

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The Scattering of Waves by An Elastic Floating Body on Water of Variable Depth

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment
of the requirement for the degree of

Master of Science

in the subject of
Mathematics

at Massey University, Albany, New Zealand

Synthia Dewi Darsono

2000

Abstract

For many years polar scientists and offshore engineers have studied the behavior of a floating body in the presence of ocean waves. A large floating structure, such as a floating runway or an ice sheet, is sufficiently thin so that elasticity is important. The solution of the motion can be found by coupling the water and elastic plate equations. However these solutions have only been calculated when the water is of constant depth.

In this thesis we shall present a solution for wave scattering by an elastic body on water of variable depth. Our solution method involves partitioning the problem domain into finite and semi-infinite regions. In the semi-infinite region the solution is obtained using an integral equation. A boundary element method together with a Green's function for the thin plate is used to solve for the finite region. The separate solutions are then coupled to give the solution for the full problem.

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my greatest gratitude for my supervisor Dr. Michael Meylan for his help and advice during the completion of this thesis. To me he is not only a supervisor but also a mentor and a friend who has given me all the support and encouragement that a master student needs. This thesis would not be possible without him.

I am also very grateful for the help and the time given by Mr. Adrian Swift and Judith Scheffer who have patiently proof read this thesis.

Finally I would like to thank my parents Lukman Darsono and Endang Sentyaningrum for their financial and emotional support during my postgraduate study.

Contents

1 Introduction	1
2 Introduction To The Wave Scattering Problem	5
2.1 The Physical Description	5
2.2 Mathematical Formulation of The Scattered Wave Problem	7
2.2.1 Equation of Wave's Motion	7
2.2.2 Single Frequency Wave Problem	11
2.2.3 The Incident Wave.....	11
3 Methodology for Solving The Wave Scattering Problem	15
3.1 Reduction to Finite and Infinite Domain	15
3.2 Solution on Unbounded Domain	17
3.3 Non-dimensionalization	22
3.4 The Boundary Element Method for Laplace's Equation.....	23
3.4.1 Green's Function Solution for A Floating Thin Plate.....	24
3.4.2 Fundamental Solution of The Free-space Green's Function	25
4 Numerical Implementation	27
4.1 The Numerical Integration Technique for The Integral Equations	27
4.2 Matrix Operators for The Free-Space Green's Function.....	30
4.3 Discretization Technique for Non-uniform Depth Profile	34
4.4 The Solution of The Free-space Green's Function	37

4.4.1	On Flat Boundary Segments	37
4.4.2	On The Varying Seabed	39
4.5	Matrix Operator for Boundary Conditions	40
5	The Reflected and Transmitted Waves	46
5.1	Determination of R and T	48
5.2	Energy Transfer Across The Boundary	51
5.3	Reversed Time	54
6	Numerical Results	58
6.1	Single Ice Sheet on Water of Constant Depth	59
6.1.1	Convergence Test for The Evanescent Modes of The Wave	60
6.1.2	Convergence Test for The Magnitude of The Discretization Step Size ..	62
6.1.3	Reflection Amplitude	62
6.1.4	Wavelength	64
6.2	Water of Free Surface and Variable Depth	67
6.2.1	First Staziker's Hump	67
6.2.2	Second Staziker's Hump	69
6.2.3	Simple Sloping Plane	69
6.3	Single Ice Sheet on Water of Variable Depth	72
6.3.1	Staziker's Hump	74
6.3.2	Simple Sloping Plane	76
6.4	A Floating Runway on Water of Variable Depth	79
6.4.1	Staziker's Hump	81
6.4.2	Simple Sloping Plane	81

