

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

*FEASIBILITY OF A SOLAR PHOTO-VOLTAIC SYSTEM AS
AN ENERGY SOURCE FOR LIGHTING IN GRID-
CONNECTED RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS IN CAMEROON:
CASE STUDY OF BUEA*



**A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Environmental Management (without major)
at Massey University, Palmerston North (New Zealand)**

Enongene Kevin Enongene

(2016)

Abstract

Cameroon has the second largest hydropower potential in Africa after the Democratic Republic of Congo. However, even with this potential, electricity supply in the country is insufficient and unreliable especially in the midst of the dry season, thus the many residents affected are inconvenienced due to lack of energy for lighting. This and coupled with climate change constraints, necessitates the investigation of measures geared towards effective utilization of the available energy from the grid and the feasibility of an alternative energy source to be employed in the onsite generation of electricity in residential buildings for lighting. In this research, a total of 100 residential dwellings of different classes (T1 to T7) were surveyed in the town of Buea, Cameroon. The survey employed the use of a questionnaire designed to collect data on current lighting technologies used in dwellings and the electricity load for lighting and basic communication appliances (radios and mobile phone chargers) of the dwellings. An economic and environmental analysis for transition towards efficient lighting in the surveyed dwellings was conducted. The load profiles of the dwellings classified from the k-means algorithm in R Statistics were used in the HOMER Pro software for a techno-economic modelling of residential PV systems (stand-alone and grid back-up) to meet the load of the dwellings. The survey had a questionnaire return rate of 92%. Results of the survey revealed that artificial lighting in the dwellings is achieved through the use of the following technologies: incandescent lamps, compact fluorescent lamps (CFL) and fluorescent tubes. The economic assessment of efficient lighting transition in the dwellings for an artificial daily lighting duration of six hours revealed a net present value (NPV) that ranges from \$47 (T1 building) to \$282.02 (T5 building), a benefit cost ratio (BCR) of 1.84 and a simple payback period (PBP) of 0.17 year (2 months) for the substitution of current incandescent lamps in dwellings with CFL. The substitution of incandescent lamps with light emitting diodes (LED) revealed an NPV of the range \$89.14 (T1 building) to \$370 (T5 building), a BCR of 3.18 and a PBP of 1.92 years (23 months). The substitution of incandescent lamps with CFL and LED results to a reduction in lighting related greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from dwellings by 66.6% and 83.3% respectively. Results from the HOMER modelling revealed a levelized cost of electricity (LCOE) of the PV system under the following parameters: 0% annual capacity shortage, 40% minimum battery state of charge (SOC), 25 years PV lifetime, 5% discount rate and 2% inflation rate to be 10 to 13 times more expensive

(stand-alone system) and four to eight times more expensive (back-up system) compared to the grid electricity. The PV systems have potentials to save an annual emission of 89.17 to 527.37 kgCO_{2-e} for the stand-alone system. Favourable government policies are necessary to spur the deployment of these low carbon technologies in the residential sector of Cameroon.

Acknowledgements

My sincere gratitude goes to Dr. Phil Murray and Associate Professor John D. Holland for playing the supervisory role to this piece of work through their invaluable insights, guidance and constructive criticisms. I am equally grateful to Dr. Karen Hytten for her support accorded to me throughout my study at Massey University.

I wish to thank the International Student Support team of Massey University for their support and encouragement throughout my course. I am indebted to Dr. Doris Adenyika and Mr. Joseph Kimenyi of Palmerston North, New Zealand for their assistance during my stay in New Zealand. I owe a great deal to my friends and course mates at Massey University with whom I studied and shared knowledge.

I am grateful to Dr. Nkwatoh Athanasuis Fuashi of the University of Buea and Dr. Abanda Henry Fonbenyin of the School of the Built Environment in the University of Oxford Brookes for their invaluable assistance in the data collection process through their review of the data collection tool. My appreciation goes to Dr. Mathieu Vignes for assisting me with my data analysis.

Special thanks to my mum and siblings who have always supported and encouraged me in every step I take in the academic ladder. I wish to seize this opportunity to express my thanks to the New Zealand Agency for International Development (NZ Aid) for awarding me a scholarship to undertake this course at Massey University, New Zealand.

Above all, I thank God Almighty for giving me the grace that enabled me to see this programme of study to completion.

