


Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Analysis of Selective Laser Sintering Print Parameter Modelling Methodologies for Energy Input Minimisation

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Engineering
in
Mechatronics
at Massey University, Albany,
New Zealand.

by
Cameron D. H. Mearns
2017

The author declares that this is his own work, except where due acknowledgement has been given.
The thesis is submitted in fulfilment of the requirements of a Masters in Engineering at Massey
University, New Zealand.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Cameron Mearns', written in a cursive style.

Cameron Mearns

Abstract

Additive Manufacturing (AM) is the name given to a series of processes used to create solids, layer upon layer, from 3 Dimensional (3D) models. As AM experiences rapid growth there exists an opportunity for Selective Laser Sintering (SLS) to expand into markets it has not previously accommodated. One of the ways SLS can accomplish this is by expanding the range of materials that can be processed into useful products, as currently only a small number of materials are available when compared to other AM technologies. One of the biggest barriers to the adoption of materials is the danger inherent to high-energy processes such as SLS. The aim of this research was to identify opportunities to improve current methods for modelling the relationship between material specifications, and printing parameters. This was achieved by identifying existing models used to determine printing parameters for a new material, identifying weaknesses in current modelling processes, conducting experimentation to explore the validity of these weaknesses, and exploring opportunities to improve the model to address these weaknesses. The current models to determine printer parameters to achieve successful sintering include both the Sintering Window (SW) and the Energy Melt Ratio (EMR). These two models are complementary, and both are required to establish all common print parameters. They include both thermal and physical powder properties, but do not include any optical properties. This is significant because the nature of the SLS printing process relies on concentrated delivery of laser energy to achieve successful sintering. Analysis of two similar polyamide powders, one black and one white, identified that the two powders were similar thermally and physically, which meant the models predicted that they should both sinter successfully utilizing the same set of print parameters. Results of the experimental trials showed that no trials involving the white powder sintered successfully, and trials involving the black powder suffered from issues with either insufficient energy to successfully remove parts without damage, or excessive energy causing excess powder to bond to the part. Further experimentation was carried out to investigate the differences in optical properties using Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) and Spectrofluorophotometry. FTIR revealed that there was a difference in absorption as a material property, indicating that differences in laser energy absorption could explain the results seen in the trials. Spectrofluorophotometry revealed minimal differences in fluorescence of the powders, suggesting it an unlikely source of energy loss. Future work is recommended to research a standardised form of testing setup that can be used to categorize the reflectance of a material, as current work relies on proprietary experimental setups. Finding methods of classifying the laser absorption that is easily available to operators would enable refinement of the EMR equation to reflect the energy losses during printing, and remove another barrier for adoption of new materials.

Acknowledgements

As with all projects of this scale, I owe thanks to the many people who ensured that I was able to take advantage of the opportunities offered to me. Without them I would never have achieved as much as I have.

To the endless experience and patience of my mother, who went above and beyond to support me, and who pushed me to succeed. To my father, to whom I owe my persistence and confidence. To my darling Emma, for her love and encouragement despite the late nights, the weekends, and my eternally messy desk. To my sisters, for their perspective and humour after long days.

I would also like to offer my deepest gratitude to A/Prof Johan Potgieter, for his guidance and enthusiasm over many years now. Thank you to Dr. Steven Dirven for his attention to detail, and his drive for excellence. In addition to this, I must recognise all the staff and students at Massey University's School of Engineering and Advanced Technology for helping to create such a supportive environment to study in.

A special thanks to both Dr. Marie Joo Le Guen and Scion for contributing their invaluable expertise and support to this project.

Thank you to the Ministry of Business, Innovation and Enterprise for their assistance in funding this project through the Extrusion Plus program.

Thank you to Dr. John Harrison, for humouring my strange questions, and unusual requests.

Thank you to my friends, for keeping me from becoming a recluse, and ensuring my problems never felt like mine to bear alone.

To the staff and cadets of No. 6 (North Shore) Squadron Air Training Corps, for providing me with ample motivation to go outside.

