

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Investigating Environmental and Health Risks of Greywater use in New Zealand

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

In

Soil Science

At Massey University, Manawatu, New Zealand

Morkel Arejuan Zaayman

2014

Abstract

Many countries, including New Zealand, are investigating alternative water management practices to address increasing demands on freshwater supply. One such practice is the diversion and reuse of household greywater for irrigation. Greywater is a complex mixture containing contaminants such as microbes and household chemicals. These contaminants may present an environmental and public health risk, but this has never been characterised in a New Zealand context.

This thesis aims to reduce this knowledge gap by characterising the fate and effects of a representative chemical contaminant, the antimicrobial triclosan (TCS); and the microbial indicator, *E. coli*, in three soils. It also investigated public attitude towards the fate of household products in the environment.

In Chapter 4, microbial biomass was used to determine an EC_{50} for TCS in one soil type (silty clay loam: $EC_{50} = 803$ ppm). This determined the loading rate of TCS for the lysimeter study in Chapter 5, where triplicate cores of 3 soil types were irrigated with greywater treatments (good/bad quality) or a freshwater control. Leachate samples throughout the study and soil samples from three horizons at the end of three months irrigation were analysed for TCS and *E. coli*. The results indicate that regardless of soil type, *E. coli* and TCS leached from the lysimeters posing a risk for groundwater contamination. *Escherichia coli* levels in the leachate were as high as 4.71×10^6 CFU/100ml for the GQGW treatments (Lincoln soil) and 6.97×10^7 CFU/100ml in the BQGW treatment (Gisborne soil). Triclosan concentrations between 0.03ppb and 3.17ppb were measured in the leachate from the GQGW treatment and 0.03ppb - 42.3ppb for the 10ppm TCS treatments. Soils with high clay content had even larger potential for leaching through preferential flow as the average levels of *E. coli* found in the leachate from the BQGW were at least on \log_{10} lower than the average found in the BQGW leachate (Gisborne & Katikati). In contrast the levels of *E. coli* detected in the Lincoln soil were similar for both treatments. The effects of TCS on soil health parameters in the top horizon were also investigated, but were not found to be significant at concentrations used in this study.

To address the source of greywater contamination, i.e. use of household products, I engaged with school children to investigate if awareness of household-contaminants will support behaviour change with respect to what products are used (Chapter 6). With my scientific guidance, the children successfully designed and implemented a greywater experiment and presented their results at a local hui.

The results from this study provide New Zealand specific, scientifically-robust information on potential environmental and public health risks associated with domestic greywater reuse for soil irrigation.

Acknowledgements

Firstly I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors Dr Jacqui Horswell, Dr Alma Siggins and Dr Dave Horne for providing me with this magnificent opportunity, for their sturdy guidance and abundant support throughout this project. My debt in chocolate runs in kilograms.

Also my other ESR colleagues, Andrew van Schaik for answering endless questions, teaching me analytical techniques and humouring me when I got on my soap box about life. To Sarah Quaife for laughing at my jokes (your laughter only encourages me) and popping in every now and again to see if I was doing well. I'd like to express my gratitude to Jennifer Prosser and Virginia Baker for always encouraging me and the amazing chats we had (at tea times or otherwise), and Vanessa Burton for helping with the lysimeter setup (and suggesting that I never to do another lysimeter study again).

To Grant Northcott for assisting me with my analysis and giving guidance.

To all my friends who believe in me, encourage me and so frequently offered advice. (I'm looking at you Marie and Emily...) A couple of reds then, hey?

Without the upbringing I received from my parents (Morkel and Julie) and my elder sister, Linda, I would not have been able to dream the big dreams I do, and I'd like to thank them for investing their time, care, love and trust in me over the years. In particular to my late mother who sang to me "Hold on tight to your dreams" too many times to count and inspired me to accomplish anything I apply myself to.

And lastly to my better half, Emile. Thank you for your support, encouragement, pragmatism and all those coffees in the morning keeping me on track and my eyes on the goal-post. I hope to one day support you in the same amazing way you have done for me.

