

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

# **An analysis of marine anthropogenic noise in New Zealand: sources, policies, and implications for cetaceans.**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Philosophy in Science

Massey University  
Auckland, New Zealand

Jessica Patiño-Pérez

2015





## Acknowledgements

---

Firstly and above all, I would like to thank my family because without them by my side all my crazy dreams would not be possible. They have supported me in every way and I am thankful for that. Especially, my sister, who is my motor, thanks for your support, your help, for being you... without your help, this thesis could not have been possible. In addition, I owe a big thanks to my supervisor Professor Dianne H. Brunton for accepting me as her student even when I decided to study dolphins. Thanks for all your support, your great ideas and your patient. I am very proud of being your student.

Thanks to all the people who helped me during this journey with their advice and providing me information. They are Andrés Arias, Diego Arias, Luca Bütikofer, Rochelle Constantine (UoA), Isis Gómez López (Fundación Ecotono, España), Aaron Harmer, Hannah Hendriks (DOC), Virginia Moreno, Sebastián Peña, Rashmi Ramesh, Adam Smith, Karen Stockin and Wesley Webb. Thanks to my forever friends back in Colombia: Catalina Arteaga, Astrid Botero, María Camila Estrada, Elizabeth García, Lizette Quan-Young, Erika Sampedro, Elizabeth Serna and Leidy Villa. Thanks to my “step-family” here in New Zealand, Familia García-Hoyos, especially Alba for taking care of me all this time. Thanks to my “master’s mates” Tom Dixon, Moeo Finauga and Jacqui Wairepo for all the nice conversations and feedback.

Finally, thanks to my sister (again!) Jenny Patiño Pérez, for designing the cover of each chapter, and to Krista Hupman and Cat Lea for letting me use their pictures. This Master also was possible thanks to Colfuturo and Massey Masterate Scholarships.



## Abstract

---

In recent decades, anthropogenic noise has become recognised as a major pollutant worldwide and the study of its impacts has increased due to the potential for adverse consequences on wildlife. For marine environments, where sound is transmitted very efficiently through water, underwater noise has increased, mainly, at low and medium frequencies. Of all marine organisms, cetaceans may be the most affected, as they depend primarily on sound to communicate, navigate and find food. Accordingly, the general aims of this thesis are to identify the types of anthropogenic noise facing New Zealand's cetaceans, the potential impacts, review current legislation, and to propose improvements to enhance current mitigation measures of impacts.

My systematic review showed that 90% of the information about impacts of noise pollution on cetacean comes from peer-review journals and, although available from 1975, studies of marine noise pollution substantially increased after 1997. In addition, I identified the limited information on this topic in important areas such as Latin America, Africa and Southeast Asia, as well as regions in the Arctic and Southern Ocean. I also found that most effort has been focused on the impact of vessels, and bottlenose dolphin and harbour porpoise are, by far, the most studied species, showing a disparity in research coverage of both sources of noise and species. For New Zealand, there is a striking lack of knowledge of the range of sources of noise on cetaceans (excluding vessels). The information I compiled on New Zealand's cetacean distributions showed that three main groups are well represented: baleen whales, delphinids and beaked whales. Nonetheless, the information available for these species varies greatly. While there are some species very well studied, for others New Zealand species, the available information is scarce, as in the case of beaked whale.

Current mitigation measures can only be effective if comprehensive data are used to inform them. For example, planning surveys at different spatiotemporal scales are crucial to increase the effectiveness of mitigation measures. In particular, spatial modelling techniques can support mitigation measures by helping managers to identify areas of conflicts between marine mammal conservation and the development of activities such as dredging, drilling and seismic surveys. I used opportunistic sighting

data collected from different platforms, and several environmental variables biologically important for cetaceans and/or their prey, to create maps of habitat suitability for seven species of cetaceans in New Zealand. These maps were created using maximum entropy modelling (MaxEnt), a model system that does not require absence data and performs well with small sample size. Model validations were done using the Receiver Operating Characteristic curve (ROC) and the Area Under the Curve (AUC) values. The models for all seven species had excellent discriminatory power ( $AUC > 0.9$ ). The environmental variables depth and sediment had the most explanatory power for the distribution of these species. Comparisons of the areas of current and designated areas for exploration activities with the marine mammal distributions generated using MaxEnt show significant and wide-ranging conflicts. Of particular concern is the designated area for exploration in the northern part of the North Island, this area overlaps with the distribution of the highly endangered Maui's dolphin, and will add new pressures on this already diminished population. Expanding noise related research in this region (as elsewhere) will help stakeholders to support future decisions for planning when human activities enter into conflict with cetaceans.

