

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Acoustic communication and  
behaviour of the golden haired  
pine bark beetle, *Hylurgus  
ligniperda* (Coleoptera:  
Curculionidae)**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of

**Master of Science in Zoology**

at Massey University, Palmerston North,  
New Zealand

Sunil Sapkota  
2017







## Abstract

The golden-haired bark beetle, *Hylurgus ligniperda* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae) imposes significant threats to New Zealand pine log exports. To date, control strategies against this invasive insect have relied heavily upon fumigation treatments. However, novel environmentally friendly and cost-effective strategies that decrease reliance on fumigants and can be used as part of an integrated package of disinfestation methods are urgently needed.

The adults of *H. ligniperda* produce characteristic and species-specific sounds when disturbed or aggregated. Males produce distinct audible simple and interrupted chirps using an elytral abdominal stridulatory mechanism whereby the pars stridens, usually present on the left elytrum, are scrapped by the sclerotized pegs present on the seventh segment of the abdominal tergite, whereas the females (despite having a similar stridulatory mechanism) just produce a click-like sound. Although the ability to produce sounds by *H. ligniperda* has been acknowledged for decades, nothing is yet known as to the relevance of acoustics on the behaviour of this species.

Thus, the main objective of this thesis was to study the sound-related behaviours of *H. ligniperda* under various scenarios (i.e. distress, mating, competition territoriality and colony) and to investigate the functions and characteristics (temporal and spectral) of the acoustic signals produced by this insect and their role in intraspecific communication.

Our results indicate that the role of sound in communication in the case of *H. ligniperda* is oriented more towards communication between the sexes rather than within individuals of the same sex. Depending upon the scenarios studied, the males of *H. ligniperda* can produce different frequencies of acoustic signals, ranging from 232 Hz to 21890 Hz. The minimum and maximum amplitudes of male acoustic signals (chirps) were highest in a colony context (-661270 and 764270), and lowest during competition (-12633 and 190383). The males did not produce any sounds (chirp) during mating. Similarly, the spectral analysis indicated that the females can produce acoustic signals of different frequencies in the range from 256 Hz to 23875 Hz. The minimum and maximum amplitudes of the female acoustic signals (clicks) were highest during competition (-189034 and 1041600) and lowest when they were distressed (-275112 and 191270). Toothstrike duration for male chirps (0.047 sec) and click duration for female clicks (0.012 sec) were longest when the beetles were distressed.

When distressed, the males produced a significantly higher number of simple chirps with a longer chirp duration and higher toothstrike rate. Similar patterns were observed for distressed females, that produced significantly higher number of clicks with a longer click duration. The role of interrupted chirps for distressed males was minor. However, in a mating context, the interrupted chirps seemed to play a more significant role in communication than the simple chirps.

Courtship displays were carried out by the males when the female was a virgin and never occurred when the female was already mated by a different male. The duration of the courtship displays was affected by competition between males. Mating time was also affected by the presence of competing males. When there were no males competing for a female in a mating trial, the duration of the courtship and of the mating was found to be comparatively longer than in the presence of competing males. Although *H. ligniperda* was previously reported as a monogamous species, the observations of this thesis indicate that this insect is a polygamous species with the ability to mate multiple times with multiple partners.

This study provides a good example of acoustics research in insects and a proof-of-concept for future research on acoustics as a deterrent or behaviour-modifying tool for *H. ligniperda* control.

## **Acknowledgement**

This thesis would not have been possible without the countenance and input from numerous people. I still remember the days when I was so disquieted about not getting a suitable project for my thesis. It was one of the happiest days in my life when Dr Masha Minor (my supervisor at Massey University) took me to Plant & Food Research and introduced me to Dr Adriana Najar-Rodriguez (Plant & Food Research Ltd, Palmerston North), and I knew about the project. First and foremost, I would like to express gratitude to my supervisor, Dr Masha Minor who conceded my research interests and showed me the direction ultimately linking to Dr Adriana Najar-Rodriguez. I am greatly indebted to Dr Najar-Rodriguez for all encouragements and support and for functioning as my guardian. Thank you, both of you for proofreading, editing and giving feedbacks on every single mistake that I made while writing my thesis. Your enthusiasm to figure out my problems, elucidate concepts and furnish insightful advice on the variety of topics were really helpful for me. Your office door was always open for me whenever I ran into a trouble or had a query about my research or writing.