Contents

Abstract.....	i
Acknowledgements.....	iii
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	xi
1. Introduction and Aims	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Aims.....	3
1.3 Research approach.....	3
2. Literature review.....	6
2.1 What is greywater?.....	6
2.2 Greywater reuse drivers	7
2.2.1 Water shortages.....	8
2.2.2 Surplus water	8
2.3 Risks and benefits associated with greywater reuse	9
2.3.1 Risks.....	9
2.3.2 Benefits	10
2.4 Greywater composition	10
2.4.1 Microbiological quality.....	10
2.4.2 Nutrients in greywater	12
2.4.3 Chemicals in greywater	12
2.5 Triclosan (TCS), Chemical properties	13
2.5.1 Fate of TCS in soil	14
2.5.2 Effects of TCS in soil	16
3. Methods.....	18
3.1 Moisture content, dry matter content and water holding capacity.....	18
3.1.1. Moisture and dry matter content:.....	18
3.1.2 Water-holding capacity (WHC):	19
3.2 pH.....	19
3.3. Substrate induced respiration	19
3.4 Sulphatase enzyme activity.....	20
3.5 Microbial biomass	21
3.6 Microbial metabolic quotient	22
3.7 Triclosan analysis	22

3.7.1	Extraction from soil	22
3.7.2	Soil sample concentration and derivatisation	26
3.7.3	Extraction from leachate.....	26
3.7.4	Leachate sample concentration and derivatisation.....	27
3.7.5	Analysis of Triclosan residues by Gas Chromatography Mass-Spectrometry.....	29
3.8.	Molecular analysis of <i>Escherichia coli</i>	30
3.8.1.	Microbial DNA extraction from leachate	30
3.8.2	Microbial DNA extraction from soil	31
3.8.3.	Quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction (qPCR).....	31
4.	Determining the EC ₅₀ of triclosan (TCS) in a silty clay loam	33
4.1	Introduction	33
4.2	Materials and methods.....	35
4.2.1	Soil sampling and initial characterisation	35
4.2.2	Triclosan addition to soil.....	35
4.2.3	Analysis	36
4.2.4	Statistics	37
4.3	Results.....	38
4.3.1	Soil sampling and initial characterisation	38
4.3.2	Substrate Induced Respiration.....	38
4.3.3	Microbial biomass.....	38
4.3.4	Microbial metabolic quotient (qCO ₂).....	39
4.3.5	Sulphatase enzyme activity.....	40
4.3.6	EC ₅₀ determinations	40
4.4	Discussion.....	42
4.5	Conclusion.....	44
5.	Lysimeter study	45
5.1	Introduction	45
5.2	Materials and methods.....	47
5.2.1	Source of soils	47
5.2.2	Lysimeter facility	48
5.2.3	Irrigation volumes and for lysimeters.....	49
5.2.4	Composition of greywater treatments.	50
5.2.5	Experimental procedure	51
5.2.6	<i>Escherichia coli</i> preparation and enumeration.....	52

5.2.7	<i>Escherichia coli</i> survival.....	53
5.3	Analytical methods	54
5.3.1	Substrate induced respiration, sulphatase, biomass, triclosan analysis and <i>Escherichia coli</i> quantification	54
5.3.2	Statistics	54
5.4	Results.....	54
5.4.1	<i>Escherichia coli</i> survival in soil and greywater	54
5.4.2	Lysimeters	55
5.4.3	Soil health indicators.....	65
5.5	Discussion.....	72
5.5.1	<i>Escherichia coli</i> in soil.....	72
5.5.2	<i>Escherichia coli</i> in leachate	74
5.5.3	Triclosan in soil.....	76
5.5.4	Triclosan in leachate	77
5.5.5	Soil health indicators.....	79
5.6	Conclusion.....	80
6.	Education intervention	83
6.1	Introduction	83
6.1.1	The school.	84
6.1.2	Student engagement.....	84
6.1.3	Greywater experiment Introduction.....	85
6.2.	Aim	86
6.3.	Materials and method	86
6.3.1.	Student engagement.....	86
6.3.2	Greywater experimental design.....	87
6.3.3.	Hui	90
6.3.4.	Student worksheet and survey	92
6.4.	Results.....	93
6.4.1.	Student involvement.....	93
6.4.2.	Experimental results	93
6.5.	Discussion.....	94
6.5.1	Student engagement.....	94
6.5.2	Greywater experiment.....	95
6.5.3	Hui	95