Finally, the development of effective laws that adequately regulate the anthropogenic noise impacts on marine mammals has been a task that has taken many years to advance. To assess the effectiveness of New Zealand's legislation to mitigate impacts from seismic surveys and whale-watching activities, I described and compared methods prescribed by international associations. Strengths of The Code of New Zealand are that it presents a set of comprehensive guidelines with specific mention of biologically important aspects such as mother/calve pair priority. Nonetheless, improvements could be made regarding the enforcement of these guidelines. In addition, I suggest that New Zealand's whale-watching guidelines, could be improved through the inclusion and implementation of an Impact Assessment, the creation of separate guidelines to protect specific species and/or areas and, as with seismic activities, ongoing enforcement of guidelines.

# Table of Contents

---

Acknowledgements .....	i
Abstract .....	ii
Table of Contents .....	iv
List of Figures .....	ix
List of Tables .....	xiii
Glossary .....	xv

Chapter One: Introduction .....	1
1.1. Noise as a source of pollution .....	2
1.2. Noise in terrestrial ecosystems .....	3
1.3. Noise in aquatic ecosystems.....	4
1.4. Sound characteristics.....	6
1.5. Sound production in cetaceans.....	8
1.5.1. Baleen whales .....	8
1.5.2. Toothed-whales .....	9
1.6. Type of sounds in cetaceans.....	10
1.7. Thesis outline .....	11
1.8. Thesis structure and aims .....	12

Chapter two: Sources of noise in the ocean.....	14
2.1. Introduction.....	15
2.2. Biological sources of noise in the ocean .....	15
2.3. Anthropogenic sound .....	17
2.3.1. Vessels/boats and ships .....	17
2.3.2. Seismic exploration .....	19
2.3.4. SONAR (Sound Navigation And Ranging).....	21
2.3.5. Acoustic Deterrents Devices (ADDs) and Acoustic Harassment Devices (AHDs) .....	22

Chapter three: Impacts of anthropogenic noise on cetaceans: a systematic review.....	24
3.1. Introduction .....	25

3.2. Methods.....	27
3.2.1. Literature search.....	27
3.2.2. Inclusion and exclusion criteria .....	28
3.2.3. Data collection and analysis.....	29
3.3. Results.....	30
3.3.1. Number of studies .....	30
3.3.2. Dates of the studies .....	30
3.3.3. Peer-reviewed journals.....	31
3.3.4. Studied species and geographical area of research .....	32
3.3.5. Sources of noise .....	34
3.3.6. Responses of cetaceans to anthropogenic noise.....	34
3.3.6.1. Vessels.....	35
3.3.6.2. Seismic survey .....	37
3.3.6.3. Laboratory-based studies .....	38
3.3.6.4. Sonar .....	39
3.3.6.5. Pingers.....	40
3.3.6.6. Construction and industrial activities (drilling, dredging, wind farms and explosions) and oceanic research .....	40
3.3.6.7. Aircraft and background noise .....	41
3.3.7. Studies in New Zealand .....	42
3.4. Discussion.....	44
3.4.1. What was found in the literature? .....	44
3.4.2. What happen in New Zealand? .....	49
3.4.3. Review limitations .....	49
3.5. Conclusions .....	50
Chapter Four: Distribution of cetaceans.....	52
Distribution of cetaceans.....	52
4.1. Introduction.....	53
4.2. Distribution of cetaceans in New Zealand .....	54
4.2.1. Baleen Whales .....	54
4.2.1.1. Family Balaenidae .....	54
4.2.1.1.1. Southern right whale ( <i>Eubalaena australis</i> (Desmoulins, 1822)).....	54
4.2.1.1.2. Family Balaenopteridae.....	55
4.2.1.1.2.1. Common minke whale ( <i>Balaenoptera acutorostrata</i> Lacépède, 1804).....	55
4.2.1.1.2.2. Bryde’s whale ( <i>Balaenoptera edeni</i> Anderson, 1879).....	57



