

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**High-Pressure-Induced Starch Gelatinisation and
Its Application in a Dairy System**

*A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Doctor of
Philosophy in Food Science at Massey University, Auckland, New Zealand.*

Hyunah Eustina Oh

2009

Abstract

This study investigated pressure-induced starch gelatinisation in water and milk suspensions. A rheological method, termed 'pasting curves', provided an objective and analytical means to determine the degree of pressure-induced starch gelatinisation. In addition, a polarised light microscope was used to observe birefringence of the starch granules and the degree of starch swelling was measured. The preliminary investigation into pressure-induced gelatinisation of six different starches showed that potato starch was the most pressure resistant and was not gelatinised after a pressure treatment of 600 MPa for 30 min at 20 °C. Waxy rice, waxy corn and tapioca starches showed complete gelatinisation after the same treatment while normal rice and normal corn starches were only partially gelatinised despite the disappearance of birefringence.

Based on the preliminary study, two starches (normal and waxy rice starches) were selected for more detailed studies. The effects of treatment conditions (pressure, temperature and duration) on the gelatinisation were investigated with these selected starches. The degree of gelatinisation was dependent on the type of starch and the treatment conditions. The results also indicated that different combinations of the treatment conditions (e.g. high treatment pressure for a short time and low treatment pressure for a longer time) could result in the same degree of gelatinisation. Both starch types exhibited sigmoidal-shaped pressure-induced gelatinisation curves and there was a linear correlation between the degree of swelling and the apparent viscosity of the starch suspension. After treatments at ≥ 500 MPa for 30 min at 20 °C, both starches lost all birefringence although the apparent viscosity and the degree of swelling of normal rice starch did not increase to the same extent as observed in waxy rice starch.

Pressure-induced gelatinisation of starch was retarded when starch was suspended in skim milk. This was attributed to the effect of soluble milk minerals and lactose present in the milk whereas milk proteins (casein and whey) did not affect the degree of gelatinisation at the levels present in 10% total solids skim milk. The presence of soluble milk and/or lactose may lead to less effective plasticising of starch chains by the suspension medium. Interactions between milk components and starch molecules may also play a role in retarding gelatinisation by reducing the mobility of starch chains.

The functionality of starch in a dairy application was tested using acid milk gels as a model system. Skim milk with added starch (waxy rice or potato starch) was either pressure treated (500 MPa, 20°C, 30 min) or heat treated (80°C, 30 min) and subsequently acidified to form acid milk gels. The addition of waxy rice starch resulted in firmer acid milk gels, and increasing the amount of starch caused an increase in the firmness of both pressure-treated and heat-treated samples. However, pressure-treated samples with added potato starch did not show significant changes in the firmness whereas the heat-treated counterparts showed a marked increase in the firmness as the level of potato starch increased. The difference between the effects of the two different starches can be explained by the extent of starch gelatinisation in skim milk. Starch granules absorb water during gelatinisation whether induced by pressure or heat which effectively increases milk protein concentration in the aqueous phase to form a denser protein gel network on acidification. The firmness of acid milk gels can be increased by adjusting the pH at pressure or heat treatment to higher than the natural pH of milk. The effect of pH at pressure or heat treatment and addition of starch on the acid milk gel firmness was additive and independent of each other up to a starch addition level of 1%.

This study provided an insight into pressure-induced gelatinisation of starch by showing gelatinisation properties of starches of different botanical origins and the effects of the treatment conditions (treatment pressure, treatment temperature and duration) on the degree of gelatinisation. Furthermore, the results from the pressure treatments of starch in dairy-based suspensions showed that pressure-induced gelatinisation was affected by other components in the system. These results demonstrate the importance of understanding the gelatinisation properties of starch in complicated food systems in which a number of other components are present. In terms of the application of starch in dairy systems, when starch was added to milk and gelatinised by pressure treatment, the acid milk gel produced by subsequent acidification was firmer than the acid milk gel made from skim milk alone.

Acknowledgements

It is my pleasure to acknowledge the people who made this thesis possible. First and foremost, I would like to express my utmost gratitude to my amazing supervisors: **Dr. Skelte Anema** (Fonterra), **Dr. Marie Wong** (Massey University) and **Dr. Neil Pinder** (Massey University). I could not have asked for a better team and I am forever grateful for all the training and encouragement you have given me over the years. I would also like to thank **Dr. Yacine Hemar** for his supervisory input at the earlier stage in this project.

I would like to thank everybody at **Fonterra Palmerston North** for having me in the lab and helping me in many ways, especially **Tim Carroll** for his assistance with the high pressure equipment and **Liz Nickless** for her technical assistance with the microscopy work.

To **mum and dad**, thank you for being there for me. Your love and support always kept me going even in the most challenging times.

I would also like to thank my friends for their friendship in this journey, especially, **Rob Hunter** for keeping my sanity (!) in the lab, **Angkana Noisuwan** for her company in those weekends and late nights working in the lab and **Scott Hutchings** and **Shannon Swan** for all the laughter in the postgrad office.

The financial support of the New Zealand Foundation for Research, Science and Technology (FCGL0467) and Fonterra Co-operative Group is gratefully acknowledged.

