Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The Electrochemical Deposition Of Mercury On Glassy Carbon Electrodes

A thesis in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Masters of Science in Chemistry
At Massey University, Palmerston North New Zealand

Giovanna Lucia Moretto July 2000

ABSTRACT

The mechanism for the reduction of Hg^{2+} on glassy carbon in aqueous acetate and nitrate electrolyte was studied. This deposition process is of interest due to the wide electroanalytical applications of mercury thin film electrodes. It was found in the early stages of this work that even though the use of these electrodes is wide spread, there has been little investigation into how the deposition stage occurs.

The electrochemical techniques used were cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry. A range of experiments were undertaken including concentration dependence, rotation dependence, scan rate dependence, electrochemical-cleaning, and the dependence of the length of time left at open potential. The acetate experiments were carried out at a constant pH of 5.0 and all experiments were carried out at a constant temperature of 20°C.

Significant dependence was established in the cyclic voltammetry work for all the experimental conditions. In acetate electrolyte the development of peaks C1 and C2 were seen after cycling of the electrode without mechanical-cleaning. A shift in the reduction potential from a mechanically-cleaned electrode cycle to the next cycle without intervening cleaning was also observed. Two new anodic peaks, A2 and A3, were also seen in acetate electrolyte. At high concentrations cathodic current spikes were observed at the extreme cathodic limits of the voltammograms.

The response that was observed in nitrate electrolyte was dissimilar to that in acetate. The shift in reduction potential, current spikes, peaks C1, C2, A2, and A3, were never observed for the deposition of Hg²⁺ in nitrate electrolyte.

The chronoamperometry work on microelectrodes led to a number of new phenomena. Transients that were obtained from these experiments lead to the development of a quantitative nucleation and growth model for the growth of hemispherical mercury droplets. At the onset of reduction the transients follow a t^2 function which is in accordance with surface area dependence growth of the droplet. However, after a short length of time, the transients start to follow a function of $t^{1/2}$, which is suggestive of perimeter growth control. This is assumed to be due to the formation of a semi-passivating $Hg_2(OAc)_2$ film over the mercury droplet where Hg_2^{2+} forms as a result of a disproportionation reaction.

A qualitative model was also developed to account for the observations of both the microelectrode results and most of the features seen in the cyclic voltammetry work.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, I guess that I would like to thank my chief supervisor, Dr. Simon Hall, without whom I would have never have able to finish this thesis. Thank you Simon. For all your talks (of which a lot of the time you were saying the same thing over and over just in a different way, and I still didn't get it), your guidance and support, but most of all for just being you, it didn't matter when it was, you always found time to help.

Thanks must also go to my second supervisor, Dr. Simon Brown for his encouragement, guidance, and for always having time to see me.

I would like to thank the Massey University Research Projects and Funding Committee for the Stipend and consumables money provided throughout my MSc.

I would also like to thank the Institute of Fundamental Sciences Graduate Research Fund for the support they gave me to get to Australia to carry out some research and also to all the staff in IFS for the help each of them has given me over the years.

Thanks to all the 'kids' at Massey that have made the last few years great, Massey wasn't just a place to come and work, it was somewhere to see your friends to, and now it isn't going to be the same, I will miss you all. Special thanks must go to a few people though, Jo, Paul, Ant, Emad, Michael, Gav, and Steven.

To all my friends in Welly who have given me somewhere to run away to when Palmy got to much, but mostly Claire and Del.

To Adrian for being a good friend over the years and for making chemistry fun and exciting, without you there is a good chance that I would have a BCA and would be counting beans somewhere in an office.

The biggest thanks to my friends has to go to Justin. You the man! We have been through thick and thin together over the last few years, and you have made doing this thing at Massey great. It isn't going to be the same without you kid. I'm going to miss you beating me up every second day and just being there. What ever you end up doing you will do well, and wherever you go you better stay in touch.

Gino, thanks for always being there and being the best big brother someone would have.

Lastly, but by no means by least, I would like to thank my parents. Mum, Dad, thank you so much. Without you two I would never have been able to do everything that I have done

over the last 25 years. The both of you have always believed in me, even when I didn't, and have just always been there for me. No one could ask for two better parents than the ones that I have. I love you both.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstr	ract			i
Ackn	owledgements			ii
Table	e of Contents			v
List o	of Figures			ix
List o	of Tables			xiii
List o	of Symbols			xiv
List o	of Abbreviation	S		xvii
CHA	PTER 1	Introduction	ı	1-13
1.1	Introduction			1
1.2	Overview of	polarography		1
1.3	Stripping vol	tammetry		2
1.4	Mercury base	ed electrodes		3
1.5	Glassy Carbo	on		5
1.6	Reasons for t	his work		7
1.7	Organization	of this thesis		13
CHA	PTER 2	Experimenta	al Methods	14-26
2.1	Introduction			14
2.2	Instrumentati	ion		14
	2.2.1	Potentiostatio	c Equipment	14
2.3	Electrode Sy	stems		14
	2.3.1	Working elec	trodes	15
		2.3.1.1	Rotating Disc Electrode	15
		2.3.1.2	Microelectrodes	15
	2.3.2	Counter ele	ctrode	17
	2.3.3	Reference e	lectrode	17
2.4	Working elec	ctrode pretreatr	ment	17
	2.4.1	Mechanical	pretreatment	17