Table of Content

| | |
|---|------|
| Abstract | i |
| Acknowledgements | iii |
| Table of Content | iv |
| List of Tables | vii |
| List of Figures | viii |
| Acronyms | xi |
| Chapter 1: Introduction and background | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 Problem statement | 4 |
| 1.3 Aim and objectives | 5 |
| 1.4 Limitation of the study | 5 |
| 1.5 Key assumption | 6 |
| 1.6 Significance of study | 6 |
| 1.7 Background of study area | 6 |
| 1.7.1 Climate | 6 |
| 1.7.2 Socio-economic profile of Buea | 7 |
| 1.7.3 Economic Activities | 7 |
| 1.8 Thesis outline | 8 |
| Chapter 2: Literature review | 10 |
| 2.1 Introduction | 10 |
| 2.2 Cameroon's electricity sector | 10 |
| 2.2.1 Electricity generation capacity | 10 |
| 2.2.2 Electricity supply and demand | 10 |
| 2.2.3 Reliability of electricity supply | 14 |
| 2.2.4 Planned works | 14 |
| 2.3 Cameroon dwellings | 15 |
| 2.4 Residential electricity consumption | 16 |
| 2.4.1 Common household electrical appliances used in Cameroon dwellings | 16 |
| 2.4.2 Factors that accounts for load profile of dwellings | 17 |
| 2.4.3 Classification of electricity time-of-use profiles | 18 |
| 2.5 Energy efficient lighting technologies | 20 |
| 2.5.1 Comparison of different lighting technologies | 20 |
| 2.5.2 Evolution and adoption of efficient lighting technologies | 21 |
| 2.6 Solar energy resource in Cameroon | 24 |
| 2.7 Solar PV system | 26 |
| 2.7.1 The photovoltaic effect | 26 |
| 2.7.2 Types of solar cells | 27 |
| 2.7.3 Types of PV system | 28 |
| 2.7.4 PV system components | 30 |
| 2.8 Design of a PV system | 37 |
| 2.8.1 Sizing of array | 37 |
| 2.8.2 Battery storage | 40 |
| 2.8.3 Controller Specification | 41 |
| 2.8.4 Determination of inverter size | 41 |
| 2.9 Cost of PV system components in Cameroon | 41 |
| 2.10 Installation and maintenance cost of PV systems | 42 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 2.11 Economic, Social and Environmental benefits of PV systems | 43 |
| 2.11.1 Economic | 43 |
| 2.11.2 Social benefits of PV systems | 48 |
| 2.11.3 Environmental benefits of PV systems | 49 |
| 2.12 Case study analysis of solar PV projects in West African Countries | 51 |
| 2.13 Review of the HOMER Software | 53 |
| 2.14 Chapter Summary | 54 |
| Chapter 3: Materials and Methods | 55 |
| 3.1 Introduction | 55 |
| 3.2 Data collection | 55 |
| 3.2.1 Questionnaire preparation | 56 |
| 3.2.2 Household survey and questionnaire administration | 57 |
| 3.3 Data analysis | 58 |
| 3.3.1 Data inputting into excel | 58 |
| 3.3.2 Economic and environmental analysis for transition towards efficient lighting. | 58 |
| 3.3.3 Economic analysis of efficient lighting transition | 59 |
| 3.3.4 Environmental analysis of efficient lighting transition | 61 |
| 3.3.5 Load profile computation | 62 |
| 3.3.6 Load profile classification | 63 |
| 3.4 Correlation and Regression analysis | 63 |
| 3.5 Modelling of PV systems | 63 |
| 3.5.1 Design of PV system | 63 |
| 3.5.2 Economic analysis of PV systems | 67 |