List of Contents

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
LIST OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
LIST OF SYMBOLS	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
CHAPTER 1 Introduction	1-0
<hr/>	
CHAPTER 2 Literature Review	2-0
<hr/>	
2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.2 High pressure process	2-3
2.2.1 Principle	2-3
2.2.2 Adiabatic heating during pressure treatment	2-4
2.2.3 Effects of pressure on food molecules	2-5
2.3 Starch	2-6
2.3.1 Properties of starch	2-6
2.3.2 Structure of starch granules	2-9
2.3.3 Thermal gelatinisation of starch	2-12
2.3.3.1 Physico-chemical changes during starch gelatinisation	2-12
2.3.3.2 Factors affecting thermal gelatinisation of starch	2-15
2.3.3.3 Techniques used in characterisation of thermal gelatinisation of starch	2-16
2.4 Pressure-induced starch gelatinisation	2-19
2.4.1 Mechanism	2-19
2.4.2 Experimental methods to estimate the degree of gelatinisation in pressure-treated starch	2-21
2.4.3 Factors affecting pressure-induced starch gelatinisation	2-21
2.4.4 Structural changes of starch granules due to pressure treatment	2-23
2.4.5 Swelling of starch granules	2-26
2.4.6 Leaching of amylose from starch granules	2-27
2.4.7 Properties of pressure-induced starch gels	2-28
2.5 Bovine Milk	2-29
2.5.1 Basic Physical-Chemical Properties of Bovine Milk	2-30
2.5.2 Milk Proteins	2-31
2.5.2.1 Whey Proteins	2-31
2.5.2.2 Caseins	2-33
2.5.3 Effect of thermal processes on the proteins in skim milk	2-36
2.5.3.1 Effect of heating on whey proteins	2-36
2.5.3.2 Heat induced association of casein micelles and whey proteins	2-39
2.5.4 Effects of high pressure processing on skim milk	2-41
2.5.4.1 Effect of pressure on whey proteins	2-42

2.5.4.2 Casein micelles	2-44
2.5.4.3 Pressure-induced association of casein micelles and whey proteins	2-47
2.5.5 Acid gelation of milk	2-48
2.5.5.1 Effect of heat or pressure treatment on the properties of acid milk gel systems	2-48
2.6 Conclusions	2-50
2.7 References	2-51
CHAPTER 3 Materials and Methods	3-0
3.1 Materials	3-1
3.2 Sample preparation	3-1
3.2.1 Reconstituted skim milk	3-1
3.2.2 Simulated milk ultra-filtrate (SMUF)	3-2
3.2.3 Whey protein- and lactose- depleted (WPLD) skim milk	3-3
3.2.4 Preparation of starch suspensions	3-3
3.2.5 pH adjustment for acid gelation samples	3-4
3.3 Pressure treatment of samples	3-4
3.4 Heat treatment of samples	3-7
3.4.1 Samples for characterising gelatinisation of starch under static heating conditions	3-7
3.4.2 Samples for acid gelation under stirred heating conditions	3-8
3.5 Rheological analyses of samples	3-8
3.5.1 Initial apparent viscosity and pasting curves of starch suspensions	3-8
3.5.2 Flow properties of milk samples with added starch	3-9
3.5.3 Acid gelation of skim milk with added starch	3-10
3.6 Degree of swelling of starch suspensions	3-13
3.7 Microscopy of samples	3-14
3.7.1 Light microscopy of starch suspensions	3-14
3.7.2 Confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) of acid milk gels	3-14
3.8 Assay of leached amylose and starch	3-15
3.8.1 Separation of solution phase	3-15
3.8.2 Determination of amylose and total starch	3-15
3.8.2.1 Preparation of reagents, buffers, solvents and enzymes	3-16
3.8.2.2 Pre-treatment	3-17
3.8.2.3 Determination of amylose	3-17
3.8.2.4 Determination of total starch	3-18
3.8.2.5 Calculations	3-19
3.9 Sampling and data analyses	3-19
3.10 References	3-20
CHAPTER 4 Effect of High-Pressure Treatment on Various Starch-in-Water Suspensions	4-0
Introduction	4-1

Publication: Oh, H. E., D. N. Pinder, Y. Hemar, S. G. Anema and M. Wong (2008a). "Effect of high-pressure treatment on various starch-in-water suspensions." <i>Food Hydrocolloids</i> 22 (1): 150-155	4-4
Abstract	4-4
1. Introduction	4-4
2. Materials and Methods	4-5
2.1 Materials	4-5
2.2 Sample preparation	4-5
2.3 High pressure treatment	4-5
2.4 Methods	4-5
3. Results	4-6
3.1 Degree of swelling	4-6
3.2 Initial viscosity and pasting curves	4-6
3.3 Polarized light microscopy	4-7
4. Discussion	4-7
Acknowledgement	4-9
References	4-9
CHAPTER 5 Effect of High-Pressure Treatment on Normal Rice and Waxy Rice Starch-in-Water Suspensions	5-0
<hr/>	
Introduction	5-1
Publication: Oh, H. E., Y. Hemar, S. G. Anema, M. Wong and D. N. Pinder (2008b). "Effect of high-pressure treatment on normal rice and waxy rice starch-in-water suspensions." <i>Carbohydrate Polymers</i> 73 (2): 332-343	5-4
Abstract	5-4
1. Introduction	5-4
2. Materials and Methods	5-5
2.1 Materials	5-5
2.2 Preparation of Starch Suspensions	5-5
2.3 High-pressure Treatment	5-5
2.4 Rheological Properties	5-5
2.5 Degree of Swelling	5-5
2.6 Light Microscopy	5-6
2.7 Total Starch and Amylose Assay	5-6
3. Results	5-6
3.1 Pasting Behaviour	5-6
3.2 Initial Viscosity (η_{initial})	5-7
3.3 Degree of Swelling	5-9
3.4 Light Microscopy	5-9
3.5 Leaching of Starch and Amylose	5-11
4. Discussion	5-12
5. Conclusions	5-14
Acknowledgement	5-14
References	5-14
Unpublished study: Effect of static heating on the starch-in-water suspensions	5-16
5.1 Effect of static heating on the starch-in-water suspensions	5-16
5.1.1 Introduction	5-16
5.1.2 Materials and methods	5-16