	2.4.2	Electrochemical pretreatment	17
2.5	Mass transpor	t and the Levich Equation	17
	2.5.1	Turbulent and laminar flow	20
	2.5.2	Calibration of Rotation Rate	22
2.6	Reagents		23
	2.6.1	Mercuric Nitrate	23
	2.6.2	Mercuric Acetate	23
2.7	Determination	of the pH of Mercuric Acetate solutions	23
2.8	Deoxygenatio	n of electrolyte	26
CITA	DEED 2		25 (2
	PTER 3	Cyclic voltammetry studies	27-69
3.1	Introduction		27
3.2	Cyclic Voltan	127 X C	27
3.3		ercury deposition voltammagrams in acetate media	28
	3.3.1	Peaks C1 and C2	28
	3.3.2	Reduction overpotential	28
	3.3.3	Peak A2	29
	3.3.4	Current spikes	29
3.4	Coulometry		29
3.5	Concentration	Dependence	36
3.6	Scan Rate De	• Companies and the companies of the com	37
3.7	Rotation Rate	Dependence	50
3.8	Microelectrod	le studies	51
3.9	C1 and C2 aft	er open circuit conditions	57
3.10	Cleaning pote	entials/ cleaning times	61
3.11	Electrochemic	cal cleaning	63
3.12	Nitrate		63
СНА	PTER 4	Chronoamperometry Studies	70-93
4.1	Introduction		70
4.2	Chronoamper	ometry	70

vii
77

4.3	RDE experime	ental observations	71
	4.3.1	Concentration and potential dependence	71
	4.3.2	Rotation Dependence	73
4.4	CA microelect	rode work	74
	4.4.1	Mechanically-cleaned MEs	74
	4.4.2	ME potential dependence	81
	4.4.3	Electrochemically-cleaned ME	81
4.5	CA experimen	its in nitrate compared to those in acetate	88
CHA	PTER 5	Discussion and Conclusions	94-137
5.1	Introduction		94
5.2	Observations f	from cyclic voltammetry and chronoamperometry	94
	5.2.1	C1 and C2 peaks	94
	5.2.2	Current spikes	95
	5.2.3	Overpotential shift	95
	5.2.4	AI	96
	5.2.5	A2 and A3	96
	5.2.6	Steady state	97
	5.2.7	CA observations	97
5.3	Scan rate		97
5.4	Levich Study		98
5.5	Koutecky-Lev	rich Study	98
5.6	Koutecky-Lev	rich study results	101
5.7	Levich Study		106
5.8	Coulometry		106
5.9	Mercury (I) fo	ormation	114
5.10	Microelectrod	les	115
5.11	Electrochemic	cal cleaning/ Overpotential shift	128
5.12	Mechanisms		129
	5.12.1	Model I	129
	5.12.1	Model II	129

		viii
5.13	Conclusions	133
5.14	Future work	136
REFI	ERENCES	138-144

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Schematic structural model for GC.	8
2.1	The flow patterns created by a RDE.	19
3.1	A voltammogram displaying C1,C2, A1, and the steady state current. Direction of the forward and reverse sweeps also indicated.	30
3.2	Two voltammograms displaying $E_{\rm red}$ for a mechanically-cleaned and a cycled electrode.	31
3.3	A voltammogram displaying A2.	32
3.4	A voltammogram displaying cathodic current spikes.	33
3.5	A voltammogram where C1 and C2 have formed.	34
3.6	A coulometric plot of Figure 3.5.	35
3.7	Concentration dependence experiments with 2.0, 5.0, and 10.0 mM [Hg ²⁺].	39
3.8	Concentration dependence experiments with 0.5, 1.0, and 2.0 mM [Hg ²⁺].	40
3.9	Scan rate dependence experiments for $[Hg^{2+}] = 10.0 \text{ mM}$.	43
3.10	Scan rate dependence experiments for $[Hg^{2+}] = 5.0 \text{ mM}$.	44
3.11	Scan rate dependence experiments for $[Hg^{2+}] = 2.0 \text{ mM}$.	45
3.12	Scan rate dependence experiments for $[Hg^{2+}] = 1.0 \text{ mM}$.	46
3.13	An expanded view of the region where A2 is found in Figure 3.12.	47
3.14	Scan rate dependence experiments for $[Hg^{2+}] = 0.5 \text{ mM}$.	48
3.15	An expanded view of the region where A2 is found in Figure 3.14.	49
3.16	Rotation dependence experiments for $[Hg^{2+}] = 10.0 \text{ mM}$.	52
3.17	Rotation dependence experiments for $[Hg^{2+}] = 5.0 \text{ mM}$.	53
3.18	Rotation dependence experiments for $[Hg^{2+}] = 2.0 \text{ mM}$.	54

