

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**UNCOVERING EVERYDAY
LEARNING AND TEACHING
WITHIN THE QUILTING COMMUNITY
OF AOTEAROA NEW ZEALAND**

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy in Arts

at Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand

Linda Claire Warner

2018



Plate 1

Te kura Aotearoa

Machine pieced and appliquéd, cotton, 1640 x 1890mm

Linda Warner, 2014

Quilted by Lesley O'Rourke

Inspired by New Zealand quilt designers Donna Ward and Jacqui Karl

Photograph: Richard Robinson

ABSTRACT

This thesis explores the social and cultural phenomenon of everyday learning and teaching within the communal activity of quilting. Home-sewn quilts are rarely associated with the needleworkers' high level of knowledge and skill; yet, the quilters' act of knowing is practical, inherently social, and intentional. This research study examines the collaborative processes of "quilting together" to understand cultural patterns of participation; and investigates the participants' meaning-making experiences to facilitate an analysis of collective knowledge practices.

Using an ethnographic methodology, this research investigated the lived experiences of quilters within the situated context of two quilting groups, located in Aotearoa New Zealand. Observations were made of participants' engagement in quilting activities as they interacted with each other, material artefacts and quilting tools. These observations took place during regular quilting sessions and special events. Interviews were conducted with founding members to gain an understanding of cultural-historical processes, as well as a purposively selected sample of ten participants who shared their personal quilting experiences. Observation notes, conversation commentaries and interview transcripts were analysed in relation to the research question and two guiding questions.

Key findings are related to a variety of contextual issues surrounding the process of informal learning and teaching as it materialised through the quilters' engagement in idiosyncratic community practices: the practices of which are generative of quilting knowledge and vice-versa. Firstly, through social integration quilters developed a sense of belonging and responsibility. Secondly, cultural patterns of social interaction consisted of multi-directional learning with quilters having complementary roles. Thirdly, due to the tacit nature of quilting knowledge, embodied experiences and material mediations were essential for thinking and communicating with others. Fourthly, a constellation of knowledge practices co-existed in the quilting community. Finally, the quilters' informal learning was organised and supported within the community.

The study contributes to a body of locally-based and international research concerned with informal learning and teaching theory, situated in a quilting community-based setting. The emerging conceptual framework, “Apprenticeship Model of Craft Community Learning”, develops and extends participation-based approaches to learning. In addition, the quilters’ collaborative designing process of inquiry advances understanding of knowledge creation within craft maker cultures.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

“Little is the currency of everyday living.
So let’s thank God for little lights,
the warm smile, the hug, the phone call,
a wave from a passing car, a cup of tea,
an open door, a talent freely shared”
(Cowley, 2002, p. 27).

This thesis is a celebration, and recognition, of everyday learning and teaching. It was completed with the encouragement of people who believed in the ethos of my research study, realising its contextual significance in today’s changing world.

I am truly indebted to the quilters who participated in this study, generously sharing their practical wisdom and love of quilting. The family of quilters’ friendship was a patchwork quilt of caring words, thoughtful deeds, and lots of laughter, as we stitched and learned together.

I also learned much through my supervisors, Professor John O’Neill and Associate Professor Alison Sewell, during the research study:

Hapaitia te ara tika pumau ai te rangatiratanga mo nga uri whakatipu.
Foster the pathway of knowledge to strength, independence and growth for future generations.

I would like to thank the staff of New Zealand and Australian museums, galleries and archives who warmly welcomed me behind-the-scenes, in order to develop an understanding of material culture, visual art, and social history with subject matters ranging from quilt conservation, patchwork photography to textile narratives.

Special thanks to Distinguished Professor Barbara Rogoff (University of California, North America), Professor Kai Hakkarainen (University of Helsinki, Finland), Professor Pirita Seitamaa-Hakkarainen (University of Helsinki, Finland), and Associate Professor Sami Paavola (University of Helsinki, Finland) who took time to provide guidance when most needed.

