

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The assessment of natural variation in felting and shrinkage in wool from two flocks.

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for a
Master of Applied Science degree at Massey University

P.R. Kenyon 1997

Abstract

Felting of wool is unwanted in raw wool scouring, wet treatments of most yarns and in the finished garment. It is desirable in some other uses and essential in the felt industries. The accepted method of testing loose wool felting is the Aachen felting test but it is laborious and relatively expensive. There is no generally accepted method for testing yarn shrinkage. For these trials new techniques using a household tumble dryer were developed for testing loose wool felting and yarn shrinkage. Analysis indicated that successful techniques had been developed for measuring loose wool felting and yarn shrinkage. Results with the loose wool felting technique were found to be highly correlated with those of the Aachen felting method ($r = 0.93$).

The effects of fibre characteristics on both loose wool felting and yarn shrinkage were investigated for straight-bred Romneys and for loose wool felting in $\frac{1}{4}$ Merino $\frac{3}{4}$ Romney (QM) crosses. Loose wool felting was most highly correlated with bulk ($r = -0.65$ for Romneys and -0.73 for QM's), crimp frequency ($r = -0.53$ and -0.41 respectively) and lustre (-0.30 and -0.40 respectively). Loose wool bulk ($r = -0.25$) and greasy fleece weight ($r = -0.40$) were the most highly correlated to yarn shrinkage. The greasy fleece weight relationship is thought to be environmental in origin. Micron and staple length were found to play only minor roles in loose wool felting and yarn shrinkage. Cotting was found not to be significantly correlated to either loose wool felting or yarn shrinkage.

Loose wool feltability was highly correlated ($r = 0.65$) to yarn shrinkage. A large percentage of yarn shrinkage variation was left unexplained. Part of this is probably due to variation in yarn characteristics and there is a need for a method of producing short-lengths of yarn of constant structure from very small wool samples.

The sire effect was significant ($P < 0.05$) and controlled 6.5% of the variation in loose wool felting and 12% in yarn shrinkage. This indicates that selection for either increased or decreased loose wool felting or yarn shrinkage would induce changes in a flock. Further investigation into the effect of scale height is warranted to enhance understanding of variation in felting.

Acknowledgements

I gratefully acknowledge the advice and assistance given me by my supervisors; Associate Professor G. A. Wickham and professor H.T. Blair. George is the most intelligent man I have ever met, without him, none of this would have been possible. His retirement will create a void, that will be hard to fill in the Animal Science Department. My gratitude is also extended to those in the wool group.

I would like to thank Wools of New Zealand for their financial assistance. I am also grateful for the co-operation given by the Wool Research Organisation of New Zealand (WRONZ).

To Mum and Dad, thank you both for your continued support and faith. I hope this goes some way towards repaying this.

To Lynette, thank you for your help, encouragement and patience.

Contents

| | | |
|--|---|-----------|
| Chapter one: Introduction | | 1 |
| Chapter two: Review of literature | | |
| 2.1 | SHRINKAGE AND TYPES OF EXPANSION | 6 |
| 2.1.1 | Felting shrinkage | 6 |
| 2.1.2 | Relaxation shrinkage | 7 |
| 2.1.3 | Consolidation shrinkage | 8 |
| 2.1.4 | The induction period | 8 |
| 2.1.5 | Hygral expansion | 9 |
| 2.2 | THEORETICAL EXPLANATIONS FOR THE FELTING MECHANISM | 10 |
| 2.2.1 | The ratchet mechanism | 11 |
| 2.2.2 | The ploughing mechanism | 12 |
| 2.2.3 | Lincoln's mechanism | 12 |
| 2.2.4 | Flanagan's mechanism | 13 |
| 2.2.5 | Grosberg's mechanism | 14 |
| 2.2.6 | Rudall's mechanism | 14 |
| 2.2.7 | Martin's mechanism | 15 |
| 2.3 | ESSENTIAL CONDITIONS FOR FELTING | 15 |
| 2.3.1 | Frictional difference | 15 |
| 2.3.2 | Agitation and movement | 16 |
| 2.3.3 | Moisture | 18 |
| 2.4 | CONTRIBUTING FACTORS CAUSING VARIATION IN FELTING | 19 |
| 2.4.1 | Fibre length | 19 |
| 2.4.2 | Fibre diameter | 19 |
| 2.4.3 | Crimp | 20 |
| 2.4.4 | Bulk | 22 |
| 2.4.5 | Elastic properties | 22 |
| 2.4.6 | Plasticity | 23 |
| 2.4.7 | Orientation of fibres | 24 |
| 2.4.8 | Temperature | 24 |
| 2.4.9 | Viscosity of wash liquor | 25 |
| 2.4.10 | pH | 26 |
| 2.4.11 | Lubricants | 26 |
| 2.4.12 | Detergents | 27 |
| 2.4.13 | Salt | 28 |
| 2.4.14 | Weathering | 28 |
| 2.4.15 | Lustre | 29 |
| 2.4.16 | Yarn and fabric structure | 29 |
| 2.5 | CARROTING | 31 |