5.1.3 Results and Discussion	5-16
5.1.4 Conclusions	5-19
5.1.5 References	5-19
CHAPTER 6 Effect of Different Components in Skim Milk on High-Pressure-Induced Gelatinisation of Waxy Rice Starch and Normal Rice Starch	6-0
Introduction	6-1
Publication: Oh, H. E., S. G. Anema, D. N. Pinder and M. Wong (2009). "Effects of different components in skim milk on high-pressure-induced gelatinisation of waxy rice starch and normal rice starch." <i>Food Chemistry</i> 113(1): 1-8	6-3
Abstract	6-3
1. Introduction	6-3
2. Materials and Methods	6-4
2.1 Materials	6-4
2.2 Preparation of suspension media	6-4
2.3 Preparation of starch suspensions	6-4
2.4 High pressure treatment	6-4
2.5 Analysis of samples	6-4
3. Results and Discussion	6-4
3.1 General	6-4
3.2 Gelatinisation of starch-in-skim-milk suspensions	6-4
3.3 Effect of different skim milk components on the gelatinisation of starch	6-5
3.3.1 Gelatinisation curves and degree of swelling curves	6-5
3.3.2 Pasting curves and initial apparent viscosity (η_{initial})	6-5
3.3.2.1 Waxy rice starch	6-5
3.3.2.2 Normal rice starch	6-7
3.4 Light microscopy	6-8
4. Conclusions	6-9
Acknowledgement	6-9
References	6-10
CHAPTER 7 Application of Starch Gelatinisation in a Dairy System (Acid Milk Gel)	7-0
Introduction	7-1
Publication: Oh, H. E., S. G. Anema, M. Wong, D. N. Pinder and Y. Hemar (2007a). "Effect of potato starch addition on the acid gelation of milk." <i>International Dairy Journal</i> 17(7): 808-815	7-6
Abstract	7-6
1. Introduction	7-6
2. Materials and Methods	7-7
2.1 Materials	7-7
2.2 Sample preparation	7-7
2.3 Heat treatment of samples	7-7
2.4 Acid gelation and rheological measurements	7-7
2.5 Confocal scanning laser microscopy	7-8

3. Results and Discussion	7-8
3.1 Change in pH with time after GDL addition	7-8
3.2 Acid gelation curves	7-8
3.3 Viscoelastic properties of acid milk gels	7-9
3.4 Large deformation rheology	7-10
3.5 Confocal scanning laser microscopy	7-11
4. Conclusions	7-12
Acknowledgement	7-12
References	7-12
Publication: Oh, H. E., M. Wong, D. N. Pinder, Y. Hemar and S. G. Anema (2007b). "Effect of pH adjustment at heating on the rheological properties of acid skim milk gels with added potato starch." <i>International Dairy Journal</i> 17(12): 1384-1392	7-14
Abstract	7-14
1. Introduction	7-14
2. Materials and Methods	7-15
3. Results	7-15
3.1 Flow properties of heated milk samples	7-15
3.2 Acid gelation curves	7-16
3.3 Viscoelastic properties of acid milk gels	7-17
3.4 Large deformation rheology	7-18
3.5 Confocal scanning laser microscopy (CSLM)	7-18
4. Discussion	7-19
5. Conclusions	7-21
Acknowledgement	7-21
References	7-21
Manuscript: Oh, H. E., M. Wong, D. N. Pinder, and S. G. Anema. "Comparison of pressure treatment and heat treatment of skim milk with added starch on subsequent acid gelation of milk"	7-23
Abstract	7-24
7.1 Introduction	7-25
7.2 Materials and Methods	7-27
7.2.1 Materials	7-27
7.2.2 Sample preparation and treatment	7-27
7.2.3 Acidification and rheology	7-28
7.2.4 Microscopy	7-28
7.3 Results and Discussion	7-29
7.3.1 Acid gelation of skim milk with added starch after pressure- or heat-treatment at the natural pH	7-29
7.3.1.1 Acid gelation curves	7-29
7.3.1.2 Confocal scanning laser microscopy (CSLM) of acid milk gels	7-33
7.3.1.3 Polarised light microscopy	7-36
7.3.2 Effect of pH at pressure- or heat-treatment of skim milk with added waxy rice starch on the acid gelation properties of the milk	7-38
7.3.2.1 Acid gelation curves	7-38
7.3.2.2 Confocal scanning laser microscopy (CSLM) of acid milk gels	7-40
7.4 Discussion	7-42
7.5 Conclusions	7-49
Acknowledgement	7-50
References	7-50