My appreciation and thanks to Massey University for awarding a Doctoral Scholarship, and Graduate Research Funding, to undertake this study.

Finally, heartfelt thanks to family, friends, close-knit community, and medical team for their *aroha* and unwavering support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
LIST OF COLOUR PLATES	xvii
CONTEXTUAL UNDERSTANDINGS	xix
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
Why “Learning to Make A Quilt” Matters	
1.1 Research Aims and Context.....	2
1.2 Justification for the Research	4
1.3 Origin of the Study	5
1.4 Organisation of the Thesis	8
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW I	11
The Historical and Cultural Fabric of Quilting Communities	
2.1 Literature Review Methods and Sources	12
2.2 Patchwork and Quilting Traditions through the Ages.....	14
2.3 Quiltmaking as an International Scholarly Subject	17
2.3.1 Art.....	17
2.3.2 Material culture.....	19
2.3.3 Leisure	22
2.3.4 Folklore.....	24
2.3.5 Gender.....	26
2.3.6 Social relationships.....	29
2.4 Aotearoa New Zealand Quilting Tradition	33
2.5 Academic Quilt Studies of Aotearoa New Zealand	38
2.6 Summary	40
CHAPTER 3 LITERATURE REVIEW II	43
Everyday Learning and Teaching	
3.1 Literature Review Methods.....	44
3.2 Folk Theory of Mind	45

3.3	Learning and Teaching Frameworks: Selected Historical Perspectives	46
3.3.1	Aristotle: Practical wisdom	47
3.3.2	Craft apprenticeship.....	47
3.3.3	Traditional craft guilds	48
3.3.4	Family cottage industry.....	49
3.3.5	Dewey: Experience and environment.....	50
3.3.6	Piaget: Cognitive development.....	51
3.3.7	Vygotsky: Sociocultural-historical approach.....	52
3.4	Contemporary Sociocultural Theoretical Perspectives in Community Settings	54
3.4.1	The nature of everyday learning.....	55
3.4.2	Social processes and cultural practices of everyday learning.....	61
3.4.2.1	Affective relationships.....	62
3.4.2.2	Watching, listening, and attending	63
3.4.2.3	Guided participation.....	64
3.4.2.4	Contextualised talk.....	66
3.5	Toward Collaborative Knowledge Creation	67
3.5.1	The nature of craft knowledge.....	68
3.5.2	Community funds of knowledge	69
3.5.3	Knowledge co-construction	70
3.5.4	Innovative knowledge communities	73
3.6	Summary	77
CHAPTER 4 METHODOLOGY AND METHODS.....		79
Learning from the Inside-Out: Apprenticing with Quilters		
4.1	Research Questions.....	80
4.2	Orienting this Study.....	82
4.3	Philosophical Assumptions.....	84
4.4	Research in the Area of Informal Learning	86
4.5	Qualitative Research	87
4.5.1	Ethnography.....	89
4.5.2	Researcher's reflexive approach.....	91
4.6	Methods	92
4.6.1	Experiencing.....	93
4.6.2	Enquiring	94
4.6.3	Examining.....	95

4.7	Multi-Site Case Study	96
4.7.1	Entry to field	97
4.7.2	The participants.....	98
4.7.2.1	Kōmako Quilters	98
4.7.2.2	Manumea Quilters.....	100
4.7.2.3	The quilting community context	101
4.7.3	Ethical considerations and principles.....	104
4.7.3.1	Informed and voluntary consent.....	104
4.7.3.2	Privacy, confidentiality and anonymity	105
4.7.4	Overview of present study	107
4.7.5	Exit from field	109
4.8	Data Analysis	110
4.9	Trustworthiness.....	114
4.9.1	Credibility	114
4.9.2	Transferability	115
4.9.3	Dependability	115
4.9.4	Confirmability.....	116
4.10	Summary	116
CHAPTER 5 ETHNOGRAPHIC FINDINGS I.....		119
Quilt Culture		
5.1	Sewing Backgrounds.....	120
5.1.1	Nola	120
5.1.2	Nina	121
5.1.3	Jess	121
5.1.4	The seven other interviewees.....	122
5.2	Social Organisation.....	125
5.2.1	Motives.....	126
5.2.2	Belonging.....	128
5.2.2.1	Newcomers.....	129
5.2.2.2	Rituals	130
5.2.2.3	Spatial arrangements	132
5.2.2.4	Family of quilters.....	133
5.2.3	Roles and responsibilities.....	134
5.3	Multi-Party Interactions	137
5.3.1	Mutual reciprocation	141
5.3.1.1	Partnership challenge.....	141

