

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

LEACHING AND SURFACE RUNOFF LOSSES OF SULPHUR AND  
POTASSIUM FROM A TOKOMARU SOIL

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment  
of the requirements for the Degree of  
Master of Philosophy in Soil Science at  
Massey University

Christine M. Smith

1979.

## ABSTRACT

Sulphur and potassium surface and subsurface drainage water losses from grazed pastures on a yellow-grey earth soil, the Tokomaru silt loam, were investigated in field experiments. Runoff losses from undrained and drained pastures fertilised in spring or autumn were measured over a six week winter interval in 1976. Losses from undrained pastures were measured throughout the runoff season in 1977. In 1977, S and K leaching losses from pastures fertilised in spring or autumn, were determined by measuring tile drainage water losses and monitoring changes in soil S and K levels. An attempt was also made to relate soil S and K levels to tile drainage water losses.

This field study illustrates that  $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$  is readily leached in the Tokomaru silt loam. Losses in tile drainage waters occurred from all depths above the mole drains (i.e. 45 cm depth) during individual flow events. On average 7.5 kg dissolved  $\text{SO}_4\text{-S ha}^{-1}$  was lost from the two non-irrigated pastures fertilised in spring. An additional 6.7 kg  $\text{SO}_4\text{-S ha}^{-1}$  was discharged in tile drainage waters from two irrigated pastures fertilised in spring (i.e. total 14.2 kg  $\text{SO}_4\text{-S ha}^{-1}$ ). Evidence indicated that  $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$  may have bypassed the drains in water seeping beyond the fragipan.

An autumn application of fertiliser S (45 kg S  $\text{ha}^{-1}$ ) significantly enhanced the extent of leaching. The equivalent of 10% of the applied S ( $4.47 \pm 1.5$  kg  $\text{SO}_4\text{-S ha}^{-1}$ ) was leached over a period of 17 weeks from July 1 to September 21. Losses occurred throughout this period. On average, 15.2 kg  $\text{SO}_4\text{-S ha}^{-1}$  was discharged from the two non-irrigated pastures fertilised in autumn. An additional 3.4 kg  $\text{SO}_4\text{-S ha}^{-1}$  was lost from the two irrigated pastures.

An appreciable quantity (13.8 kg  $\text{SO}_4\text{-S ha}^{-1}$ ) of the fertiliser S applied in autumn but not leached in tile drainage waters, was recovered as water soluble  $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$ , leached below the 20 cm depth (i.e. below the zone from which pasture species are likely to obtain most

of their S.

Over a period of six weeks in 1976,  $0.9 \text{ kg SO}_4\text{-S ha}^{-1}$  was lost in surface runoff from an undrained pasture fertilised ( $19 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$  in superphosphate) in spring. Less  $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$  was lost from the associated drained plot ( $0.2 \text{ kg SO}_4\text{-S ha}^{-1}$ ). Undrained and drained plots fertilised in autumn ( $57 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$  in superphosphate) lost 8% and 1.8% of the S applied (i.e.  $5.5$  and  $0.9 \text{ kg SO}_4\text{-S ha}^{-1}$ ) respectively. In 1977, on average only  $0.8 \text{ kg SO}_4\text{-S ha}^{-1}$  was transported in surface runoff off two undrained plots fertilised ( $36 \text{ kg S ha}^{-1}$  in superphosphate) in spring. An average of  $8.0 \text{ kg SO}_4\text{-S ha}^{-1}$  was lost from two plots fertilised ( $55 \text{ kg solution S ha}^{-1}$ ) in autumn. Hence surface runoff is an important S loss mechanism if undrained plots are fertilised in autumn.

Sulphur received in the rainfall over a five month interval in 1977 amounted to  $3.1 \text{ kg ha}^{-1}$ .

From these results it was concluded that total drainage water losses from non-irrigated, drained pastures were likely to be largely offset by S received in the rain in 1977. A significant net S loss (in relation to annual pasture S requirements) will have occurred from pastures irrigated the preceding summer and/or fertilised in autumn.

Sulphur fertilisation in autumn and winter is not recommended. Under the conditions likely to prevail at this time an appreciable fraction of the applied S may be lost in drainage waters.

Results of this study indicate that leaching is not an important K loss process in the Tokomaru silt loam. Dissolved K leaching losses from pastures fertilised in spring or autumn averaged  $4.66$  and  $4.05 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$  respectively.

Potassium surface runoff losses are generally of no consequence. In 1976 only  $1.1 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$  was lost from an undrained pasture fertilised ( $50 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$ ) in spring, whilst  $0.3 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$  was discharged from the associated drained plot. A minimal fraction (3%) of the K applied in autumn ( $50 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$ ) to an undrained plot was lost in surface runoff. Less than 1% of that applied was discharged from the associated drained plot. Throughout 1977, on average,  $1.35 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$  was discharged from undrained plots fertilised ( $57 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$ ) in spring. An additional  $3.75 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$  was lost from pastures fertilised ( $55 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$ ) in autumn.

