Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Effectiveness of Text-based Mobile Learning Applications: Case Studies in Tertiary Education

A Thesis

Presented to

The Academic Faculty

By

Lei (Pluto) Wang

Under the Supervision of

Dr. Hokyoung Ryu

Submitted in partial fulfilment

of the requirements for the Degree

Master of Information Sciences in Information Technology

Massey University

30th April. 09

ABSTRACT

This research focuses on developing a series of mobile learning applications for future 'beyond' classroom learning environments. The thesis describes the general use pattern of the prototype and explores the key factors that could affect users' attitudes towards potential acceptance of the mobile learning applications. Finally, this thesis explores the user acceptance of the mobile learning applications; and investigates the mobility issue and the comparison of applying learning activities through mobile learning and e-learning.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT…..i

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....iii

LISTOF FIGURES vii

LIST OF TABLES.....ix

STATEMENT OF ACADEMIC INTEGRITY.....xi

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS xiii

Chapter 1: Introduction and Background 1

1.1 Introduction to the Study 1

1.2 Background to the Study.....3

1.2.1 Definition of Mobile Learning 3

1.2.2 Why Mobile Learning? 5

1.2.3 Learning Activities outside the Classroom.....8

1.3 Research Questions and Potential Contributions.....11

1.4 Outline of the Thesis.....13

Chapter 2: Literature Review 15

2.1 M-learning vs. E-learning 16

2.2 Mobility as a Key Concern 22

2.3 Mobile Learning implies Learning Activities outside the Classroom ...24

2.4 Summary of Literature and Implications of Studies 30

Chapter 3: Methodology 31

3.1 The Experiments 31

3.2 Apparatus 34

3.2.1 Hardware Issues 34

3.2.2 E-learning system 35

3.2.3 Design of the “Learning beyond Classroom” Application 36

3.2.4 Questionnaires 36

3.3 Method 38

3.3.1 Participants 38

3.3.2 Experimental Design 38

3.3.3 Procedures 41

3.4 Data Collection Procedures and Statistical Analysis 43

Chapter 4: Results 45

4.1 Experiment 1 45

4.2 Experiment 2: Comparing Mobile Learning in the Classroom vs. out of the Classroom 52

4.3 Experiment 3: Review with Mobile Learning Applications at Different Times 56

4.4 Experiment 4: Preview with Mobile Learning Applications at Different Times 58

4.5 An Additional Analysis: Review vs. Preview with mobile learning applications 59

Chapter 5: Discussion 61

5.1 Experiment One 61

5.2 Experiment Two 64

5.3 Experiments Three and Four 65

Chapter 6: Conclusion 67

6.1 Findings of the Thesis 67

6.2 Implications of this Study 69

6.3 Limitations of the Study 70

6.4 Future Work 71

References

Appendix A: Screenshots of E-learning Tool I

Appendix B: Screenshots of "Learning beyond Classroom" Applications III

Review III

Preview VII

Appendix C: Source Codes of "Learning beyond Classroom" Applications XI

Review XI

Preview XVIII

Appendix D: Questionnaires XXIII

Experiment 1: Questionnaires (E-learning vs. Mobile Learning) XXIII

Experiment 2: Questionnaires (In classroom vs. Beyond classroom) XXIV

Experiment 3&4: Questionnaires (Different Time) XXV

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1Suchart Kittanasearee's m-learning course 7

Figure 1.2 My Sports Pulse..... 7

Figure 2.1Screenshot of "Mobile Game"..... 26

Figure 2.2 Scene of "One Day Trip with PDA"..... 27

Figure 2.3 Example pages from Thornton and Houser's research..... 29

Figure 3.1 Nokia 7610 (© JørgenSundgot)..... 34

Figure 3.2 Screenshot of mobile applications sent by e-mail 35

Figure 4.1 Ease to learn 46

Figure 4.2 Simple usage..... 47

Figure 4.3 Convenience 48

Figure 4.4 Utility..... 49

Figure 4.5 Attitude to use..... 50

Figure 4.6 Suitability 53

Figure 4.7 Convenience 54

Figure 4.8 Attitude to use..... 55

Figure 5.2 Comparison between m-learning and e-learning..... 62

Figure 5.3 The result of Experiment 2 64

Figure 5.4 Additional analysis to compare review vs. preview 65

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Current learning activities (In/ Beyond classroom learning) 9

Table 2.1 Comparison of e-learning and m-learning (Sharma and Kitchens, 2004; Nash, 2007) 19

Table 3.1 The number of participants for each experiment 38

Table 3.2 Experimental design of the first experiment 39

Table 3.3 Experimental design of the second experiment 39

Table 3.4 Experimental design of Experiment 3 40

Table 3.5 Experimental design of Experiment 4 40

Table 3.6 Details of experiment design 41

Table 4.1 E-learning vs. m-learning 45

Table 4.2 In the classroom vs. out of the classroom 52

Table 4.3 Review-based learning at different times 56

Table 4.4 Preview-based learning at different times 58

Table 4.5 Review-based learning vs. preview-based learning 59

Table 5.1 Summary table of research questions and answers 61

STATEMENT OF ACADEMIC INTEGRITY

I declare that this research study is entirely the product of my own work and that it has not been taken from the work of others. When the work and ideas of others has been used in the study, the work has been properly cited in the text.

_____

Lei (Pluto) Wang

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I owe a great deal of gratitude to people who, in various ways, helped to make this project possible. Particularly, I would like to thank my supervisor Dr Hokyoung Ryu for providing me with this opportunity. Thank you for your guidance, your revisions and patience with my questions in stressful times. I would also like to mention my family and my dear friends and flatmates (SuyiGuo, Liye Zhang and Ting Yue) for providing me with support whenever I need it and brightening up my year.