5.3.1.2	Cluster sharing.....	141
5.3.1.3	Spontaneous engagement	142
5.3.1.4	Dovetailing.....	142
5.3.2	Guided participation	143
5.3.2.1	Seek guidance.....	143
5.3.2.2	Responsive guidance	144
5.3.2.3	Collective guidance.....	144
5.3.2.4	Tacit guidance.....	145
5.3.2.5	Co-equal guidance.....	145
5.3.3	Modelling	146
5.3.3.1	Explicit verbalisation	146
5.3.3.2	Implicit articulation	147
5.3.4	Demonstration	147
5.3.4.1	Impromptu communal demonstration	148
5.3.4.2	Project cluster demonstration	148
5.3.4.3	Side-by-side demonstration	149
5.4	Summary	149
CHAPTER 6 ETHNOGRAPHIC FINDINGS II		151
Ways of Knowing		
6.1	Teaching Perspectives.....	152
6.1.1	The interviewees.....	152
6.2	Multiple Modes of Making Meaning.....	155
6.2.1	Social dimension	157
6.2.1.1	Forms of speech	157
6.2.1.2	Patterns of observation.....	160
6.2.2	Embodied dimension	163
6.2.2.1	Gestural movements.....	164
6.2.2.2	Bodily orientation.....	168
6.2.3	Material dimension	168
6.2.3.1	Written communication	169
6.2.3.2	Technology-mediated tools.....	170
6.2.3.3	Textile medium for creativity	173
6.3	Crafting Collective Knowledge	176
6.3.1	Everyday knowledge	178
6.3.2	Knowledge practices	179
6.3.2.1	Knowledge reproduction.....	179
6.3.2.2	Knowledge building	181

6.3.2.3 Knowledge creation.....	185
6.4 Summary	190
CHAPTER 7 DISCUSSION.....	193
Interwoven Threads: Learning and Teaching within the Quilting Community	
7.1 What are the Collaborative Processes of the Quilting Practice?	195
7.1.1 Social integration.....	196
7.1.1.1 Social organisation of a quilting community.....	197
7.1.1.2 Quilters’ purpose of participation	199
7.1.1.3 Quilters’ learning intent	201
7.1.2 Cultural patterns of social interaction	202
7.1.2.1 Collective zone of proximal development.....	203
7.1.2.2 Participation partnerships	205
7.2 What Multimodal Dimensions Contribute to Quilters’ Co-Construction of Knowledge?	208
7.2.1 Embodied experiences and material mediation.....	210
7.2.1.1 Meaning-making modes.....	211
7.2.1.1.1 Social dimension	213
7.2.1.1.2 Embodied dimension	216
7.2.1.1.3 Material dimension.....	219
7.2.1.2 Appraisal.....	221
7.2.2 Collective knowledge practices.....	225
7.2.2.1 Cultural practices of knowledge-sharing.....	226
7.2.2.2 Collaborative designing for knowledge creation	233
7.3 How do Learning and Teaching of Patchwork and Quilting Occur within the Communal Activity of Quiltmaking?	236
7.3.1 “Apprenticeship Model of Craft Community Learning”.....	238
7.3.1.1 Fostering learning in the quilters’ community setting	238
7.3.1.2 “Hands on” and “minds on” engagement in everyday learning	241
7.4 Summary	244
CHAPTER 8 CONCLUSION	247
“Passing On” Knowledge	
8.1 Conclusions from the Study	247
8.1.1 Top layer.....	248
8.1.2 Inner layer	248
8.1.3 Foundation layer	248
8.2 Contributions to Knowledge	249