I have so many other people to whom I'm indebted a lot for their varied assistance over the course of this project. Steven Burgess (Lab technician, Plant & Food Research Ltd, Palmerston North), thank you so much for climbing up the high hills of pine forest to get the cambium for my experiments. Thank you, Fang Tsang (Lab technician, Plant & Food Research Ltd, Palmerston North) for your effort in taking care of my beetles every week by providing them with the modified huhu grub diet. This research would not have been possible without the assistance from Mr Duncan Hedderley (Statistician, Plant & Food Research Ltd, Palmerston North). Thank you, Duncan, for all your help regarding the statistical data analysis. To Jess Sailor (former lab manager of the entomological department at Plant and Food Research Ltd, Palmerston North), thank you for assisting me in buying all different tools and gear needed for my experiment. On top of everything, thank you for checking grammatical errors of my thesis writing. Thank you Nirosha Priyadarshani, for your regular guidance and making me literate on sound analysis using different sound analysing software. You are my guru on this aspect. A huge salute to you.

I am grateful to Mr Liz D. Rowland from Cornell University for regular support and counselling on different aspects and providing me technical support in terms of sound analysis using Raven software. Thank you, Dr Germano Henrique Rosado Neto

(Federal University of Parana, Brazil) for giving me some suggestions and feedbacks in terms of sound analysis.

I must express my very profound gratitude to my mother and brother for providing me with unfailing support and continuous inspiration throughout my years of study. My dear dad, although you are not physically with us, your blessings and memories are always with me in every step of my life providing me with the encouragement to climb up the ladder of success. I owe a huge appreciation and thank you to my wife for her daily love, care and support in my day to day activities. Thank you so much for taking over all of my responsibilities and providing me with the favourable environment for the successful accomplishment of this thesis. mating.

I would also like to acknowledge Massey University and Plant & Food Research for providing me with a good platform for the accomplishment of my Master's degree. I would like to remember all other people who are directly or indirectly involved in my two years' journey of my masters' study at Massey University. I am gratefully indebted to your support and best wishes for making my dream come true.

Last but not the least, thank you all the beetles (*Hylurgus ligniperda*) for producing sounds for me. I am really sorry for the pain that I have given to you in the distress experiment. You taught me some portion of your language and now I really can mimic you sound (especially male). Although you are considered as a pest for New Zealand pine forest industry, I consider you as one of my best friends because you are the one who built my career.

It's certainly been a journey of ups and downs but on balance, it's been a great experience and I have learnt so much. Thank you once again, everyone.

Regards,

Sunil Sapkota

# Table of Contents

Abstract .....	i
Acknowledgement.....	iii
Table of Contents .....	v
List of Figures .....	vii
List of Tables.....	ix
Chapter 1: General Introduction and Literature Review .....	1
Acoustic Communication in Coleoptera .....	3
Bark Beetles Communication .....	5
Sound Production Mechanisms in Bark Beetles .....	6
Function of Sound Communication in Bark Beetles.....	7
Behavioural Contexts of Sound Production in Bark Beetles .....	8
Study Species: Golden Haired Bark Beetle ( <i>Hylurgus ligniperda</i> ) (Fabricius, 1787).....	11
Distribution .....	11
Host Plants .....	11
Physiology and Phenology.....	12
Morphology.....	13
Sex Differentiation.....	14
Life Cycle.....	15
Associations with Fungal Pathogens.....	18
Sound Production in <i>H. ligniperda</i> .....	18
Morphology of the Stridulatory Apparatus in <i>H. ligniperda</i> .....	19
Research Objectives.....	20
Common Terms and Definitions Used in This Study .....	21
For Males .....	21
For Females.....	22
Chapter 2: Methods and Methodology.....	23
Bark Beetles ( <i>Hylurgus ligniperda</i> ) Rearing .....	25
Experimental Trials.....	26
Experimental Set-up.....	28
Sound and Video Recording .....	34
Sound Analysis in Raven .....	36
Temporal Analysis.....	37
Spectral Analysis .....	38
Sound Recording and Behavioural Observation Specific to Each Trial .....	40
Distress.....	40
Mating.....	40
Competition.....	41

Male Territoriality.....	42
Female Territoriality .....	42
Colony.....	42
Statistical Analysis.....	42
Temporal Analysis.....	43
Spectral Analysis .....	44
Behavioural Analysis.....	44
Chapter 3: Results .....	45
Distress.....	47
Mating.....	50
Male and Female Behaviour and Male Sound Production Before and During Mating .....	50
Male and Female Behaviours and Male Sound Production after Mating .....	55
Female Sound Production Before, During and After Mating .....	57
Competition.....	58
Territoriality .....	62
Male Territoriality.....	62
Female Territoriality .....	64
Colony.....	65
Comparative Analysis.....	68
Temporal parameters.....	68
Spectral Comparisons .....	73
Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of the Spectral Data .....	76
Behavioural Comparisons.....	79
Chapter 4: Discussion .....	81
Sound Production.....	83
Behaviour.....	88
Chapter 5: Conclusions and Recommendation .....	93
Conclusions.....	95
Recommendations for Future Work.....	96
Literature Cited .....	97
Appendix .....	107
Temporal Data (Male).....	107
Temporal Data (Female).....	109
Spectral Data (Male).....	111
Spectral Data (Female) .....	114