7 Summary and Conclusion.....	86
A Green's Function for A Floating Thin Plate	89
References	93

List of Figures

- Figure 2.1.** Physical description of the domain of the wave scattering problem containing a single floating thin plate and an arbitrary bed topography. 6
- Figure 2.2.** Schematic diagram for the wave scattering problem. 8
- Figure 3.1.** Schematic diagram of the finite and the infinite regions of the reduced water domain. 16
- Figure 3.2.** The real solution of the dispersion equation. 20
- Figure 4.1.** Notation diagram showing the segments of boundary Σ . 28
- Figure 4.2.** Pictorial description of panels $\Delta x'_i$ and Δs_i on various segment of boundary Σ . 29
- Figure 4.3.** Schematic diagram of the localized coordinate system for node Δs_i taken on a seabed of arbitrary shape. 36
- Figure 5.1.** Schematic diagram of the scattered wave. 47
- Figure 5.2.** The distribution of amplitudes of the wave before and after the impact with the scatterers where the domain in (b) is the mirror image of the domain in (a). 55
- Figure 5.3.** Flowchart showing mathematical technique derived from Stokes time reversal theory to relate the reflection and the transmission coefficients in two mirror image domains. 57
- Figure 6.1.** The reflection amplitude $|R|$ versus the length of the ice sheet $2L$ for the convergence test of discretization step size with $H_1 = H_2 = 5$ m, $\lambda = 100$ m and, 5 evanescent modes. 63
- Figure 6.2.** The reflection amplitude $|R|$ versus the length of the ice sheet $2L$ with $H_1 = H_2 = 5$ m, $h = 1$ m, $\lambda = 100$ m, and 5 evanescent modes. Perfect transmission occurs when $|R| = 0$. 65
- Figure 6.3.** The reflection amplitude $|R|$ versus the wavelength λ for an ice sheet on water of constant depth with $H_1 = H_2 = 5$ m, $h = 1$ m, $2L = 200$ m, 5 evanescent modes, and discretization step size of 1 m. 66
- Figure 6.4.** The reflection amplitude $|R|$ versus the length of the first Staziker's hump $2l$ with $H_1 = H_2 = 5$ m, $\nu = 1$, $\lambda = 26.1870$ m, 5 evanescent modes, and discretization step size of 0.05 m. 68

Figure 6.5. The reflection amplitude $|R|$ versus the wavelength λ for the first Staziker's hump with $H_1 = H_2 = 5$ m, $h = 1$ m, $2L = 200$ m, 5 evanescent modes, and discretization step size of 1 m. 70

Figure 6.6. The reflection amplitude $|R|$ vs the length of the second Staziker's hump $2l$ with $H_1 = H_2 = 5$ m, $\nu H_1 = 1$, 5 evanescent modes, and discretization step size of 0.05 m. 71

Figure 6.7. The reflection amplitude $|R|$ versus the wavelength λ for the simple sloping plane with $H_1 = 5$ m, $H_2 = 2.5$ m, $l = 101$ m, 5 evanescent modes, and discretization step size of 0.5 m. 73

Figure 6.8. The reflection amplitude $|R|$ versus the wavelength λ for an ice sheet floating on water with the first Staziker's hump with $H_1 = H_2 = 5$ m, $h = 1$ m, $2L = 200$ m, $2l = 202$ m, $\lambda = 100$ m, 5 evanescent modes, and discretization step size of 1 m. 75

Figure 6.9. The superimposed plot of the reflection amplitude versus the wave length for water domain with the ice sheet only, the first Staziker's hump only, and both the ice sheet and the hump with $H_1 = H_2 = 5$ m, $h = 1$ m, $2L = 200$ m, $2l = 202$ m, 5 evanescent modes, and discretization step size of 1 m. 77

Figure 6.10. The reflection amplitude $|R|$ versus the wavelength λ for an ice sheet floating on water with the simple sloping plane with $H_1 = 5$ m, $H_2 = 2.5$ m, $h = 1$ m, $2L = 200$ m, $2l = 202$ m, $\lambda = 100$ m, 5 evanescent modes, and discretization step size of 1 m. 78

Figure 6.11. The superimposed plot of the reflection amplitude versus the wavelength for water domain with the ice sheet only, the simple sloping plane only, and both the ice sheet and the sloping plane with $H_1 = 5$ m, $H_2 = 2.5$ m, $h = 1$ m, $2L = 200$ m, $2l = 202$ m, 5 evanescent modes, and discretization step size of 0.5 m. 80

Figure 6.12. The reflection amplitude $|R|$ versus the wavelength λ for a floating runway on water with the first Staziker's hump with $H_1 = H_2 = 5$ m, $2L = 200$ m, $2l = 202$ m, $D/4\rho gL^2 = 2.404 \times 10^{-14}$, 5 evanescent modes, and discretization step size of 1 m. 82

Figure 6.13. The superimposed plot of the reflection amplitude versus the wavelength for the ice sheet and the floating runway on water with the first Staziker's hump. 83

Figure 6.14. The reflection amplitude $|R|$ versus the wavelength λ for a floating runway on water with the simple sloping plane with $H_1 = 5$ m, $H_2 = 2.5$ m, $2L = 200$ m, $2l = 201$ m, $D/4\rho gL^4 = 2.404 \times 10^{-14}$, 5 evanescent modes, discretization step size of 0.5 m. 84

Figure 6.15. The superimposed plot of the reflection amplitude versus the wavelength for the ice sheet and the floating runway on water with the simple sloping plane. 85

Chapter 1

Introduction

For many years the study of ocean waves has been of interest in polar and offshore engineering. The object of the study is to find out how an arbitrary large floating body on the surface of the water behaves in the presence of an ocean wave. Some researchers are interested in the motion of the floating body while others study the motion of the water itself. Our main interest is to study the motion of the water in the presence of a floating body, by calculating the scattering of the water wave.