3.19	Rotation dependence experiments for $[Hg^{2+}] = 1.0 \text{ mM}$.	55
3.20	Rotation dependence experiments for $[Hg^{2+}] = 0.5 \text{ mM}.$	56
3.21	The difference between mechanically-cleaning, electrochemically-cleaning, and not cleaning, a ME.	58
3.22	Scan rate dependence experiments for $[Hg^{2+}] = 20.0$ mM using a ME.	59
3.23	Increasing time left at open potential experiment.	60
3.24	Electrochemical-cleaning experiment.	64
3.25	The first and second voltammograms recorded after mechanical-cleaning in	
	nitrate electrolyte.	66
3.26	The first and second voltammograms recorded after mechanical-cleaning in a acetate electrolyte.	67
3.27	Scan rate dependence experiments in nitrate for $[Hg^{2+}] = 5.0 \text{ mM}$.	68
3.28	Rotation rate dependence experiments in nitrate for $[Hg^{2+}] = 5.0 \text{ mM}$.	69
4.1	A general CA transient displaying a steady state current, a falling transient, and peak which develops.	a 72
4.2	A series of transients with decreasing E_2 potentials. [Hg ²⁺] = 2.0 mM.	75
4.3	A series of 4 transients with decreasing E_2 potentials. [Hg ²⁺] = 5.0 mM.	76
4.4	A series of transients of varying rotation rate. [Hg ²⁺] = 10.0 mM, E_2 = +200 mM.	77
4.5	A series of transients of varying rotation rate. [Hg ²⁺] = 10.0 mM, $E_2 = -50$ mM.	78
4.6	A series of transients of varying rotation rate. [Hg ²⁺] = 5.0 mM, $E_2 = -250$ mM.	79
4.7	A series of single smooth ME growth transients. $[Hg^{2+}] = 20.0 \text{ mM}$ $E_2 = +100 \text{ mM}$.	82

4.8	A selection of ME growth transients exhibiting more than one single	
	smooth growth transient. [Hg ²⁺] = 20.0 mM, E_2 = +100 mV.	83
4.9	Current decreases for ME growth transients. [Hg ²⁺] = 20.0 mM,	
	$E_2 = +100 \text{ mV}.$	84
4.10	4.10 Current decreases for ME growth transients. $[Hg^{2+}] = 20.0 \text{ mM}$,	
	$E_2 = +100 \text{ mV}.$	85
4.11	A selection of ME growth transients with E_2 potentials more cathodic	
	than 0 mV. $[Hg^{2+}] = 20.0 \text{ mM}.$	86
4.12	A selection of ME growth transients which have undergone	
	electrochemical-cleaning. [Hg ²⁺] = 20.0 mM, E_2 = +100 mV.	87
4.13	A series of transients in nitrate electrolyte with varying E_2 potentials.	
	$[Hg^{2+}] = 2.0 \text{ mM}.$	90
4.14	A series of 4 transients at fixed concentration comparing the response	
	obtained in acetate and nitrate over 5000 msec.	91
4.15	A comparison between acetate and nitrate transients. [Hg^{2+}] = 5.0 mM,	
	$E_2 = +300 \text{ mV}.$	92
4.16	A comparison between acetate and nitrate transients. $[Hg^{2+}] = 5.0 \text{ mM}$,	
	$E_2 = +100 \text{ mV}.$	93
5.1	A plot of A1 peak height as a function of square root of the scan rate.	99
5.2	Diffusion coefficients for Hg ²⁺ as a function of [Hg ²⁺] _{bulk} .	104
5.3	Koutecky-Levich plots for a range of [Hg2+]bulk in acetate.	107
5.4	Koutecky-Levich plots for a range of [Hg2+]bulk in nitrate.	108
5.5	Levich plots for a range of [Hg ²⁺] _{bulk} in acetate.	109
5.6	Calculated ME growth transients.	120
5.7	ME current as a function of hemispherical radius.	121

		xii
5.8	Schematic diagram of three growth possibilities on MEs.	123
5.9	ME current as a function of time.	124
5.10	Early stages of the ME current as a function of radius.	125
5.11	Schematic diagram of a second nucleus joining a larger nucleus.	127
5.12	Schematic diagram of Model I.	130
5.13	Schematic diagram of Model II.	134
5.14	Schematic voltammogram with features of Model II.	135

