

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Characterization of the
Arabidopsis MADS-box
transcription factor, *AGL104***

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Science in Plant Biology
at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Arti S. Reddy
2007

ABSTRACT

AGL104 is an *Arabidopsis* MADS-box transcription factor belonging to the MIKC* clade. The exclusive expression of MIKC* genes in the gametophyte generation of both mosses and angiosperms has fueled questions regarding the function of these genes in both these taxa and the notion that the developmental program of the gametophyte generation in both these taxa may be fundamentally similar even though the structures themselves differ greatly in their phenotype. Since transcription factors control development and changes in the developmental control genes is thought to be a major source of evolutionary changes in morphology, characterization of MIKC* genes is expected to provide clues to the evolutionary changes in land plant body form. In angiosperms, *AGL104* is reported to be expressed late and exclusively in the male (pollen) and female (embryo sac) gametophyte. Since late pollen development, such as pollen germination and pollen tube elongation, is thought to occur independently of transcription, the exclusive and high level of expression of a transcription factor is thus intriguing.

We report the expression of *AGL104* in developing anthers, mature pollen, pollen tubes and the egg apparatus of the embryo sac. Our study is the first report of *AGL104* expression in the pollen tubes. Our data showing spatial expression of *AGL104* in the different developmental stages of pollen, with weak expression in the uninucleate microspore that increases and culminates in the mature pollen, is also novel since spatial expression of this gene during pollen development had not been previously reported.

Functional characterization through gain-of-function and loss-of-function analyses shows that *AGL104* promotes pollen germination and an increased pollen tube length when measured 4 hours after pollination. The implication of this data is that, despite popular notions, active gene regulation is taking place during pollen germination and tube elongation. Further functional analysis in the pollen and the embryo sac is required to establish the precise role of *AGL104* in the angiosperms. This information will then lay the groundwork for future comparisons of MIKC* activity in the basal and higher plants and determine if changes in MIKC* gene function were responsible for evolutionary changes in land plant body form.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost I thank my supervisor, Dr Barbara Ambrose. Barbara, without your help this thesis would not be what it is today. Thank you for encouraging me through every step of my degree, both in the laboratory and out of it. My heartfelt gratitude for being my mentor and a friend when I just needed to talk. Thank you for all your advice, for your contagious enthusiasm and for helping me keep things in perspective. I am taking away a lot more than the knowledge and skills you have imparted to me. There are words of yours I will always remember. I am grateful for the amount of patience you had with me. I know I have pushed you to the limits. Thank you for being understanding and most of all for believing in me. You are the greatest supervisor I could ever have hoped for. Whenever I will look back on my experience as a Master student, your influence will be the first thing I will remember. **You are the best !!!**

I am also very grateful to my lab mates, Kalika, Emilio, Ryohei and Xiuwen. Emilio, my plants would not have survived had it not been for you. Kalika, thank you for being my “big brother” and teaching me things I would never have learnt otherwise. Ryohei, thank you very much for all the little favors you have done that has made a huge difference in the project. You are the best “resource-finder” I know. Xiuwen, I wish I had more time to learn all the knowledge and skills you brought to the lab. Without you guys, my experience would not have been as enjoyable.

My special thanks to all my friends who have helped me in various ways during the writing of this thesis. Bernie, without you I could not have made it through those long, stressful nights, especially towards the end of my stay in NZ. You are a true friend. A special thank you to Lulu for staying up with us through the nights. You are the cutest and most wonderful baby. Suzy, thank you for all the help you provided. I will miss you guys so much. I am also very grateful to Gregory Gallaway, Sachi, Sumit, Kim May, Jasmine, Kaufou, Manisha and my brother Amrit for their contribution in making this thesis possible.

I also thank NZAID for providing me with the scholarship that made this degree possible. A special thank you to Professor Peter Lockhart, who had the patience and provided me with resources to enable me to complete this thesis. I hope I will be able to give back someday.