| 3.5.3 Sensitivity analysis of the PV system modelling | 67 |
| 3.5.4 Environmental analysis of the residential solar PV systems | 68 |
| 3.6 Chapter summary | 68 |
| Chapter 4: Results | 70 |
| 4.1 Introduction | 70 |
| 4.2 Socio-economic information of respondents | 70 |
| 4.2.1 Gender | 70 |
| 4.2.2 Marital status of respondents | 70 |
| 4.2.3 Occupation and level of education of respondents | 71 |
| 4.2.4 Monthly income level of households | 72 |
| 4.2.5 Household size and composition | 72 |
| 4.3 Characteristics of dwellings | 73 |
| 4.3.1 Unit type | 73 |
| 4.3.2 Building class | 73 |
| 4.4 Current lighting technologies employed in households | 74 |
| 4.4.1 Preference to lighting technologies | 74 |
| 4.4.2 Current bulbs used in dwellings | 74 |
| 4.4.3 Alternative lighting technologies used by households during grid failure | 78 |
| 4.5 Economic and environmental potential of efficient lighting adoption | 78 |
| 4.5.1 Factors predicting the adoption of LED bulbs | 78 |
| 4.5.2 Economic potential of efficient lighting adoption | 79 |
| 4.5.3 Environmental Potential of efficient lighting adoption | 88 |
| 4.6 Time-of-use profile of dwellings | 89 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 4.6.1 Factors affecting load of dwellings | 89 |
| 4.6.2 Classes of time-of-use profiles | 90 |
| 4.6.3 Residential buildings per class of electricity time-of-use profile | 93 |
| 4.7 Techno-economic modelling of a residential solar photovoltaic system using HOMER Pro. | 93 |
| 4.7.1 Stand-alone PV system | 93 |
| 4.7.2 Solar PV-grid system | 98 |
| 4.8 Chapter summary | 103 |
| Chapter 5: Discussion | 104 |
| 5.1 Introduction | 104 |
| 5.2 Lighting technologies employed in households | 104 |
| 5.3 Factors predicting LED adoption | 105 |
| 5.3.1 Income and level of education of households | 105 |
| 5.3.2 Market distortions associated with unit type of dwellings | 106 |
| 5.4 Economic potential of efficient lighting adoption | 106 |
| 5.4.1 Effect of lighting duration, discount rate and lifetime of lamp on the economic potential of efficient lighting | 107 |
| 5.4.2 Effect of government subsidy on return on investment of LED | 109 |
| 5.5 Environmental Potential of Efficient lighting adoption | 109 |
| 5.6 Factors affecting the load of surveyed dwellings | 110 |
| 5.7 Time-of-use electricity profile classes | 110 |
| 5.8 Techno-economic modelling of residential photo-voltaic system | 112 |
| 5.8.1 Stand-alone and back-up PV system | 112 |
| 5.8.2 Sensitivity analysis | 113 |
| 5.8.3 Comparison of stand-alone PV system and PV-grid system | 114 |
| 5.8.4 Potential environmental and social benefits of the PV system | 114 |
| 5.9 Chapter summary | 115 |
| Chapter 6: Conclusion and Recommendations | 116 |
| 6.1 Introduction | 116 |
| 6.2 Conclusion | 116 |
| 6.3 Recommendations | 119 |
| 6.4 Chapter summary | 119 |
| References | 120 |
| Appendix 1: Questionnaire employed in data collection | 130 |
| Appendix 2: Computation of the economic and environmental potentials of transition towards efficient lighting | 139 |