The scattering of the wave is influenced by many factors, the most important of which are the shape and the elasticity of the floating body. For example a round-shaped body rocks in different way from a flat-shaped body. A body of significant stiffness (such as a ship) is said to have small elasticity which is not important in determining the scattered wave, but for a sufficiently thin body (such as a thin ice sheet) elasticity becomes important. We only consider a floating body which is flat, rectangular, and thin, so that elasticity is important. As well as the shape and elasticity of the floating body, the shape of the seabed also influences the behaviour of the wave. A constant bed topography (flat seabed) does not scatter the wave while a non-constant bed topography (varying seabed), such that the depth of the water is variable, scatters the wave. In this thesis we seek solutions for the scattering of waves by an elastic floating body on the surface of water with variable depth. The elastic floating body and the varying seabed are referred to as the scatterers.

The main reason for our interest is that, to our knowledge, no author has solved the scattering problem for the elastic floating body with varying seabed. However many publications have presented a number of solutions for simpler problems. There are two types of simplified problem, one which considers the elastic floating body only while the seabed is constant and the other one is to have a varying seabed with the surface of the water free. The first type of the simplified problem was solved by *Meylan* (1993) for an ice floe and by *Namba and Ohkusu* (1999) for a floating artificial island. Both used linear shallow water theory (*Stoker* (1957)). The second type of the simplified problem was solved by *Staziker, Porter and Stirling* (1997) for a localized bed topography with uniform depth at its ends. Their solution method used an integral equation and a Green's function.

In solving the problem of the wave scattering by an elastic body on water of variable depth we adopt the method used by *Liu and Liggett* (1982) and *Hazard and Lenoir* (1993). Both publications used artificial boundaries dividing the water domain into a finite and two semi-infinite domains. These boundaries are located at a sufficient distance from the scatterers so that the water depth is assumed constant; this method is called the far-field method, the solution being obtained using eigenfunction expansions. Then the far-field solution in the semi-infinite domain is matched with the solution in the finite domain. *Liu and Liggett* solved this using the boundary element method (BEM) and *Hazard and Lenoir* used the finite element method (FEM).

To solve the wave scattering problem by an elastic body floating on water of variable depth we use the far-field method and the BEM. Our solution technique involves two main steps. The first is to split the water domain into finite and semi-infinite domains. The

solution of the wave in the semi-infinite domain is obtained using appropriate separation of variables (leading to an eigenfunction expansion). From this solution we derive the coupling operator for the boundary condition at the artificial boundaries joining the finite and the semi-infinite domains. The solution for the wave in the finite domain cannot be solved exactly therefore we must solve it numerically. This leads us to the second step, the BEM, which involves transforming the wave problem into an integral equation together with the free-space Green's function.

The solution of the wave scattering problem is presented in terms of the wave potential, the techniques for which will be explained in detail in the first three chapters of the thesis. The first chapter explains the water domain and the governing equations for the wave scattering problem, including the derivation of the stationary model for the problem and the incident wave. The second chapter explains the reduction to finite and semi-infinite domains. In the first part of this chapter we derive the solution to the wave potential in the semi-infinite domain using separation of variables and the expression for the coupling operator for the boundary conditions. In the second part of chapter two we describe the BEM procedure for solving the wave potential in the finite domain. This procedure involves two integral equations (one is for the boundary condition underneath the elastic floating plate and one is for the solution of the wave potential) and two Green's functions (one for the elastic floating plate and the other the free space Green's function for the wave potential). Then in the third chapter we solve the integral equations from the second chapter numerically by solving an equivalent linear equation which involves matrices for the Green's

function and for the boundary conditions as well as vectors for the wave potential and the constant.

The next two chapters present the results of the implementation of the BEM. In the fourth chapter we define the reflected and the transmitted waves, which are useful for error checking in the numerical solution. In the fifth chapter we present some relevant results from the numerical computation of the wave potential. At the beginning of the chapter we test for convergence. This provide us with an appropriate choice of parameters used. Then we compare the results with the previous ones of *Meylan* [5], *Staziker, Porter and Stirling* [7], and *Namba and Ohkushu* [6].