

Copyright is owned by the author of this thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the author. In addition, the author's right to be identified as the author of this thesis must be recognised, and due acknowledgement made to the author where appropriate.

The diet of moreporks (*Ninox novaeseelandiae*) in relation to prey availability, and their roost site characteristics and breeding success on Ponui Island, Hauraki Gulf, New Zealand.

A thesis presented in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Master of Science  
in  
Ecology

at Massey University, Albany  
New Zealand.

Kirsty Marie Denny

2009



"A morepork at its nesthole with a weta" by Geoff Moon.

## Abstract

The ecological importance of introduced mammalian predators is well acknowledged in New Zealand, however, little research has focused on the ecology of native avian predators and their role in communities. This study investigated the ecology of moreporks (*Ninox novaeseelandiae*) on Ponui Island, Hauraki Gulf, New Zealand between August 2007 and April 2008. The primary aim was to investigate the functional response of moreporks to availability of their prey. The contents of regurgitated morepork pellets were compared with relative abundance of prey taxa (invertebrates, small birds and rodents) over the study period. The diet consisted primarily of a range of invertebrate prey, particularly weta (Anostomatidae and Raphidophoridae) and beetles (Coleoptera). Small numbers of vertebrate prey were recorded including rodents and birds. A positive relationship between the percentage contribution to pellet samples of certain taxa and their relative availability was found, and there were peaks in the occurrence of seasonally abundant taxa including cicadas (Cicadidae), and huhu beetles (*Prionoplus reticularis*). The tendency of moreporks to prey on abundant taxa indicates that they are unlikely to depress prey populations to low levels, and may have some degree of stabilising influence. A significant increase in the rodent component of the diet in April indicated that the risk to moreporks of secondary poisoning during mammalian pest control operations may vary considerably with the time of year. The secondary aims were to collect data on roost site characteristics and breeding success. Moreporks roosted at a mean height of 4m, and foliar cover at the 4-6m height tier appeared to be the most important characteristic of roost sites when compared with control sites. These findings suggested that moreporks were selecting roost sites with high overhead cover. Possible reasons for this include predator avoidance, avoidance of mobbing passerines, and the microclimate provided. None of three established pairs and two other birds were observed to establish a nest or breed successfully. Additionally, only three juvenile moreporks were sighted or heard across the 90ha study area suggesting low breeding success in 2007-08. This may have been influenced by a range of factors including 1), predation by the high densities of ship rats on Ponui, or other predators 2), a lack of suitable nest sites such as tree hollows in some areas or 3), competition for invertebrate prey with high densities of ship rats and North Island brown kiwi (*Apteryx mantelli*).

## Preface

The specific focus of this thesis stemmed from the idea of my principal supervisor, Isabel Castro, for a study of predator-prey interactions on Ponui Island. Little research of this type has been carried out in New Zealand, although an understanding of the interactions between different species and their environment is essential for effective conservation and management. The importance of research on the ecology of introduced mammalian predators in New Zealand is well recognised. However, little work has focussed on the ecology of native avian predators such as the morepork, (*Ninox novaeseelandiae*) and their interactions with other species. The current study had two main aims:

- The primary aim was to investigate the diet of moreporks in relation to availability of their prey on Ponui Island.
- The secondary aims were to collect data on roost site characteristics and breeding success of moreporks on Ponui Island.

Chapter One is a general introduction that presents the importance of ecological studies, particularly those assessing the relationships between predators and their prey, and gives some background on predator-prey assemblages in New Zealand.

Chapters Two and Three present the findings from the primary aim above. Chapter Two focuses on the relative abundance of morepork prey groups (invertebrates, small birds and rodents) whilst Chapter Three describes the diet of moreporks on Ponui, and how this was related to relative abundance of prey. A separate chapter was dedicated to the relative abundance of prey in order to include more detailed results, and to discuss the findings on their own merits without detracting from the key focus of Chapter Three.

