

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Dog Breed Selection and Factors that Shape Them

A thesis presented
in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of

Master of Science in Zoology
at Massey University
Palmerston North, New Zealand

Tyler J. Challand

2016

Synopsis

The aim of this research was to describe human perceptions of dog breeds, New Zealand national dog demographics, and the relationship between aesthetic appeal and physical conformation of dog breeds. Methods included a literature review, a review of New Zealand dog registration data, and a survey of 131 university students from first and third year veterinary science and first year marketing on the relative appeal of unmodified and modified dog images.

By reviewing literature on human preferences towards dog characteristics breeds were selected that would be most likely to generate the ideal positive and ideal negative first impressions. Characteristics were examined by compiling the strongest positive and negative preferences, opinions, and reports. The results indicated that the ideal breed for a positive impression would be a Labrador Retriever of pale or yellow colour. The ideal breed for the negative impression was Rottweiler. The German Shepherd Dog was also notable for creating a negative impression.

This study used datasets from the New Zealand National Dog Database (NZDD) (2013-2014) and New Zealand Kennel Club (NZKC) (2005-2014) to describe the New Zealand dog population. Results highlight a large difference between the two datasets in regards to rankings and reporting. The NZDD and NZKC top 10 ranked purebreds differed in that the NZDD top 10 contained more working breeds that are utilized in livestock farming (e.g. Huntaway). According to the NZDD data, most dogs in New Zealand are purebred (over 65%). The Labrador Retriever was the most commonly registered breed in both datasets. The kennel club data can be used for pedigree dog information but, unlike the NZDD, not national demographic information.

The study also investigated, using a survey with associated image ranking, whether academic programme or year of university study influenced the scoring of different dogs based on their physical appeal. The breeds presented in image sets (original and altered) were Belgian Shepherd (Malinois), Border Collie, Dachshund, French Bulldog, German Shepherd (Alsatian), and Jack Russell Terrier. Neither academic programme nor year of university study influenced scoring of five of the six image sets (all but the French Bulldog). Results from the French Bulldog image set indicated fourth year veterinary science students found the images with less exaggeration more appealing than either first year group. Also female participants preferred less exaggeration compared to male participants. For all six breeds the less exaggerated variants within the set of images were considered more appealing by all participants. These findings indicate that there was a preference among the students surveyed for dogs with physical characteristics that were less exaggerated and potentially less detrimental to the health and welfare of the animal.

Acknowledgements

I acknowledge and thank the following people and organisations for their support, advice and assistance during this study: Ngaio Beausoleil for her supervision, Murray Potter for his supervision, Kevin Stafford for his supervision, Daniela Rosenstreich for her survey expertise and assistance in providing access to survey participants, the Massey University Human Ethics Committee, the Massey University students who participated in my survey, Roland Riddell for his image editing expertise, and finally my family and friends.

Contents

Chapter 1 : Introduction – Background and Objectives.....	17
Chapter 2 : A Review of Human Opinions of Dog Breeds: Perceptions and Impressions.....	23
Abstract.....	23
Introduction.....	24
Methods	25
First Impressions	25
Disconnect Between Perceptions and Reality.....	28
Consequences of Our Perceptions.....	35
Best and Worst First Impressions	38
Conclusions.....	41
References.....	41
Chapter 3 : The Demographics of Dogs in New Zealand	51
Abstract.....	51
Introduction.....	52
Materials	53
Results.....	55
Discussion.....	71
Conclusions.....	78
References.....	79
Chapter 4 : Factors Influencing ‘Consumer’ Preferences for Dog Conformation	85
Introduction.....	86
Materials and Methods.....	93
Results.....	97
Discussion.....	113
Conclusion	118
Acknowledgments.....	120
References.....	120
Chapter 5 : Thesis Discussion and Conclusion.....	127
Appendix 1 : Population Demographics of Dogs in New Zealand.....	135
Appendix 2 : Factors Influencing ‘Consumer’ Preferences for Dog Conformation.....	157