Chapter three: Experimental

| | | |
|------------|---|-----------|
| 3.1 | MATERIALS | 34 |
| 3.1.1 | Flock backgrounds | 34 |
| 3.1.2 | Sampling methods | 35 |
| 3.1.3 | Subjective assessment | 36 |
| 3.1.4 | Measurement methods | 37 |
| 3.2 | DEVELOPMENT OF THE FELTING TEST | 38 |
| 3.2.1 | Technique development | 38 |
| 3.3 | METHOD FOR FELTBALL PREPARATION | 41 |
| 3.4 | AACHEN FELTBALL TEST | 42 |
| 3.5 | YARN SHRINKAGE | 43 |
| 3.5.1 | Development of yarn shrinkage technique | 43 |
| 3.5.2 | Spinning | 44 |
| 3.5.3 | Method for yarn shrinkage | 44 |
| 3.6 | STATISTICALS METHODS | 45 |

Chapter four: Results

| | | |
|------------|--|-----------|
| 4.1 | COMPARISON OF FELTING TECHNIQUES | 49 |
| 4.1.1 | Aachen 15 vs Aachen 60 | 49 |
| 4.1.2 | Aachen 60 vs Tumble drier | 50 |
| 4.1.3 | Aachen 15 vs Tumble drier | 51 |
| 4.2 | SOURCES OF VARIATION | 52 |
| 4.2.1 | Feltball diameters | 52 |
| 4.2.2 | Yarn shrinkage | 53 |
| 4.2.3 | Aachen system feltball diameters | 55 |
| 4.3 | CORRELATIONS AND REGRESSIONS | 55 |
| 4.3.1 | Simple correlations with feltball diameter | 55 |
| 4.3.2 | Simple correlations with yarn shrinkage | 57 |
| 4.3.3 | Comparisons between genotypes | 58 |
| 4.3.3.1 | Bulk | 59 |
| 4.3.3.2 | Total crimp | 60 |
| 4.3.3.3 | Crimp frequency | 61 |
| 4.3.3.4 | Micron | 62 |
| 4.3.3.5 | Y-Z | 63 |
| 4.4 | RELATIONS OF OTHER FIBRE CHARACTERISTICS | 64 |
| 4.4.1 | Romney | 64 |
| 4.4.2 | Quarter Merino | 65 |
| 4.4.3 | Romney yarn shrinkage | 66 |
| 4.5 | MULTIPLE COEFFICIENTS OF DETERMINATION (r^2) | 66 |
| 4.5.1 | Romney and QM feltballs | 66 |
| 4.5.2 | Yarn shrinkage | 67 |
| 4.6 | ROMNEY SIRE GROUP LEAST SQUARE MEANS | 68 |
| 4.7 | SIRE COMPARISONS | 69 |
| 4.7.1 | Feltball diameter and yarn shrinkage | 69 |
| 4.7.2 | Total crimp and feltball diameter | 69 |
| 4.7.3 | Loose wool bulk and yarn shrinkage | 71 |