Rainfall K additions measured over a five month interval in 1977

were low (total  $1.4 \text{ kg K ha}^{-1}$ ). However, because of the trend for K concentrations to vary on a seasonal basis it was concluded that K received in rainfall throughout 1977 was likely to largely offset total drainage water losses from undrained and drained pastures.

The results indicate that K deficiencies in pasture on K retentive yellow-grey earth soils are not attributable to drainage water losses.

Regression analyses showed that  $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$  concentrations in leachate, but not  $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$  loadings, were significantly related to water soluble soil  $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$  levels (0-40 cm), determined at frequent intervals during the drainage season, if the quantity of water percolating through the soil is measured. No relationship was found between measured water soluble or ammonium acetate extractable soil K levels and leachate K concentrations or loadings.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my thanks to Dr P.E.H. Gregg, Department of Soil Science, Massey University, who suggested this study and gave helpful advice, criticism and encouragement during all stages of the project.

Thanks are also extended to Mr R. Tillman of the Soil Science Department, Massey University, for help and advice, and to J. Macintosh for his assistance with the field work.

My sister, Julie's assistance with the figures, and my mother's support throughout, are much appreciated.

Finally, my sincere thanks to Mrs E. Hartstone who so ably typed the manuscript.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	
TABLE OF CONTENTS	
LIST OF PLATES	
LIST OF FIGURES	
LIST OF TABLES	
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER II REVIEW OF LITERATURE	3
II.1 SULPHUR REVIEW	3
II.1.1 THE SULPHUR CYCLE IN GRAZED PASTURES	3
II.1.1.1 Soil Sulphur	3
II.1.1.1.1 The readily plant available soil SO <sub>4</sub> -S pool	3
II.1.1.1.2 Organic soil sulphur	5
II.1.1.2 The plant sulphur pool	6
II.1.1.3 The animal sulphur pool	6
II.1.2 SULPHUR LOSSES FROM THE CYCLE	7
II.1.2.1 Sulphur drainage water losses	7
II.1.2.1.1 Sulphur leaching losses in laboratory and glasshouse studies	7
II.1.2.1.2 Sulphur leaching losses lysimeter studies	11
II.1.2.1.3 Sulphur drainage water losses in field studies	14
II.1.2.1.4 Conclusions	17
II.1.2.2 Sulphur immobilisation losses	17
II.1.2.3 Sulphur volatilisation losses	18
II.1.2.4 Sulphur losses associated with grazing animals	18
II.1.2.5 Conclusions	19
II.1.3 SULPHUR ADDITIONS TO THE CYCLE	19
II.1.3.1 Atmospheric S addition	19
II.1.3.2 Sulphur additions in irrigation and ground waters	21

CHAPTER II (continued)	Page
II.1.3.3 Sulphur additions from weathering of minerals	21
II.1.3.4 Fertiliser sulphur additions	21
II.1.3.5 Conclusions	22
II.1.4 GENERAL CONCLUSIONS	22
II.2 POTASSIUM REVIEW	23
II.2.1 THE POTASSIUM CYCLE IN GRAZED PASTURES	23
II.2.1.1 Soil potassium	23
II.2.1.1.1 The readily plant available soil potassium	23
II.2.1.2 The plant potassium pool	24
II.2.1.3 The animal potassium pool	25
II.2.2 POTASSIUM LOSSES FROM THE K CYCLE	25
II.2.2.1 Potassium drainage water losses	25
II.2.2.1.1 Potassium leaching losses in laboratory and glasshouse studies	26
II.2.2.1.2 Potassium leaching losses in lysimeter studies	28
II.2.2.1.3 Potassium drainage water losses in field studies	28
II.2.2.1.4 Conclusions	30
II.2.2.2 Potassium losses associated with grazing animals	30
II.2.2.3 Conclusions	31
II.2.3 POTASSIUM ADDITIONS TO THE K CYCLE	31
II.2.3.1 Non-exchangeable K addition	31
II.2.3.2 Potassium rainfall additions	33
II.2.3.3 Fertiliser potassium additions	33
II.2.3.4 Conclusions	33
II.2.4 GENERAL CONCLUSIONS	33
CHAPTER III METHODS AND MATERIALS	34
III.1 SOIL PROPERTIES	34
III.2 FIELD TECHNIQUES USED FOR INVESTIGATING SO <sub>4</sub> -S AND K SURFACE RUNOFF LOSSES	35
III.2.1 FIELD METHODS (1976)	35
III.2.2 FIELD METHODS (1977)	36
III.3 FIELD TECHNIQUES USED FOR INVESTIGATING SO <sub>4</sub> -S AND K LEACHING LOSSES	38
III.4 SOIL SAMPLING	43