Abbreviations

μ	microns
μg	microgram(s)
μl	microlitre(s)
35S	35S promoter from the cauliflower mosaic virus, CaMV
<i>A.tumefaciens</i>	<i>Agrobacterium tumefaciens</i>
Amp	Ampicillin
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
bp	base pairs
<i>C.elegans</i>	<i>Caenorhabditis elegans</i> , a nematode
cDNA	complementary deoxyribonucleic acid
d	day(s)
DNA	deoxyribonucleic acid
dNTP	deoxy-nucleotide-triphosphate
<i>E.coli</i>	<i>Escherichia coli</i> ; a bacterium
EDTA	ethylene diamine tetra acetate
g	gram(s)
GUS	β -glucuronidase
hap	hours after pollination
HCl	Hydrochloric acid
hr(s)	hour(s)
Kan	Kanamycin
kb	kilobase(s)
KOH	Potassium hydroxide
l	litre(s)
LB	Luria-Bertani; used as bacterial growth media
M	molar; moles per litre
mg	milligram(s)
milliQ	water purified using the Milli-Q Ultrapure System
min	minute(s)

ml	millilitre(s)
mM	millimolar
MOPS	3-(N-morpholino) propanesulfonic acid
MS	Murashige Skoog, media
NaCl	Sodium chloride
NaOH	Sodium hydroxide
ng	nanogram(s)
o/n	over night
°C	degrees Celsius
ORF	open reading frame
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
psi	unit for pressure
Rif	Rifampicin
RNA	ribonucleic acid
rpm	revolutions per minute
RT	reverse transcription/transcriptase
rt	room temperature
SC	sperm cell
sec	second(s)
Spec	Spectinomycin
TBE	Tris borate EDTA
T-DNA	transposon DNA
TE	Tris-EDTA buffer
Tris	tris(hydroxymethyl) aminomethane
U	enzyme units
UV	ultra violet
V	volts
VC	vegetative cell
VN	vegetative nuclei
w/v	weight/volume
wt	wildtype

1.6.3 Expression pattern of MADS-box genes in land plants	21
1.7 Can plant evolution be explained in the context of the expression pattern of the MIKC^c and MIKC* type MADS-box genes?	22
1.8 Gametophyte development in angiosperms	24
1.8.1 Male gametophyte	24
<i>1.8.1.1 Microspore development</i>	24
<i>1.8.1.2 Pollen development</i>	26
1.8.2 Female gametophyte	28
1.9 Where does <i>AGL104</i> fit in?	31
1.9.1 Importance of <i>AGL104</i> as a MIKC* type gene in mosses and angiosperms	31
1.9.2 Importance of <i>AGL104</i> as a transcription factor in pollen	31
1.10 Hypothesis and aims	33
1.10.1 Hypothesis	33
1.10.2 Aims	35

Chapter 2: Materials and Method

2.1 Media	36
2.1.1 Luria-Bertani (LB) broth and agar plates (for selection of resistant <i>E.coli</i> colonies)	36
2.1.2 Murashige Skoog (MS) phyta agar plates (for selection of resistant <i>Arabidopsis</i> seedlings)	36
2.2 Buffers and solutions	37
2.3 Bacteria	37
2.3.1 <i>E.coli</i>	37
<i>2.3.1.1 Making competent E.coli cells</i>	37
<i>2.3.1.2 Strains and growth conditions</i>	37
<i>2.3.1.3 Transformation of E.coli through heat shock and plating</i>	37
<i>2.3.1.4 Blue-white selection</i>	38
2.3.2 <i>A.tumefaciens</i>	39