4.2.1.2.3. Blue whale ( <i>Balaenoptera musculus</i> L.) .....	57
4.2.1.2.4. Humpback whale ( <i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i> (Borowski, 1781)) .....	58
4.2.2. Toothed whales.....	60
4.2.2.1. Family Delphinidae.....	60
4.2.2.1.1. Hector's dolphin ( <i>Cephalorhynchus hectori</i> Van Beneden, 1881).....	60
4.2.2.1.2. Maui's dolphin ( <i>Cephalorhynchus hectori maui</i> A. Baker, Smith and Pichler, 2002) .....	60
4.2.2.1.3. Long-finned pilot whales ( <i>Globicephala melas</i> (Traill, 1809)).....	61
4.2.2.1.4. Short-finned pilot whale ( <i>Globicephala macrorhynchus</i> Gray, 1846).....	62
4.2.2.1.5. Short-beaked common dolphin ( <i>Delphinus delphis</i> L.).....	62
4.2.2.1.6. Dusky dolphin ( <i>Lagenorhynchus obscurus</i> (Gray, 1828)) .....	63
4.2.2.1.7. Bottlenose dolphin ( <i>Tursiops truncatus</i> Montagu, 1821).....	65
4.2.2.1.8. Orca, killer whale ( <i>Orcinus orca</i> Linnaeus, 1758) .....	66
4.2.2.3. Family Physeteridae .....	68
4.2.2.3.1. Sperm whale ( <i>Physeter macrocephalus</i> Linnaeus, 1758).....	68

## **Chapter Five: Using modelling techniques to mitigate anthropogenic noise impacts on cetaceans..... 72**

5.1. Introduction.....	73
5.2. Methods.....	74
5.2.1. Study area .....	74
5.2.2. Target species and sightings data .....	76
5.2.3. Environmental variables .....	77
5.2.4. Ecological niche modelling .....	78
5.2.5. Data transformation .....	78
5.2.6. MaxEnt settings .....	78
5.2.7. Model validation.....	79
5.2.8. Areas of industrial activities in New Zealand.....	80
5.3. Results.....	80
5.3.1. Correlation between variables .....	80
5.3.2. Habitat modelling .....	81
5.3.2.1. Models evaluation and contribution of environmental variables.....	81
5.3.2.2. Habitat suitability maps .....	86
5.3.3. Exploration and exploitation areas and Cetacean distribution in New Zealand ..	89
5.3.3.1. Bryde's whale .....	90
5.3.3.2. Southern right whale.....	91
5.3.3.3. Maui's dolphin.....	92

5.3.3.4.	Hector’s dolphin.....	93
5.3.3.5.	Bottlenose dolphin .....	94
5.3.3.6.	Orca.....	95
5.3.3.7.	Sperm whale.....	96
5.4.	Discussion.....	97
5.4.1.	MaxEnt.....	97
5.4.1.1.	Model performance and environmental variables.....	97
5.4.1.2.	MaxEnt limitations.....	98
5.4.1.3.	Model predictions and management issues.....	99
5.5.	Conclusions .....	101
5.6.	Future research .....	101

**Chapter Six: Regulations for underwater noise mitigation in New Zealand: an international context**..... 103

Acronyms .....	104	
6.1.	Introduction .....	106
6.2.	Regulations for seismic survey activities .....	108
6.2.1.	General specifications by UNCLOS and Marine Mammal Commission, USA. 108	
6.2.1.1.	UNCLOS.....	108
6.2.1.2.	Marine Mammal Commission, USA.....	109
6.2.1.2.1.	Monitoring and reporting .....	111
6.3.	General scopes of the seismic guidelines analysed .....	112
6.3.1.	New Zealand .....	112
6.3.2.	ACCOBAMS .....	113
6.3.3.	ASCOBANS .....	113
6.3.4.	Statement of Canadian Practice with respect to the Mitigation of Seismic Sound in the Marine Environment ( <i>The Statement</i> ).....	113
6.4.	Mitigation measures for seismic survey activities.....	114
6.4.1.	Planning stage .....	114
6.4.1.1.	Impact Assessments .....	114
6.4.1.2.	Sensitive areas.....	114
6.4.1.3.	Species .....	115
6.4.1.4.	Minimising sound output .....	115
6.4.1.5.	Exclusion Zone .....	116
6.4.2.	On board stage .....	117
6.4.2.1.	Marine Mammal Observers (MMOs) and Passive Acoustic Monitoring (PMA) 117	