6.5.4 Student worksheet and survey	96
6.6 Summarising conclusion	96
6.7 Acknowledgements.....	98
7. General discussion	99
8. Conclusions	102
9. References	103
10. Appendix	A
10.1 Presentation school children gave at the hui	A
10.2 Worksheet and survey filled out by school children with guidance from Donna Andrews	H
Worksheet:.....	I
Survey:.....	O

List of Figures

Figure 1: New Zealand rainfall 1971 – 2000 (Source: NIWA).....	7
Figure 2: Chemical structure of triclosan (source: www.niehs.nih.gov).....	13
Figure 3: Biodegradation and biotransformation of TCS in soil.....	15
Figure 4: The conversion of the colourless substrate, potassium p-nitrophenyl sulphate, to the yellow p-nitrophenol compound by the sulphatase enzyme.	20
Figure 5: The derivatisation process of TCS with MTBSTFA before analysis	29
Figure 6: Substrate induced respiration (SIR) at investigated TCS levels. The brackets on the graph indicate subsets of data where a statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) change in respiration in relation to time was observed.	38
Figure 7: Microbial biomass at investigated TCS levels on day 17. Asterisk indicates difference of statistical significance ($p < 0.05$) from the control calculated using Tukey's HSD test.	39
Figure 8: Microbial metabolic quotient at investigated TCS levels on day 17. The asterisk indicates differences of statistical significance ($p < 0.05$) from the control calculated using Tukey's HSD test.	40
Figure 9: Sulphatase activity at investigated TCS concentrations on day 17. The asterisk indicates statistical significance from the control calculated using Tukey's HSD test.....	40
Figure 10: EC_{50} determined with sulphatase activity at investigated TCS levels.	41
Figure 11: EC_{50} determined with microbial biomass at investigated TCS levels.....	41
Figure 12: Sample sites, clock-wise from top left; Gisborne, Lincoln, Katikati.....	47
Figure 13: The excavation process of the lysimeters used in this study.....	49
Figure 14: Left: Lysimeters positioned in the facility. Right: the compartment at the bottom of the funnel in which the lysimeters sits is large enough to just accommodate sample collection containers.	49
Figure 15: Copies of the uidA gene in E. coli detected in each layer of the Gisborne soil indicated for all 4 treatments. Error bars were calculated using standard deviation. The graph's y-axis begins at the average detection limit for all datasets analysed.	56
Figure 16: Copies of the uidA gene in E. coli detected in each layer of the Katikati soil indicated for all 4 treatments. Error bars were calculated using standard deviation. The graph's y-axis begins at the average detection limit for all datasets analysed.	56
Figure 17: Copies of the uidA gene in E. coli detected in each layer of the Lincoln soil indicated for all 4 treatments. Error bars were calculated using standard deviation. The graph's y-axis begins at the average detection limit for all datasets analysed.	57
Figure 18: Copies of the uidA gene in E. coli detected in fortnightly leachate composites of the Gisborne soil.	58
Figure 19: Copies of the uidA gene in E. coli detected in fortnightly leachate composites of the Katikati soil.....	59
Figure 20: Copies of the uidA gene in E. coli detected in fortnightly leachate composites of the Lincoln soil.....	59
Figure 21: Concentration triclosan detected in each horizon of the Gisborne soil indicated for all 4 treatments. Error bars were calculated using standard deviation.	60
Figure 22: Concentration triclosan detected in each horizon of the Katikati soil indicated for all 4 treatments. Error bars were calculated using standard deviation.	61