8.3 Implications of this Research	251
8.3.1 Theoretical implications.....	252
8.3.2 Practical implications	253
8.4 Limitations of the Study	254
8.5 Recommendations for Future Research	255
8.6 The Final Stitched Words	257

REFERENCES..... 261

APPENDICES..... 295

Appendix A: Preliminary Exploration of Aotearoa New Zealand’s Quilting Community	297
Appendix B: Historical and Individual Interview Question Guide Sheets.....	301
Appendix C: Pamphlet.....	303
Appendix D: Information Sheet.....	305
Appendix E: Consent Form	307
Appendix F: Tracking Co-ordinator’s Movement Example.....	309
Appendix G: Sociogram Examples	311
Appendix H: Tracking Researcher’s Movement Example	315
Appendix I: Codebook Excerpt.....	317

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	<i>Roundabout</i>	7
Figure 2.1	Historical material sample of an eider-down silk.....	14
Figure 2.2	New Zealand quilt collection documentation	20
Figure 2.3	“Textile Handcraft Guild Membership” model.....	29
Figure 2.4	Ways of learning.....	32
Figure 2.5	Family portrait of two unidentified girls with patchwork quilt.....	34
Figure 2.6	Gum digger’s <i>whare</i> with patchwork quilt and cushions.....	35
Figure 2.7	New Zealand Red Cross workers’ quilt.....	36
Figure 3.1	“Learning by Observing and Pitching In” model.....	60
Figure 3.2	The dialogical approach to learning.....	74
Figure 3.3	Features of “Learning by Collaborative Designing” model	76
Figure 4.1	The spiralling research approach	83
Figure 4.2	The interrelationship between the building blocks of research	84
Figure 4.3	Personal practical theories.....	85
Figure 4.4	Button token to identify research participants	102
Figure 4.5	The activity system structure	103
Figure 4.6	Main elements of “making sense” during the integrated research process.....	110
Figure 6.1	Embodied thinking using iconic gestures	165
Figure 6.2	V-shaped hands externalise visualisation	166
Figure 6.3	Physical stitching motion using gestural movements	166
Figure 6.4	Visual aids for “5 x 5 Quilt” construction	169
Figure 6.5	Sketching as a “thinking tool”	170
Figure 6.6	“5 x 5 Quilt” participants’ (inter)action with quilting tools.....	171
Figure 6.7	Quilters’ knowledge embedded or objectified in Challenge Quilts.	175
Figure 6.8	“Pinwheel Table Topper” Workday project	184
Figure 6.9	Design experimentation during “Block of the Month” activity.....	187
Figure 6.10	Comparison of quilts.	187
Figure 6.11	Selection of quilt tops from the “Block of the Month” collaborative design activity	188
Figure 6.12	“Design a Block with a Twist” activity.	190
Figure 7.1	Three defining features that support the social integration of quilters	196
Figure 7.2	Multi-way collaboration	203

Figure 7.3	Understanding the quilters’ multimodal ways of meaning-making and appraisal.....	211
Figure 7.4	Multimodal ensemble of communication	212
Figure 7.5	Contextual configurations of observation	215
Figure 7.6	Engagement in tacit embodied experiences.....	217
Figure 7.7	Exploring problems meditated through material objects	219
Figure 7.8	Three types of immediate feedback	223
Figure 7.9	Appropriation of communal knowing.....	226
Figure 7.10	Quilters’ knowledge-sharing practices co-exist on a continuum.....	227
Figure 7.11	The triological learning framework applied to the quilters’ block designing activities.	232
Figure 7.12	The quilters’ collaborative designing for knowledge creation	234
Figure 7.13	“Apprenticeship Model of Craft Community Learning” conceptual framework..	237
Figure 8.1	Winds of the Past Unzipped.....	249

