

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**EARNINGS TARGET AND THE COMPETING USE OF
ABNORMAL R&D AND ABNORMAL ACCRUALS OF
R&D INTENSIVE FIRMS**

A thesis presented in partial
fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of

Master of Management

in

Accountancy

at Massey University

Auckland

New Zealand

Liu Yang

2008

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to examine the competing use of real earnings management and accruals management in certain specific circumstances of firms where both real earnings management and accruals management are costly and where firms can either use both or any of the two methods of earnings management to meet earnings targets.

A recent study, Zang (2005), examines the competing use of real earnings management and accruals management. She finds that in a broad sample firms tend to use real earnings management before accruals management. This study overlooks the issue that in such a sample firms that are not R&D intensive would find R&D reductions less costly than accruals management. She also overlooks the point that the tendency to use different methods of earnings management depends on how far the earnings are from the earnings targets.

I conduct an examination of the competing use of real earnings management and accruals management in a sample of R&D intensive firms. I use R&D intensive firms because R&D reduction can be costly for them as costs of future earnings generation capacities. I also consider the distance of a firm from meeting its earnings target using the two methods of earnings management.

My results indicate that when real earnings management and accruals management are both costly, firms tend to use both methods. However, as R&D activities are important for R&D intensive firms, they tend to use abnormal accruals more than abnormal R&D to manage their earnings. Based on such findings, I construe that the nature of the firm's activities and the distance of the earnings from the earnings target influence a firm's use of real earnings management and accruals management to meet its earnings target.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to all those who have assisted me in completing this thesis in various ways.

I would like to extend my special gratitude to my supervisor, Professor Asheq Rahman. His invaluable knowledge, wise guidance, extreme patience and continuous support have been appreciated. I would also like to extend my special gratitude to my advisor, Professor Michael Bradbury. His useful guidance, helpful criticisms and useful feedback have been appreciated. Without their helpful advice and continuous support, this study could not have been completed so smoothly.

I would like to extend my appreciation to the School of Accountancy, Massey University Auckland for providing facilities and support for my studies. I would like to acknowledge the support and help of the staffs, especially Associate Professor Jill Hooks, Dr Natasja Steenkamp and Mrs Glenyss Jones.

I would like to extend thanks to my family for their encouragement and support during this challenging experience of my master degree studies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Introduction	1
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1. Earnings management.....	5
2.1.1. Definition	5
2.1.2. Managers' incentives to meet earnings target.....	5
2.1.3. Types of earnings management	6
2.2. Accruals management.....	6
2.3. Real earnings management.....	8
2.3.1. R&D as a tool of real earnings management	8
2.4. Earnings management and institutional ownership.....	10
2.4.1. Accruals and institutional ownership.....	10
2.4.2. R&D and institutional ownership	10
2.5. Costs and benefits of accruals management and real earnings management.....	10
2.6. Trade-off between accruals management and real earnings management.....	12
CHAPTER 3: RESEARCH QUESTION	14
CHAPTER 4: HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT	18
CHAPTER 5: RESEARCH DESIGN	22
5.1. Tests for the H1a, H2a, H3a and H4a.....	22

5.1.1. Cross-tabs and Chi-square test for H1a	22
5.1.2. Cross-tabs and Chi-square test for H2a	22
5.1.3. Cross-tabs and Chi-square test for H3a	23
5.1.4. Cross-tabs and Chi-square test for H4a	23
5.2. Tests for the H1b, H2b, H3b and H4b.....	23
5.3. Tests for the H1c, H2c, H3c and H4c	23
5.3.1. Hausman test for H1c	25
5.3.2. Hausman test for H2c	25
5.3.3. Hausman test for H3c	26
5.3.4. Hausman test for H4c	26
5.4. Measurement of short-term earnings target	26
5.5. Measurement of ΔARD_{it}	27
5.6. Measurement of ΔAA_{it}	30
5.7. Measurement of control variables	32
CHAPTER 6: SAMPLE COLLECTION	35
6.1. Sample selection	35
6.2. Sub-samples selection.....	36
CHAPTER 7: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS AND EMPIRICAL	
RESULTS	37
7.1. Descriptive statistics for C1, C2, C3 and C4.....	37
7.2. Results for C1	38
7.3. Results for C2	39
7.4. Results for C3	40
7.5. Results for C4	41
7.6. Additional tests results for H1-H4.....	42
7.7. Discussion.....	42

CHAPTER 8: CONCLUSION, CONTRIBUTIONS, LIMITATIONS

AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH45

8.1. Conclusion.....45

8.2. Contributions.....46

8.3. Limitations.....48

8.4. Suggestions for the future research49

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Structure of this study50

Figure 2: Group firms into four categories in each sub-sample.....50

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Costs and benefits of earnings management.....51

Table 2: Summary of hypotheses in four different circumstances53

Table 3: Expectation of Hausman test.....54

Table 4: Sub-samples selection criteria.....56

Table 5: Variable Definitions57

Table 6: Sample and sub-sample selection.....59

Table 7: Descriptive statistics60

Table 8: Results for C1 Sub-Sample.....61

Table 9: Results for C2 Sub-Sample.....62

Table 10: Results for C3 Sub-Sample.....63

Table 11: Results for C4 Sub-Sample.....64

Table 12: Summary of the results	65
REFERENCES	66
APPENDIX	74
Appendix A: Results of R&D model for year t	74
Appendix B: Results of R&D model for year $t-1$	76
Appendix C: Results for DSS (1995) model for year t	78
Appendix D: Results for DSS (1995) model for year $t-1$	80
Appendix E: Industry classification	82