List of Tables

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 1: Forecast of electricity consumption growth by 2025 compared to 2012. | 11 |
| Table 2: Categorisation of residential buildings in Cameroon (differences between categories are in italics). | 15 |
| Table 3: Comparison of characteristics of different lighting technologies. | 20 |
| Table 4 Data for different types of lighting technology. | 21 |
| Table 5: Cost of PV system components in Cameroon. | 42 |
| Table 6: PV system component cost in Cameroon obtained from Haute Energy Systems Ltd. | 42 |
| Table 7: Top 20 solar photovoltaic PV projects in West Africa. | 52 |
| Table 8: Method employed in the computation of NPV for transition towards efficient lighting (CFL) | 59 |
| Table 9: Method employed in the computation of BCR and PBP for transition towards efficient lighting (CFL) | 60 |
| Table 10: ROI computation for substituting incandescent lamp with LED. | 60 |
| Table 11: Method employed in the computation of emission savings associated with the transition towards efficient lighting (CFL) | 62 |
| Table 12: Sensitivity parameters employed in the HOMER modelling. | 68 |
| Table 13: Marital status of respondents. | 71 |
| Table 14: Occupation of respondents. | 72 |
| Table 15: Monthly income level of households. | 72 |
| Table 16: Household size. | 73 |
| Table 17: Building class of surveyed building. | 73 |
| Table 18: Summary of multiple regression analysis for LED adoption. | 79 |
| Table 19: Average number of incandescent bulbs used in dwellings. | 80 |
| Table 20: Input data for the different bulb types. | 80 |
| Table 21: Quantity of energy consumed (kWh/year) by each lighting technology at six hours of use daily. | 80 |
| Table 22: Annual electricity cost (CFA) for lighting of different lamps for the base case (six hours of lighting). | 81 |
| Table 23: Results of economic analysis for substitution incandescent lamps with efficient lighting based on six hours lighting duration over the 22-year project duration. | 82 |
| Table 24: Results of economic analysis based on daily lighting duration of four hours over the 34-year project duration. | 82 |
| Table 25: Results of economic analysis based on daily lighting duration of 8 hours over the 17-year project duration. | 83 |
| Table 26: Result of sensitivity analysis using 10% discount rate. | 85 |
| Table 27: Greenhouse gas emission savings (kgCO _{2-e}) for replacing incandescent lamp by CFL and LED. | 88 |
| Table 28: Multiple regression analysis summary for electrical load of dwellings. | 90 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 29: Average daily electricity profile (in watt-hour) for each profile class as depicted in Figure 40. | 92 |
| Table 30: Number of each building class per electricity time-of-use profile. | 93 |
| Table 31: Results of technical specification of system components for stand-alone system. | 93 |
| Table 32: Effect of maximum annual capacity shortage and battery state on charge on system components. | 95 |
| Table 33: Results of economic analysis of the stand-alone system for the base case. | 96 |
| Table 34: Result of system component specification for back-up PV system for the base case. | 98 |
| Table 35: Economic analysis of grid connected PV system over a 25-year lifetime. | 101 |
| Table 36: Annual emission reductions (kgCO _{2-e}) associated with the use of PV systems in dwellings. | 103 |
| Table 37: Lifetime, power rating, energy consumption and Cost comparison of different lighting technologies. | 138 |
| Table 38: Computation of NPV for transition from incandescent lighting to CFL. | 139 |
| Table 39: Computation of benefit cost ratio and simple payback period for transition from incandescent lighting to CFL. | 139 |
| Table 40: Computation of return on investment for substituting incandescent lamp with LED. | 139 |
| Table 41: Environmental analysis computation for substituting incandescent lamp with CFL. | 140 |