Image Acknowledgements

Gestural movements

- Chapter 6

ClipartFest (Pointing hand gesture)

https://img.clipartfest.com/177b05e582e75ab967ae79cb51ce392f_hand-pointing-clip-art-free-clipart-hand-pointing_297-159.png

Clipart Panda – Free Clip Art (Outstretched hands and V-shaped hand gestures)

<http://www.clipartpanda.com/categories/open-hands-of-god>

http://www.clipartpanda.com/clipart_images/now-if-god-so-clothes-the-34206216

http://www.clipartpanda.com/clipart_images/hands-open-receiving-hold-34206316

Selective sewing icons:

- Chapters 4, 5, 6 and 7

Tourtillotte, B. (1988). *Copy art for quilters*. Bothell, WA: That Patchwork Place.

Māori patterns:

- Chapter 7

Brown, P. (2012). *Maori designs: 100 new and original hand-drawn copyright-free designs*.

Kent, UK: Search Press.

Other images were produced by myself, unless otherwise stated.

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Emerging Interdisciplinary Themes	13
Table 4.1	Data Source Coding	106
Table 4.2	Overview of Study	108
Table 4.3	Data Coding Excerpt	113
Table 5.1	Summary of Diverse Ways of Quilting Together	140
Table 6.1	Summary of Multimodal Dimensions of Meaning-Making	157
Table 7.1	Summary of Key Findings and Themes.....	194
Table 7.2	Triological Features of the Quilters' Knowledge Creation Practice.....	230

LIST OF COLOUR PLATES

Plate 1	<i>Te kuirā Aotearoa</i> , 1640 x 1890mm	iii
Plate 2	<i>Te manu e kai ana i te mātauranga nōna te ao</i> , 470 x 830mm	259

CONTEXTUAL UNDERSTANDINGS

Contextual semantics provide meaning to a word or phrase used in order to understand their relation to the situated text. To assist the reader, the following terms are explained as well as how they are used throughout the thesis.

- **Apprenticeship**

An apprenticeship approach to learning is reconceptualised for the current study. For centuries, learning through apprenticeship was commonly associated with the crafts, which involved a formal contract between master and apprentice defining the expectations and conditions of their relationship. The connotations of “apprenticeship” have since been utilised to produce contemporary perspectives of informal learning in family and community-based settings, such as situated learning (Lave & Wenger, 1991) and guided participation (Rogoff, 1990). The current study develops these contemporary viewpoints of apprenticeship to extend our understanding of participation-based approaches to learning, with the introduction of “triological learning”. The tacit nature of quilting knowledge requires consideration of the quilters’ thinking, learning and development, with regard to their relations and embodied (inter)actions with other people, community, material artefacts and tools, forming a constellation of collective knowledge practices. Community members know who has expertise in particular quilting techniques and approach them for assistance; while those quilters who have more experience provide support and guidance, even when situated instruction or nuanced scaffolding is not intended. The individual learner decides whether to take up, ignore, or transform these ideas. Therefore, the term “apprenticeship” in the quiltmakers’ context refers to the development of thinking as a cultural process, where the quilters engage and learn from peers, embodied knowledge, actions, and the materiality of their environment. Consequently, expertise is socially and physically distributed, with the quilters becoming apprentices to their own future practices.

- **Community**

A community is a group of people who have a passion for a particular interest, in this case quiltmaking. As a community of learners they engage in a process of collective learning where responsibilities and roles are shared as they interact in their quilting endeavours. With such an assortment of different types of quilting collectives, for example house group, quilt guild, and quilting chapter, the two quilting groups involved in the current study are viewed as micro-communities within the wider quilting community of Aotearoa New Zealand.