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>		<u>Page</u>
1.1	Properties of glassy carbon.	6
1.2	Summary of mercury deposition conditions using non-modified GC electrodes.	10
1.3	Summary of mercury deposition conditions using modified GC electrodes	12
2.1	Rotation rate calibration for the RDE.	24
2.2	The composition of standard buffer solutions over the pH range of 4.0 to 6.0.	25
3.1	$E_{\rm red}$ as a function of [Hg ²⁺].	38
3.2	Total cleaning times as a function of cleaning potentials.	62
3.3	Total times for electrochemical-cleaning at $+1300$ mV, as a function of [Hg ²⁺].	62
5.1	Analysis of the acetate Koutecky-Levich plot listing the slope and the error in the slope, plus the intercept and the error in the intercept, as a function of [Hg ²⁺].	102
5.2	Calculated D_{Hg} plus the positive and negative errors as a function of $[Hg^{2+}]$.	103
5.3	Analysis of the nitrate Koutecky-Levich plot listing the slope and error in the slope, together with the calculated $D_{\rm Hg}$ plus the positive and negative errors, as a function of [Hg ²⁺].	105
5.4	Q_A/Q_C values for varying [Hg ²⁺] as a function of rotation rate.	111
5.5	Q_A/Q_C values for varying [Hg ²⁺] as a function of scan rate.	112
5.6	A comparison between nitrate and acetate for Q_A/Q_C , as a function of rotation rate.	113
5.7	Listings of the x and $E_{red,nuc}$ value for a series of ME growth transients.	119

LIST OF SYMBOLS

Symbol		<u>Unit</u>
A	area	cm ²
A_{s}	area of a sphere	cm ²
$A_{\mathtt{h}}$	area of a hemisphere	cm ²
A1	first anodic peak	
A2	second anodic peak	
A3	third anodic peak	
c_{b}	bulk concentration	mM
c_{s}	surface concentration	mM
C 1	first cathodic peak	
C2	second cathodic peak	
D	diffusion coefficient	m^2s^{-1}
E	potential	mV
$E_{ m red}$	reduction potential	mV
E_{ox}	oxidation potential	$m\mathbf{V}$
E_1	initial potential	mV
E_2	finial potential	mV
f	rotation rate	rps
F	Faraday constant	$C mol^{-1}$
h	height	cm
[Hg ²⁺] _{bulk}	bulk mercury concentration	mM
[Hg ²⁺]	surface mercury concentration	mM
i	current density	mA cm ⁻²

$i_{ m A1}$	Al peak current	$\rm mA~cm^{-2}$
$i_{ m d}$	diffusion current	$\rm mA~cm^{-2}$
$i_{ m L}$	limiting current	$\rm mA~cm^{-2}$
i_{k}	kinetic current	mA cm ⁻²
$i_{ m ss}$	steady state current	mA cm ⁻²
I	current	mA
j	flux	$m^{-2}s^{-1}$
\boldsymbol{k}	rate constant	$m s^{-1}$
$k_{ m f}$	heterogeneous electron transfer rate constant	$m s^{-1}$
$k_{\rm red,nuc}$	rate constant for nucleus growth	$m s^{-1}$
1	length of electrode	cm
M	concentration	$mol \; L^{-1}$
M	molecular weight	g mol ⁻¹
n	number of electrons	
$n_{ m Hg}$	moles of mercury	mol
Q	charge	
Q_{A}	total anodic charge	
$Q_{\rm ra}$	accumulated non-faradic charge	
Q_{oc}	charge where oxidation occurs	
r	radius of electrode	cm
$r_{\rm s}$	radius of a sphere	cm
$r_{ m h}$	radius of a hemisphere	cm
Re	Reynolds number	
t	time	sec

t_0	time nucleus first forms	sec
x	distance	cm
x	power function	
v	kinematic viscosity	$m^2\;s^{-1}$
ν	scan rate	$mV s^{-1}$
ν	velocity of the electrode	
V	volume	cm^3
ω	angular velocity	$rad\ s^{-1}$
δ	Nernst diffusion layer thickness	cm
ρ	density	$\rm g \ cm^{-3}$

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

BAS Bioanalytical Systems Inc.

CA chronoamperometry

CE counter electrode

CV cyclic voltammetry

Hg⁰ mercury metal

Hg²⁺ aqueous mercury

Hg²⁺₂ mercurous dimer

Hg₂(OAc)₂ mercurous acetate

ME microelectrode

RDE rotating disc electrode

RE reference electrode

rpm revolutions per minute

SHE standard hydrogen electrode

WE working electrode