Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

DEVELOPMENT AND TRIAL OF A METHODOLOGY FOR THE QUANTIFICATION AND EVALUATION OF HOME COMPOSTING IN PALMERSTON NORTH, NEW ZEALAND



A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Environmental Management (without major) at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Abstract

Home composting and commercial composting can be regarded as part of the Municipal Solid Waste Management system. Currently, in Palmerston North and more broadly in New Zealand, home composting plays an important, but an unquantified role in waste diversion. In Palmerston North, the quantity of organic waste diverted from landfill via home composting is not captured in the City's official 'waste assessment' or recorded in the 'waste management and minimisation plan'. Additionally, there appears to be little local social and technical data on why, who, when, what and how well home composting is practised. The aim of this study was to develop and implement a methodology for a mixed-method quantitative-qualitative study for the quantification and evaluation of home composting practices in Palmerston North. The development process for the research methodology drew upon an international literature review of scientific research, a range of municipal best practice guidelines for home composting and referenced elements of the New Zealand composting standard. The data collection for this study involved a combined telephone and door-to-door survey of 300 households (that is, approximately 1% of occupied dwellings, randomly selected from across all 15 suburbs in the City). To support the physical data collection, a novel home composting evaluation tool was also developed and trialled for empirical and quality assurance evaluation. The overall participation rate recorded in the present study (64%) was high and both data collection methods proved to be viable, yielding positive results. 36% of the households who participated in the present study were home composters which could mean that about 10,761 households in the occupied dwellings of the City practise home composting. At the time of the survey, it appears that nearly 4005 tonnes of organic waste was being treated via home composting processes. The results also indicated positive quality assurance of the home composting process and the resulting compost in the City. Whilst most of the study participants have a positive experience towards home composting, nuisance insects, rodents and odour problems were reported as issues. In terms of motivation around current and future home composting practices, a range of support options appears to be available for Councils to encourage and enhance this positive environmental practice.

Acknowledgement

I was never afraid nor discouraged, for I knew the Lord God, my God, was with me. And indeed, He did not fail nor forsake me till the end of this journey (1 Chronicles 28:20). I am forever grateful to the Almighty God to whom I owe my abilities and skills and without whom none of these would have been possible.

I would like to express my special appreciation to my supervisor, Jonathon Hannon, for encouraging, supporting and allowing me to grow as a researcher. Your advice on this research and about life in general, Jonathon, has been invaluable. Your guidance helped me in all the phases of research and writing of this thesis.

My sincere thanks also go to NZAID who provided me with the opportunity to study at Massey University by funding my entire studies. I also wish to express my sincere gratitude to ISO-Massey University for their continuous support, especially Jamie Hooper and Dave Broderick. To Dr Karen Hytten, I appreciate your dedication and assistance in various ways during the different phases of my study and this research. Special thanks also go to Kay Sinclair for her invaluable help in my survey and data collection. I greatly appreciate the households in the fifteen suburbs of Palmerston North for participating in the survey.

To my New Zealand friends and flatmates: Dr Antoinette Danso, Dr Moses Kakrada, Dr Doris Adeyinka, Maheeka Weerawarna, Ling Fang, Rong Cong, Eunice Adu, Emmanuel Kyere, Babucarr Bittaye, Grace Wodu Ehoche, Ackim Mwape, Evans Effah, Joseph Adjabui, Godwin Gidiglo, Asnath Mtei, Eileen Obben, Shweta Dhawan, Tilly Kodieh and the entire African community at Massey University; I would like to say that memory of your individual contributions throughout my study and stay in New Zealand will continue to live in my heart.

To my friends outside New Zealand, Fiona Efua Brew-Sam, Isaac Mbir-Bryant, Gertrude Dali, Elsie Dickson, Benjamin Adu, Pearl Boateng, Bertha Aidoo, Emmanuel Donkor, Gloria Boafo, Selina Ameyaw, Majeed Ibrahim, Beatrice Nkrumah, Enoch Acheampong; God bless you all for the numerous calls and support in many ways. You have all proven to me that out of sight is never out of mind. My special thanks also go to Samuel Mantey for his continuous prayers and counsel.

