

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**A comparison between a traditionally periodised programme and
a load autoregulated periodised programme for maximal
strength gain in the squat, bench press, and deadlift in weight-
trained males**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science

In

Exercise and Sport Science

at Massey University, Manawatū, New Zealand.

Jeremy Fraser

2016

Abstract

Background: Training towards the goal of improving maximal strength is commonly undertaken; particularly by athletes involved in contact sports, powerlifters, and recreational body builders. Multiple methods of programming exist, with autoregulated (AR) training being a popular topic within the training community. AR training involves day to day fluctuations in volume and/or intensity in order to accommodate the athlete's performance on a given day. This could potentially allow for greater gains in strength due to fine tuning of the fatigue-fitness interaction. However, scant research exists on AR training, with the vast majority being carried out on individuals during rehabilitation therapy.

Aim: To examine whether a load-autoregulated strength training programme is more effective in improving maximal strength in the squat, bench press, and dead lift than a traditionally periodised program, in experienced weight-trained individuals.

Methods: Eight healthy, recreationally trained males agreed to participate and completed this study. Each participant completed a traditionally (TD) programme and an AR programme in a randomised, cross-over design with a 2-week wash out period between. Each programme involved baseline one-repetition-maximum testing (1RM) in the barbell squat, bench press, and deadlift followed by eight weeks of training with subsequent 1RM testing. Following warm up, participants completed one set of as many repetitions as possible (AMRAP) at 85% of baseline 1RM, followed by subsequent working sets. 1RM Prediction equations were utilised in the AR training group to dictate load used in the working sets; whereas the TD groups subsequent sets were based on baseline 1RM.

Results: The squat, deadlift, and total improved significantly within each programme (all $p < 0.05$), however no differences between programmes were present (all $p > 0.05$). Bench press strength improvement was significantly greater in the TD programme (time x programme interaction $p < 0.05$).

Conclusions: The present study found no differences in effectiveness of programmes at producing strength gain in the squat, deadlift, or total weight lifted. However the TD programme resulted in a greater improvement in bench press strength compared to AR. Future research would also involve auto-regulated volume, as well as ensuring matched cross over design, and ideally a use of more trained participants.

Acknowledgements

I would firstly like to give thanks to Dr Matthew Barnes and Dr Darryl Cochrane. Matt was my supervisor for the past two years of postgraduate study. Through his help I was able to conduct research within the area of exercise science that I found the most interesting. He was always extremely efficient and helpful whenever I needed assistance on any topic related to my studies. Thanks to Darryl for assistance with the thesis, for the help with the formatting and the content within. Both of your down-to-earth, cheerful attitudes helped me to get through my studies (relatively) stress free!

To my participants; I cannot say thank you enough for the amount of time and effort you put in to enable me to conduct research. It was a big commitment for each of you to participate in a five month long training study, and for that I am grateful.

Thank you to my family. You have given me the opportunity to dedicate so much of my time to studying a topic that I am so passionate about.

Finally, thank you to my girlfriend Jasmine. Throughout my entire university life you have been a great source of motivation for me to complete all of my study to a high standard.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-------------|
| Abstract | ii |
| Acknowledgements | iv |
| Table of Contents | v |
| Abbreviations | viii |
| List of Tables | x |
| List of Figures | xi |
| Chapter 1 – Introduction | 12 |
| Chapter 2 – Literature Review | 15 |
| 2.1 Response to Resistance Exercise..... | 15 |
| 2.1.1 Metabolic Fatigue..... | 15 |
| 2.1.2 Mechanical Tension | 16 |
| 2.1.3 Exercise Induced Muscle Damage | 17 |
| 2.1.4 Neural Responses..... | 18 |
| 2.1.5 Adaptation | 19 |
| 2.2 Training Programmes | 21 |
| 2.2.1 Non-periodised Programmes | 22 |
| 2.2.2 Linear Periodisation versus Non-periodised Programmes..... | 23 |
| 2.2.3 Reverse Linear Periodisation..... | 24 |
| 2.2.4 Undulating Periodisation..... | 25 |
| 2.2.4.1 Daily and Weekly Undulating Periodisation..... | 27 |
| 2.2.5 Block Periodisation..... | 30 |
| 2.2.6 Autoregulated Periodisation | 31 |
| 2.2.7 Limitations of Previous Research..... | 35 |
| 2.2.8 Summary | 36 |
| 2.3 Maximal Strength Testing | 44 |
| 2.4 Training for Maximal Strength Gain | 45 |
| Chapter 3 – Research Aim and Hypotheses | 49 |
| Chapter 4 – Methods | 50 |
| 4.1 Overview..... | 50 |
| 4.2 Participants | 51 |
| 4.3 Experimental Protocol | 51 |
| 4.3.1 Familiarisation Session | 51 |
| 4.3.2 Wash-Out Period | 52 |
| 4.3.3 Baseline Measures..... | 52 |
| 4.3.4 Criteria for a Successful Lift..... | 53 |