CHAPTER III (continued)	Page
III.5 RAINFALL MEASUREMENT AND SAMPLING FOR S AND K LEVELS	43
III.6 LABORATORY PROCEDURES	43
CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	46
IV.1 SULPHUR	46
IV.1.1. SULPHUR SURFACE RUNOFF LOSSES	46
IV.1.1.1 Surface runoff (1976)	46
IV.1.1.2 Surface runoff (1977)	47
IV.1.1.3 A comparison between runoff losses in 1976 and 1977	51
IV.1.2 SULPHUR LEACHING LOSSES	53
IV.1.2.1 Dissolved SO <sub>4</sub> -S tile drainage water losses	53
IV.1.2.2 Prediction of SO <sub>4</sub> -S tile drainage water losses	61
IV.1.2.3 Fertiliser S recovery in the soil	64
IV.1.3 RAINFALL SULPHUR ADDITION	67
IV.1.4 GENERAL DISCUSSION	67
IV.2 POTASSIUM	71
IV.2.1 POTASSIUM SURFACE RUNOFF LOSSES	71
IV.2.1.1 Surface runoff (1976)	71
IV.2.1.2 Surface runoff (1977)	72
IV.2.1.3 A comparison between runoff losses in 1976 and 1977	76
IV.2.2 POTASSIUM LEACHING LOSSES	78
IV.2.2.1 Dissolved K tile drainage water losses	78
IV.2.2.2 Prediction of K tile drainage water losses	80
IV.2.2.3 Fertiliser K recovery in the soil	86
IV.2.3 RAINFALL K ADDITIONS	86
IV.2.4 GENERAL DISCUSSION	87
CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS	90
CHAPTER VI SUMMARY	92
APPENDICES	96
BIBLIOGRAPHY	100

LIST OF PLATES

Plates		Page
I	View of the subsurface drainage plots	39
II	Apparatus used manually to sample and obtain 'representative' samples of, the percolate	39

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Mean dissolved $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$ concentrations in surface runoff from undrained and drained plots in each flow event (1976)	48
2	Mean dissolved $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$ concentrations in surface runoff from unfertilised and fertilised plots in each flow event (1977)	50
3	Cumulative $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$ surface runoff losses from unfertilised and fertilised plots in 1977	52
4	Mean dissolved $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$ concentrations in leachate discharged from paired unfertilised and fertilised plots in each tile drainage event in 1977	
	a) Plots 3 and 1	57
	b) Plots 2 and 4	58
	c) Plots 7 and 8	59
	d) Plots 6 and 8	60
5	Relationship between water soluble soil $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$ (0-40 cm) levels and $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$ concentrations in the leachate	63
6	The average quantity of water soluble soil $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$ (0-60 cm) present in plots 2, 3, 6 and 7 in excess of that present in plots 1, 4, 5 and 8 at each sampling prior to and after fertilisation, and rainfall received between sampling intervals	65
7	Mean dissolved K concentrations in surface runoff from undrained and drained plots in each flow event (1976)	73
8	Mean dissolved K concentrations in surface runoff from unfertilised and fertilised plots in each flow event (1977)	75
9	Cumulative K surface runoff losses from unfertilised and fertilised plots in 1977	77
10	Mean dissolved K concentrations in leachate discharged from paired unfertilised and fertilised plots in each tile drainage event in 1977	
	a) Plots 1 and 2	81
	b) Plots 3 and 4	82
	c) Plots 5 and 6	83
	d) Plots 7 and 8	84

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
I	Sulphur leaching losses in laboratory and glasshouse studies	9
II	Sulphur leaching losses in lysimeter studies	12
III	Mean values for 3-4 years of SO <sub>4</sub> -S in precipitation (excluding dust) for a transect of the South Island (Walker and Gregg (1976) based on Horn's unpublished data)	20
IV	Potassium leaching losses in laboratory and glasshouse studies	27
V	Site descriptions of the surface runoff plots used in 1976 (plots 1-4 inclusive) and 1977 (plots 5-8 inclusive) and fertiliser S and K treatments	37
VI	Soluble sulphate-sulphur and potassium tile drainage water losses on May 25-26 and June 17, determined from frequently, manually obtained samples of the discharge water and from a representative sample	42
VII	Water soluble and PO <sub>4</sub> -extractable soil SO <sub>4</sub> -S levels in the Tokomaru silt loam prior to fertilisation	45
VIII	Dissolved sulphate-sulphur surface runoff losses in 1977, the quantity of water discharged from both undrained and drained plots and mean SO <sub>4</sub> -S concentrations in the runoff	46
IX	Dissolved SO <sub>4</sub> -S surface runoff losses in 1977, the quantity of water discharged from the adjacent paired plots and mean SO <sub>4</sub> -S concentrations in the runoff	49
X	The fertiliser (1976) and fertiliser and excretal S (1977) loss pattern in the initial 840 m <sup>3</sup> ha <sup>-1</sup> of runoff waters discharged in 1976 and 1977	53
XI	Dissolved SO <sub>4</sub> -S lost in tile discharge water from each of the eight plots during 1977, the quantity of water discharged from each plot and mean SO <sub>4</sub> -S concentrations in the leachate	55
XII	Actual and adjusted mean SO <sub>4</sub> -S tile drainage water losses from unfertilised and fertilised plots during the interval July 1 to September 21, 1977	54
XIII	Mean dissolved SO <sub>4</sub> -S tile drainage water leaching losses from non-irrigated and irrigated plots in 1977, and mean water yields	56