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Chapter five: Discussion | |
| 5.1 | COMPARISONS OF TECHNIQUES 73 |
| 5.1.1 | Loose wool felting 73 |
| 5.1.2 | Yarn shrinkage 75 |
| 5.2 | PREDICTION OF YARN SHRINKAGE FROM FELTBALL DIAMETER 75 |
| 5.3 | FIBRE CHARACTERISTICS ON FELTING 77 |
| 5.3.1 | Fibre diameter 77 |
| 5.3.2 | Fibre length 78 |
| 5.3.3 | Bulk and resilience 80 |
| 5.3.4 | Crimp 81 |
| 5.3.5 | Lustre 82 |
| 5.3.6 | Cotting 83 |
| 5.3.7 | Yield 84 |
| 5.3.8 | Greasy fleece weight 84 |
| 5.3.9 | Y-Z 85 |
| 5.3.10 | Scale height 86 |
| 5.4 | GENETIC EFFECTS 86 |
| 5.4.1 | Flock 86 |
| 5.4.2 | Sire comparisons 87 |
| 5.5 | CHOOSING WOOL TYPES 88 |
| 5.6 | SELECTING OF SHEEP 89 |
| Chapter six: Conclusion | 92 |
| Chapter seven: References | 94 |

List of Figures.

| | |
|---|----|
| 3.1 Graph showing the effect of temperature on loose wool felting | 40 |
| 4.1 Graph showing the relationship of feltball diameter from Aachen feltball machine (60 min) and feltball diameter from the Aachen feltball machine (15 min) | 49 |
| 4.2 Graph showing relationship of diameter from tumble drier (30 min) feltballs and feltball diameter from the Aachen felting machine (60 min) | 50 |
| 4.3 Graph showing relationship of diameter from tumble drier (30 min) feltballs and diameter from the Aachen feltball machine (15 min) | 51 |
| 4.4 Graph showing the relationship of feltball diameter and bulk and the regression lines for the two genotypes | 59 |
| 4.5 Graph showing relationship of feltball diameter and total crimp and regression lines for the two genotypes. | 60 |
| 4.6 Graph showing relationship of feltball diameter and crimp frequency and the regression lines for the two genotypes. | 61 |
| 4.7 Graph showing relationship of feltball diameter and micron and the regression lines for the two genotypes | 62 |
| 4.8 Graph showing relationship of feltball diameter and Y-Z and the regression lines for the two genotypes | 63 |
| 4.9 Graph showing the relationship of yarn shrinkage and feltball diameter and the regression lines for the eight sires | 70 |
| 4.10 Graph showing the relationship of feltball diameter and total crimp and the regression lines for the eight sires | 71 |
| 4.11 Graph showing the relationship of yarn shrinkage and loose wool bulk and the regression lines for the eight sires | 72 |

List of tables

| | |
|--|----|
| 1.1 Commercial and industrial uses for wool felts | 5 |
| 4.1 Differences in yarn properties for the two spinners | 53 |
| 4.2 Accuracy and significance of the felting techniques | 54 |
| 4.3 Correlations between feltball diameters and fibre characteristics | 56 |
| 4.4 Correlations between fibre characteristics and percentage yarn shrinkage (Romney) | 57 |
| 4.5 Correlations among those characteristics that are significantly related to feltball diameter (Romney) | 64 |
| 4.6 Correlations among those characteristics that are significantly related to feltball diameter (QMs) | 65 |
| 4.7 Correlations among those characteristics that are significantly related to yarn shrinkage | 66 |
| 4.8 Multiple coefficients of determination (r^2) for feltball diameter and yarn shrinkage with fibre characteristics | 67 |
| 4.9 Sire averages for fibre characteristics and felting | 68 |
| 4.10 Within sire regression coefficients of feltball diameter and yarn shrinkage | 69 |
| 4.11 Within sire regression coefficients of total crimp and feltball diameter | 69 |
| 4.12 Within sire regression coefficients of loose wool bulk and yarn shrinkage | 72 |

List of plates

| | |
|--|----|
| Aachen felting machine | 46 |
| Aachen felting vessel | 46 |
| Tumble drier felting vessel, 1g sample of loose wool and feltball | 47 |
| Feltballs | 47 |
| Tumble drier yarn shrinkage vessel and yarn before and after shrinkage | 48 |
| Yarn sub-samples from the same wool sample before and after shrinkage | 48 |