CHAPTER 8	Discussion: Contribution Towards the Understanding of High-Pressure-Induced Starch Gelatinisation	8-0
<hr/>		
CHAPTER 9	Conclusions and Recommendations	9-0
<hr/>		
9.1	Conclusions	9-1
9.2	Recommendations	9-3
APPENDICES		10-0
<hr/>		
Appendix 1:	Effect of storage time after pressure treatment on rheological analyses results of starch suspensions	10-1
Appendix 2:	Effect of adding Fast Green CFC on acid gelation of milk	10-2
Appendix 3:	Effect of temperature on G' and G'' of acid milk gels	10-3
Appendix 4:	Final G'' versus final G' ($\tan \delta$) of acid milk gels	10-4
Appendix 5:	Full set of confocal micrographs for Chapter 7	10-5
Appendix 6:	Effect of pH re-adjustment on the distribution of denatured whey proteins between serum and colloidal phases	10-6

List of Figures

- Figure 1-1: Schematic representation of the pressures used in food processing. Source: Considine et al. (2008). 1-1
- Figure 2-1 – (A) High pressure processing device used by Hite (1899), Source: Hite, 1899. (B) Modern high pressure processing plant (Avure 350L-600, Avure technologies). Source: Avure (2008) 2-2
- Figure 2-2 – Pressure and temperature profiles of water, ethylene glycol and ethanol during pressurisation to 200 MPa, holding for ~60 seconds and depressurisation. Initial temperature of the substances was 20 °C and compression rate was set to 200 MPa/min. Source: Buzrul et al. (2008). 2-4
- Figure 2-3: Scanning electron micrographs of native rice starch granules. Source: Wang et al. (2007). 2-7
- Figure 2-4: Structure of amylose (A) and amylopectin (B). Source: Tester et al. (2004). 2-8
- Figure 2-5: Schematic diagram of starch granule structure. (a) A single granule, comprising concentric rings of alternating amorphous and semi-crystalline composition. (b) Expanded view of the internal structure. (c) The currently accepted cluster structure for amylopectin within the semi-crystalline growth ring. Source: Jenkins and Donald (1995). 2-10
- Figure 2-6: Example of birefringence: Corn starch granules observed under polarised light microscope. Source: Chen et al. (2006). 2-11
- Figure 2-7: Double-helix packing arrangement in A- and B-type starches. Source: Parker and Ring (2001). 2-11
- Figure 2-8: (A) A-type starch X-ray diffraction pattern, (B) B-type starch X-ray diffraction pattern. Source: French (1973). 2-12
- Figure 2-9: Light microscopy with (right) and without (left) polarised light for a potato starch-water system (18% starch content) upon heating at temperatures of 30°C (C, D), 50 °C (E, F), 58°C (G, H), 65°C (I, J) and 75°C (K, L). (A, B) starch granules without water at 30°C (Magnification ×200). Source: Liu et al. (2002). 2-13
- Figure 2-10: Representative Brabender curve (viscosity versus temperature) showing viscosity changes related to typical starch granule swelling and disintegration as a suspension of granules is heated to 95° C and held at the temperature. T_p is the pasting temperature which is the temperature at which a viscosity increase is recorded. Source: BeMiller and Whistler (1996). 2-16
- Figure 2-11: The heating DSC curves of native potato starch and chemically modified potato starch (heating rate: 0.2°C/min). Adapted from Morikawa and Nishinari (2000). 2-17
- Figure 2-12: X-ray diffraction patterns of normal corn starch. Numbers to the right of each profile represent the treatment temperature (°C). 2-18

- Figure 2-13: Schematic representation of wheat starch granule behaviour in suspension with water during a 400 MPa treatment. Source: Douzals et al. (1996).2-20
- Figure 2-14: Comparison of structural changes of starch granules during heat- or pressure-induced starch gelatinisation. Source: Knorr et al. (2006).....2-24
- Figure 2-15: Microstructure of potato starch shown by scanning electron microscopy (SEM): native (A); treated with high pressure at 600 MPa for 2 min (B) and 3 min (C); (D)–(F) details of starch structure treated for 3 min. Source: Blaszczyk et al. (2005b).2-25
- Figure 2-16: Iodine stained tapioca starch suspensions before a pressure treatment (a) and after 1 h at 300 MPa (b). Source: Bauer et al. (2004).....2-28
- Figure 2-17: (A) Structure of β -lactoglobulin, (B) Structure of α -lactalbumin. Source: Swaisgood (1996).2-31
- Figure 2-18: Sub-micelle model (cross section) of a casein micelle. Source: Walstra (1990).2-34
- Figure 2-19: Dual binding model of a casein micelle with α -, β - and κ -casein. Bonding occurs between the hydrophobic regions, shown as rectangular bars, and by linkage of hydrophilic regions containing phosphoserine clusters to colloidal calcium phosphate (CCP) clusters. Source: Horne (1998).2-35
- Figure 2-20: The Arrhenius plots for the thermal denaturation of β -lactoglobulin (A) and α -lactalbumin (B) over a 75–100°C temperature range, at various milk concentrations. ○ : 9.6% total solids milk; ● : 19.2% total solids milk; □ : 28.8% total solids milk; ■ : 38.4% total solids milk. Sources: Anema (2000) and Anema (2001).2-37
- Figure 2-21: Relationship between the quantities of native β -lactoglobulin (A) and α -lactalbumin (B) and the β -lactoglobulin and α -lactalbumin that were associated with the casein micelles. Heating temperature; 95-130°C (○) and 75-90°C (●). Source: Oldfield et al. (1998a).....2-40
- Figure 2-22: (A) Denaturation of β -lactoglobulin A and (B) Denaturation of β -lactoglobulin B in skim milk. (i): 10° C; (ii): 20° C; (iii) 30° C; and (iv): 40° C. ●: 100 MPa; ○: 200 MPa; ▼: 250 MPa; ▽: 300 MPa; ■: 400 MPa; □ : 500 MPa; and ◆ : 600 MPa. Source: Anema et al. (2005c).....2-43
- Figure 2-23: Casein micelle size following pressure treatment at 100-600 MPa from 0 to 60 min at 10-40 °C. (A) 100 MPa. (B) 200 MPa. (C) 300 MPa. (D) 400 MPa. (E) 500 MPa. (F) 600 MPa. ●, 10°C; ○, 20°C; ▼, 30°C; ▽, 40°C. Error bars represent the standard deviation of repeated measurements. Source: Anema et al. (2005b).....2-45
- Figure 2-24: Changes in the appearance of milk on heat treatment and pressure treatment. A: untreated milk; B: heated milk (100 °C/3 min); C: untreated milk; D: high-pressure-treated milk (600 MPa/30 min). Source: Considine et al. (2007). 2-47

