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ABSTRACT

This thesis has researched the question of "How can blended learning communities be facilitated to support the professional learning of inservice teacher educators?" Inservice teacher educators work to build teacher capability with the ultimate goal of raising student achievement. This relatively small group of people work across large geographical areas and are having increasing difficulty meeting the demands of the teachers. In addition, inservice teacher educators' contact with teachers is often less frequent than is desirable to ensure sustainable shifts in practice. However, the growth in internet-based collaborative tools has meant that different ways of communicating are being created at exponential rates. Due to the natural limitations on inservice teacher educators' work, innovative ways of sustaining the professional development they provide are becoming increasingly important.

The action research project described in this thesis has investigated one of these innovative approaches; not towards shifting teacher practice but focusing rather on improving the practice of the inservice teacher educators themselves. Five inservice teacher educators known as lsteam (Inservice teacher educators at Massey) formed a professional learning community to investigate the use of blended learning communities which use a combination of both face to face and online learning environments. While this thesis discusses how blended learning communities can be facilitated to support the professional learning of inservice teacher educators, lsteam themselves investigated the potential of using both blended learning communities to support the professional learning of teachers they worked with.

lsteam met physically face to face on regular occasions and carried on their learning virtually between meetings through an easily modifiable webpage.
environment known as a wiki. This thesis discusses how these two environments wove their relative strengths together to build the professional learning of Isteam in ways that far exceeded the possibilities of using one or other learning community on its own.

Research findings indicate that blended learning communities require early phases of building knowledge and social relationships, and that developing pedagogical capability relies on these building blocks to be in place first. Blended learning communities worked most effectively to improve the professional learning of inservice teacher educators when the facilitator:

1. Provided a range of online and face to face opportunities for inservice teacher educators to build their professional knowledge and gain confidence and competency in using online collaborative technologies, particularly in the early phases of the community’s development.

2. Engaged inservice teacher educators in a range of online opportunities, including non task-related activities, to develop social relationships and get participants ‘talking’ comfortably online.

3. Challenged inservice teacher educators to use their growing knowledge and social relationships as platforms for critically reflecting on their professional learning and practice issues.

As a result of these findings, the inservice teacher educators involved in this research project are now strengthening the communities they have already established to ensure they grow to their full potential, and are mentoring other colleagues to develop their own blended learning communities in response to requests for help. Blended learning communities have piqued the interest of inservice teacher educators at Massey as having powerful potential to embrace the demands of working in the 21\textsuperscript{st} century.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many people have kindly contributed time, expertise and support during my research. I would like to especially thank the following people and share the achievement of this thesis with them:

Firstly to the Isteam research group; Glynis Cooper, Janine Remnant, Paddy Wilson and Robyn Holt. Ladies, I owe you all so much. You supported me throughout the year and kept me motivated. You gave your time generously, tried every idea that was thrown at you and were happy to be both individually and collectively challenged. You all worked far in excess of what would be considered normal for the work we do. Thank you for being so willing to engage with this learning; the significance of which is a tribute to you all.

To my supervisors Alyson McGee and Mandia Mentis, I am eternally grateful to you both. Alyson, you guided, pressured and mothered in quantities far outside your brief and you ignored the fighting streak that so often gets in the way of my learning. You were an amazing teacher throughout my research. Mandia, your huge knowledge of the e-learning context was invaluable to me. You made me really think and helped me to understand what was happening; I am truly thankful. I was indeed fortunate to have two such wonderful people to guide me.

I am indebted to my team leader, Barry Potter, who exemplifies the difference between leadership and management. The world could do with more bosses like you. Thank you for listening, encouraging and challenging me.

To my partner Alec, whose patience, support, and encouragement were always there. Thank you for believing in me, I could not have managed
Acknowledgements

without you. I am looking forward to weekends in the garden and random acts of spontaneity again, if I can remember how.

And finally to Hamish, Josh and Tom. This journey began when you were still at school and I recognise the impact it had on you. Thank you for turning out to be such fantastic, well-rounded and successful guys despite my lack of attention. You are all my favourite sons.
DEDICATION

For Paddy

You helped us understand the true meaning of community my friend.
Thank you for allowing us to be part of your journey;
we were truly privileged.

We only wish it could have ended differently.
Arohanui.

Rimu rimu
Rere ana
Korowai aroha e

Wrap me again
In bright weed
Which will be
A blanket for me
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract ............................................................................................................... iii
Acknowledgements ............................................................................................... v
Dedication ............................................................................................................. vii
Table of contents .................................................................................................. ix
Table of figures ..................................................................................................... xi

## Chapter 1. INTRODUCTION
1.1 Chapter overview ............................................................................................... 1
1.2 Background to inservice teacher educator work .............................................. 2
1.3 Inservice teacher educator use of Web 2.0 in 2007 .......................................... 5
1.4 Instep and the research project structure ......................................................... 9
1.5 Research question ............................................................................................. 14
1.6 Structure of the thesis ....................................................................................... 16

## Chapter 2. LITERATURE REVIEW
2.1 Chapter overview ............................................................................................... 18
2.2 Characteristics of effective professional learning ........................................... 20
2.3 Professional learning communities ................................................................. 24
2.4 Effective professional learning community pedagogies ................................... 29
2.5 Online learning communities ........................................................................ 31
2.6 Blended learning communities ....................................................................... 33
2.7 Facilitation of blended learning communities ................................................ 35
2.8 Life cycles of professional learning communities .......................................... 40
2.9 Using social software in professional learning communities ......................... 43
2.10 Chapter summary ............................................................................................ 47

## Chapter 3. METHODOLOGY
3.1 Chapter overview ............................................................................................ 50
# Table of Contents

3.2 Methodological approach used in this thesis .......................................................... 51
3.3 Research methods used in this thesis ................................................................. 54
3.4 Ethical considerations .............................................................................. 63
3.5 Chapter summary ......................................................................................... 68

Chapter 4. FINDINGS................................................................................................. 69
4.1 Chapter overview .......................................................................................... 69
4.2 Baseline questionnaire ............................................................................. 70
4.3 Face to face meetings .............................................................................. 73
4.4 Online discussion page ........................................................................... 86
4.5 Summative questionnaire ........................................................................ 100
4.6 Chapter summary ......................................................................................... 105

Chapter 5. DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS ..................................................... 107
5.1 Chapter overview .......................................................................................... 107
5.2 Synthesis of findings .............................................................................. 108
5.3 Strategies for the facilitation of blended learning communities .................... 116
5.4 Limitations of the research project ......................................................... 133
5.5 Implications for inservice teacher educators' practice .............................. 135
5.6 Suggestions for further research ............................................................... 138
5.7 Conclusion .................................................................................................... 138

Appendix one: Glossary ....................................................................................... 142
Appendix two: Baseline questionnaire ............................................................... 145
Appendix three: Summative questionnaire ......................................................... 148
Appendix four: Ethics consent ........................................................................ 152
Bibliography ........................................................................................................... 153
TABLE OF FIGURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>INSTEP relationship to this research project</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Structure of research project</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Framework for analysing the effectiveness of professional learning experiences</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Community of Inquiry (Garrison and Vaughn, 2008, p. 18)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Comparison of themes evident in face to face conversation</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Comparison of themes evident in online discussion page</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Progression of online knowledge conversation</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Progression of online social conversation</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Progression of online pedagogical conversation</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Comparison of themes evident in three face to face meetings</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Comparison of themes evident in online discussions</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>