- **Everyday learning**

The term “everyday” is a contestable concept. It is often assumed to be a way of describing that which does not have a cultural history. “Everyday” is regularly perceived as being: ordinary, mundane, routine, commonplace, matter of fact, unremarkable, humdrum, casual, lowly and nondescript. When applied to *learning*, within family and community-based settings, “everyday learning” has been described as: natural, practical, simple, second nature, and *informal*. Everyday learning in this thesis is conceived as a question about how and what the quilters know under their ordinary interaction of “quilting together”. Therefore, the terms everyday learning and informal learning are interchanged in the text.

- **Gender-specific pronouns**

While acknowledging men quilt too, in this study quiltmaking was a female-dominant leisure activity, and all of the research participants were women. Therefore, feminine third-person personal pronouns are used to signify the female entity rather than remain gender-neutral.

- **Informal learning**

There is an absence of an agreed definition of informal learning. However, the main tendency is to conceive it as *anything* that falls outside non-formal learning and formal education systems. For the purpose of the current study, this common conception of informal learning is positioned within the socially, culturally and historically constituted world of the quilters’ everyday social practice. As a consequence, the working definition

of informal learning comprises folk theory and folk pedagogy, practical wisdom, craft apprenticeship, experience and environment, active constructivist learning, everyday cultural tools and events, participation, and community.

- **Master quilter**

For the purpose of this study, a “master quilter” is defined as a person who understands and employs the basics of the quilting process, paying attention to details with accuracy and competency, to produce high quality quilts. While these quilters are identified as having extensive knowledge, skills and experience in quilting, it does not necessarily denote that they are professional quilters, teach quilting classes, or exhibit quilts.

- **Patchwork and quilting**

The evolution of quilts is closely connected to the historical development of patchwork and quilting. Technically, patchwork involves sewing pieces of fabric together either in the form of piecing with seams, or by appliqué where material pieces are stitched onto a fabric foundation. In addition, some quilt tops can consist of a single, large piece of fabric, known as wholecloth. Quilting, on the other hand, refers to the stitching of two or more layered textiles to hold them together. The terms – patchwork and quilting – are frequently interchanged, engendering common inference to a quilt or the act of making a quilt (Audin, 2013). In accordance with etiquette expressed by the quilters participating in the present study, the word “quilting” is used in the thesis.

- **Quilt**

Basically, a traditional quilt is a textile sandwich consisting of three layers: a cover top and a fabric backing with a layer of padding in between. The layers are held together by stitching which often forms a pattern on the surface.

- **Supplementary information**

Content footnotes supplement information in the text by providing readers with additional content, explanation and/or description.

- **Teacher / teaching**

Exploring the nature of informal learning, itself, views the quilters and the environment as learning resources. Thus, it may appear that the role of “teacher” and “teaching” are inconsequential or absent altogether. In this thesis, learning-and-teaching are considered to be inseparable with exchanges about how to do things occurring amidst other happenings. In the current study’s informal learning context, a different conception of “teacher” and “teaching” arose during the quilters’ social practice, whereby a) relations mapped their organised learning sequences in the improvisational flow of quilting endeavours; and b) the learning process was organised by ongoing process. Therefore, situated instruction (Lave, 2011), cultural teaching (Maynard & Greenfield, 2006), and my own term of “participation partnerships” (p. 206) identify that “teacher” and “teaching” are seen through, and in, the processes of learning. During the quilters’ collaborative processes there are diverse ways in which quilters assist and guide one another, thereby taking on the role of teacher or performing an act of teaching. In the present study, the quilters use a cultural category of folk terms which has its own intrinsic properties to describe the sharing of ideas or making suggestions, for instance *“I’ll show you...”*, *“You do this...”*, *“Would you like to learn how to make...?”* To advance understanding about everyday learning and teaching activities, it is important to develop and use the language of informal learning as it pertains to the community-based setting.