

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

EFFECTS OF INTENSITY AND FREQUENCY
OF DEFOLIATION ON A MIXTURE OF
GUINEA GRASS (PANICUM MAXIMUM CV. COLONIAO) AND
VERANO STYLO (STYLOSANTHES HAMATA CV. VERANO)

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree
of Master of Agricultural Science in Plant Science
at Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand

PICHET SUKPITUKSAKUL

1985

Abstract

A study was conducted in a glasshouse to determine the effect of defoliation treatments comprising combinations of two intensities (7.5 cm and 15.0 cm cutting height) and three frequencies (2, 3 and 6 weeks) on production, botanical composition and crude protein of a Guinea grass (Panicum maximum cv. Coloniao) / Verano stylo (Stylosanthes hamata cv. Verano) sward.

Total cumulative yield was reduced with more intense defoliation and decreased as the sward was defoliated more frequently. A similar response was observed for cumulative grass yield. In legume, cumulative yield was reduced at hard intensity but was not influenced by defoliation frequency.

The proportion of the legume component in the sward was not influenced by defoliation intensity but increased with increasing defoliation frequency due more to depressed grass growth rather than a promotion in legume growth.

Defoliation intensity and defoliation frequency had no effect on legume branch number. Similarly, branch size was not influenced by defoliation frequency but increased with less intense defoliation.

Both defoliation intensity and defoliation frequency influenced grass tiller number. It was increased with more intense defoliation. The sward defoliated at moderate frequency resulted in the highest tiller number. Tiller number was not different between very frequent and infrequent defoliation. Intensity and frequency of defoliation also influenced average tiller size. It was reduced with more intense defoliation and decreased with increasing defoliation frequency.

Percent crude protein content of both grass and legume was not influenced by defoliation intensity but was reduced with less frequent defoliation. The percent crude protein content in the legume which was more than double that in the grass indicates that Verano stylo has important contributions in the development and management of a legume-based tropical pasture.

Acknowledgements

I am indebted to my supervisor, Dr. Alex Chu, for guidance, encouragement, assistance and patience throughout the masterate studies. My co-supervisors, Dr. Christ Korte and Mr. P.N.P. Matthews, gave valuable advice on several aspects of the study and assisted with manuscript preparation.

I also owe a great debt to Prof. B.R. Watkin for enabling me to study in New Zealand and for the very helpful advice, encouragement and support.

I would like to acknowledge the assistance given to me by the following:

Dr. Murray Hill and staff for using facilities at the Seed Technology Centre.

Mr. Angus Robertson for the preparation of Rhizobium liquid culture.

Dr. R.J. Clements, CSIRO, for providing grass and legume seeds.

Mr. Terry Lynch and his staff and Mr. Dave Sollitt for technical assistance.

Mr. L.G. Cranfield and other members of the Plant Growth Unit for their technical advice.

Mr. Suwit Laohasiriwong for his advice on computing and nitrogen analysis.

Mr. George Halligan for drawing the figures.

Mrs. Griselda Blazey for carefully typing the manuscript.

The members of the Agronomy Department for their helpful discussion.

The Dairy Farming Promotion Organisation of Thailand (DPO) for allowing me to study in New Zealand and to the New Zealand government for BAP scholarship.

Finally, a big thank to my wife, Chitvipa, for her patience and support.

Contents

	Page
<u>Chapter 1: Introduction</u>	1
<u>Chapter 2: Review of the Literature</u>	2
2.1 Introduction	2
2.2 Response of Pastures to Defoliation	2
2.2.1 Cutting vs Grazing	3
2.2.2 Factors Influencing Regrowth after Defoliation	5
2.2.2.1 Residual Characteristics	5
(1) Residual Shoots	5
a) Tiller Density	5
b) Growing Point	5
(2) Residual Leaf Area	6
(3) Carbohydrate Reserves	8
2.2.2.2 Stage of Growth	9
2.2.2.3 Environmental Factors and Nutritional Factors	11
(1) Water and Nutrient Uptake	11
(2) Nodulation and Nitrogen Fixation	12
(3) Light and Temperature	13
2.3 Effects of Defoliation on Dry Matter Yield	15
2.4 Effects of Defoliation on Botanical Composition	18
2.5 Effects of Defoliation on Persistency	21
2.6 Effects of Defoliation on Pasture Quality	23
<u>Chapter 3: Materials and Methods</u>	27
3.1 Experimental Site	27
3.2 Treatments and Designs	27
3.3 Method	27
(1) Technique	32
(2) Potting Medium	32
(3) Fertilizer	32

