

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

A high frequency change, which is both inducible and reversible, results in altered colony morphology of a fungal symbiont (*Neotyphodium lolii*) and dwarfing of its grass host (*Lolium perenne*)

This thesis is presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science (MSc) in Microbiology at Massey University, Palmerston North New Zealand

Wayne Roydon Simpson
2009

Abstract

Fungal endophytes of the genus *Neotyphodium* form stable symbiotic associations, with grasses, that are symptomless and generally considered to be mutualistic. The benefits that these fungi confer to their grass hosts are exploited in pastoral agriculture systems. The production of a range of secondary metabolites, specifically alkaloids including peramine and ergovaline can give their host plants an ecological advantage in certain environments. *Neotyphodium* endophytes are asexual and have lost the ability to transfer horizontally between hosts making seed transmission a vital feature of the association.

This thesis reports the occurrence of phenotypically different perennial ryegrass plants (*Lolium perenne*) in a population infected with *Neotyphodium lolii*. Here we show that the change in the plants is directly attributable to a variant endophyte that they host. Isolation of the variant endophyte reveals a change in colony growth compared to the wild-type resident endophyte in the population, which has a white and cottony phenotype. Colonies of the variant endophyte are smaller than wild-type colonies and mucoid, with hyphal filaments forming aggregates. Evidence shows that the switch between colony morphologies occurs at a very high frequency, is reversible, and appears to be environmentally induced. This suggests that the switching phenomenon involves gene regulation rather than mutation. When endophyte-free plants are infected, with either white and cottony (wild-type) or mucoid (variant) fungal colonies, they assume a morphology consistent with the state of the fungus at the time of inoculation, that is normal or dwarfed, respectively. In addition, re-isolation of endophyte from either normal or dwarfed plants always yields white and cottony or mucoid colonies, respectively, suggesting that the host environment stabilizes the state of the fungus. Proteomic profiling revealed differences in protein expression between plants infected with either the wild-type or mucoid fungus. Furthermore, host plants containing the mucoid fungus have never flowered or produced seed. Thus, if this change in the fungal symbiont occurs in a competitive natural environment the mucoid fungus and its host plant may not persist beyond the first generation. This thesis provides insights into the plastic nature of fungal endophyte/grass symbiote and discusses possible mechanisms for the observed morphological switching in culture and host dwarfing.

Acknowledgments

I would like to acknowledge my supervisors Jan Schmid and Richard Johnson, this project has been conducted over a considerable period and I appreciate the perseverance and commitment demonstrated and the invaluable assistance given.

I acknowledge the support of Syd Easton and Dave Hume, both explicit and implicit, for the undertaking of this project and my colleagues Stuart Card, Anouck de Bonth and Bob Skipp for tolerating my ongoing preoccupation with it.

This project would not have been possible without the generous assistance of many people at AgResearch Grasslands, Palmerston North. I would particularly like to thank Mike Christensen who has been supportive of this project from its outset and a great mentor to me. Brian Tapper and Liz Davies for their assistance with chemistry along with Wade Mace who most recently has spent a great deal of time in this regard. Marty Faville for invaluable help with SSRs. Jen Pratt for help with RAPD work. Ningxin Zhang (IMBS, Massey University) and Shalome Bassett for teaching me the tricks of the trade required for the protein work. Igor Kardailsky for meristem dissections, Stefan Clerens (AgResearch, Lincoln) for protein spot analysis and John Koolaard for help with statistics.

Thanks to Ann Truter and Cynthia Cresswell (IMBS) for their professionalism and engaging good humour and Debbie Hudson (AgR) for proof reading the final draft.

I would especially like to thank Suzanne Kuijt for her help with cell measurements, reading and commenting on the manuscript and ongoing personal support.

There are many others who have helped along the way, thanks to you all.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1 Introduction	1
1.1 <i>Epichloë/Neotyphodium</i> endophytes (epichloae)	1
1.1.1 <i>Epichloë</i> endophytes	1
1.1.2 <i>Neotyphodium</i> endophytes	2
1.2 Features of <i>Neotyphodium</i> endophytes	3
1.2.1 Symbiosis	3
1.2.2 Alkaloids	3
1.2.3 Host specificity	4
1.2.4 Observing <i>Neotyphodium</i> endophytes	5
1.2.5 Isolation and culture of <i>Neotyphodium</i> endophytes	6
1.2.6 Artificial infection of grasses	6
1.3 <i>Neotyphodium lolii</i>	7
1.3.1 Importance of <i>N. lolii</i> in New Zealand	7
1.3.2 Variation in <i>Neotyphodium</i> endophytes	8
1.4 Phenotypic variation	9
1.4.1 Spontaneous mutation	9
1.4.2 Phenotypic switching	10
1.4.3 Phenotypic switching in <i>Candida albicans</i>	10
1.4.4 Switching and the <i>C. albicans</i> mating-type locus	11
1.4.5 Mass conversion	12
1.5 Plant architecture	12
1.6 Aims	16
Chapter 2 Materials and Methods	17
2.1 Table of biological materials	17
2.2 Fungal isolation and culture	17
2.2.1 Media	17
2.2.1.1 ABPDA	17
2.2.1.2 4% water agar	18
2.2.1.3 Murashige and Skoog media	18