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 4.3.5 Programme Outline..... | 53 |
| 4.3.6 Assistance Exercises..... | 55 |
| 4.3.7 Training Diary & Participant Monitoring..... | 56 |
| 4.3.8 Diet Control..... | 56 |
| 4.3.9 Participation Compensation..... | 57 |
| 4.4 Statistical Analysis..... | 57 |
| 4.4.1 Formula validation..... | 57 |
| 4.4.2 Training Volume..... | 58 |
| 4.4.3 Training Intensity..... | 58 |
| 4.4.4 Maximal Strength Performance..... | 58 |
| 4.4.5 Submaximal Repetition Performance..... | 59 |
| Chapter 5 – Results | 60 |
| 5.1 Bodyweight | 60 |
| 5.2 Formula Validation | 60 |
| 5.3 Training Volume..... | 61 |
| 5.4 Training Intensity..... | 61 |
| 5.5 Maximal Strength Performance | 63 |
| 5.6 Submaximal Repetition Performance:..... | 64 |
| 5.7 Order Effect of Training..... | 66 |
| Chapter 6 – Discussion | 67 |
| 6.1 Primary Performance Measure: Maximal Strength..... | 67 |
| 6.1.1 Training Volume..... | 69 |
| 6.1.2 Training Intensity and Formula Validation..... | 69 |
| 6.1.3 Order Effect of Training..... | 70 |
| 6.2 Long Term Progress | 70 |
| 6.3 Limitations..... | 71 |
| 6.4 Future Research | 72 |
| Chapter 7 – Conclusion | 73 |
| References..... | 74 |
| List of Appendices..... | 87 |
| Appendix A..... | 87 |
| Appendix B..... | 87 |
| Appendix C..... | 87 |
| Appendix D..... | 87 |
| Appendix E..... | 87 |
| Appendix F..... | 87 |
| Appendix A..... | 88 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Participant Information Sheet..... | 88 |
| Appendix B..... | 97 |
| Health Screening Questionnaire..... | 97 |
| Appendix C..... | 100 |
| Training History Questionnaire..... | 100 |
| Appendix D..... | 103 |
| Consent Form..... | 103 |
| Appendix E..... | 105 |
| Training Diary..... | 105 |
| Appendix F..... | 106 |
| 1RM Table Example (for squats and deadlifts)..... | 106 |

Abbreviations

A

| | |
|-------|---------------------------------|
| AR | Autoregulated |
| AKT | Protein kinase B |
| AMRAP | As many repetitions as possible |

B

| | |
|----|----------------------|
| BP | Block periodisation |
| B1 | Baseline testing one |
| B2 | Baseline testing two |

C

| | |
|-----|------------------------|
| CNS | Central nervous system |
| CSA | Cross sectional area |

D

| | |
|-----|--------------------------------|
| DUP | Daily undulating periodisation |
|-----|--------------------------------|

E

| | |
|------|--------------------------------|
| EIMD | Exercise-induced muscle damage |
| EMG | Electromyography |
| ES | Effect size |

F

| | |
|----|-------------------|
| FP | Foot position |
| F1 | Final testing one |
| F2 | Final testing two |

G

| | |
|---|----------------------------|
| g | Gravitational acceleration |
|---|----------------------------|

H

| | |
|----|------------|
| HR | Heart rate |
|----|------------|

K

| | |
|----|-----------|
| kg | Kilograms |
|----|-----------|

L

| | |
|----------|------------------------------------|
| LP | Linear periodisation |
| M | |
| mTOR | Mammalian target of rapamycin |
| N | |
| NP | Non-periodised |
| R | |
| RIR | Repetitions in reserve |
| RLP | Reverse linear periodisation |
| RPE | Rating of perceived exertion |
| T | |
| TD | Traditionally periodised programme |
| U | |
| UP | Undulating periodisation |
| W | |
| WUP | Weekly undulating periodisation |
| W1T1 | Week one trial one |
| W8T1 | Week eight trial one |
| # | |
| 1RM | One repetition maximum |
| 3x10 | 3 sets of 10 repetitions per set |

List of Tables

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 2.1. Determining the magnitude of effect size in strength training research..... | 20 |
| Table 2.2. Summary of the methodology and performance changes of the various periodisation models..... | 36 |
| Table 2.3. Prilepin’s table | 44 |
| Table 4.1. Assistance exercises prescribed following main exercise..... | 53 |
| Table 5.1. Formula validation 1..... | 58 |
| Table 5.2. Formula validation 2..... | 59 |
| Table 5.3. Volume performed in the squat, bench press, and deadlift, for each programme | 59 |
| Table 5.4. 1RM for each powerlift at baseline and following each programme..... | 61 |
| Table 5.5. Effect size for each exercise and total for each programme and their magnitude | 62 |

List of Figures

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 2.1. Intensity and volume versus time for a NP programme.. Error! Bookmark not defined. | |
| Figure 2.2. Intensity and volume versus time for a LP programme 23Error! Bookmark not defined. | |
| Figure 2.3. Intensity and volume versus time for a RLP programme | 24 |
| Figure 2.4. Intensity and volume versus time for a WUP programme | 25 |
| Figure 2.5. Intensity and volume versus time for a BP programme representing blocks of muscular hypertrophy, maximal strength, and power | 30 |
| Figure 4.1. Timeline for experimental protocol | 48 |
| Figure 5.1. 1RM used to prescribe training load in the squat..... | 60 |
| Figure 5.2. 1RM used to prescribe training load in the bench press..... | 60 |
| Figure 5.3. 1RM used to prescribe training load in the deadlift | 61 |
| Figure 5.4. AMRAP performance in the squat | 62 |
| Figure 5.5. AMRAP performance in the bench press..... | 63 |
| Figure 5.6. AMRAP performance in the deadlift..... | 63 |