List of Figures

- Figure 3.1 The map shows the dogs per capita across the New Zealand districts for 2013. The darker an area is shaded the higher the dog per capita value was. Districts in black did not have data available for analysis. 56
- Figure 3.2 The map shows the purebred proportion of dogs in each district for 2013. The darker an area is shaded the higher the proportion of purebred dogs compared to mix breed. Districts patterned with vertical lines have more dogs registered as mix breed than purebred dogs compared to mix breed. Districts patterned with vertical lines have more dogs registered as mix breed than purebred. Black districts did not have available data for analysis. 57
- Figure 3.3 The map shows the most commonly registered dog breed in each district from the New Zealand National Dog Database in 2013. The darker shade indicates the most common breed was cross-breeds. For this map all cross-breeds were considered a single group. A purebred breed was the most commonly registered group in the lighter shaded districts. Black districts did not have data for 2013. 60
- Figure 4.1 Histogram of appeal scores for the Border Collie image set. Appeal score were generated using a weighting method with higher magnitude scores showing a preference for an altered image instead of the original image. Appeal scores of -10 indicated a preference for the longest legs and an appeal score of 10 indicated a preference for the shortest legs. The expected random distribution curve was generated from all the possible combinations participants could have ranked images. 99
- Figure 4.2 Histogram of appeal scores for the Dachshund image set. Appeal score were generated using a weighting method with higher magnitude scores showing a preference for an altered image instead of the original image. Appeal scores of -10 indicated a preference for the longest legs and an appeal score of 10 indicated a preference for the shortest legs. The expected random distribution curve was generated from all the possible combinations participants could have ranked images. 100
- Figure 4.3 Histogram of appeal scores for the French Bulldog image set. Appeal score were generated using a weighting method with higher magnitude scores showing a preference for an altered image instead of the original image. Appeal scores of -10 indicated a preference for the longest muzzle and an appeal score of 10 indicated a preference for the shortest muzzle. The expected random distribution curve was generated from all the possible combinations participants could have ranked images. 101
- Figure 4.4 Histogram of appeal scores for the German Shepherd Dog image set. Appeal score were generated using a weighting method with higher magnitude scores showing a preference for an altered image instead of the original image. Appeal scores of -10 indicated a preference for the most level back/raised hindquarters and an appeal score of 10 indicated a preference for the most sloped back/lowered hindquarters muzzle. The expected random distribution curve was generated from all the possible combinations participants could have ranked images. 102

Figure 4.5 Histogram of appeal scores for the Jack Russell Terrier image set. Appeal score were generated using a weighting method with higher magnitude scores showing a preference for an altered image instead of the original image. Appeal scores of -10 indicated a preference for the longest muzzle and an appeal score of 10 indicated a preference for the shortest muzzle. The expected random distribution curve was generated from all the possible combinations participants could have ranked images.	103
Figure 4.6 Histogram of appeal scores for the Malinois image set. Appeal score were generated using a weighting method with higher magnitude scores showing a preference for an altered image instead of the original image. Appeal scores of -10 indicated a preference for the most level back/raised hindquarters and an appeal score of 10 indicated a preference for the most sloped back/lowered hindquarters muzzle. The expected random distribution curve was generated from all the possible combinations participants could have ranked images.....	104
Figure A1.1 Map of the New Zealand districts showing the number one registered breed, by New Zealand National Dog Database registration count, per district in 2013.....	145
Figure A1.2 Map of the New Zealand districts showing the number one registered breed, by New Zealand National Dog Database registration count, per district in 2014.....	146
Figure A1.3 Map of the New Zealand districts showing the number one type of dog, by New Zealand National Dog Database registration count, per district in 2013.....	147
Figure A1.4 Map of the New Zealand districts showing the number one type of dog, by New Zealand National Dog Database registration count, per district in 2014.....	148
Figure A2.1 The images of the Belgian Shepherd (Malinois) used in the appeal survey. Image A is the original purchased from iStock ®. Image B has had the hips and back lowered 15% from the original. Image C has had the hips and back lowered by 10%. Image D has had the hips and back lifted by 5%. Image E has had the hips and back lifted by 10%.	161
Figure A2.2 The images of the German Shepherd Dog (Alsatian) used in the appeal survey. Image A is the original purchased from iStock ®. Image B has had the hips and back lowered 10% from the original. Image C has had the hips and back lowered by 5%. Image D has had the hips and back lifted by 10%. Image E has had the hips and back lifted to be level.	162
Figure A2.3 The images of the Border Collie used in the appeal survey. Image A is the original purchased from iStock ®. Image B has had the legs shortened 15% from the original. Image C has had the legs shortened by 10%. Image D has had the legs lengthened by 5%. Image E has had the legs lengthened 10%.	163
Figure A2.4 The images of the Dachshund used in the appeal survey. Image A is the original purchased from iStock ®. Image B has had the legs shortened 20% from the original. Image C has had the legs shortened by 10%. Image D has had the legs lengthened by 10%. Image E has had the legs lengthened 20%.	164