2.3.2.1	<i>Making electro competent A.tumefaciens cells</i>	39
2.3.2.2	<i>Strains and growth conditions</i>	39
2.3.2.3	<i>Transformation of A.tumefaciens through electroporation</i>	39
2.3.2.4	<i>Preparation of A.tumefaciens before transformation into plants</i>	39
2.4	Nucleic Acid extraction (DNA or RNA)	40
2.4.1	Boiling Lysis (Mini Prep) for plasmid DNA extraction	40
2.4.1.1	<i>Phenol Chloroform wash and DNA precipitation</i>	40
2.4.2	Plasmid DNA isolation using a Quantum Miniprep kit	41
2.4.3	Genomic DNA extraction	41
2.4.4	Total RNA extraction	41
2.5	Nuclei Acid manipulation (DNA or RNA)	42
2.5.1	Ligation reaction (DNA)	42
2.5.2	Restriction endonuclease digestion (DNA)	42
2.5.3	Agarose gel electrophoresis (DNA and RNA)	43
2.5.4	Gel purification (DNA)	43
2.5.5	Nuclei Acid Quantification	44
2.5.5.1	<i>Gel quantification (DNA)</i>	44
2.5.5.2	<i>Fluorometer quantification (DNA)</i>	44
2.5.5.3	<i>Nanodrop quantification (DNA and RNA)</i>	44
2.5.6	Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	45
2.5.7	cDNA synthesis and RT-PCR	45
2.5.7.1	<i>first strand synthesis kit</i>	45
2.5.7.2	<i>one stepRT-PCR system</i>	46
2.5.8	Cloning and Subcloning DNA	46
2.5.9	Alkaline phosphatase (shrimp) reaction	46
2.5.10	Automated DNA sequencing	47
2.6	Generation of constructs	47
2.6.1	<i>AGL104::GUS</i> construct	47
2.6.2	<i>35S::AGL104</i> construct	48
2.6.3	Double 35S (<i>35S::35S::AGL104</i>) construct	48
2.6.4	dsRNAi construct	48

2.7 <i>Arabidopsis</i> whole plant and plant tissue preparations	49
2.7.1 Plant growth conditions	49
2.7.2 Homogenization of plant tissue	49
2.7.3 Emasculation	49
2.7.4 Pollination	50
2.7.4.1 <i>Generating multiple mutants</i>	50
2.7.5 Embedding plant tissues	50
2.7.6 Sectioning	51
2.7.7 Plant transformation	51
2.8 <i>Arabidopsis</i> seeds and seedlings	51
2.8.1 Seed germination	51
2.8.2 Sterilization and plating of seeds	52
2.8.3 Selection of resistant seedlings and transplanting	52
2.9 Pollen	53
2.9.1 Pollen germination <i>in vitro</i>	53
2.9.2 Pollen germination <i>in vivo</i>	53
2.10 Staining	53
2.10.1 GUS	53
2.10.2 DAPI	54
2.10.3 Analine Blue	54

Chapter 3: GUS expression analysis of *AGL104*

3.1 Background	55
3.2 Results	58
3.2.1 <i>AGL104::GUS</i> Construct	58
3.2.2 Phenotypic Analysis	58
3.2.2.1 <i>GUS</i> expression in young developing anthers and mature pollen of the <i>Arabidopsis</i> flower	58
3.2.2.2 <i>GUS</i> expression correlated with the stage of pollen development/number of nuclei	60

3.2.2.3	<i>GUS expression during pollen germination and pollen tube elongation</i>	62
3.2.2.4	<i>GUS expression in ovules</i>	62
3.3	Discussion	65
3.3.1	Discrepancies between our GUS assay results and previously published <i>AGL104</i> expression results	65
3.3.2	Function of <i>AGL104</i> in young developing anthers and the meristem	68
3.3.3	Proposed functions of <i>AGL104</i> in pollen development, pollen germination and tube growth deduced from its expression pattern	68
3.3.3.1	<i>Early and late pollen-expressed genes</i>	69
3.3.3.2	<i>Transcription factors expressed in pollen</i>	70
3.3.3.3	<i>Transcription and translation during pollen germination and tube growth</i>	74
3.3.4	Proposed function of <i>AGL104</i> in ovules	76
3.3.4.1	<i>Pollen tube guidance</i>	77
3.3.4.2	<i>Pollen tube reception and fertilization</i>	78
3.3.4.3	<i>Seed formation and embryogenesis</i>	79
3.3.5	Role of <i>AGL104</i> in general gametophyte development	80
3.4	Chapter Summary	80