My sincere thanks go to Pastor Steve Jourdain and my home group members for their prayers, support and encouragements, especially to Rodger and Avis Scoones, and Carol Henderson.

Special thanks to my family, Daniel, Lordina, Gifty and Moses Mensah; Adrian, Joana, Eugene, Edward and Edwin Amonoo-Neizer; Auntie Ewurama, Mercy Baidoo, Lordina Crentsil. Words cannot express how grateful I am to you all for the sacrifices that you have made on my behalf. Your prayers for me were what sustained me through this entire journey. Last, but more importantly, my deepest appreciation goes to Kolonzia Aitpillah Akpoh, for all the love, encouragement and patience you have shown through it all.

Table of Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgement	ii
Table of Contents	iv
List of tables	x
List of figures	xii
Abbreviations and Acronyms	XV
1.0 Introduction/background	1
1.1 Problem statement	3
1.1.1 Scenario description	3
1.1.2 Waste flow in Palmerston North	5
1.1.2.1 Composition of landfilled waste	5
1.1.3 Waste minimisation in Palmerston North	6
1.1.3.1 Organic waste management in Palmerston North	7
1.1.3.1.1 Composting in Palmerston North	8
1.2 Research questions	10
1.3 Objectives of the study	11
2.0 Literature review	12
2.1 Municipal solid waste management (MSWM)	12
2.1.1 MSWM trends internationally and within New Zealand	12
2.1.1.1 MSWM in New Zealand	14
2.2 Zero waste and organic waste management	14
2.2.1 Landfilling	15
2.2.2 Open burning and incineration	16
2.2.3 Biological treatment methods	16
2.2.3.1 Vermicomposting	17
2.2.3.2 Composting	18
2.2.3.2.1 Commercial composting	20

2.2.3.2.1.1 Commercial composting trends internationally and within New Zealand
2.2.3.2.1.1.1 Benefits and drawbacks of commercial composting
2.2.3.2.2 Home composting
2.2.3.2.2.1 Home composting systems
2.2.3.2.2.1.1 Benefits and drawbacks of home composting
3.0 Materials and method27
3.1 Research project design process
3.1.1 Classification of literature employed in the development of the methodology 28
3.1.1.1 Previous home composting studies internationally and within New Zealand 28
3.1.1.2 International and New Zealand best practice guidelines for home composting management
3.1.1.3 Compost standards
3.1.2 Development of a methodology for conducting a home composting quantification and
evaluation study in Palmerston North
3.1.2.1 Methods employed for data collection in previous home composting studies . 41
3.1.2.2 Proposed 'Home Composting Evaluation Tool' (HCET)/on-site physical assessment data collection tool
3.1.2.2.1 Findings from the review of international and New Zealand home composting best practice guidelines
3.1.2.2.2 Insights from NZS4454:2005
3.1.2.2.3 Findings from selected home composting studies that assessed compost QA
3.1.2.3 Development of the proposed 'Home Composting Evaluation Tool' HCET/on-site
physical assessment data collection tool
3.1.3 Methodology for a home composting quantification and evaluation study in Palmerston North
3.1.3.1 Development of the survey sample
3.1.3.1.1 Sampling frame

3.1.3.1.2 Sampling methods
3.1.3.1.3 Sample size50
3.1.3.1.4 Sample selection
3.1.4. Data to be collected in the present study55
3.1.4.1 Exploration of home composting operational schemes, awareness and attitude,
and problems55
3.1.4.2 Home composting QA evaluation55
3.1.4.2.1 Temperature
3.1.4.2.2 Moisture content56
3.1.4.2.3 pH
3.1.4.1.4 Observation of home composting processes and finished product58
3.1.4.1.5 Methodology for the quantification of the volume and mass of organic waste
diverted from landfill via home composting59
3.1.4.1.5.1 Collection of compost samples61
3.1.4.1.5.2 Determination of the bulk density and mass of compost samples 61
3.1.4.1.5.3 Comparison of the physicochemical properties of home compost sample
with commercially produced PNCC compost sample62
3.1.4.1.6 Improvement of the current methodology for future home composting
quantification and evaluation studies62
3.1.5 Methods for data collection
3.1.5.1 Pilot-testing of survey questionnaire63
3.1.5.2 Telephone survey63
3.1.5.2.1 Interviewers employed for the telephone survey64
3.1.5.3 Door-to-door survey64
3.1.5.4 Home visits
3.1.5.4.1 Step-by-step procedure for home visits
3.1.5.5 Self-selection sampling of home composters in Palmerston North67
3.1.6 Data Analysis67
4.0 Results