Chapter Four presents the findings from the secondary aims on roost sites and breeding success of moreporks on Ponui.

It is intended that chapters Two, Three and Four be relatively stand alone in nature. As such, there is necessarily some repetition of information in each.

Naturally, all aspects of an animal's ecology are of importance when considering its role in communities. As such, Chapter Five is a general discussion which synthesises the findings of the preceding chapters in relation to the Ponui Island ecosystem, and the broader context.

## Acknowledgements

Firstly, a huge thank-you to my supervisors, Dr. Isabel Castro and Dr. Dai Morgan for all your help throughout the course of this thesis/saga. You've both been great friends and mentors and motivated me to keep going when it all seemed just a little bit too hard. Dai, I hope I haven't put you off taking on any more students!

To the Chamberlin family – Dave, Ros, Peter, Pat, Louise, Megan and Michelle – your warmth and kindness won't be forgotten. Thank you for giving me the opportunity to experience the wonders of Ponui, for all the boat trips (even the dodgy ones), and the dinner invitations. A big thank you to the other Ponui researchers and visitors as well, for keeping me sane, and for the food and wine.

Funding was gratefully received from the Julie Alley Bursary, and Massey Ecology Group, Turitea without which this research would not have been possible.

I am also indebted to all the mist-netting volunteers who helped me in the field: Shane McPherson, Mia Jessen, Susi Stein, Jenny Rickett, Morag and Simon Fordham, Rich Seaton, Brent Denny, Kate Richardson, Brigitte Kreigenhofer and Mel Wilmott - I apologise for the late nights/early mornings. Special thanks to Shane McPherson for his raptor catching and tagging skills, and far-superior-to-mine photography. Thanks also to Lee Shapiro for being dragged out to Ponui to show me where the pitfalls were (and of course for digging them in the first place), and for answering my questions about insect fragments.

Thanks are also due to Cleland Wallace and Marleen Baling for technical assistance and gear provision. Advice or assistance was also gratefully received from Dr. Barry McDonald on statistics and Rachel Summers on the use of ArcMap. I'm also grateful to John Early and Brian Gill for use of the reference collections at Auckland Museum and help with identification of specimens.

To everyone at the Massey Ecology group in Albany – thank you for providing me with desk space, for welcoming me into the Ecology family and especially for all the coffee

and cake. Additional thanks to Sarah Whitwell for proof-reading, and Prof. David Raubenheimer for use of PRIMER.

I would also like thank the late Geoff Moon for his inspirational enthusiasm for moreporks, and for permission to use his beautiful photography for my frontispiece.

To my friends and family – thank you for your support and encouragement, and for not disowning me after I repeatedly failed to show up for social events because I was a), in the field b), in the lab, or c), writing up.

Finally, to my husband, Brent. Thank you for all the proof-reading, formatting and editing. More importantly thank you for your emotional support, for believing in me, and for not once suggesting that this was not a worthwhile thing for me to do. I love you.



## Table of contents

Abstract.....	iii
Preface .....	iv
Acknowledgements.....	vi
Table of contents .....	viii
List of figures.....	xi
List of tables.....	xiii
List of plates.....	xv

<b>Chapter One: Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 The abundance and distribution of organisms .....	1
1.2 Predator-prey dynamics.....	2
1.3 The impact of introduced predators .....	4
1.4 Predator-prey dynamics and conservation in New Zealand .....	5
1.5 Study species.....	11
1.5.1 Taxonomy .....	11
1.5.2 Species description .....	12
1.5.3 Diet .....	12
1.5.4 Habitat.....	12
1.5.5 Breeding.....	13
1.5.6 Past work on the morepork .....	14
1.6 Study site .....	14
1.7 Aims of the study .....	16
1.8 References .....	17