Figure 23: Concentration triclosan detected in each horizon of the Lincoln soil indicated for all 4 treatments. Error bars were calculated using standard deviation.	61
Figure 24: Concentration TCS detected in fortnightly leachate composites of the Gisborne soil.	62
Figure 25: Concentration TCS detected in fortnightly leachate composites of the Katikati soil.	63
Figure 26: Concentration TCS detected in fortnightly leachate composites of the Lincoln soil.	64
Figure 27: Concentration Met-TCS detected in fortnightly leachate composites of the Lincoln soil.....	64
Figure 28: Fortnightly rainfall for Mana Island. Mana Island was the closest weather station to where the experiment was conducted (Data supplied by Metservice).....	65
Figure 29: Substrate induced respiration for the 0-5cm horizon of the Gisborne soil cores. Error bars were constructed using standard deviation.	66
Figure 30: Substrate induced respiration for the 0-5cm horizon of the Katikati soil cores. Error bars were constructed using standard deviation.	66
Figure 31: Substrate induced respiration for the 0-5cm horizon of the Lincoln soil cores. Error bars were constructed using standard deviation.	67
Figure 32: Microbial biomass for the 0-5cm horizon of the Gisborne soil cores. Error bars were constructed using standard deviation.	67
Figure 33: Microbial biomass for the 0-5cm horizon of the Katikati soil cores. Error bars were constructed using standard deviation.	68
Figure 34: Microbial biomass for the 0-5cm horizon of the Lincoln soil cores. Error bars were constructed using standard deviation.	68
Figure 35: Sulphatase activity for the 0-5cm horizon of the Gisborne soil cores. Error bars were constructed using standard deviation.	69
Figure 36: Sulphatase activity for the 0-5cm horizon of the Katikati soil cores. Error bars were constructed using standard deviation.	69
Figure 37: Sulphatase activity for the 0-5cm Horizon of the Lincoln soil cores. Error bars were constructed using standard deviation.	70
Figure 38: Microbial metabolic quotient for the 0-5cm horizon of the Gisborne soil cores. Error bars were constructed using standard deviation.	70
Figure 39: Microbial metabolic quotient for the 0-5cm horizon of the Katikati soil cores. Error bars were constructed using standard deviation.	71
Figure 40: Microbial metabolic quotient for the 0-5cm horizon of the Lincoln soil cores. Error bars were constructed using standard deviation.	71
Figure 41: Flow patterns created after 3 additions of greywater at the recommended rate for that soil type, and marking the infiltration front as soon as the irrigation stopped, and 5mins after. This was done for all 3 soils.....	74
Figure 42: The 9 kōhūhū plants chosen for the experiment. Plants were grouped in 3 treatments with 3 replicates for each treatment.	88
Figure 43: The plants were irrigated twice each weekday for 7 weeks.....	89
Figure 44: Measurements of stem height, assessment of appearance and leaf count were recorded twice weekly.....	90
Figure 45: The result boards containing the observational data and conclusions made by the students. These were presented at the hui to the community along with a PowerPoint presentation.....	91

Figure 46: One of the students asked to represent her class and give the presentation containing the results and conclusions at the hui. 92

List of Tables

Table 1: Greywater quality (Sources: Western Australia department of health (2005). Code of Practise for Reuse of Greywater in Western Australia, and Australian National Guidelines for Water Recycling (2006)).....	6
Table 2: Sequences of the primers used in the method optimisation for qPCR analysis.....	32
Table 3: The EC ₅₀ values for sulphatase and biomass as determined by the 50% decline in activity of the soil microbial community. The EC ₂₀ is a concentration of TCS (ppm) where 20% of the community's activity is affected. The R2 refers to figures 10 and 11.	41
Table 4: Physical properties of the 3 soils chosen for the experiment.....	50
Table 5: Composition of greywater used for lysimeter study (See footnotes1 & 2 for references)	51
Table 6: The survival of E. coli in soil after addition of greywater containing 10ppm triclosan.	55
Table 7: Constituents of synthetic greywater. *Selection of specific brands does not represent an endorsement by this research project.....	89