2.2.1.4	Broth	18
2.2.2	Isolation of fungus on solid media	18
2.2.3	Liquid culture of <i>Neotyphodium</i> endophyte	19
2.2.4	Macerate culture of <i>Neotyphodium</i> endophyte	19
2.3	Seedling inoculation	19
2.4	Endophyte detection	20
2.4.1	Immuno-detection	20
2.4.1.1	Antigen binding	20
2.4.1.2	Processing	21
2.4.2	Microscopic examination	21
2.4.3	Cell measurement	22
2.5	Endophyte elimination	23
2.6	RAPD analysis	23
2.6.1	DNA extraction	23
2.6.2	PCR reactions	24
2.6.3	Gel electrophoresis	24
2.7	Simple Sequence Repeats (SSRs)	24
2.8	Alkaloid determination	25
2.9	Generation, infection and growth of clonal material	25
2.9.1	Source of clonal plant material	25
2.9.2	Axenic culture of clonal plantlets	26
2.9.3	Inoculation of clonal plantlets	27
2.9.4	Growth of clonal plantlets	27
2.10	Proteomics	27
2.10.1	Harvest and preparation of plant material	27
2.10.2	Protein extraction	28
2.10.3	Determination of protein concentration	29
2.10.4	Protein gel separation/2-D analysis of protein	29
2.10.4.1	Rehydration	29
2.10.4.2	Isoelectric focusing	29
2.10.5	Second dimension	30
2.10.6	Staining and fixing	30
2.10.7	Protein analysis	31

Chapter 3 Results	32
3.1 A dwarfism-inducing fungus	32
3.1.1 Dwarf plants observed in a perennial ryegrass population	32
3.1.2 <i>Neotyphodium</i> endophyte fungus isolated from dwarfed plants differs from that isolated from normal phenotype plants	32
3.1.3 Removal of the mucoid P1 fungus restores the plant phenotype from dwarfed to normal	34
3.1.4 Infection of endophyte-free seedlings with the mucoid P1 fungus results in dwarfed plants	34
3.1.5 Plantlet clones infected with P1 are dwarfed	36
3.2 Tillering, flowering and cell size	37
3.2.1 P1-infected plants produced more tillers than endophyte-free clones although initially at a similar rate	37
3.2.2 P1 endophyte-infected plants produced a similar number of tillers to P41 endophyte-infected plants	38
3.2.3 Dwarfed plants infected with P1 do not flower under long day conditions following vernalisation	39
3.2.4 Failure of flowers to emerge from P1-infected plants is due to arrest in development of the inflorescence meristems	40
3.2.5 Gibberellin does not restore the dwarf phenotype to normal phenotype	42
3.2.6 The epidermal cells of dwarfed plants are similar in size to those of normal phenotype plants	42
3.3 DNA, protein and metabolic profiling	45
3.3.1 RAPD analysis shows that P1 has <i>N. lolii</i> profile	45
3.3.2 Simple Sequence Repeat data indicate that P1 is closely related to wild-type <i>N. lolii</i>	45
3.3.3 The level of key alkaloids is reduced in symbiosis between P1 and its host compared to P41	47
3.3.4 Ergovaline HPLC shows extreme difference in peak size at 36.0-36.2min	48
3.3.5 Dwarf P1- infected plants differ from normal P41-infected plants in their protein expression	48
3.3.6 LC-MS/MS	52
3.4 In culture studies	52
3.4.1 Fungal morphology is uniform when sub-cultured from newly emerged mycelium	52
3.4.2 Fungal morphology is not uniform when sub-cultured from mature P41 colonies	54
3.4.3 Mucoid phenotype colonies can arise spontaneously in cultures of P41 and induce dwarfing of host plants	54