<b>Chapter Two: Temporal variation in the availability of morepork (<i>Ninox novaeseelandiae</i>) prey on Ponui Island.....</b>	<b>28</b>
2.0 Abstract.....	28
2.1 Introduction.....	29
2.1.1 Invertebrates.....	29
2.1.2 Mice .....	30
2.1.3 Ship rats .....	31
2.1.4 Birds .....	32
2.1.5 The aim of this study .....	33
2.2 Methods .....	35
2.2.1 Study site.....	35
2.2.2 Collection of climatic data.....	35
2.2.3 Relative abundance of morepork prey.....	36
2.3 Results .....	44
2.3.1 Relative abundance of invertebrate prey .....	44
2.3.2 Relative abundance of mice .....	50
2.3.3 Relative abundance of birds.....	50
2.4 Discussion.....	52
2.4.1 Relative abundance of invertebrates.....	52
2.4.2 Relative abundance of rodents .....	56
2.4.3 Relative abundance of birds.....	58
2.5 Conclusions.....	61

Appendix 2.1 .....	62
Appendix 2.2a.....	64
Appendix 2.2b .....	65
Appendix 2.3 .....	66
Appendix 2.4 .....	67
Appendix 2.5 .....	68
2.6 References .....	69

**Chapter Three: The diet of moreporks (*Ninox novaeseelandiae*) on Ponui Island in relation to availability of their prey ..... 82**

3.0 Abstract.....	82
3.1 Introduction.....	84
3.1.1. The importance of dietary studies .....	84
3.1.2 Factors affecting diet .....	85
3.1.3 The importance of dietary studies in New Zealand.....	86
3.1.4 Morepork diet.....	87
3.1.5 The aims of this study.....	90
3.2 Methods .....	91
3.2.1 Study site.....	91
3.2.2 Capturing and handling moreporks .....	91
3.2.3 Diet of moreporks.....	93
3.3 Results .....	100
3.3.1 Morepork morphometrics .....	100
3.3.2 Pellet contents over the period of the study .....	100
3.3.3 Monthly differences in pellet composition .....	104
3.3.4 Trends in the monthly mean number of prey per pellet sample.....	106
3.3.5 Trends in the monthly mean presence score for each taxon .....	107
3.3.6 Differences between bush and scrub roosting moreporks .....	107
3.3.7 Relationship between pellet contents and relative abundance of prey .....	108
3.4 Discussion.....	114
3.4.1 Morepork morphometrics .....	114
3.4.2 Morepork diet on Ponui Island.....	114
3.4.3 Application of results.....	127
3.5 Conclusions and recommendations for further research .....	131
Appendix 3.1 .....	133
Appendix 3.2 .....	134
Appendix 3.3 .....	135
Appendix 3.4 .....	136
Appendix 3.5 .....	137
Appendix 3.6 .....	138
3.6 References .....	139

**Chapter Four: Roost site characteristics and breeding success of moreporks (*Ninox novaeseelandiae*) on Ponui Island ..... 148**

4.0 Abstract.....	148
4.1 Introduction.....	150
4.1.1 Habitat and roost selection.....	150
4.1.2 Habitat and roost sites of moreporks ( <i>Ninox novaeseelandiae</i> ).....	151
4.1.3 Nest selection and breeding success.....	152

4.1.4 Breeding and nest site selection in moreporks.....	154
4.1.5 The aims of this study.....	155
4.2 Methods.....	156
4.2.1 Study site.....	156
4.2.2 Study species.....	156
4.2.3 Collection of roosting data.....	156
4.2.4 Roost site characteristics.....	157
4.2.5 Collection of breeding data.....	159
4.2.6 Data analysis.....	159
4.3 Results.....	161
4.3.1 Roosts.....	161
4.3.2 Breeding.....	167
4.4 Discussion.....	169
4.4.1 Roost site characteristics.....	169
4.4.2 Breeding.....	173
4.5 Conclusions and recommendations for further research.....	176
4.6 References.....	178
<b>Chapter Five: General discussion.....</b>	<b>187</b>
5.1 References.....	193