Figure A2.5 The images of the French Bulldog used in the appeal survey. Image A is the original purchased from iStock ®. Image B has had the muzzle shortened 15% from the original. Image C has had the muzzle shortened by 10%. Image D has had the muzzle lengthened by 10%. Image E has had the muzzle lengthened 15%..... 165

Figure A2.6 The images of the Jack Russell Terrier used in the appeal survey. Image A is the original purchased from iStock ®. Image B has had the muzzle shortened 15% from the original. Image C has had the muzzle shortened by 10%. Image D has had the muzzle lengthened by 10%. Image E has had the muzzle lengthened 15%..... 166

List of Tables

Table 3.1 Significant correlations between the different demographic characteristics to estimate the structure of a district's dog population.....	61
Table 3.2 The number of breeds that were common between the top 10 lists of dog breeds generated by the national kennel clubs of New Zealand, The United Kingdom and the United States of America for 2005-2014.	63
Table 3.3 All the breeds seen in the top 10 rankings from the New Zealand National Dog Database (NZDD), New Zealand Kennel Club (NZKC), American Kennel Club (AKC), and The Kennel Club (KC) categorised by group cluster organised by the Fédération Cynologique Internationale (FCI).	64
Table 3.4 Checklist showing which Fédération Cynologique Internationale group clusters are present in the top 10 rankings from various sources. Any breed from a group cluster present in any top 10 rankings from 2005-2014 is indicated by a check for its related source.	65
Table 3.5 The average number of breeds from each Federation Cynologique Internationale group clusters seen between 2005 and 2014 from the various data sources.....	65
Table 3.6 All the breeds seen in the top 10 rankings from the New Zealand National Dog Database (NZDD), New Zealand Kennel Club (NZKC), American Kennel Club (AKC), and The Kennel Club (KC) categorised by cephalic index. Bracket number indicates the score the breed was given when calculating yearly cephalic index score for each top 10.	67
Table 3.7 Yearly cephalic index scores based on the breeds present in each data source top 10 ranking from 2005 to 2014. Cephalic index scoring values can be seen in Table 3.6.....	68
Table 3.8 Top 10 dog breeds ranked from 1 to 10 based on the New Zealand Kennel Club (NZKC) registration counts compared to data from the New Zealand National Dog Database (NZDD). Breeds with an asterisk are tied in rankings.	69
Table 3.9 Top 10 dog breeds ranked from 1 to 10 based on the New Zealand National Dog Database (NZDD) registration counts compared to data from the New Zealand Kennel Club (NZKC).	69
Table 3.10 Representation of the dogs registered with the New Zealand Kennel Club (NZKC) within the dog population registered with the New Zealand National Dog Database (NZDD) for 2014.....	70
Table 4.1 The results of the GLM analyses comparing the appeal score given to image sets for each breed and different demographics, dog type preferences and dog ownership history. Appeal score was generated using a weighted method, with more altered images being weighted higher than less altered and the original. Bolded values indicate a significant result. The error degrees of freedom was 109 for each breed.	98