3.4.4	Mass conversions of cottony to mucoid phenotype can be induced in P41 cultures by density	55
3.4.5	Mass conversions of cottony to mucoid phenotype can be induced in P41 cultures by age	55
3.4.6	Evidence that the in culture cottony to mucoid transition is induced by a transmissible substance	57
3.4.7	Conversion from cottony to mucoid phenotype and vice versa can be induced by sub-culturing	57
3.4.7.1	Macerate culture subbing	57
3.4.7.2	Non-macerate subbing	58
3.4.8	Cottony and mucoid fungus grow differently in liquid culture	59
3.4.9	Infection of perennial ryegrass seedlings using cottony P41 fungus and mucoid P41 mycelium produced in culture	60
3.4.9.1	Ryegrass plants artificially infected with cottony P41 fungus display a normal phenotype	60
3.4.9.2	Ryegrass plants artificially infected with mucoid P41 mycelium that develops in culture have a low infection rate and display a dwarf phenotype	60
3.4.9.3	Ryegrass plants artificially infected with cottony P41 fungus that develops from a mucoid colony display a normal phenotype and infection rate	61
 Chapter 4 Discussion		 62
4.1	Likely mechanisms leading to the observed morphological switching in culture	64
4.2	The dwarf plant phenotype	66
4.3	Implications for the symbiosis	70
 Chapter 5 Conclusion		 72
 Bibliography		 73
 Appendices		
Appendix I	SSR traces	88
Appendix II	Mass spectrometry data	90

List of figures

Fig.1.1	Hyphal filaments growing from surface sterilised host tissue on PDA	6
Fig.1.2	Simplified model of plant organ size control	15
Fig.2.1	Inoculation of perennial ryegrass seedlings	20
Fig.2.2	Immunoblot	22
Fig.2.3	Aniline blue stained hyphae of <i>Neotyphodium</i> endophyte	23
Fig.2.4	A tiller prepared for surface sterilisation for the axenic production of clonal plantlets	26
Fig.2.5	Harvesting tissue for protein extraction	28
Fig.3.1	Phenotype of dwarf plants	33
Fig.3.2	Colonies of <i>Neotyphodium lolii</i> isolated from perennial ryegrass	33
Fig.3.3	Fungicide treated clone of dwarfed plant	35
Fig.3.4	Phenotypic comparison between uninfected and P1-infected plants	35
Fig.3.5	A single genotype clone of diploid perennial ryegrass Nui D; uninfected and infected	36
Fig.3.6	Tiller numbers of P1-infected and endophyte-free perennial ryegrass	37
Fig.3.7	Tiller numbers of perennial ryegrass clone Nui D infected with P1 and P41 endophyte	39
Fig.3.8	Fully vernalised plants of a dwarfed P1-infected plant and normal phenotype P41 endophyte-infected plant	40
Fig.3.9	Excised tiller meristems of P41-infected and P1-infected perennial ryegrass following vernalisation and exposure to long days	41
Fig.3.10	Tiller lengths of endophyte-infected and endophyte-free clones treated with gibberellin	43
Fig.3.11	Gibberellin treated plants	43
Fig.3.12	Compound light microscope images of leaf tissue imprints	44
Fig.3.13	RAPD PCR products of endophyte DNA	46
Fig.3.14	HPLC trace of endophyte-infected diploid perennial ryegrass	49
Fig.3.15	HPLC chromatograms of P41-infected and P1-infected perennial ryegrass clone Nui D	50
Fig.3.16	2-Dimensional electrophoresis of total protein extracted from P41 and P1 endophyte-infected perennial ryegrass	51

Fig.3.17	Isolation of endophyte fungus on ABPDA	53
Fig.3.18	Mature colony of P41 and macerate colonies derived from it	53
Fig.3.19	P41 macerate cultures that developed both cottony and mucoid colony types	54
Fig.3.20	Macerated P41 fungus plated at high and low density	55
Fig.3.21	Macerated P41 fungus plated on solid media that became mucoid with age	56
Fig.3.22	Macerated P41 fungus plated to ABPDA at low, high and split densities	56
Fig.3.23	Colonies on ABPDA from macerated mycelium derived from mucoid and cottony P41 cultures	58
Fig.3.24	Sub-cultured fungus from a macerate culture of P41	59
Fig.3.25	Flask cultures of P41 and P1 in PDB	60
Fig.3.26	Schematic overview of fungal and plant phenotypes following isolation, infection and sub-culturing using both mucoid and cottony <i>N. loli</i>	61

List of Tables

Table 2.1	List of biological materials used in this study	17
Table 3.1	SSR peaks of P41, AR5 and P1 <i>N. loli</i>	46