

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

EVALUATION OF FUNCTIONALITY OF  
COMMERCIAL RESISTANT STARCHES IN  
FOOD SYSTEMS



**Massey University**

A THESIS PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF  
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF MASTERS  
OF TECHNOLOGY

By

**AMIT TANEJA**

RIDDET CENTER AND  
INSTITUTE OF FOOD NUTRITION AND HUMAN HEALTH  
MASSEY UNIVERSITY  
PALMERSTON NORTH  
2005

**TO MY MOTHER**

## **ABSTRACT**

The objective of this study was (i) to investigate the functional properties of commercial resistant starches in a fluid model food system, (ii) to determine the level of resistant starch that could replace the regular thickener without affecting the sensory properties of the system and (iii) to verify the claims made by manufacturers of resistant starches.

In order to evaluate four commercially available resistant starches, a chicken soup model food system was developed. The choice of food system was based on the ease of rheological measurement along with relatively easy method of preparation. A representative soup formulation was chosen which contained industrial starch, wheat flour and xanthan gum as thickening agents. A suitable experimental plan was developed using fractional factorial and central composite designs for evaluation of the soup model. The viscosity of the soup model was determined using Paar Physica rheometer and the sensory analysis was done using acceptance and simple difference testing.

The rheological properties, i.e. the consistency index (K) and flow behavior index (n), derived from the power law model, for the soup model were analyzed using response surface methodology, which enabled an evaluation of the functionality of the model and visualization of correlation between various factors (ingredients) and resistant starch. Results revealed that all resistant starches lacked any starch like functionality as none of them was able to replace the waxy maize starch functionality to any significant extent. Hence, it was necessary to allow for the replacement of waxy maize starch by increasing the amount of xanthan gum in the formulations. Thus, regression models, built to predict the optimum regions of response, were used in replacing waxy maize starch in soup with resistant starch by increasing the amount of xanthan gum.

Comparative sensory responses obtained from paired sample testing determined that the optimum level at which resistant starch could be added to soup model was only 20%. At higher levels (40% and 60%), a difference in

---

taste could be perceived.

The claims made by manufacturers regarding the thermal stability of resistant starches were validated and the *in vitro* assays showed no significant difference ( $P>0.05$ ) in percent resistant starch (dry weight basis) level with the increase in holding time (5-20mins) at 95 °C while soup making.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I wish to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisors, Professor Harjinder Singh and Dr. Derek Haisman for their excellent supervision, understanding, and encouragement throughout the project. They showed me the logical way to approach problems with their patience and helpful discussion. I also convey my special thanks to Professor Paul Moughan for his support and valuable guidance.

Special thanks to Dr. Nigel Grigg for his expert advice on experimental designs and helpful discussions on statistical analysis.

I am very grateful to the staff of the Institute of Food, Nutrition and Human Health. In particular, I thank Mr. Steve Glasgow, Mr. Warwick Johnson, Mr. Christopher Hall, Ms Michelle Tamehana, Ms Susan Simms, Ms Karen Pickering and Ms Yvonne Parkes for their kindness and technical assistance during my post graduate study at Massey University. I also thank all fellow postgraduates and PD hutters.

Finally, I would like to express my genuine gratitude to my father, Dr. Pervez Taneja, my sister Ms Nancy Taneja, my brother-in-law Mr Nitin Gautam and my friend Ms. Namrata Behl for their love, support and encouragement throughout my masters.

---



---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Chapter 1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter 2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1 Starch – chemistry, properties, various sources and uses in foods	3
2.2 Gelatinization	6
2.3 Retrogradation	9
2.4 Rheological properties of starch dispersions	15
2.5 Resistant starch	
2.5.1 <i>History</i>	20
2.5.2 <i>Relationship with glycaemic index</i>	20
2.5.3 <i>Resistant starch as a functional food</i>	21
2.5.4 <i>Classification</i>	22
2.5.5 <i>Digestion and fermentation of resistant starch</i>	23
2.5.6 <i>Analysis of resistant starch in foods</i>	27
2.5.7 <i>Production of resistant starch</i>	36
2.5.8 <i>Thermal analysis of resistant starches using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)</i>	43
2.5.9 <i>Application of resistant starches</i>	45
2.6 Conclusions	47
<b>Chapter 3 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>50</b>
3.1 Materials	50
3.2 Approach to development of model food system for functionality testing of resistant starch	51
3.3 Method of soup preparation	52
3.4 Experimental design	52

---

---

3.4.1	<i>The 2k factorial design</i>	52
3.4.2	<i>Response surface methodology</i>	54
3.5	Viscosity measurement of model food system (soup)	56
3.6	Sensory evaluation	58
3.6.1	<i>Acceptance test</i>	58
3.6.2	<i>Simple difference test</i>	58
3.7	Resistant starch assay	60
3.8	DSC thermal analysis	64
<b>Chapter 4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>66</b>
4.1	Introduction – scheme of research	66
4.2	Screening	67
4.2.1	<i>Experimental design</i>	68
4.2.2	<i>Results and discussion</i>	68
4.2.3	<i>Conclusions</i>	75
4.3	A second-order model to predict the effect of WMS and WF on the model food system	76
4.3.1	<i>Experimental design</i>	76
4.3.2	<i>Results and discussion</i>	78
4.3.3	<i>Conclusions</i>	82
4.4	The effect of wheat flour on the sensory perception of the model food system	82
4.4.1	<i>Test objective</i>	82
4.4.2	<i>Experimental design</i>	82
4.4.3	<i>Results and discussion</i>	83
4.4.4	<i>Conclusions</i>	83
4.5	Functionality of resistant starch	85
4.5.1	<i>Results and discussion</i>	85
4.5.2	<i>Conclusions</i>	85
4.6	A second-order model to predict the effect of waxy maize starch and xanthan gum on the model food system	86
4.6.1	<i>Experimental design</i>	86

---



---

4.6.2	<i>Results and discussion</i>	86
4.6.3	<i>Conclusions</i>	89
4.7	Thermal behavior of resistant starches	91
4.7.1	<i>Experimental design</i>	91
4.7.2	<i>Results and discussion</i>	91
4.7.3	<i>Conclusions</i>	94
4.8	The effect of holding time on %RS content of model food system	95
4.8.1	<i>Experimental design</i>	95
4.8.2	<i>Results and discussion</i>	95
4.8.3	<i>Conclusions</i>	97
4.9	Replacing waxy maize starch by RS in model food system	97
4.9.1	<i>Test format</i>	97
4.9.2	<i>Results and discussion</i>	98
4.9.3	<i>Conclusions</i>	98
<b>Chapter 5</b>	<b>OVERALL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER WORK</b>	<b>104</b>
	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>102</b>
	<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>110</b>
A1	Products with hi maize as an ingredient marketed in Australia and New Zealand	110
A2	Basic soup recipes sourced to formulate cream of chicken soup for the research	111
A3	Final soup formulation used in present research	113
A4	A sample questionnaire for acceptance test	114
A5	A typical worksheet for simple difference test	120
A6	Box Cox transformation plot and lambda table for the second order model of WMS and XG	121
A7	Moisture content determination (AOAC official method 925.10)	122

---

---

A8	The analysis of variance table for %RS versus holding time for model soups	123
A9	Work sheet and score sheet for same/different test	124

---