## List of Figures

Figure 1.1: The stridulatory apparatus in pig-bean pods weevil ( <i>Bondarius tuberculatus</i> ) (Curculionidae). .....	4
Figure 1.2: Waveform and spectrogram of a chirp recorded from coconut rhinoceros beetle ( <i>Oryctes rhinoceros</i> , Scarabaeidae) stridulation. ....	4
Figure 1.3: Oscillograms of stridulation of the western pine beetle ( <i>Dendroctonus brevicomis</i> ) showing the male's sub-chirp in between the chirps. ....	9
Figure 1.4: Different body parts of <i>H. ligniperda</i> adult. ....	13
Figure 1.5: Three different bark beetle species which may be mistaken for each other. ....	14
Figure 1.6: The dorsal caudal part of the abdomen of <i>H. ligniperda</i> . ....	15
Figure 1.7: <i>Hylurgus ligniperda</i> , stages of the life cycle. ....	17
Figure 1.8: The stridulatory apparatus of male and female <i>H. ligniperda</i> . ....	19
Figure 2.1: Feeding the larvae of <i>Hylurgus ligniperda</i> with an artificial diet. ....	26
Figure 2.2: Sexing adults of <i>Hylurgus ligniperda</i> . ....	28
Figure 2.3: The location of Gordon Kear Forest (Google Earth). ....	29
Figure 2.4: Extraction of cambium from a pine tree. ....	30
Figure 2.5: Preparation of cambium for the experiment. ....	31
Figure 2.6: Cambium chamber set up. ....	32
Figure 2.7: Recording instruments used for the experiment. ....	33
Figure 2.8: Marking the beetles for easy identification. ....	33
Figure 2.9: Recording setup for distress trial. ....	34
Figure 2.10: The screenshot of the sound recorder TASCAM HD-P2 showing sampling frequency and sample width. ....	35
Figure 2.11: Matching the timeline of audio and video recordings in order to pinpoint the behavioural activities during specific period of sound production. ....	36
Figure 2.12: Screenshot of Raven Pro 1.4 showing a representative waveform and spectral pattern of sounds produced by a distressed <i>Hylurgus ligniperda</i> virgin male. ....	37
Figure 2.13: Example of the temporal sound analysis. ....	38
Figure 2.14: Sample of some of the predefined measurement options (used in this study) available in Raven. ....	39
Figure 2.15: Spectral measurements of different parameters using Raven software. ....	39
Figure 2.16: Example showing how the 1-minute sample was extracted from three different phases under mating trial observed. ....	41
Figure 3.1: Sounds of distressed <i>H. ligniperda</i> male. ....	48
Figure 3.2: Sound of distressed <i>H. ligniperda</i> female; a waveform showing clicks produced. ....	49
Figure 3.3: Waveforms showing variation in the nature of sounds produced by a <i>H. ligniperda</i> male, before and after the introduction of a female into the cambium chamber. ....	51
Figure 3.4: Behaviours observed after the introduction of <i>H. ligniperda</i> female into the cambium chamber. ....	51
Figure 3.5: Waveform and spectrogram showing the toothstrikes produced by a virgin <i>H. ligniperda</i> male in mating trial, with female present, one minute before mating. ....	52
Figure 3.6: Waveforms of sounds produced by a <i>H. ligniperda</i> pair at various stages of mating. ....	52
Figure 3.7: Behaviours observed during interaction of virgin <i>H. ligniperda</i> male and female. ....	54
Figure 3.8: Waveform and spectrogram showing the toothstrikes of a virgin <i>H. ligniperda</i> male after mating. ....	56

Figure 3.9: Sounds produced by <i>H. ligniperda</i> during competition (two males and a female).....	60
Figure 3.10: Two males and female of <i>H. ligniperda</i> during competition (“red” male was introduced together with the female, “green” male introduced 30 min later) .....	61
Figure 3.11: Sounds of territorial males.....	63
Figure 3.12: Different stages in male territoriality.....	64
Figure 3.13: Waveforms and spectrogram showing clicks of territorial <i>H. ligniperda</i> females. ....	65
Figure 3.14: Different stages during female territoriality .....	65
Figure 3.15: Sounds of male and female <i>H. ligniperda</i> in a colony. ....	67
Figure 3.16: Behaviours of <i>H. ligniperda</i> males and females observed in colony context.....	67
Figure 3.17: Means and standard errors (bars) of temporal parameters for <i>H. ligniperda</i> female click sound in different context.....	69
Figure 3.18: Mean values and standard errors (bars) for temporal parameters of <i>H.ligniperda</i> male sound (simple chirps) in different contexts.....	71
Figure 3.19: Mean values and standard errors (bars) for temporal parameters of <i>H. ligniperda</i> male sounds (interrupted chirps) in different contexts.....	72
Figure 3.20: Mean values and standard errors (bars) of spectral parameters (toothstrike/click duration, maximum amplitude, minimum amplitude, high frequency, low frequency and peak frequency) for the sounds produced by <i>H. ligniperda</i> male and female in five different behavioural contexts.....	76
Figure 3.21: Scatterplots for standardised spectral parameters of sounds produced by <i>H. ligniperda</i> males and females in different behavioural contexts.....	78
Figure 3.22: Mean values and standard errors (bars) for the first courtship duration, first mating duration and number of mating observed in different contexts.....	79

