

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

*The impact of the closure and  
decommissioning of the Wainuiomata  
Waste Water Treatment Plant on the water  
quality of the Wainuiomata River.*



**A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements**

**for the Degree**

**of**

**Master of Philosophy in Water Quality**

**at the**

**Massey University, Wellington**

**by**

**Josephine de Silva**

---

**Massey University, Wellington**

**2004**

## **ABSTRACT**

The quality of the Wainuiomata River (particularly downstream of the Wainuiomata Waste Water Treatment Plant) has been affected over the years (e.g. eutrophication) by a number of contaminants, such as nutrients and faecal bacteria. The main source of these contaminants has been the treated effluent discharged into the river from the Wainuiomata Waste Water Treatment Plant (WWTP). The WWTP has been discharging treated effluent into the river since the 1950's. This sewage treatment plant was decommissioned in November 2001 and is now used solely as a pumping station. Sewage from Wainuiomata is now piped over to the new sewage treatment plant in Seaview. This research project aimed to examine the impact of the WWTP closure on the water quality of the Wainuiomata River.

Water samples were collected from a number of selected sites over a period of three months: January 2003 to March 2003, above and below the WWTP site. For this particular study, the microbiological, chemical (nutrients) and biological parameters were assessed as follows: *Escherichia coli* and total coliforms (microbiological) dissolved reactive phosphorus (DRP), nitrate nitrogen and ammoniacal nitrogen (chemical) and periphyton (biological) for biomass and taxa identification. The results for each of the above parameters sites were compared with historical data obtained from Greater Wellington Regional Council (2003).

Overall this research has shown that the closure of the WWTP has impacted on the J5 site (Golf Course), which is downstream of the WWTP, in a number of ways. The chemical indicator levels ( $\text{NO}_3\text{-N}$ ,  $\text{NH}_4\text{-N}$  and DRP) have dropped significantly; periphyton was still in abundance at site J5 (no real improvement seen) and the median level of the microbiological indicator, *E.coli* has reduced. However, site J5 on a number of occasions, did not comply with the Microbiological Water Quality Guidelines for Marine and Freshwater Recreational Areas (2003). Sites sampled upstream of the WWTP, particularly the tributary sites (Black Creek and Wainuiomata Stream), also did not comply with the guidelines on a number of occasions. This is a concern, as the public are known to swim near where these tributaries enter the Wainuiomata River. The effects of storm water or land runoff may have affected the results on two occasions (when there had been rainfall) however, on all other occasions where high *E.coli* levels

were observed, the effects of storm water and runoff would have been minimal, as there had been very little rain.

The Wainuiomata River is used for recreational activities such as swimming, canoeing and fishing; therefore an important resource. Any water quality concerns (namely, *E.coli* levels and periphyton proliferation), therefore need to be monitored by the Greater Wellington Regional Council and actions taken to eliminate these concerns.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to thank a number of people for without their help this thesis would not have been possible. I would like to thank staff and students from Massey University for their support and direction, these include Stuart McLaren, Prof. Philip Dickinson, Brian Caughley, Stan Abbott, Susie Wood (Ph.D. student), and technical staff, Marilyn Mabon and Margaret Alison, and IT staff Sonya Turk and Chris Harris who have been wonderful. I would especially like to thank my supervisor Dr. Rachel Page, who has helped to keep me on task and has taught me a great deal through the process.

I would also like to acknowledge the following people for their contribution: Gary Stevenson (Greater Wellington Regional Council), Jim O'Malley (Hutt City Council), Greig Drummond (Hutt City Council), Rob Deacon and David Innis (ELS) and Jane Taylor (Greater Wellington Regional Council) for all their help with this project. I would also like to thank my children for their patience and for their ongoing support and my husband Chris Olsen, for his encouragement and support.

## **DEDICATION**

I dedicate this thesis to my children, Katherine, Michelle, Vanessa and Daniel (who have put up with all my years of study), my husband Chris and my parents Pat and Albert Sheppard and family for their love and support. I would like to finally dedicate this thesis to my special friend and helper Our Lord Jesus Christ, who believed in me.