4.1 Willingness to participate in the study
4.1.1 Participation and refusal rates recorded by each of the two interviewers in the telephone survey
4.2 Reasons for declining to participate in the study 7
4.3 Proportion of home composters and non-home composters
4.3.1 The adjusted actual proportion of home composters and non-home composters in the present study
4.3.2 Number of years of home composting practice
4.4 Reasons given by the home composters for practising home composting 7
4.4.1 Categorisation of the reasons for practising home composting in the present stud
4.5 Exploring the reasons given by the non-home composters for not practising hom composting
4.6 Home composting systems identified in the present study
4.7 The range of feedstock/organic waste inputs for home composting identified in the present study
4.7.1 Number of feedstock intake per the three most common home composting system identified in the present study
4.7.2 Materials excluded from the compost pile by the home composters
4.7.3 Use of the commercial composting site and/or landfill by the home composters 8
4.8 Management practices undertaken by the home composters in the present study 8
4.8.1 Mixing/turning practices among the home composters
4.8.2 Relationship between mixing/turning practices and home composting systems 8
4.9 Home composting problems reported by the home composters in the present study 9
4.9.1 Number of home composting problems chosen per home composter
4.9.1.1 The number of home composting problems identified by the users of the thre most common home composting system
4.10 Potential motivation to start home composting9
4.10.1 Potential motivation to continue/do more home composting 9

4.11 Estimating the volume and mass of organic waste diverted from landfill via home
composting94
4.12 Physicochemical analysis of the compost samples collected during the home visit 96
4.12.1 Analytical results for matured home compost samples from the present study and
commercially produced PNCC compost99
5.0 Discussion
5.1 Participation and refusal rates in the present study
5.1.1 The use of two interviewers in this survey research context: exploring variation in participation and refusal rates
5.2 Reasons offered for declining to participate in the study103
5.2.1 The critical issue of 'don't do home composting' as a reason for non-participation in the survey
5.3 Number of years that home composting has been practised and experience level105
5.4 Home composting awareness and attitude105
5.4.1 The reasons offered by the home composters for practising home composting 105
5.4.2 The reasons offered by the non-home composters for not practising home
composting106
5.5 Home composting operational schemes and accompanying management practices108
5.5.1 Home composting systems
5.5.2 Feedstock/organic waste inputs and general exclusions
5.5.2.1 Use of commercial composting site/landfill by home composters111
5.5.3 Home composting management practices
5.6 Home composting problems
5.6.1 Potential motivation for home composting offered by the surveyed home composters
and non-home composters
5.6.1.1 Resolving issues with home composting in Palmerston North114
5.6.1.2 Increasing home composting practice in Palmerston North114
5.6.1.3 Establishment of a kerbside organic collection/food waste drop-off facility in
Palmerston North

5.6.1.3.1 Pros	117
5.6.1.3.2 Cons	117
5.7 Quantity of organic waste diverted from landfill via home composting in Palmersto	n North
	118
5.8 Home composting QA evaluation in Palmerston North	118
5.8.1 Comparison between home compost from the present study and comcompost from PNCC	
5.9 Home composting processes and finished composts evaluation scores	123
5.10 Self-report versus home composting QA observation	124
5.11 Key recommendations on how the current methodology can be improved fo studies	
5.11.1 Comparison of the responses given in the telephone survey and door-to-doo	•
5.11.2 Choice of interviewers	126
5.11.3 Identification of the proportion of home composters and non-home com	•
5.11.4 Exploration of single-bin and multi-bin systems used by home composters	127
5.11.5 Estimation of the diversion potential of home composting	128
6.0 Conclusion	128
6.1 The survey findings and observations from home composting practices and end properties in Palmerston North:	
References	133
Appendices	148
Appendix A Survey questionnaire	148
Appendix B Proposed HCET/physical assessment data collection tool	149
Appendix C Guidelines for using the proposed HCET/physical assessment data collect	
Appendix D Quantification of organic waste diverted via home composting pr	ocesses
(telephone and door-to-door survey participants)	151