Table of Contents

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	x
List of Publications	x
List of Abbreviations	xi
Chapter 1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Chapter Overview	1
1.2 Project Background.....	1
1.3 Project Motivation	2
1.4 Objectives	2
1.5 Research Scope	2
1.6 Thesis Layout.....	3
1.7 Chapter Summary	4
Chapter 2 Literature Review.....	5
2.1 Chapter Overview	5
2.2 Additive Manufacturing Processes	5
2.3 Applications of Additive Manufacturing	8
2.4 Powder Properties	10
2.4.1 Powder Spreading	12
2.4.2 Temperature Considerations	14
2.4.3 Optical Properties.....	15
2.5 Modelling Methods	16
2.5.1 Sintering Window	17
2.5.2 Stable Sintering Region	18
2.5.3 Energy Melt Ratio.....	18
2.5.4 Opportunities for improvement.....	19
2.6 Chapter Summary	20
Chapter 3 Characterisation by Existing Models	21
3.1 Chapter Overview	21

3.2	Powder Selection	21
3.3	Differential Scanning Calorimetry	22
3.3.1	Method of Differential Scanning Calorimetry Testing	22
3.3.2	Results of Differential Scanning Calorimetry Testing	22
3.4	Scanning Electron Microscope	26
3.4.1	Method of Imaging Using Scanning Electron Microscope	26
3.4.2	Results of Imaging Using Scanning Electron Microscope	27
3.5	Discussion	30
3.5.1	Differential Scanning Calorimetry	30
3.5.2	Scanning Electron Microscope	31
3.6	Chapter Summary	32
Chapter 4	Testing of Models Through Sintering Trials.....	33
4.1	Chapter Overview	33
4.2	Experimental Method.....	33
4.2.1	Selective Laser Sintering Machine.....	33
4.2.2	Printed Part Selection.....	33
4.2.3	Machine Print Parameter Selection.....	34
4.3	Results.....	37
4.3.1	Part Removal From Powder Cake.....	38
4.3.2	Imaging by Scanning Electron Microscope	40
4.3.3	Part Density.....	46
4.4	Discussion	48
4.4.1	Print Parameter Predictions.....	48
4.4.2	Removal From Powder Cake	48
4.4.3	Scanning Electron Microscope	49
4.4.4	Density	49
4.5	Chapter Summary	50
Chapter 5	Investigation into Optical Properties of Sintering Powders	52
5.1	Chapter Overview	52
5.2	Spectrofluorophotometry	53
5.2.1	Machine Used for Testing.....	53
5.2.2	Method Used for Testing	53

5.2.3	Results.....	54
5.3	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy.....	55
5.3.1	Machine used for Testing.....	56
5.3.2	Method used for Testing.....	56
5.3.3	Results of Testing.....	56
5.4	Discussion.....	58
5.5	Chapter Summary.....	59
Chapter 6	Discussion.....	60
6.1	Chapter Overview.....	60
6.2	Existing Models.....	60
6.3	Identified Weaknesses.....	60
6.4	Validity of Identified Weaknesses.....	61
6.5	Improvements To The Models.....	62
Chapter 7	Conclusion.....	65
	Bibliography.....	67
	Appendices.....	71
Appendix A	72
Appendix B	85
Appendix C	99
Appendix D	100