6.4.2.2.	Pre-start observations .....	118
6.4.2.3.	Soft start/ramp up .....	121
6.4.2.4.	Delays and shut-downs .....	121
6.4.3.	Post seismic survey stage .....	122
6.4.3.1.	Recording and reporting .....	122
6.5.	Regulations for whale-watching .....	127
6.5.1.	Mitigation measures for whale-watching activities .....	128
6.5.1.1.	Permits and impact assessment.....	128
6.5.1.2.	Approaching the animals .....	129
6.5.1.3.	Distances to the animals (caution zone) .....	130
6.5.1.4.	Requirements for boats during whale-watching activities.....	131
6.5.1.6.	Requirement for aircrafts during whale-watching activities.....	132
6.5.1.7.	Number of vessels and duration of activities.....	132
6.6.	Discussion .....	136
6.6.1.	Seismic survey mitigation measures.....	136
6.6.2.	Whale-watching mitigation measures.....	138
6.7.	Conclusions.....	139
 <b>Chapter Seven: Conclusions and future research.....</b>		<b>141</b>
7.1.	Final conclusions.....	142
7.2.	Future research.....	143
7.3.	Study limitations .....	144
7.4.	Final remark .....	144
 References.....		 145
 Appendices.....		 I
Appendix 3.1. Modified PRISMA flowchart providing the steps of data collection for the systematic review. ....		I
Appendix 3.2. Full name of the journals cited in the systematic review.....		II
Appendix 4.1. Global distribution of cetaceans. Maps were based on IUCN cetaceans distribution maps. ....		III

## List of Figures

---

- Figure 1.1.** Graph of a horizontal wave showing amplitude, wavelength and frequency over time (t). Modified from <http://www.ssc.education.ed.ac.uk/bsl/physics/wavelength.html>.....7
- Figure 1.2.** Diagram comparing a land mammal and a modern odontocete ear. Same structures are shown in the same colours. Structures in black are only found in one group of mammals. Modified from Nummela et al., 2004.....9
- Figure 1.1.** Diagram of the sound production structures in baleen whales. Modified from Reidenberg & Laitman, 2007.....10
- Figure 1.2.** Diagram of the MLDB complex and sound production structures in dolphins. Modified from Cranford, 1999.....11
- Figure 3.2.** Number of studies published per year on marine acoustics impacts on cetaceans included in the systematic review. A total of 198 publications were found...33
- Figure 3.3.** The distribution of studies published in peer-reviewed journals assessed in this review. A total of 198 publication from 49 journals were included.....34
- Figure 3.4.** Number of studies assessing the impact of different sources of noise on cetaceans; 7/14 and 31/76 species of baleen and toothed whales have been studied, respectively.....35
- Figure 3.5.** Map showing the location of the studies assessed in this review. Some coordinates were extracted directly from the publication; others were obtained thorough Google Maps by typing the location given in the article.....36
- Figure 3.6.** Map showing the location of the studies done in New Zealand. Some coordinates were extracted directly from the publication; others were obtained thorough Google Maps by typing the location given in the article.....45

**Figure 4.1.** Patterns of geographic distribution and species richness of marine mammals. The number of species in each cell is shown in the column on the left. Red circle showing the location of New Zealand. Modified from Pompa et al. (2011).....55

**Figure 4.2.** Sightings of southern right whales *Eubalaena australis* in New Zealand’s Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ).....57

**Figure 4.3.** Sightings of Balaenopteridae family in New Zealand’s EEZ. Minke whale (red dots), Bryde’s whale (yellow dots), blue whale (green dots), fin whale (purple dots), Sei whale (orange dots) and humpback whale (turquoise dots).....64

**Figure 4.4.** Sightings of Hector’s dolphin *Cephalorhynchus hectori hectori* (yellow dots) and Maui's dolphin *Cephalorhynchus hectori maui* (red dots) in New Zealand’s EEZ.....66

**Figure 4.5.** Sightings of pilot whales (red dots), short-beaked common (yellow dots), hourglass (black dots) and dusky dolphins (green dots) in New Zealand’s EEZ.....72

**Figure 4.6.** Recognised ecotypes of killer whales (*Orcinus orca*). Image modified from <http://antarcticsun.usap.gov/science/images4/whales-killer-types-chart.jpg>.....75

**Figure 4.7.** Sightings of southern right whale dolphin (blue dots), bottlenose dolphin (pink dots), orca (yellow dots) and false killer whale (green dots) in New Zealand’s EEZ.....76