Chapter 4: Gain-of-function analysis

4.1	Background	83
4.1.1	Multiple mutants	84
4.2	Results	86
4.2.1	Cloning strategy for the 35S:: <i>AGL104</i> construct	86
4.2.2	Generation of single, double, triple and double 35S overexpressing mutant lines	88
4.2.3	Phenotypic Analysis	92
4.2.3.1	<i>Gross morphological analysis of the overexpressing mutant lines</i>	92

5.4 Chapter Summary	134
Chapter 6: Overall conclusion and future directions	137
Appendices	
Appendix 1: List of primers	144
Appendix 2: Recipe for buffers and solutions	145
References	148

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1.1	Phylogenetic tree of the <i>Arabidopsis</i> MADS-box genes	6
Figure 1.2	Domains of the fungal, animal and plant type I and type II MADS-box proteins	8
Figure 1.3	The quartet model for floral organ specification in <i>Arabidopsis</i>	13
Figure 1.4	MIKC ^c and MIKC* type genes in land plants	18
Figure 1.5	Microgametogenesis in <i>Arabidopsis</i>	25
Figure 1.6	Megagametogenesis in <i>Arabidopsis</i>	30
Figure 1.7	Phylogenetic relationship between the MIKC ^c (B and B _s) and MIKC* clades	34
Figure 3.1	<i>AGL104::GUS</i> construct	57
Figure 3.2	Histochemical localization of <i>AGL104::GUS</i> expression in developing anthers and mature pollen	59
Figure 3.3	<i>AGL104::GUS</i> expression during the different developmental stages of pollen	61
Figure 3.4	Histochemical localization of <i>AGL104::GUS</i> expression in germinating pollen and elongating pollen tubes	63
Figure 3.5	Histochemical localization of <i>AGL104::GUS</i> expression in the young and older ovules	64
Figure 3.6	Histochemical localization of <i>AGL104::GUS</i> expression in the Micropylar end of the ovule	66
Figure 4.1	Amino acid sequence similarity between <i>AGL104</i> , <i>AGL66</i> and <i>AGL67</i>	85
Figure 4.2	Cloning strategy for the single <i>AGL104</i> overexpression (<i>35S::AGL104</i>) construct	87
Figure 4.3	Double 35S <i>AGL104</i> (<i>35S::35S::AGL104</i>) construct	89
Figure 4.4	RT-PCR showing single, double and triple overexpression lines of <i>AGL104</i> , <i>AGL66</i> and <i>AGL67</i>	91

Figure 4.5	Gross morphological phenotype of single and multiple overexpression mutants	93
Figure 4.6	Phenotype of <i>in vitro</i> germinated pollen of single and multiple overexpression lines	96
Figure 4.7	Pollen germinated <i>in planta</i> for 2hrs	99
Figure 4.8	Pollen germinated <i>in planta</i> for 4hrs	100
Figure 5.1	Cloning strategy for the <i>AGL104</i> dsRNAi construct	122
Figure 5.2	Diagram showing T-DNA insertion in <i>AGL104</i> and RT-PCR confirming generation of homozygous lines	124
Figure 5.3	Gross morphological phenotype of the putative loss-of-function Mutants	125
Figure 5.4	Phenotype of <i>in vitro</i> germinated pollen of putative loss-of-function Lines	128
Figure 5.5	Pollen of putative loss-of-function lines germinated <i>in planta</i> for 2hrs	129

LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1	Percentage pollen germination (<i>in vitro</i>) in the different single and multiple mutant lines	95
Table 4.2	Length of pollen tubes germinated <i>in vivo</i> in the different single and multiple mutant lines	98