Appendix E Quantification of organic waste diverted via home composting pro (voluntary participants)	
Appendix F Unwanted materials removed from the home compost pile	153
Appendix G pH values of fresh, semi-matured and matured feedstock (telephone and	d door-
to-door survey participants)	154
Appendix H pH values of fresh, semi-matured and matured feedstock (voluntary partic	
Appendix I Home composting evaluation scores (per sample)	156
Appendix J Home composting evaluation scores (per parameter)	157
Appendix K Amended survey questionnaire for future home composting studies	158
List of tables	
Table 1 Functions of the major sectors in New Zealand with regards to waste manageme	nt and
minimisation. Adapted from (MfE, 2010)	3
Table 2 Total waste flows in Palmerston North during 2011/2012. Source: (Jones & Green	, 2012
Wilson et al., 2012)	5
Table 3 Major components of landfilled waste in Palmerston North during 2011/2012. S	Source
(Wilson et al., 2012)	5
Table 4 Waste collection and recycling services in Palmerston North. Adapted from (PNCC	, 2015;
2016a; Simmons, 2014; Wilson et al., 2012)	6
Table 5 Feedstock treated via home composting and their respective functions. Adapted	d from
(Auckland City Council (ACC), 2011; Ayre, 2012; Chen, Moore, & de Haro-Marti, 2012;	City of
Casey, n.d.; Dundee City Council (DCC), 2016; PCC, 2007; PNCC, n.d.; Rynk et al., 1992; So	chwarz
& Bonhotal, 2011)	23
Table 6 Differences between homemade and manufactured compost bins	24
Table 7 Single-bin and multi-bin home composting systems and the various operational sc	hemes
employed in each system	24
Table 8 Potential benefits and drawbacks of home composting. Adapted from (Abeliotis	et al.,
2016; Badan & Gajendra, 2005; Andersen et al., 2011; Boldrin, Andersen, Møller, Favo	oino, &
Christensen, 2009; Colon et al., 2010; European Bioplastics, 2015; Lleó et al., 2013; Ma	ırtínez-
Blanco et al., 2010; Moore, 2005; Oliveira et al., 2016; Quirós et al., 2014; Tucker,	Speirs,
Fletcher, Edgerton, & McKechnie (2003); Vaverková, Adamcová, & Zloch, 2014; Vázquez	et al.,
2015)	26