List of Figures

Figure 1.1: A typical SLS machine setup [1].....	1
Figure 2.1: The process of AM from file to finished solid [20].....	6
Figure 2.2: A stereolithographic system [2].....	7
Figure 2.3: An example of an engine nacelle hinge bracket before (top) and after (bottom) the part design was optimised using Topologic Optimisation [4].....	9
Figure 2.4: Powder property considerations for SLS powders [3].....	11
Figure 2.5: Sintering Window, overlaid on top of DSC Thermogram results [3].....	17
Figure 3.1: Raw data from all trials.	23
Figure 3.2: Truncated Thermogram results to include only first heating cycle.	23
Figure 3.3: Heat Flow accounting for sample weight.	25
Figure 3.4: Image of Precimid 1170 powder taken at 100 x magnification.	27
Figure 3.5: Image of Sintratec PA12 Black powder at 100 x magnification.	27
Figure 3.6: Image of Precimid 1170 powder taken at 500 x magnification.	28
Figure 3.7: Image of Sintratec PA12 Black powder taken at 500 times magnification.	28
Figure 3.8: Image of Precimid 1170 powder taken at 1000 times magnification.	29
Figure 3.9: Image of Sintratec PA12 Black taken at 1000 times magnification.	29
Figure 4.1: Part orientation and positions using Sintratec Central software.	34
Figure 4.2: 100 x magnification SEM image of Sintratec PA12 Black powder with powder bed temperature of 165°C, and laser scan speed of 650 mm/s.	41
Figure 4.3: 500 x magnification SEM image of Sintratec PA12 Black powder with powder bed temperature of 165°C, and laser scan speed of 650 mm/s.	41
Figure 4.4: Comparison of SEM images taken at 100 times magnification of samples from trial 6 (left), with print bed temperature of 165°C, and laser scan speed of 450 mm/s, and trial 8 (right), with a print bed temperature of 170°C, and laser scan speed of 650 mm/	42
Figure 4.5: Comparison of SEM images taken at 500 x magnification of samples from trial 6 (left), and trial 8 (right).	42
Figure 4.6: Image taken at 500 times magnification of a sample from trial 12, with print bed temperature of 170°C, and laser speed of 450 mm/s.	43
Figure 4.7: Image taken at 100 times magnification of a sample from trial 12, with print bed temperature of 170°C, and laser speed of 450 mm/s	43
Figure 4.8: SEM image taken at 100 times magnification of the sample from trial 12, with a print bed temperature of 175°C, and a laser scan speed of 450 mm/s.....	44
Figure 4.9: SEM image taken at 500 times magnification of the sample from trial 12. Most powder particles are coalesced, and very few are still distinguishable as individuals.....	44
Figure 4.10: A dog bone produced during trials. On the right-hand side a defect is visible.....	46

Figure 4.11: Surface Plot of Mean Density of each experimental trial.....	47
Figure 5.1: Contour plot of Excitation wavelength versus Emissions wavelength for the Precimid 1170 powder.	54
Figure 5.2 Absorbance of the powders over Wavelength of light.:	56
Figure 5.3: Transformed FTIR values to show transmittance of light through the sample against wavelength of light measured.	57

List of Tables

Table 3.1 Onset and peak melting and crystallisation temperatures of powders	24
Table 3.2: Melt enthalpy and specific heat capacity of the two powders	25
Table 4.1: Design of Experiment trial run order	35
Table 4.2: Energy Melt Ratio parameter values for the two powders.....	36
Table 4.3: Energy Melt Ratio values for trial print parameters.	37
Table 4.4: Trial notes from the experiment.....	39
Table 5.1: Table of Machine Settings for Spectrofluorophotometry	53

List of Publications

Mearns, C., Potgieter, J., Dirven, S. and Joo Le Guen, M. (2017). Experimental analysis of the effectiveness of current modelling methods for SLS parameter determination. In: *Mechatronics and Machine Vision in Practice*. Auckland: 2017 24th International Conference on Mechatronics and Machine Vision in Practice (M2VIP).

List of Abbreviations

2D	Two Dimensional
3D	Three Dimensional
AM	Additive Manufacturing
ATR	Attenuated Total Reflectance
AU	Absorbance Units
DFMC	Designing for Mass Customisation
DoE	Design of Experiment
DSC	Differential Scanning Calorimetry
EMR	Energy Melt Ratio
FDM	Fused Deposition Modelling
FTIR	Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy
ISO	International Organisation for Standardisation
MPS	Mean Particle Size
PR	Packing Ratio
PSD	Particle Size Distribution
SE	Secondary Electron
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscope
SLA	Stereolithography
SLM	Selective Laser Melting
SLS	Selective Laser Sintering
SM	Subtractive Manufacturing
SW	Sintering Window
TPU	Thermoplastic Polyurethane