## List of figures

<b>Fig 1.1</b> Map showing the approximate location of Ponui Island in the Hauraki Gulf, and the study site (as indicated by the red outline) consisting of four gullies. Outline of New Zealand sourced from Look4™ ( <a href="http://schools.look4.net.nz">http://schools.look4.net.nz</a> ), aerial photographs from Google™ Earth ( <a href="http://earth.google.com">http://earth.google.com</a> ).....	15
<b>Fig. 2.1</b> The location of pitfall traps, interceptor traps, 5MBC stations and the mouse trap grid on Ponui Island. Aerial photo sourced from Google™Earth. Coordinates of each site were taken using a hand held Garmin™ GPS unit. Points plotted using ESRI®ArcMap™9.1.....	43
<b>Fig. 2.2</b> Mean number (+ s.e.) of invertebrates of different taxa caught per pitfall site across bush, scrub and pasture habitats on Ponui Island between August 2007 and April 2008. The category ‘Other’ includes unknown larval forms, Hemiptera, Turbellaria, Pseudoscorpionidea, Acari, Chilopoda and Lepidoptera.....	44
<b>Fig. 2.3</b> Mean number (+ s.e.) of invertebrates of different taxa (in the diet of moreporks) caught per pitfall site across bush, scrub and pasture habitats on Ponui Island between August 2007 and April 2008. The category ‘Other’ includes centipedes (Chilopoda), moths, and cicadas.....	45
<b>Fig. 2.4</b> nMDS plot showing the relative similarity of pitfall composition in bush, scrub and pasture sites.....	46
<b>Fig. 2.5</b> Comparison of mean monthly temperature and dew point with the mean numbers of prey taxa per pitfall site from August 2007 until April 2008 on Ponui Island.....	48
<b>Fig 3.1</b> nMDS plot showing the relative similarity of morepork pellet sample contents between months.....	104
<b>Fig. 3.2 (a-b)</b> , a) Mean monthly percentage contribution and b), biomass weighted percentage contribution of each taxon to pellet samples taken from moreporks on Ponui Island between August 2007 and April 2008. The category of Other includes millipedes, centipedes, cockroaches and earwigs.....	105
<b>Fig. 3.3</b> Mean monthly number of prey taxa (+ s.e.) per pellet sample collected from Ponui Island moreporks between August 2007 and April 2008.....	106
<b>Fig. 3.4</b> Mean monthly presence scores (+ s.e.) for each taxon occurring in pellets collected from Ponui Island moreporks from August 2007 until April 2008.....	107
<b>Fig. 3.5</b> The monthly mean percentage contribution of a), beetles b), weta, c), spiders and d), crickets to morepork pellet samples (blue line) plotted next to the monthly mean percentage contribution to pitfalls (pink line) between August 2007 and April 2008 on Ponui.....	110

**Fig. 3.6 (a-d)** The monthly mean number of a) beetles b) weta c) spiders and d) crickets per morepork pellet sample (blue line) plotted next to mean number per pitfall site (pink line) between August 2007 and April 2008 on Ponui Island.....112

**Fig. 3.7** The percentage of interceptor catch made up of moths (pink line), and mean presence scores for moths in morepork pellets (blue line) on Ponui Island between August 2007 and April 2008.....113

**Fig. 4.1** The location of known morepork roost sites ('roosting territories') and control sites on Ponui Island for each monitored pair. Aerial photo sourced from Google™Earth. Points plotted using ESRI®ArcMap™ 9.1.....162