**Figure 4.8.** Sightings of sperm whales in New Zealand’s EEZ.....79

**Figure 4.9.** Sightings of Arnoux’s beaked whale (green dots), southern bottlenose whale (red dots), Andrews’ beaked whale (pink dots) and Gray’s beaked whale (yellow dots) in New Zealand’s EEZ.....82

**Figure 5.1.** Map of New Zealand showing its Territorial Sea (green), the Exclusive Economic Zone (purple) and extended Continental Shelf (blue). Modified from National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA).....89

**Figure 5.2.** Mean AUC (red line) and mean  $\pm$  one standard deviation (blue line) for assessing the predictive accuracy of suitable habitat for A) Bryde’s whale, B) Sperm whale, C) Southern right whale, D) Hector’s dolphin, E) Maui’s dolphin, F) Bottlenose dolphin and G) Orca.....97

**Figure 5.3.** Jackknife tests of variable contribution for informing the model training gain for predicting suitable habitat for A) Bryde’s whale, B) Sperm whale, C) Southern right whale, D) Hector’s dolphin, E) Maui’s dolphin, F) Bottlenose dolphin and G) Orca. Models were run with each variable in isolation (blue bars) and with each variable excluded (green bars). The red bar indicates the model run with all variables included. ....99

**Figure 5.4.** Predicted suitability map for A) Bryde’s whale; B) Southern right whale; C) Maui’s dolphin; D) Hector’s dolphin; E) Bottlenose dolphin; F) Orca and G) Sperm whale. Warmer colors mean higher habitat suitability.....102

**Figure 5.5.** Regional division of offshore activities A) Taranaki, New Caledonia and Northland Reinga basins, B) East Coast Basin and C) Canterbury and the Great South Basin.....103

**Figure 5.6.** Maps showing the overlap between Bryde’s whale and A) open block offer release (green area) and B) current petroleum permit (pink area) and permits applications (yellow area). The grey area represents the suitability area for the species.....104

**Figure 5.7.** Maps showing the overlap between southern right whale and A) open block offer release (green area); B) current petroleum permits (pink area) and C) permits applications (yellow area). The grey area represents the suitability area for the species.....105

**Figure 5.8.** Maps showing the overlap between Maui’s dolphin and A) open block offer release (green area) and B) current petroleum permit (pink area) and permits applications (yellow area). The grey area represents the suitability area for the species.....106

**Figure 5.9.** Maps showing the overlap between Hector’s dolphin and A) open block offer release (green area), B) permits applications (yellow area) and C) current petroleum permits (pink area). The grey area represents the suitability area for the species.....107

**Figure 5.10.** Maps showing the overlap between bottlenose dolphin and A) open block offer release (green area), B) permits applications (yellow area), C) current petroleum permits (pink area) and prospection areas (orange area). The grey area represents the suitability area for the species.....108

**Figure 5.11.** Maps showing the overlap between orca and A) open block offer release (green area), B) permits applications (yellow area), C) current petroleum permits (pink area) and prospection areas (orange area). The grey area represents the suitability area for the species.....109

**Figure 5.12.** Maps showing the overlap between sperm whale and A) open block offer release (green area), B) permits applications (yellow area), C) current petroleum permits (pink area) and prospection areas (orange area). The grey area represents the suitability area for the species.....110

## List of Tables

---

<b>Table 1.1.</b> Example of impacts of noise on different taxa at different organisational levels.....	3
<b>Table 2.1.</b> Source levels and frequency for some sounds generated by marine species.....	18
<b>Table 2.2.</b> Example of studies addressing the responses of cetaceans to commercial vessels.....	21
<b>Table 2.3.</b> Example of studies addressing the responses of cetaceans to touristic vessels.....	21
<b>Table 3.1.</b> Information collected and categories chosen to classify the studies that formed the basis for the systematic review.....	31
<b>Table 3.2.</b> Categories of response presented by cetaceans and the definition of those categories.....	37
<b>Table 3.3.</b> Studies addressing the responses of cetaceans to vessels (n = 84); 41% of the total number of studies reviewed.....	38
<b>Table 3.4.</b> Studies addressing the responses of cetaceans to seismic surveys (n = 29); 14% of the total number of studies reviewed.....	39
<b>Table 3.5.</b> Studies addressing the responses of cetaceans to laboratory-based studies (n=22); 11% of the total number of studies reviewed.....	40
<b>Table 3.6.</b> Studies addressing the responses of cetaceans to sonars (n = 21); 10% of the total number of studies reviewed.....	41