Table 9 Overview of home composting studies identified and reviewed from international and
New Zealand academic peer-reviewed scientific literature and reports. As previously explained,
the highlighted sections of the table represent the types of home composting studies relevant
to the objectives of the present study
Table 10 International and New Zealand best practice guidelines for home composting
management. Adapted from (ACC, 2011 (1); Asia/Pacific Cultural Centre for UNESCO, n.d.; (2);
Ayre, 2012; (3); Chartered Institute of Environmental Health, 2009 (4); Chen et al., 2012 (5);
Christchurch City Council (CCC), 2014 (6); City Of Casey, n.d. (7); DCC, 2016 (8); PNCC, n.d.; (9);
PCC, 2007 (10); Pears, 2009 (11); Rynk et al., 1992 (12); University of Illinois Extension, 2016)
(13)
Table 11 Survey methods employed for data collection in selected previous home composting
studies. The highlighted sections of the table mostly correlate with the objectives and scope of
the present study42
Table 12 Common home composting best practice guidelines collated from a review of
international and New Zealand best practice guidelines for home composting management . 46
Table 13 Parameters analysed in selected compost QA studies. The highlighted boxes indicate
the common parameters analysed in these studies. pH, moisture content, C/N ratio and
temperature were the most common parameters analysed and therefore, formed part of the
parameters that were assessed for the evaluation of the home composting process and final
product QA in Palmerston North
Table 14 A breakdown of the sampling pool and targeted final sample size for the present study.
The total sampling pool was 600. The contact list for the door-to-door survey was 60 households
(that is, 10% of the total sampling pool) and the remaining 90% (540) was used for the telephone
survey
Table 15 Proportionality distribution across the fifteen suburbs in Palmerston North used in the
present study
Table 16 Schedule for the telephone survey. Calling was done throughout the seven days of the
week, however, the time for weekdays varied from that of weekends because, according to
some residents in Palmerston North, most households pick up their children from school and
prepare/take dinner between 4 pm and 6.30pm on weekdays
Table 17 Participation and refusal rates among the 270 contacts approached via telephone
survey
Table 18 Participation and refusal rates among the 30 contacts approached via door-to-door
survey 68

Table 19 Participation and refusal rates recorded by each of the two interviewers in the
telephone survey69
Table 20 Overall reasons given by the home composters for practising home composting76
Table 21 Categorisation of the reasons for practising home composting in the present study.
Adapted from (Tucker et al., 2003)
Table 22 Overall reasons given by the non-home composters for not practising home composting
Table 23 Types of home composting systems encountered in the present study and the
proportion of home composters who use these identified home composting system types $\dots 81$
Table 24 Feedstock types composted by the home composters in the combined telephone and
door-to-door survey84
Table 25 Materials excluded from the compost pile by the home composters in the present study86
Table 26 Home composting management practices undertaken by the home composters in the
present study
Table 27 Home composting problems reported by the home composters in the present study90
Table 28 Potential motivations to start home composting given by the non-home composters
Table 29 Potential motivations to continue/do more home composting among the home
composters94
Table 30 Physicochemical properties of compost samples collected from the telephone and
door-to-door survey participants in the home visits. The values highlighted in red were not
within the respective limits while those in green denote average moisture content values greater
than 68%
List of figures
Figure 1 Commercial composting at the Awapuni composting site (Hot Rot in-vessel composting
system (left), garden waste drop-off (middle) and finished compost (right). Source: (Hannon,
Hay, City Enterprises, Allen, & Simmons, 2014)8
Figure 2 Home composting systems containing fresh feedstock (left) and decomposed feedstock
(right)8
Figure 3 Organic waste used as a garden mulch to reduce weeds in garden soil

Figure 4 Pathways for the composting of household food waste and garden waste in Palmerston
North. Both household garden waste and food waste are treated via home composting
processes in the City. In contrast, the commercial composting site in Palmerston North accepts
only household garden waste
Figure 5 A graphical overview of the key provisions of NZS4454:2005. Source: (Compost New
Zealand, 2007b)
Figure 6 A graphical presentation of how the study sample for the present study was developed $$
using probability sampling methods (stratified, simple and systematic random sampling
methods) 50
$ \label{thm:contact} \textbf{Figure 7 Steps taken in the present study for random contact selection from the telephone book } \\$
$ \label{thm:prop:contact} \mbox{Figure 8 An illustration of how households' contact information was selected from designated } \\$
pages in the telephone book. In this case, the household contact that falls on the 1cm mark was $\frac{1}{2}$
selected (that is, O'Connell and Okeeffe) to form part of the list. Odyssey T-shirts was not
selected because it was a commercial contact
Figure 9 Pictorial illustration of some of the procedure employed in the present study to
determine the moisture content of compost samples; (a) determination of the wet weight of
samples; (b) weighed wet samples; (c) preheating process to reduce the smell of samples; (d) $\frac{1}{2}$
samples in an oven set at 105°C
Figure 10 Step-by-step methodology for the quantification of the volume and mass of organic
waste diverted from landfill via home composting in Palmerston North
Figure 11 A range of possible formulae for calculating the volume of differing home composting $\frac{1}{2}$
system types anticipated to be encountered in the present study; cuboid (p), frustum (q),
trapezoidal prism (r), cylinder (s), cone (t), pyramid (u), sphere (v)
Figure 12 Photographic illustration of volume measurements of a wooden box compost bin
during data collection in the present study
Figure 13 Sieved aggregated home compost samples for the analytical test 62
Figure 14 Apparatus for the collection of compost samples during home visits in the present
study. They comprised: temperature probe, two-litre fixed container, zip-lock bags, digging fork,
white marker board, camera, gloves, tape measure, identification card)
Figure 15 Photographic illustration of compost pile/finished compost examined during home
visits in the present study
Figure 16 A graphic illustration of the individual (telephone and door-to-door survey) rate as well
as the combined overall participation and refusal rates in the present study 69
Figure 17 Reasons for refusing to participate in the telephone survey