Table 4.2 The results from the follow-up t-tests (LSD) conducted for the French Bulldog image set scores after the GLM indicated a class and gender had a significant effect. Bolded values are significant. Differences between means are recorded as absolute values for this table.	98
Table 4.3 The results of the χ^2 analysis comparing the appeal scores from the participants and those generated by a random distribution. Appeal scores ranged from -10 to 10.....	104
Table 4.4 The summary of how many participants of lived with a dog. Ownership of the dog was not specified as parental ownership would be the norm for the student demographic.	105
Table 4.5 The summary of how many participants, in each group, had owned/lived with dogs from the breeds used in the survey images.	105
Table 4.6 The proportion of participants, from each group, that selected each of the four choices for type of dog breed they would acquire at the time of the survey. The ‘Do not know’ option was for participants who had no idea what they would want whereas ‘Do not mind’ was for participants who would be content with any type of dog.....	106
Table 4.7 Responses for the most likely method a participant would acquire a dog. A χ^2 analysis was conducted to determine if a participant group had a preference towards one method.	107
Table 4.8 Responses for the least likely method a participant would acquire a dog. A χ^2 analysis was conducted to determine if a participant group had a preference towards one method.	107
Table 4.9 The top 5 dog breeds listed as a favourite by the participants in each group. Breeds were ordered by the number of times the breed was listed as an answer. Each participant was asked to list 3 breeds. Blank indicates a response line was left unanswered. Breeds with in a shaded area share are tied for count. Images of breeds that are bolded were used in the appeal ranking component of the survey.	108
Table 4.10 The top 5 dog breeds listed as a least favourite by the participants in each group. Breeds were ordered by the number of times the breed was listed as an answer. Each participant was asked to list 3 breeds. Blank indicates a response line was left unanswered. Breeds with in a shaded area share are tied for count. Images of breeds that are bolded were used in the appeal ranking component of the survey.....	109
Table 4.11 The characteristics considered when acquiring dog when ranked by importance to the participant. Ranking was done based on the average rank (1 to 10) a characteristic received from the participants. Values in the parentheses are the average mean and standard error of the mean. Characteristics in a shaded area have equal average rank. .	110
Table 4.12 Physical characteristics listed by participants as important when considering acquiring a dog. Each participant was asked to list three physical characteristics. Values in the parentheses are the counts for each characteristic. Responses in a shaded area have an equal count.	111

Table 4.13 The two most frequently listed breeds for the breed with the best and worst health for each participant group. Each participant was asked to name one breed for the best and one breed for the worst. Breeds presented in bold were used in the image scoring based on appeal component of the study.	112
Table A1.1 The legend of all abbreviations and shorthand for breed names used in Appendix 1.....	135
Table A1.2 Summarised data collected from the New Zealand National Dog database for the years 2013 and 2014.	136
Table A1.3 The six breeds of dog that were ranked number one in 2013 according to the New Zealand National Dog Database for one or more New Zealand districts. The districts that the dog was ranked number one is listed under the respective breed. Four districts did not have data available for analysis for 2013.....	139
Table A1.4 The six breeds of dog that were ranked number one in 2014 according to the New Zealand National Dog Database for one or more New Zealand districts. The districts that the dog was ranked number one is listed under the respective breed. Two districts did not have data available for analysis for 2014.....	140
Table A1.5 The top three most registered dog breed in 2013 for each New Zealand district according to the data made available from the New Zealand National Dog Database. Data was not available in 2013 for four districts which is indicated by the NA placeholders.....	141
Table A1.6 The top 10 breeds registered in 2013 for each New Zealand district when breeds primarily involved in agriculture are excluded. Breeds combined and separated by a slash have equal registration counts for that district and are tied for rank. Some breed names were abbreviated for the table (See Abbreviation Legend for all abbreviations/shorthand)...	143
Table A1.7 The New Zealand National Dog Database (NZDD) top 10 ranked dog breeds for the years 2013 and 2014.	149
Table A1.8 The New Zealand Kennel Club (NZKC) top 10 ranked dog breeds for the years 2005-2014. Asterisks indicate breeds of tied rank. Some breed names were abbreviated for the table (See Abbreviation Legend for all abbreviations/shorthand).....	150
Table A1.9 The Kennel Club (KC) top 10 ranked dog breeds for the years 2005-2014. Asterisks indicate breeds of tied rank. Some breed names were abbreviated for the table (See Abbreviation Legend for all abbreviations/shorthand).....	151
Table A1.10 The American Kennel Club (AKC) top 10 ranked dog breeds for the years 2005-2014. Some breed names were abbreviated for the table (See Abbreviation Legend for all abbreviations/shorthand)	152
Table A1.11 Yearly cephalic index scores based on the breeds present in each data source top 10 ranking from 2005 to 2014. Cephalic index scoring values can be seen in Table A1.10.	153

Table A1.12 The average number of breeds from each of the three cephalic types the top 10 lists from the American Kennel Club, The Kennel Club, and the New Zealand Kennel Club were averaged together for each year..... 153