<b>Table 3.7.</b> Studies addressing the responses of cetaceans to pingers (n = 19); 9% of the total number of studies reviewed.....	42
<b>Table 3.8.</b> Studies addressing the responses of cetaceans to construction and industrial activities (n = 18) and oceanic research (n = 6); 9% and 3% of the total number of studies reviewed, respectively.....	43
<b>Table 3.9.</b> Studies addressing the responses of cetaceans to aircrafts (n = 4) and background noise (n = 3); 3% of the total number of studies reviewed.....	44
<b>Table 3.10.</b> Cetacean species studied and the responses found to vessels in New Zealand.....	45
<b>Table 4.1.</b> List of other species of cetacean found in New Zealand waters.....	70
<b>Table 5.1.</b> Species chosen for MaxEnt analysis, showing the threat classification allocated by UICN and the New Zealand Threat Classification System.....	90
<b>Table 5.2.</b> Environmental variables used in MaxEnt (Developed by MEC project).....	91
<b>Table 5.3.</b> Correlation between the environmental variables used in this analysis. Correlations (r) > 0.80 are shown in bold.....	95
<b>Table 5.4.</b> AUC values and most important predictive environmental variables for each species assessed with MaxEnt.....	95
<b>Table 7.1.</b> Summary of some marine mammal mitigation measures used during seismic surveys.....	137
<b>Table 7.2.</b> Summary of some marine mammal mitigation measures used during whale-watching activities.....	211

# Glossary<sup>1</sup>

---

- **Attenuation:** Decrease of sound pressure levels/acoustic energy.
- **Audiogram:** Graph showing the absolute auditory threshold versus frequency
- **Auditory threshold (hearing threshold):** Minimum sound level that can be perceived by an animal in the absence of background noise.
- **Bandwidth:** Range of frequencies of a given sound.
- **Critical band:** Frequency band within which ambient/background noise has strong effects on detection of a sound at a particular frequency.
- **Critical ratio:** Is the difference in level between a tone at the threshold of aural detection and the spectrum level of masking noise at the same frequency (Cato et al., 2004)<sup>2</sup>.
- **Decibel (dB):** Unit of sound level measured by comparing a sound pressure (P) to a reference pressure (1µPa for underwater sound reference and 20 µPa in air). Decibels are on a logarithmic scale (usually sound level (dB) = 20 log(P/Pref)) (Lusseau, 2008)<sup>3</sup>.
- **Duty cycle:** Percent of a time a given event occurs. A 1 s long tone with silent intervals of 1 s has a duty cycle of 50%.
- **Evoked potential:** Electrical signal that is emitted in the nervous system in response to a stimulus such as a sound (Lusseau 2008)<sup>3</sup>.
- **Masking:** Obscuring of sounds of interest by interfering sounds at similar frequencies.

---

<sup>1</sup> Glossary after Thomsen, F., Lüdemann, K., Kafemann, R., & Piper, W. (2006). Effects of offshore wind farm noise on marine mammals and fish. *Biola, Hamburg, Germany on behalf of COWRIE Ltd*, 62.

<sup>2</sup> Cato, D. H., McCauley, R. D., & Noad, M. (2004, November). Potential effects of noise from human activities on marine animals. In *Annual Conference of the Australian Acoustical Society* (pp. 369-374).

<sup>3</sup> Lusseau, D. (2008). Understanding the impacts of noise on marine mammals. In J. Higham & M. Lück (Eds.), *Marine wildlife and tourism management* (pp. 206-218). UK.

- **Octave band:** Interval between two discrete frequencies having a frequency ratio of two.
- **One-third-octave-band:** Interval of 1/3 of an octave. Three adjacent 1/3 octave bands span one octave.
- **Peak-to-peak (p-p):** Is the difference of pressure between the maximum positive pressure and the maximum negative pressure in a sound wave.
- **Permanent threshold shift (PTS):** A permanent elevation of the hearing threshold due to physical damage to the sensory hair cells of the ear.
- **Propagation loss (transmission loss):** Loss of sound power with increasing distance.
- **Pulse:** A transient sound having a finite duration.
- **Source level (SL):** Acoustic pressure at a standard reference distance of 1 m. Unit in dB re 1  $\mu$ Pa at 1 m (sometimes given as: @ 1m).
- **Sound pressure level (SPL):** Expression of the sound pressure in decibel (dB).
- **Temporary threshold shift (TTS):** Temporal and reversible elevation of the auditory threshold.