(4) Inoculation	32
(5) Water	33
(6) Weed	33
3.4 Measurement	33
(1) Total Dry Matter Yield	33
(2) Botanical Composition	33
(3) Tiller Characteristics	34
(4) Branch Characteristics	34
(5) Nitrogen Analysis	34
3.5 Statistical Analysis	36
<u>Chapter 4: Results</u>	37
4.1 Total Yield	37
4.1.1 Total Cumulative Yield	37
4.1.1.1 Total Cumulative Dry Matter Yield	37
4.1.1.2 Total Cumulative Protein Yield	38
4.1.1.3 Grass:Legume Ratio	39
4.1.2 Final Harvest	40
4.1.2.1 Total Dry Matter Yield	40
4.1.2.2 Total Crude Protein Yield	41
4.1.2.3 Grass:Legume Ratio	42
4.2 Legume Component	43
4.2.1 Cumulative Yield	43
4.2.1.1 Cumulative Dry Matter Yield and Percent Composition	43
4.2.1.2 Cumulative Dry Matter Yield of Leaf and Non-leaf	43
4.2.1.3 Cumulative Crude Protein and Percent Crude Protein Content	44
4.2.2 Final harvest	45
4.2.2.1 Dry Matter Yield of Legume and Percent Composition	45
4.2.2.2 Legume Leaf and Legume Non-leaf Yield	45

4.2.2.3	Crude Protein Yield and Percent Crude Protein Content of Legume	46
4.2.2.4	Crude Protein Yield and Percent Crude Protein Content of Legume Leaf and Legume Non-leaf	46
4.2.2.5	Leaf:Non-leaf Ratio	50
4.3	Grass Component	51
4.3.1	Cumulative Yield	51
4.3.1.1	Cumulative Dry Matter Yield and Percent Composition	51
4.3.1.2	Cumulative Dry Matter Yield of Leaf, Non-leaf and Dead Material	51
4.3.1.3	Cumulative Crude Protein and Percent Crude Protein Content of Grass	53
4.3.2	Final Harvest	54
4.3.2.1	Dry Matter Yield of Grass and Percent Composition	54
4.3.2.2	Grass Leaf, Non-leaf and Dead Material	54
4.3.2.3	Crude Protein Yield and Percent Crude Protein Content of Grass	56
4.3.2.4	Crude Protein Yield and Percent Crude Protein Content of Grass Leaf, Grass Non-leaf and Dead Material	56
4.3.2.5	Leaf:Non-leaf Ratio	59
4.4	Branch Characteristics	60
4.4.1	Branch Number	60
4.4.2	Branch Dry Weight	60
4.4.3	Leaf Dry Weight per Branch	60
4.4.4	Leaf Area per Branch	61

4.4.5	Leaf Number per Branch	62
4.4.6	Specific Leaf Area	62
4.4.7	The Contribution of Various Branch Categories	63
(1)	Total Branch Number	63
(2)	Total Branch Dry Weight	63
(3)	Total Leaf Number	63
(4)	Total Leaf Dry Weight	63
(5)	Total Leaf Area	63
4.5	Tiller Characteristics	69
4.5.1	Tiller Number	69
4.5.2	Tiller Dry Weight	69
4.5.3	Leaf Area per Tiller	69
4.5.4	Leaf Dry Weight per Tiller	69
4.5.5	Leaf Number per Tiller	70
4.5.6	Specific Leaf Area per Tiller	70
4.5.7	The Contribution of Various Tiller Categories	73
<u>Chapter 5:</u>	Discussion	79
5.1	Effects of Defoliation on Total Dry Matter Yield	79
5.2	Effects of Defoliation on Botanical Composition	80
5.3	Effects of Defoliation on Branch Characteristics	83
5.4	Effects of Defoliation on Tiller Characteristics	85
5.5	Effects of Defoliation on Crude Protein	87
<u>Conclusions</u>		91
<u>References</u>		93
<u>Appendices</u>		113

Appendices

	Page
Appendix 1. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on root dry weight and top:root ratio of grass and legume at the final harvest	113
Appendix 2. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on number of shoots on the stubble of legume after harvest	114
Appendix 3. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on branch number on the main stem, the first branch and the second branch	115
Appendix 4. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on dry weight of the main stem	116
Appendix 5. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on leaf dry weight of the main stem	117
Appendix 6. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on leaf area of the main stem, the first branch and the second branch	118
Appendix 7. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on leaf number of the main stem, the first branch and the second branch	119
Appendix 8. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on specific leaf area of the main stem, the first branch and the second branch	120

Appendix 9. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on number of tillers having the height of growing point within the range 0-1.9 cm, 2-3.9 cm, 4-5.9 cm, 6-7.9 cm and >8.0 cm	121
Appendix 10. The relationship between percent crude protein content per tiller and dry weight per tiller	123
Appendix 11. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on tillers having the extended length of 0 - 20 cm	124
Appendix 12. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on tillers having the extended length of 20 - 40 cm	125
Appendix 13. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on tillers having the extended length of 40 - 60 cm	128
Appendix 14. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on tillers having the extended length of > 60 cm	131