Table		Page
XIV	Relationship between mean dissolved $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$ concentration in tile drainage water and water soluble soil $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$ levels at different depths expressed as correlation coefficients	62
XV	The average quantity of water soluble $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$ present in plots 2, 3, 6, 7 (0-60 cm) in excess of that present in plots 1, 4, 5, 8 (0-60 cm) prior to and after fertilisation	64
XVI	Measured fertiliser S leaching loss from the upper 20 cm of soil in 1977	66
XVII	Mean water soluble $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$ contents of the upper 20 cm of soil in the replicate plots in autumn before the tile drainage season commenced, and spring after the tile drainage season had concluded	67
XVIII	Rain received S, concentrations in the rain and total rainfall addition over the interval May 12 to October 30, 1977	68
XIX	Dissolved K surface runoff losses in 1976 and mean K concentrations in the runoff	71
XX	Dissolved potassium surface runoff losses in 1977, losses prior to and after fertilisation of two of the four plots, and water yields	74
XXI	The fertiliser (1976) and fertiliser excretal K (1977) loss pattern in the initial $840 \text{ m}^3 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ of runoff water discharged in 1976 and 1977	78
XXII	Dissolved K lost in tile discharge waters from each of the eight plots during 1977 and mean K concentrations in the leachate	79
XXIII	Actual and 'adjusted' mean K tile drainage water losses from unfertilised and fertilised plots during the interval July 1 to September 21, 1977	79
XXIV	Mean dissolved K tile drainage water leaching losses from non-irrigated and irrigated plots in 1977, mean water yields, and mean K concentrations in the leachate	80
XXV	Rain received, K concentrations in the rain and the total K received during the interval May 12 to October 30, 1977	87

In grazed pastures, nutrient ions move continuously from the soil to the plant and back into the soil, either directly in plant residues or indirectly via the grazing animal. Nutrient ions exist in a variety of soil, plant and animal pools within this cycle. Additions to and losses from the cycle occur by a variety of processes.

Within this cycle, the size of a particular plant available soil nutrient pool at any one time (assuming the other nutrient levels are adequate), controls and may limit plant growth and hence animal production.

Factors which influence the size of the plant available pool, aside from the rate of plant nutrient uptake, include:

- (i) the rate at which the nutrient ion is recycled into the plant available soil pool, and
- (ii) the balance between non-cyclic additions to and losses from the plant available soil nutrient pool.

If the relative importance of those factors affecting the pool size can be defined, the principal factors responsible for inducing pasture nutrient deficiencies can be determined and reasoned improvements made in management practices.

The most widespread nutrient deficiency in New Zealand pastures is phosphate-phosphorus. The P-cycle in grazed pastures, and the importance of various loss mechanisms in inducing P deficiencies have, however, been studied in some detail.

Pasture production is also frequently limited by sulphur and potassium deficiencies.

Sulphur deficiencies generally follow a cessation in S fertiliser applications, but deficiencies do also occur in areas receiving regular S applications at rates sufficient to meet pasture requirements. In some instances, the S cycle turnover rate may limit productivity. Net S immobilisation may also account for the

occurrence of S deficiencies. Frequently, however, pasture S deficiencies in New Zealand have been attributed to significant plant available soil  $\text{SO}_4\text{-S}$  losses in surface and, in particular subsurface drainage waters. At the time this study was commenced, S drainage water losses in New Zealand had not been measured in the field.

In New Zealand pasture potassium deficiencies are endemic on certain soils. In other areas, K deficiencies have arisen following the correction of other nutrient deficiencies and subsequent increases in the general level of productivity. The K cycle turnover rate is unlikely to limit productivity as K, existing only in ionic combination, may move rapidly through the cycle. Potassium losses from the cycling pool must exceed additions to the cycle. Potassium losses associated with grazing animals may be important. Controversy exists, regarding the importance of K drainage water losses in promoting K deficiencies. Drainage water K losses have not been investigated in the field in New Zealand.

The principal aim of this study was to measure plant available sulphate-sulphur and potassium surface and subsurface drainage water losses, from a New Zealand soil in the field.