List of Figures

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 1: Map showing the location of the study area in Cameroon (Source: adapted from Google map). | 8 |
| Figure 2: Map of electricity generation and transmission networks in Cameroon. Source: Nfah et al. (2008). | 13 |
| Figure 3: Installed capacity, available capacity and electric power demand in 2010 in Cameroon. | 13 |
| Figure 4: Electricity time-of-use profile of a dwelling in Yaounde, Cameroon (Source: Manjia et al., 2015). | 18 |
| Figure 5: Classified daily electricity profiles in watts (x-axis: midnight to midnight) according to Stoecklein et al. (2001). | 19 |
| Figure 6: Direct, normal plane solar irradiation map of Cameroon (Solar GIS, 2014). | 25 |
| Figure 7: Components of a stand-alone PV system (Abdul and Anjum, 2015). | 29 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Figure 8: I-V curve and characteristics of a typical module. | 31 |
| Figure 9: Influence of junction temperature of PV cell on open circuit voltage. (Source: Huang et al., 2011). | 32 |
| Figure 10: Global map of PV LCOE (Source: Ondraczek et al., 2015). | 47 |
| Figure 11: Environmental impacts of electricity generation technologies for selected impact categories shown relative to the maximum (=1) in each impact category. NG=natural gas; NGCC=natural gas combined cycle; CCS=carbon capture and storage; EPR=European pressurized reactor; PV=photovoltaics; CSP=concentrated solar power (Source: Treyer & Bauer, 2015). | 51 |
| Figure 12: Conceptual relationship between simulation, optimization and sensitivity analysis. | 53 |
| Figure 13: Schematic of research methodology. | 55 |
| Figure 14: Schematic of stand-alone (left) and grid back-up (right) PV systems. | 64 |
| Figure 15: Home page of the HOMER Pro software. | 64 |
| Figure 16: Screen capture of the Load tab of HOMER Pro | 65 |
| Figure 17: Screen capture showing the different components (in red rectangle) under the Component tab of HOMER Pro. | 66 |
| Figure 18: Reliability tab of the advanced grid component. | 66 |
| Figure 19: Average daily solar radiation for Buea obtained from HOMER Pro software. | 67 |
| Figure 20: Gender of Respondents. | 70 |
| Figure 21: Level of Education of respondents. | 71 |
| Figure 22: Households preference for lighting technologies based on knowledge of associated energy savings. | 74 |
| Figure 23: Current lighting technologies used in dwellings. | 75 |
| Figure 24: power rating and numbers of incandescent bulbs used in dwellings. | 75 |
| Figure 25: 60W incandescent lamp used in dwellings. | 76 |
| Figure 26: 20W compact fluorescent lamp used in residential dwellings. | 76 |
| Figure 27: Power rating of CFLs used in dwellings. | 77 |
| Figure 28: A 60W fluorescent tube used in dwellings. | 77 |
| Figure 29: Alternative lighting technologies used in dwellings. | 78 |
| Figure 30: Variation of energy consumption with number of lighting hours. | 81 |
| Figure 31: Variation of NPV with daily lighting duration. | 83 |
| Figure 32: IRR of CFL and LED for different lighting durations. | 84 |
| Figure 33: BCR of CFL and LED for different lighting durations. | 84 |
| Figure 34: Payback period of CFL and LED for different lighting durations. | 85 |
| Figure 35: NPV of CFL and LED at 5 and 10% discount rate. | 86 |
| Figure 36: BCR of CFL and LED at 5 and 10% discount rate. | 86 |
| Figure 37: Effect of lifetime of LED on NPV. | 87 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Figure 38: Return of investment for different subsidy rate and lighting durations by substituting incandescent lamps with LEDs in the first year. | 88 |
| Figure 39: Results of sensitivity analysis on the environmental benefits of replacing incandescent lamps by CFLs and LEDs. | 89 |
| Figure 40: Daily electricity profile classes in watts (x-axis: midnight-to-midnight, y-axis: watts) obtained from the k-means algorithm. | 91 |
| Figure 41: Monthly average electricity production for stand-alone PV system for different profile classes as obtained from modelling in HOMER Pro. | 94 |
| Figure 42: Effect of discount rate (Left) and inflation rate (Right) on the LCOE of the stand-alone PV system. | 96 |
| Figure 43: Effect of maximum annual capacity shortage (Left) and PV lifetime (Right) on the LCOE for stand-alone PV system. | 97 |
| Figure 44: Effect of the minimum battery state of charge on the LCOE for stand-alone PV system. | 97 |
| Figure 45: Effect of maximum annual capacity shortage in 5% increments against PV array size (Left) and number of battery (Right) for back-up PV system. | 98 |
| Figure 46: Effect of minimum battery state of charge on battery size (Left) and PV array size (Right) for the back-up PV system. | 99 |
| Figure 47: Monthly electricity production of back-up PV system for the different electricity profile classes with the grid outage period shown (from January to June). | 100 |
| Figure 48: Effect of discount rate (Left) and inflation rate (Right) on the LCOE of the grid back-up PV system. | 101 |
| Figure 49: Effect of maximum annual capacity shortage (Left) and PV module lifetime (Right) on the LCOE of the grid back-up PV system. | 102 |
| Figure 50: Effect of battery minimum state on the LCOE of grid back-up PV system. | 102 |

Acronyms

| | |
|--------|--|
| AC | Alternating Current |
| BCR | Benefit Cost Ratio |
| CD | Compact Disc |
| CFL | Compact Fluorescent Lamp |
| DC | Direct Current |
| DVD | Digital Video Disc |
| ESDP | Electricity Sector Development Programme |
| GHG | Greenhouse Gas |
| GWh | gigawatt-hour |
| HOMER | Hybrid Optimization Model for Renewable Energy |
| IRR | Internal Rate of Return |
| kW | kilowatt |
| kWh | kilowatt-hour |
| LED | Light Emitting Diode |
| MPPT | Maximum Power Point Tracker |
| MW | Mega-Watt |
| NPC | Net Present Cost |
| NPV | Net Present Value |
| NREL | National Renewable Energy Laboratory |
| PBP | Simple Payback Period |
| PV | Photo Voltaic |
| SOC | State of Charge |
| SONARA | National Oil Refinery of Cameroon |
| STC | Standard Test Conditions |