure 6.	Sampling grid for collating concourse statements.....	105
Figure 7.	Full sorting activity.....	107
Figure 8.	Potential double-demotivation between ICoE:CR researchers and Practitioners.....	128
Figure 9.	Simplified example of VMEP logic model showing components and line of sight.....	150
Figure 10.	Pre-workshop VMEP logic model showing program components, line of sight, and also priorities using a traffic light at the top right corner of selected components.....	152
Figure 11.	Pre-workshop VMEP logic model showing existing key performance indicators marked by miniature yellow rulers.....	155
Figure 12.	Simplified visual logic model.....	172
Figure 13.	Post-workshop visual logic model.....	177
Figure 14.	Excerpts concerning what occurred during the workshop by content analysis code.....	200
Figure 15.	Longitudinal summary of content analysis codes.....	201

Figure 16. Rich visual, diagram display of key performance indicators.....	246
Figure 17. Status quo, table display of key performance indicators.....	247
Figure 18. Practitioner Q-sort data.....	343
Figure 19. Researcher Q-sort data.....	344
Figure 20. Dimensions of infographic complexity.....	351
Figure 21. Potential colour scheme 1 to denote ‘intelligence’.....	353
Figure 22. Potential colour scheme 2 to denote ‘security’.....	353

## V. List of Tables

Table 1. Co-variance between Rotated Factors and Participant Q-sorts.....	108
Table 2. Characteristics of Factor A in Order of Descending Z-Scores.....	109
Table 3. Participant Feedback Concerning the Difficulty of Completing the Online Q-sort.....	132
Table 4. Alignment between Evaluability Assessment and VMEP Processes by Stage.....	147
Table 5. Workshop Agenda.....	158
Table 6. Main Codes Significantly Correlated with Timing Phase Codes.....	182
Table 7. Expert Ratings and Participant Comments on Display Format by Experimental Response.....	252
Table 8. Initial Statement Sample.....	328
Table 9. Final Statement Sample.....	338
Table 10. Correlations between Q-Sorts.....	345
Table 11. Initial Factors from Centroid Extraction.....	345





## VI. List of Abbreviations

ICoE:CR	International Centre of Excellence: Community Resilience
IFRCRCS	International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies
IKM4DRR	Information and Knowledge Management for Disaster Risk Reduction
IRDR	Integrated Research on Disaster Risk
UNISDR	United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction
VMEP	Visual Monitoring and Evaluation Planning
WREMO	Wellington Region Emergency Management Office