# **CONTENTS**

<u>Abstract</u>	ii
<u>Acknowledgements</u>	iv
<u>Dedication</u>	v
<u>Table of Contents</u>	vi
<u>List of Figures</u>	x
<u>List of Tables</u>	xi

## **CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 Water Quality**

1.1.1 New Zealand Rivers	1
1.1.2 Maori Perceptions	5
1.1.3 History of the Wainuiomata River	7

### **1.2 Wainuiomata River Pollution Sources**

1.2.1 Effluent Discharged from WWTP	13
1.2.1.1 Operation of WWTP	13
1.2.2 Other Pollution Sources affecting the Wainuiomata River	15

### **1.3 Pollution Effects on Water Quality Indicators (Fresh water)**

1.3.1 Pollution effects on faecal indicator bacterial populations	17
1.3.2 Pollution effects on chemical indicators (nitrate nitrogen, dissolved reactive phosphorus & ammoniacal nitrogen)	19
1.3.3 Pollution effects on indicator periphyton communities	20

### **1.4 Monitoring of Water Quality**

1.4.1 Legislation and Guidelines	21
1.4.1.1 Microbiological Water Quality Guidelines for Marine & Fresh Water Recreational Areas (2003)	23
1.4.1.2 Australian and New Zealand Environment and Conservation Council (2000)	24
1.4.1.3 ANZECC Guidelines (2000) for Recreational Water Quality and Aesthetics	26

<b>1.5</b>	<b>Water Quality Indicators</b>	
1.5.1	Escherichia coli (microbiological)	28
1.5.2	Dissolved Reactive Phosphorus, Nitrate Nitrogen and Ammoniacal nitrogen (chemical)	29
1.5.3	Periphyton (biological)	32
1.5.4	Methods for selection, detection and enumeration of the microbiological, chemical and biological indicators	33
<b>1.6</b>	<b>Objectives and Approaches</b>	
1.6.1	Objective of the Research Project	36
1.6.2	Approaches to the Research Project	36
<b><u>CHAPTER TWO: METHODOLOGY</u></b>		
<b>2.1</b>	<b>Collection of samples</b>	
2.1.1	Wainuiomata sampling sites	39
2.1.2	Fresh Water Samples for Microbiological Testing (Escherichia coli and total coliforms)	42
2.1.3	Fresh water samples for chemical analysis (nitrate nitrogen, ammoniacal nitrogen and dissolved reactive phosphorus)	43
2.1.4	Collection of samples for biological analysis (periphyton)	44
<b>2.2</b>	<b>Sample Analysis</b>	
2.2.1	Detection and enumeration of <i>E.coli</i> and total coliform	46
2.2.2	Evaluation of Chemicals (DRP, Nitrate-N, Ammonia-N)	48
2.2.3	Biological Analysis (Periphyton)	49
2.2.3.1	Rapid Assessment of Periphyton Biomass	49
2.2.3.2	Taxa Identification	50
<b><u>CHAPTER THREE: MICROBIOLOGICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</u></b>		
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	51
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Results</b>	
3.2.1	Compliance of Sites with Microbiological Fresh Water Guidelines, 2003	51
3.2.2	Impact Assessment - Sites J4 & J5 (Leonard Wood Park and the Golf Course, respectively)	54
3.2.3	Other Factors that may Influence Site J5	57
3.2.4	Relationships between Tributary Sites and the Wainuiomata River Sites	57



3.2.5	Correlation between Rainfall and <i>E.coli</i> /FC 100 ml (GWRC & Research Data)	59
3.2.6	Correlation between Flow Rate and <i>E.coli</i> Levels for the Period Jan. 2003-March 2003	59
<b>3.3</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>60</b>

#### **CHAPTER FOUR: CHEMICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

<b>4.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>66</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Results</b>	
4.2.1	Comparing Chemical Indicator Levels with Guidelines	67
4.2.2	Impact Assessment - Sites J4 & J5	70
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>73</b>

#### **CHAPTER FIVE: PERIPHYTON RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

<b>5.1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>76</b>
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Results</b>	
5.2.1	Periphyton Visual Assessment	76
5.2.2	Taxa Identification	78
5.2.3	Impact Assessment (GWRC Data) – Percent Periphyton Cover	80
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>81</b>

#### **CHAPTER SIX: OVERALL CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

<b>6.1</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	
6.1.1	<i>E.coli</i>	83
6.1.2	Nitrate-N, Ammonia-N & DRP	85
6.1.3	Periphyton	86
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Recommendations</b>	
6.2.1	<i>E.coli</i>	89
6.2.2	Periphyton	90

<b><u>REFERENCES</u></b>	<b>121</b>
--------------------------	------------