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Abstract

The Ongoing Resourcing Scheme (ORS) is a funding provision with access to specialists, additional teachers and teacher aides to support inclusion for children with high or very high learning and adaptive needs. This study used a qualitative methodology to explore the perceptions of five parents about the supports received through the ORS funding scheme including its strengths and limitations. The study was conducted in three different regular primary schools in the Central North region of New Zealand. The main themes identified were related to the nature and extent of support by the professional teams and the process of obtaining ORS funding with a clear message for more transparency. The study suggests that the efficacy of the teacher aide role, how the ORS funding is managed and the importance of transparency are all areas for further research.
Acknowledgements

I would like to sincerely thank the participants for their sincere cooperation during the study.

I would also like to acknowledge my research supervisors Dr. Julia Budd and Dr. Vijaya Dharan for their strong contributions with designing the study and analysing the data. Thank you for sharing your expertise in the field of research and for your guidance throughout the production of this thesis.

Approval for this research was obtained by the *Massey University Code of Ethical Conduct for Research, Teaching and Evaluations Involving Human Participants (MUHEC)*.
# Table of Contents

**Abstract**  
[ii]

**Acknowledgements**  
[iii]

**Table of contents**  
[iv]

1. **Introduction**  
 [1]
   1.1. Background to Inclusion and Special Education in New Zealand  
   [1]
   1.2. Overview of the Ongoing Resourcing Scheme  
   [5]
      1.2.1. Past Reviews of the ORS Funding  
      [7]

2. **Literature Review**  
 [10]
   2.1. Parents’ Perceptions  
   [10]
      2.1.1. Parental Role Construction and Self-Efficacy  
      [11]
   2.2. Importance of Parents’ Voice  
   [12]
   2.3. Parents’ Involvement in their Child’s Education  
   [13]
      2.3.1. New Zealand Context  
      [15]
   2.4. Parents’ Views of Inclusion  
   [16]
   2.5. Parents’ Views on Inclusion for Children with Certain Special Educational Needs  
   [18]
      2.5.1. Parents of Children with Autism Spectrum Disorder  
      [18]
      2.5.2. Parents of Children with Hearing or Vision Impairments  
      [20]
      2.5.3. Parents of Children with Intellectual Disabilities  
      [21]
   2.6. The Supports provided through ORS Funding in New Zealand Schools  
   [22]
      2.6.1. Specialist Support  
      [22]
      2.6.2. Additional Teacher Support  
      [26]
      2.6.3. Teacher Aides  
      [29]
   2.7. Research Aim  
   [32]
   2.8. Research Questions  
   [32]
3. Methodology and Methods

3.1. Epistemology and Methodology

3.2. Ethical Considerations

3.2.1. Informed Consent

3.2.2. Confidentiality

3.2.3. Conflict of Interest

3.3. Ethical Approval

3.4. Participants

3.5. Selection Criteria

3.6. Data Collection

3.7. Data Analysis

3.7.1. Phase 1: Familiarising yourself with the data

3.7.2. Phase 2: Generating initial codes

3.7.3. Phase 3: Searching for themes

3.7.4. Phase 4: Reviewing potential themes

3.7.5. Phase 5: Defining and naming themes

3.7.6. Phase 6: Producing the report

3.8. Summary

4. Results

4.1. How are children supported through the ORS funding in regular classrooms?

4.1.1. The Three Supports Provided by ORS

4.1.2. Funding Variation

4.2. What are the perceived strengths of the supports received by the ORS funding?

4.2.1. Accessing ORS Funding

4.2.2. Teacher Aides Support
4.2.3. Additional Teacher Support 44
4.2.4. The Value of Collaboration 45

4.3. What are the perceived limitations of the supports received by the ORS funding? 46
4.3.1. Deficit Model in Application Process 46
4.3.2. Lack of Specialists and Clarity around Roles 47
4.3.3. Dependency on Teacher Aides 48
4.3.4. Reduced Teacher Engagement 48
4.3.5. Transparency 48
4.3.6. Flexibility 49

5. Discussion 50
5.1. Team effort and the strength of collaboration 50
5.2. Parents’ perceptions of process and provision 54
5.3. Parents’ perceptions on building transparency and flexibility 57
5.4. Implications and recommendations for future research 59
5.5. Limitations 60
5.6. Conclusion 61

References 63

Appendix A: Parent Information Sheet 78
Appendix B: Ethic Approval Letter 80
Appendix C: Principal and Board of Trustees Information sheet 81
Appendix D: Parent Consent Form 83
Appendix E: Transcript Authority Release Form 84
Appendix F: Examples of Coding Phase 85
Appendix G: Final Thematic Map showing One Main Theme 86