## List of Tables

Table 2.1: Artificial diet used for <i>Hylurgus ligniperda</i> larvae (modified huhu grub ( <i>Prionoplus reticularis</i> ) diet).....	27
Table 3.1: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) of temporal parameters measured from sounds produced by distressed <i>H. ligniperda</i> males, and a paired t-test comparison of simple and interrupted chirps ( $\alpha=0.05$ ).....	48
Table 3.2: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) of different spectral parameters measured from sounds produced by distressed <i>H. ligniperda</i> males and a paired t-test comparison of simple and interrupted chirps( $\alpha=0.05$ ).....	49
Table 3.3: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) for different temporal parameters measured from sounds (clicks) produced by distressed <i>H. ligniperda</i> females (N=10).....	49
Table 3.4: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) of different spectral parameters measured from sounds (clicks) produced by distressed <i>H. ligniperda</i> females (N=10).....	49
Table 3.5: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) of different temporal parameters measured from the sounds produced by a <i>H. ligniperda</i> male before mating and a paired t-test comparison of simple and interrupted chirps ( $\alpha=0.05$ ) (N=10).....	53
Table 3.6: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) of spectral parameters of sounds produced by virgin <i>H. ligniperda</i> male before mating and a paired t-test comparison of simple and interrupted chirps ( $\alpha=0.05$ ) (N=10).....	53
Table 3.7: Behaviours recorded during mating of <i>H. ligniperda</i> (single pair of virgin adults).....	55
Table 3.8: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) of temporal parameters of sounds made by <i>H. ligniperda</i> males after mating and a paired t-test comparison of simple and interrupted chirps ( $\alpha=0.05$ ).....	56
Table 3.9: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) of spectral parameters of sounds made by <i>H. ligniperda</i> males after mating and a paired t-test comparison of simple and interrupted chirps ( $\alpha=0.05$ ).....	56
Table 3.10: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) of temporal parameters for sounds produced by <i>H. ligniperda</i> female at three stages of mating (N=10).....	58
Table 3.11: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) of spectral parameters of sounds produced by <i>H. ligniperda</i> female at three stages of mating (N=10).....	58
Table 3.12: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) of spectral parameters of sounds produced by <i>H. ligniperda</i> males during competition (N=10).....	60
Table 3.13: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) of spectral parameters of sounds produced by <i>H. ligniperda</i> females in the presence of two competing males (N=10)....	60
Table 3.14: Different behaviours observed during competition between two <i>H. ligniperda</i> males for a conspecific female.....	62
Table 3.15: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) of spectral parameters of sound produced by <i>H. ligniperda</i> male (marked red) in the presence of other two males (N=10).....	64
Table 3.16: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) of spectral parameters of sounds produced by <i>H. ligniperda</i> female (marked red) in the presence of other two females (N=10).....	65
Table 3.17: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) of spectral parameters of sounds	

produced by <i>H. ligniperda</i> male (marked red) and female (marked red) in a colony (N=10).....	68
Table 3.18: Behaviours observed in a colony of <i>H. ligniperda</i> (three males and three females).....	68
Table 3.19: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for temporal parameters of sounds produced by <i>H. ligniperda</i> female under distress and during mating.....	70
Table 3.20: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for temporal parameters of sounds (simple chirps) produced by <i>H. ligniperda</i> male under distress and during phases of mating.....	70
Table 3.21: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for different temporal parameters of sounds (interrupted chirps) of <i>H. ligniperda</i> male under distress and phases of mating.....	70
Table 3.22: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) for spectral parameters of sounds produced by <i>H. ligniperda</i> male in five different behavioural contexts.....	74
Table 3.23: Means and standard errors ( $\pm$ SE) for spectral parameters of sounds produced by <i>H. ligniperda</i> female in five different behavioural contexts.....	74
Table 3.24: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for spectral parameters of sounds produced by <i>H. ligniperda</i> male and female in five different behavioural contexts.....	75
Table 3.25: The correlation matrices (Pearson correlations) of different spectral parameters for male and female <i>H. ligniperda</i> .....	77
Table 3.26: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for first courtship duration, first mating duration and number of mating observed in <i>H. ligniperda</i> in different contexts.....	80