Figure 18 Reasons for refusing to participate in the door-to-door survey7
Figure 19 Overall reasons for refusing to participate in the study. The proportion of the contact
who gave the reason, 'don't do home composting' (the bars with a red circle) should have
formed part of the number of non-home composters and have been addressed in Section 4.3.2
Figure 20 Overall proportion of home composters and non-home composters in the study7
Figure 21 The adjusted actual final proportion of home composters and non-home composter
in the present study
Figure 22 Number of years of home composting practice among the home composters in the
combined telephone and door-to-door survey7
Figure 23 Some types of homemade home composting systems identified in the present study
wooden box (a); wire fence (b); open pile (c); box made from scrap metal and wood (d)8
Figure 24 Some types of manufactured compost bins identified in the present study; Eco-desig
(e); Firth (f); Garden Mate (g); Earthmaker (h); Composta (i); Sherlock Melb (j)8
Figure 25 Proportion of single-bin and multi-bin systems identified in the present study8
Figure 26 Single-bin and multi-bin systems identified in the present study; manufactured single
bin system (left), homemade two-bin system (middle) and manufactured three-bin system (right
8
Figure 27 Number of feedstock intake per the three common home composting systems 8
Figure 28 Use of commercial composting site/landfill by the home composters in the preser
study. Only a small proportion of the home composters also use the commercial compostin site/landfill
Figure 29 Material types sent to the commercial composting site/landfill by the hom
composters in the present study
Figure 30 Proportion of home composters who responded "yes" and "no" to mixing/turning of
their compost pile in the combined telephone and door-to-door survey
Figure 31 Relationship between mixing/turning practices and the three most common hom
composting systems identified in the present study8
Figure 32 Major home composting problems identified by the home composters in the preser
study9
Figure 33 Number of home composting problems chosen per home composter in the combine
telephone and door-to-door survey9
Figure 34 Number of home composting problems selected by users of the three most commo
home composting systems identified in the present study

Figure 35 Total average volume of organic waste diverted from the landfill via home composting
in Palmerston North (at the time of the present data collection)
Figure 36 Total average mass of organic waste diverted from landfill via home composting in
Palmerston North (at the time of the present data collection)
Figure 37 Temperature profile of the compost samples collected in the present study 98
Abbreviations and Acronyms
ACC
CCA Chromated copper arsenate
CCC Christchurch City Council
CO ₂ -e
C/N ratio Carbon-nitrogen ratio
DCC
DSEWPC Department of Sustainability Environment Water Population and Communities
DOS Department of Sanitation
GHG Greenhouse gases
HCET Home composting evaluation tool
IGESInstitute for Global Environmental Strategies
LCA Life cycle assessment
MfE Ministry for the Environment
MSW
MSWM Municipal Solid Waste Management
MtMetric tonnes
NZS4454:2005 New Zealand Standard 4454:2005 - Composts, Soil Conditioners and Mulches
NZWS
PCC Pembrokeshire County Council

PNCC	
QA	
RMA	
SES	Socio-economic status
tpa	tonnes per annum
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNEP	
WA	
WMA	
WMMP	Waste Management and Minimisation Plan
7WN7T	