Figure 2-25: (A) Changes in storage modulus, G' , with time after glucono- δ -lactone (GDL) addition for unheated and heated skim milk samples: ●, untreated skim milk; ○, skim milk heated at 80 °C for 2 min; ▼, skim milk heated at 90 °C for 15 min. (B) Changes in storage modulus, G' , with time after pressure treatment: ○, skim milk heated at 80 °C for 2 min; ▼, skim milk heated at 80 °C for 2 min and pressurised to 400 MPa with 0 min holding time; ▽, skim milk pressurised to 400 MPa with 60 min holding time; ■, skim milk pressurised to 400 MPa with 120 min holding time. Source: Anema et al. (2005a).2-49

Figure 2-26: Confocal scanning laser micrographs of acid milk gels made at 30°C by acidification with 1.3% (GDL) from unheated milk (a) and heated milk (b). Heat treatment was 80°C for 30 min. Source: Lucey et al. (1999).2-50

Figure 3-1: (A) High pressure unit (Stansted Fluid Power Ltd.), (B) Inside the high pressure unit.3-5

Figure 3-2: Temperature (solid line) and pressure (dotted line) profile during a typical pressure treatment at 500 MPa for 30 min at 20°C3-6

Figure 3-3: (A) Rapid visco-analyser (RVA), New Port Scientific, (B) RVA heating profile3-8

Figure 3-4: (A) Starch cell and stirrer arrangement (C-ETD 160/ST) with the Physica UDS200 (Anton Paar GmbH, Graz, Austria) used for pasting, (B) Pasting conditions used3-9

Figure 3-5: The principle of oscillation rheology. Applied strain versus time on various types of materials. \varnothing° indicates the degree out of phase. Source: Bourne (2002).3-12

Figure 3-6: Typical strain sweep curve of acid milk gels.....3-13

Figure 3-7: Reference points used for degree of swelling3-14

In publication, Oh, H. E., D. N. Pinder, Y. Hemar, S. G. Anema and M. Wong (2008a). "Effect of high-pressure treatment on various starch-in-water suspensions." *Food Hydrocolloids* **22**(1): 150-155:

Fig. 1: Viscosity of starch suspensions as a function of temperature (pasting curve) after no pressure treatment (●), pressure treatment at 400 MPa (▽) and pressure treatment at 600 MPa (■): A, normal rice starch; B, waxy rice starch; C, normal corn starch; D, waxy corn starch; E, tapioca starch; F, potato starch....4-7

Fig. 2: Polarized light micrographs of starches after no pressure treatment (1), pressure treatment at 400 MPa (2) and pressure treatment at 600 MPa (3): normal rice starch (A-1, A-2, A-3); waxy rice starch (B-1, B-2, B-3); normal corn starch (C-1, C-2, C-3); waxy corn starch (D-1, D-2, D-3); tapioca starch (E-1, E-2, E-3); potato starch (F-1, F-2, F-3).4-8

In publication, Oh, H. E., Y. Hemar, S. G. Anema, M. Wong and D. N. Pinder (2008b). "Effect of high-pressure treatment on normal rice and waxy rice starch-in-water suspensions." *Carbohydrate Polymers* **73**(2): 332-343:

- Fig. 1: Pasting curve. Apparent viscosity of starch suspensions (10% w/w) as a function of temperature for untreated normal rice starch (●) and untreated waxy rice starch (○).....5-6
- Fig. 2: A, Pasting curves for normal rice starch after no pressure treatment (control) (●), pressure treatment at 400 MPa (▼), and pressure treatment at 500 MPa (■). B, Pasting curves for waxy rice starch after no pressure treatment (control) (○), pressure treatment at 350 MPa (▽), pressure treatment at 375 MPa (◇), and pressure treatment at 500 MPa (□). C, Initial apparent viscosity as a function of treatment pressure for normal rice starch (●) and waxy rice starch (○). The temperature at treatment was 40 °C and the treatment duration was 30 min.....5-7
- Fig. 3: Initial apparent viscosity as a function of treatment duration. A, Normal rice starch after pressure treatment at 300 MPa (●), 400 MPa (▼), and 500 MPa (■). B, Waxy rice starch after pressure treatment at 300 MPa (○), 350 MPa (▽), 375 MPa (◇), 400 MPa (△), and 500 MPa (□). The temperature at treatment was 40 °C.....5-8
- Fig. 4: Initial apparent viscosity as a function of temperature at treatment. A, Normal rice starch after no pressure treatment (control) (●), pressure treatment at 400 MPa (▼), and pressure treatment at 500 MPa (■). B, Waxy rice starch after no pressure treatment (control) (○), pressure treatment at 350 MPa (▽), and pressure treatment at 500 MPa (□). The treatment duration was 30 min.....5-8
- Fig. 5: A, Degree of swelling (%) as a function of treatment pressure. B, Plot of swelling (%) versus initial apparent viscosity for normal rice starch (●) and waxy rice starch (○). The temperature at treatment was 40 °C and the treatment duration was 30 min.....5-9
- Fig. 6: Polarized light micrographs. A, Normal rice starch suspension after [A1] no pressure treatment, [A2] pressure treatment at 400 MPa, and [A3] pressure treatment at 500 MPa. B, Waxy rice starch suspension after [B1] no pressure treatment, [B2] pressure treatment at 350 MPa, and [B3] pressure treatment at 500 MPa. The bar is 20 μm. The temperature at treatment was 40 °C and the treatment duration was 30 min.....5-10
- Fig. 7: Light micrographs without polarizing filter. A, Normal rice starch suspension; B, Waxy rice starch suspension. After no pressure treatment [A1 and B1], after pressure treatment at 500 MPa [A2 and B2], and after pressure treatment at 500 MPa and subsequent pasting [A3 and B3]. The bar is 20 μm. The temperature at treatment was 40 °C and the treatment duration was 30 min.....5-11

In unpublished study, “Effect of static heating on the starch-in-water suspensions”:

Figure 5-1: Pasting curves for normal rice starch (A) and waxy rice starch (B) after no heat treatment (control) (●), 55°C (○), 60°C (▼), 65°C (△), 70°C (■), 80°C (□) and 90°C (◆); (C) Initial apparent viscosity (η_{initial}) of normal rice starch (●) and waxy rice starch (○) as a function of heating temperature. The treatment duration was 30 min for all samples.....5-18

In publication, Oh, H. E., S. G. Anema, D. N. Pinder and M. Wong (2009). "Effects of different components in skim milk on high-pressure-induced gelatinisation of waxy rice starch and normal rice starch." *Food Chemistry* 113(1): 1-8

Fig. 1: Pasting curves of (A) waxy rice starch and (B) normal rice starch (10% w/w) after pressure treatment at 450 MPa in: (●) water; (○) 5% TS skim milk; (▼) 10% TS skim milk; (△) 15% TS skim milk. (C) Initial apparent viscosity ($\eta_{initial}$) as a function of treatment pressure (gelatinisation curves) for: waxy rice starch in (▼) water and (△) 10% TS skim milk; normal rice starch in (●) water and (○) 10% TS skim milk. All pressure treatments were carried out at 20 °C for 30 min.....6-5

Fig. 2: Initial apparent viscosity ($\eta_{initial}$) as a function of treatment pressure within the gelatinisation pressure range for (A) waxy rice starch and (B) normal rice starch (10% w/w), and degree of swelling as a function of treatment pressure within the gelatinisation pressure range for (C) waxy rice starch and (D) normal rice starch in various suspension media containing: (●) water only; (○) soluble milk minerals; (▼) soluble milk minerals and lactose; (△) soluble milk minerals, lactose and casein micelles; (■) 10% TS skim milk. (E) Plot of initial apparent viscosity ($\eta_{initial}$) versus degree of swelling (%) for (○) waxy rice starch and (●) normal rice starch, all suspension media. All pressure treatments were carried out at 20 °C for 30 min.....6-6

Fig. 3: Pasting curves of (A–E) waxy rice starch (10% w/w) after (●) no pressure treatment, (○) pressure treatment at 400 MPa and (▼) pressure treatment at 600 MPa and (F–J) normal rice starch (10% w/w) after (●) no pressure treatment, (○) pressure treatment at 450 MPa and (▼) pressure treatment at 600 MPa in various suspension media containing: (A, F) water only; (B, G) soluble milk minerals; (C, H) soluble milk minerals and lactose; (D, I) soluble milk minerals, lactose and casein micelles; (E, J) 10% TS skim milk. All pressure treatments were carried out at 20 °C for 30 min.....6-7

Fig. 4: Polarised light micrographs of (A–E) waxy rice starch after pressure treatment at 400 MPa and (F–J) normal rice starch after pressure treatment at 450 MPa in various suspension media containing: (A, F) water only; (B, G) soluble milk minerals; (C, H) soluble milk minerals and lactose; (D, I) soluble milk minerals, lactose and casein micelles; (E, J) 10% TS skim milk. All pressure treatments were carried out at 20 °C for 30 min.....6-9

Figure 7-1: Block diagram of acid gelation experiments.....7-2

In publication, Oh, H. E., S. G. Anema, M. Wong, D. N. Pinder and Y. Hemar (2007a). "Effect of potato starch addition on the acid gelation of milk." *International Dairy Journal* 17(7): 808-815:

Fig. 1: A. Change in pH with time after GDL addition for (●) heated milk with 0% potato starch and (○) heated milk with 1.5% potato starch. B. Change in storage modulus, G' , at 30 °C, with time after GDL addition: (□) unheated milk; (●) heated milk with 0% potato starch; (○) heated milk with 0.25% potato starch; (▼) heated milk with 0.5% potato starch; (▽) heated milk with 1% potato starch; (■) heated milk with 1.5% potato starch. C. Final value of the storage modulus, G' , at 30 °C as a function of the starch addition level. Error bars represent standard deviations.....7-8

Fig. 2: Storage modulus, G' , and loss modulus, G'' , of final acid gels at 5 °C as a function of frequency for acid milk gels containing (●) 0%, (▼) 0.25%, (■) 0.5%, (◆) 1% and (▲) 1.5% potato starch. Open symbols are for G'' and solid symbols are for G'7-9

Fig. 3: A. Storage modulus, G' , as a function of temperature for acid milk gels containing (●) 0%, (○) 0.25%, (▼) 0.5%, (▽) 1% and (■) 1.5% potato starch. Inset: plot of G'/G' at 5 °C versus $1/T$ for the same acid milk gels. B. Loss modulus, G'' , as a function of storage modulus, G' , for acid milk gels at (●) 30 °C and (○) 5 °C.....7-10

Fig. 4: A. Stress as a function of strain for acid milk gels containing (●) 0%, (○) 0.5%, (▼) 1% and (▽) 1.5% potato starch. B. Breaking stress (○) and breaking strain (●) of acid milk gels as a function of potato starch concentration. Error bars represent standard deviations. Data points with the same letter are not significantly different ($P < 0.05$) as determined by ANOVA.....7-11

Fig. 5: CSLM micrographs of final acid milk gels containing (A) 0%, (B) 0.5%, (C) 1% and (D) 1.5% potato starch. The bar corresponds to 20 μm.....7-12

In publication, Oh, H. E., M. Wong, D. N. Pinder, Y. Hemar and S. G. Anema (2007b). "Effect of pH adjustment at heating on the rheological properties of acid skim milk gels with added potato starch." *International Dairy Journal* 17(12): 1384-1392:

Fig.1: (A) Shear stress as a function of shear rate for milk heated at pH 6.64 with (●) 0%, (○) 0.5%, (▼) 1%, (▽) 1.5% and (■) 2% potato starch. (B) Apparent viscosity as a function of starch addition level at the shear rate of 100 s⁻¹ for milk heated at: (●) pH 6.5; (▼) pH 6.64; (■) pH 6.9.....7-15

Fig. 2: Changes in storage modulus, G' , after GDL addition as a function of time at 30 °C for milk with 0% (A) and 1% (B) potato starch heated at: (●) pH 6.5; (○) pH 6.6; (▼) pH 6.64; (▽) pH 6.75; (■) pH 6.9; (□) pH 7.1. Error bars represent standard deviations of repeated measurements.....7-16

Fig. 3: (A) Final value of the storage modulus, G' , and (B) ratio of final G' value at a given pH to final G' value at pH 6.5 for milk with (●) 0%, (○) 0.5%, (▼) 1%, (▽) 1.5% and (■) 2% potato starch at 30 °C as a function of the pH at heating. Error bars in Fig. 2A represent standard deviations of repeated measurements. Error bars in Fig. 2B represent pooled standard deviations.....7-17

Fig. 4: Stress as a function of strain for acid milk gels containing 0% (A) and 1% (B) potato starch. Milk heated at: (●) pH 6.5; (▼) pH 6.64; (■) pH 6.9. (C) Breaking stress and breaking strain of acid milk gels as a function of starch addition level. Milk heated at: (●, ○) pH 6.5; (▼, ▽) pH 6.64; (■, □) pH 6.9. Filled symbols for strain and open symbols for stress. Error bars represent standard deviations of repeated measurements.....7-18

Fig. 5: CSLM micrographs of final acid milk gels: heated at pH 6.5 and containing 0% (A) and 1% (C) potato starch; heated at pH 6.9 and containing 0% (B) and 1% (D) potato starch. The bar corresponds to 20 μm.....7-19

In manuscript, Oh, H. E., M. Wong, D. N. Pinder, and S. G. Anema. "Comparison of pressure treatment and heat treatment of skim milk with added starch on subsequent acid gelation of milk"

Figure 7-2: Acid gelation curves of (A) milk samples with waxy rice starch after pressure treatment (500MPa / 20°C / 30min), Inset: Final $G'_{30^\circ\text{C}}$ as a function of waxy rice starch addition level, (B) milk samples with waxy rice starch after heat treatment, (C) milk samples with potato starch after pressure treatment, Inset: Final $G'_{30^\circ\text{C}}$ as a function of potato starch addition level and (D) milk with potato starch after heat treatment (80°C / 30min) at addition levels (●) 0%, (○) 0.5%, (▼) 1% and (△) 1.5% (w/w). The pH at treatment was pH 6.64 (natural). Error bars represent standard deviations of repeated measurements.....7-32

Figure 7-3: CSLM micrographs of final acid milk gels made from pressure-treated milk (500MPa / 20°C / 30 min; A, C, E) and heat-treated milk (80°C / 30 min; B, D, F). A, B: with no added starch; C, D: with 1% waxy rice starch; E, F: with 1% potato starch added prior to treatment.....7-35

Figure 7-4: Polarised light micrographs of milk samples before acidification with 1% waxy rice starch (50×) (A, C, E), and 1% potato starch (20×) (B, D, F) added prior to pressure or heat treatment. (A, B) untreated, (C, D) pressure-treated at 500MPa and 20°C for 30 min and (E, F) heat-treated at 80°C for 30 min.....7-37