Figures

	Page
Figure 1. Experimental layout	28
Figure 2. Diagram showing the plants grown in each plot	30
Figure 3. Diagram demonstrating the Varano stylo plant divided into three parts - main stem, first branch and second branch	35
Figure 4. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on the contribution of the main stem, the first branch and the second branch to total branch number	64
Figure 5. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on the contribution of the main stem, the first branch and the second branch to total branch dry weight	65
Figure 6. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on the contribution of the main stem, the first branch and the second branch to total leaf number	66
Figure 7. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on the contribution of the main stem, the first branch and the second branch to total leaf dry weight	67
Figure 8. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on the contribution of the main stem, the first branch and the second branch to total leaf area	68

- Figure 9. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on the contribution of tillers having the extended length of 0-20 cm, 20-40 cm, 40-60 cm and >60 cm to total tiller number 74
- Figure 10. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on the contribution of tillers having the extended length of 0-20 cm, 20-40 cm, 40-60 cm and >60 cm to total tiller dry weight 75
- Figure 11. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on the contribution of tillers having the extended length of 0-20 cm, 20-40 cm, 40-60 cm and >60 cm to total leaf dry weight 76
- Figure 12. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on the contribution of tillers having the extended length of 0-20 cm, 20-40 cm, 40-60 cm and >60 cm to total leaf number 77
- Figure 13. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on the contribution of tillers having the extended length of 0-20 cm, 20-40 cm, 40-60 cm and >60 cm to total leaf area 78

Plates

	Page
Plate 1. Four rectangular boxes, each containing twelve plots, as used for growing plants	29
Plate 2. Experimental plants, six weeks after transplanting	31

Tables

	Page
Table 1. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on total cumulative dry matter yield	37
Table 2. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on total cumulative crude protein yield	38
Table 3. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on grass:legume ratio of total cumulative yield	39
Table 4. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on total dry matter yield at the final harvest	40
Table 5. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on total crude protein yield at the final harvest	41
Table 6. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on grass:legume ratio at the final harvest	42
Table 7. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on cumulative dry matter yield of legume, legume leaf, legume non-leaf and percent legume content	43
Table 8. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on cumulative crude protein yield of legume and percent crude protein content	44

Table 9. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on dry matter yield of legume, legume leaf, legume non-leaf and percent legume content at the final harvest	45
Table 10. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on crude protein yield of legume, legume leaf and legume non-leaf at the final harvest	47
Table 11. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on percent crude protein content of legume at the final harvest	47
Table 12. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on percent crude protein content of legume leaf at the final harvest	48
Table 13. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on percent crude protein content of legume non-leaf at the final harvest	49
Table 14. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on N:NL ratio of the legume component at the final harvest	50
Table 15. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on cumulative dry matter yield of grass, grass leaf, grass non-leaf, dead material and percent grass content of total cumulative dry matter yield	52
Table 16. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on cumulative crude protein yield and percent crude protein content of grass	53

Table 17. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on grass dry matter yield, grass leaf yield, grass dead material and percent grass content at the final harvest	55
Table 18. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on grass non-leaf yield at the final harvest	55
Table 19. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on crude protein yield of grass, grass leaf, dead material and percent crude protein content of grass, grass leaf, grass non-leaf, dead material at the final harvest	57
Table 20. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on crude protein yield of grass non-leaf at the final harvest	58
Table 21. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on L:NL ratio of the grass component at the final harvest	59
Table 22. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on branch number, dry weight per branch, leaf dry weight per branch and specific leaf area per branch	60
Table 23. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on leaf area per branch	61
Table 24. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on leaf number per branch	62

Table 25. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on tiller number per plot, dry weight per tiller, leaf dry weight per tiller, leaf area per tiller and specific leaf area per tiller 71

Table 26. Effects of defoliation intensity and frequency on leaf number per tiller 72

Chapter 1

Introduction

Pastures in the tropics commonly consist of grasses such as Guinea grass (Panicum maximum), Paragrass (Brachiaria mutica), and Napier grass (Pennisetum purpureum) which mature very quickly with a corresponding decline in digestibility (Milford and Minson, 1966), voluntary intake (Milford and Minson, 1968; Minson and Milford, 1968) and especially that of protein content (Vicente-Chandler et al, 1974). The higher intake of digestible energy and protein of tropical legumes at all but the early growth stages will increase the quality of the mixed sward when they are incorporated into pastures (Whiteman, 1980).

Since yield of dry mater, crude protein (Horrel, 1964, Vicente-Chandler et al, 1953), digestible dry matter intake (Minson and Milford, 1967) and animal live weight gain (Evans, 1970; Norman, 1970) are linearly related to legume content in the mixture, the legume/grass mixed pasture should be managed such that a high and stable proportion of legume is maintained. Legumes will be reduced in the sward when competition from the grass component is strong. Therefore frequent and intense removal of the grass component by defoliation should be favourable to the legume component in a mixed sward.

However, little information is available on the effects of different defoliation intensities and frequencies on tropical grass/legume mixtures. Such studies are urgently needed in assisting grazing management decisions of tropical pastures.

This experiment was designed to study the effects of different intensities and frequencies of defoliation on the production, composition and protein content of a Guinea grass (Panicum maximum cv. Coloniao)/Verano stylo (Stylosanthes hamata cv. Verano) sward.