## List of tables

<b>Table 2.1</b> Pairwise R and p values for the one way ANOSIM for difference in pitfall composition between vegetation types.....	45
<b>Table 2.2</b> Mean number ( $\pm$ s.e.) of key prey types per pitfall site in each habitat type.....	46
<b>Table 2.3</b> Global R and p values from the one way ANOSIM for difference in pitfall contents between months in each habitat type.....	47
<b>Table 2.4</b> The total number of moths and beetles caught in interceptors in bush and scrub habitats on Ponui Island between November 2007 and April 2008.....	49
<b>Table 2.5</b> Total number of invertebrates of each taxon caught in interceptors each month, with the percentage of the monthly total in parentheses.....	50
<b>Table 2.6</b> The mean number ( $\pm$ s.e.) of each species of small (<100g) bird, and all small birds as an aggregate counted per 5MBC station each month from October 2007-April 2008 on Ponui Island.....	51
<b>Table 3.1</b> Mass of bird species (after Heather & Robertson, 2005) considered most likely to be preyed on by moreporks on Ponui Island.....	97
<b>Table 3.2</b> Mean mass of various prey types obtained from a study by Fitzgerald and Karl (1979), and the values used in the current study.....	97
<b>Table 3.3</b> Measurements taken from captured moreporks on Ponui Island.....	100
<b>Table 3.4</b> Monthly number of pellets found under the roosts of morepork individuals or pairs on Ponui Island between August 2007 and April 2008. Next to each pair the letter in brackets indicates whether that pair roosted in (b), bush habitat or (s), scrub habitat. Note that no data were collected during January of 2008.....	101
<b>Table 3.5</b> The overall mean percentage contribution to pellet samples (Percentage), the overall biomass weighted mean percentage contribution to pellet samples (Percentage biomass) and the overall mean presence scores ( $\pm$ s.e.) for each taxon identified in a sample of morepork pellets collected on Ponui Island between August 2007 and April 2008.....	103
<b>Table 3.6</b> The mean number and mean biomass ( $\pm$ s.e.) per pellet sample of each main prey taxon for moreporks that roosted in bush and scrub on Ponui Island between August 2007 and April 2008.....	108
<b>Table 3.7</b> The percentage (% no.) of the diet that was made up of different prey types or the percentage of samples in which they were present (%p) for different studies of the morepork diet in New Zealand, using either stomach samples or pellets. The location of the study, number of birds sampled if relevant, and the time of year the study was carried out are detailed below the table.....	119

**Table 4.1** Characteristics of morepork roost trees and control trees on Ponui Island. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  s.e.....163

**Table 4.2** Number of woody poles in five categories of DBH (cm) at roost and control sites of moreporks on Ponui Island. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  s.e.....164

**Table 4.3** Estimated cover at four height tiers for roost and control sites of moreporks on Ponui Island. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  s.e.....164

**Table 4.4** The observed proportions of roost trees in scrub and bush vegetation compared with the expected proportions based on the composition of vegetation in control sites. All species used as roost trees are listed, and additional species in control sites are combined as 'other'. p values are shown for binomial tests for tree coprosma, silver fern and all other species as an aggregate.....166

## List of plates

<b>Plate 2.1</b> Interceptor trap hanging from a tree in bush habitat on Ponui Island.....	40
<b>Plate 2.2</b> Live traps a), with lid off to show contents, and b), with lid on, used to target mice on Ponui Island.....	41
<b>Plate 3.1 (a-b)</b> , a), Placement of the ‘backpack’ transmitter on a morepork prior to trimming of the surplus harness string, and b), a banded morepork in the hand.....	93
<b>Plate 3.2</b> Examples of morepork pellets collected on Ponui Island showing variation in shape and size (photo by the author).....	93
<b>Plate 3.3</b> Contents of one morepork pellet in a Petri dish (photo by the author).....	95
<b>Plate 4.1:</b> Individual M3 roosting on a puriri branch surrounded by the hanging leaves of an epiphyte. The photo on the right was taken with flash and that on the left without, to emphasise the high concealment of the bird (photos by the author).....	163
<b>Plate 4.2</b> An intact egg found near a habitual roost of pair M4/M5 on the ground in an exposed position.....	167