Figure 7-5: Acid gelation curves for (A) pressure-treated (500MPa / 20°C / 30min) milk samples (B) heat-treated (80°C / 30min) milk samples at pH 6.5 (●) with no added starch and (○) with 1% waxy rice starch; at pH 6.64 (natural) (▼) with no added starch and (△) with 1% waxy rice starch; at 6.9 (■) with no added starch and (□) with 1% waxy rice starch. (C) Final $G'_{30^\circ\text{C}}$ as a function of pH at treatment. (●) no added starch, pressure-treated, (○) 1% waxy rice starch, pressure-treated, (▼) no added starch, heat-treated and (△) 1% waxy rice starch, heat-treated. Error bars represent standard deviations of repeated measurements.....7-39

Figure 7-6: CSLM micrographs of final acid milk gels made from milk samples pressure-treated (A) at $\text{pH}_{\text{pressure}} 6.5$ with no added starch and (B) at $\text{pH}_{\text{pressure}} 6.9$ with no added starch, (C) at $\text{pH}_{\text{pressure}} 6.5$ with 1% waxy rice starch and (D) at $\text{pH}_{\text{pressure}} 6.9$ with 1% waxy rice starch added prior to pressure treatment (500MPa / 20°C / 30min).....7-41

List of Tables

Table 2-1: Effect of pressure and temperature on weak linkages in proteins. Source: Balci and Wilbey (1999).	2-5
Table 2-2: Some properties of common starch granules. Source: BeMiller and Whistler (1996) and Singh et al. (2003).	2-9
Table 2-3: Minimum gelatinisation pressure reported for different types of starches.	2-22
Table 2-4: Size, state and typical percentage of components in bovine milk. Sources: Walstra and Jenness (1984); Swaisgood (1986); Jenness (1988); Bylund (1995).	2-30
Table 3-1: Typical composition of starches.	3-1
Table 3-2: Compositions of stock solutions 1 and 2 used for SMUF.	3-2
In publication, Oh, H. E., D. N. Pinder, Y. Hemar, S. G. Anema and M. Wong (2008a). "Effect of high-pressure treatment on various starch-in-water suspensions." <i>Food Hydrocolloids</i> 22 (1): 150-155:	
Table 1: Initial viscosity and swelling of starches after different pressure treatments.	4-6
In publication, Oh, H. E., Y. Hemar, S. G. Anema, M. Wong and D. N. Pinder (2008b). "Effect of high-pressure treatment on normal rice and waxy rice starch-in-water suspensions." <i>Carbohydrate Polymers</i> 73 (2): 332-343:	
Table 1: Average Amount of Starch Leached from Granules, Amount of Leached Amylose and Percentage of Amylose in the Leached Starch from Granules after Pressure Treatments ($n = 2$). The Temperature at Treatment was 40 °C and the Treatment Duration was 30 min.	5-12
In publication, Oh, H. E., S. G. Anema, D. N. Pinder and M. Wong (2009). "Effects of different components in skim milk on high-pressure-induced gelatinisation of waxy rice starch and normal rice starch." <i>Food Chemistry</i> 113 (1): 1-8	
Table 1: Initial apparent viscosity (η_{initial}) of waxy rice starch and normal rice starch (10% w/w) in different skim milk suspensions with different skim milk concentrations after no treatment or pressure treatment at 450 MPa and 20 °C for 30 min.	6-5
Table 2: Initial apparent viscosity (η_{initial}) of aqueous suspensions of waxy rice starch and normal rice starch (10% w/w) after pressure treatment at 400 (waxy) or 450 (normal) MPa and 20 °C for 30 min.	6-7
In publication, Oh, H. E., S. G. Anema, M. Wong, D. N. Pinder and Y. Hemar (2007a). "Effect of potato starch addition on the acid gelation of milk." <i>International Dairy Journal</i> 17 (7): 808-815:	

Table 1: Storage modulus, G' , of final skim milk acid gels with various potato starch concentrations.....7-9

In publication, Oh, H. E., M. Wong, D. N. Pinder, Y. Hemar and S. G. Anema (2007b). "Effect of pH adjustment at heating on the rheological properties of acid skim milk gels with added potato starch." *International Dairy Journal* **17**(12): 1384-1392:

Table 1: Gelation time and gelation pH of acid skim milk gels with different potato starch levels heated at pH 6.5–7.1.....7-17

In manuscript, Oh, H. E., M. Wong, D. N. Pinder, and S. G. Anema. "Comparison of pressure treatment and heat treatment of skim milk with added starch on subsequent acid gelation of milk"

Table 7-1: Mean gelation time of milk containing different levels of added starch after pressure or heat treatment, final $G'_{30^\circ\text{C}}$ and final $G'_{5^\circ\text{C}}$ of the acid milk gels...7-31

Table 8-1: Starch groups and their pressure-induced gelatinisation characteristics.....8-2

List of Symbols

η_{initial}	Apparent viscosity at 20 °C before pasting begins
η_{peak}	Maximum apparent viscosity attained during pasting
T_{onset}	Onset temperature of gelatinization at which the apparent viscosity starts to increase
T_{peak}	Temperature at η_{peak}
G'	Storage modulus
G''	Loss modulus

List of Abbreviations

CCP	Colloidal calcium phosphate
GDL	Glucono- δ -lactone