Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.
Genotyping of Human and Animal Isolates of *Giardia intestinalis*

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science in Microbiology at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Errol Stephen Kwan
2002
ABSTRACT

*Giardia intestinalis* is an important protozoan parasite that infects humans and animals. It has been suggested that cattle may be a major source of human *Giardia* infection so a dairy farming region of New Zealand was investigated. This thesis uses three molecular methods to genotype *G. intestinalis* isolates obtained from human and animal faecal specimens collected in the Waikato region of New Zealand, to determine if giardiasis is a zoonotic disease.

Random amplification of polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) fingerprinting techniques were initially assessed for their ability to genotype *G. intestinalis* isolates. “Clear cut” evidence of zoonosis could not be established by either method, due to a low sample number.

To determine the stability of the *G. intestinalis* genome an axenic culture of *G. intestinalis* trophozoites was stressed with toxic levels of metronidazole and the survivors, following a number of passages, were examined using AFLP and RAPD analysis. The DNA fingerprints were compared to those of the original wild-type with the results being indicative of an unstable *G. intestinalis* genome.

A third molecular method was employed, which amplifies a portion of the tandemly repeated ribosomal DNA (rDNA). Each cyst contains 512 head to tail tandem repeat copies of the *rRNA* gene made up of both conserved and variable regions. The use of nested primers increased the sensitivity and specificity of the PCR reaction allowing the amplification of a 505bp rDNA fragment. DNA sequence analysis and alignment of the amplified products facilitated the comparison of *G. intestinalis* isolates. The relationship of the sequence data was generated and displayed using Splitstree software indicating that zoonosis did occur.
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