

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**EFFECTS OF A 50 HZ MAGNETIC FIELD ON HUMAN
VISUAL DURATION DISCRIMINATION
AND RECOGNITION MEMORY**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree
of Master of Arts in Psychology
at Massey University

Jeanne D. Abbott

1998

ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study was to investigate the direct and delayed effects of a sinusoidal 100 μT , 50 Hz intermittent magnetic field on human performance measures. Eighty participants (aged 19-53) completed the experiment which involved a visual duration discrimination task and a recognition memory task. Initially all participants completed the study phase of the recognition memory task in which 40 abstract shapes were presented in a random order. A two alternative forced choice visual duration discrimination task followed in which participants had to decide which of two consecutive light flashes was longer in duration. The duration discrimination task had only one hard level of difficulty over the 200 trials with a standard flash duration of 50 ms paired with an alternative hard flash duration of 65 ms. During the duration discrimination task, 40 participants were sham exposed while the remaining 40 were exposed to a 100 μT , 50 Hz magnetic field. Participants were randomly assigned to either the sham or exposure groups and the study was conducted under double-blind procedures. Reaction time and percentage of correct decisions were recorded during a total exposure time lasting approximately 11 minutes. The two alternative forced choice recognition memory testing phase was then conducted in which participants viewed 40 pairs of abstract shapes, each pair presented for six seconds. Participants had to decide which of the two shapes (left or right) they had previously seen during the study phase. In addition, participants had to rate their confidence in each of the 40 decisions on a four point rating scale (1 = very sure to 4 = unsure). Both percentage of correct decisions and confidence ratings were recorded for each participant. Participants were only exposed to the magnetic field during the visual duration discrimination task. The results of an earlier investigation were unsupported as the present results found no field-effects between sham and exposure groups on both measures of reaction time and percentage of correct decisions during the visual duration discrimination task. However, a reduction in the percentage of correct decisions and confidence during the recognition memory task was observed for participants who had been previously exposed to a magnetic field. Differences in experimental parameters and insufficient power render comparisons with other human magnetic field studies impossible. The need for exact replication studies with maximum design sensitivity was discussed within the context of a research field that is to produce small effect sizes.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank Dr John Podd for his unlimited time and commitment as my thesis supervisor, his sound advice and professionalism, and his extensive proofreading on earlier drafts of this thesis.

I would also like to thank Harvey Jones for providing technical assistance whenever required and assisting in the development of the recognition memory task. In addition, thanks are extended to Craig Whittington for his contribution in the development of the recognition memory task.

Thanks go to Nik Kazantzis for his time in helping with the results analysis and his experience in using the SPSS package. I also appreciate the efforts of various members of the Psychology Department Workshop who assisted with any apparatus requirements. Finally, I express my gratitude to the women of the Psychology Department Office who were happy to assist in any way possible, from photocopying endless experimental sheets to taking phone messages from participants.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	viii
INTRODUCTION	
Overview	1
Magnetic Fields	3
Living with Magnetic Fields	4
Epidemiology	7
Biological Mechanisms of Interaction	9
Animal Studies	12
Human Studies	14
Recognition Memory	23
Statistical Power	32
Purpose of the Present Study	37

	Page
METHOD	
Participants	39
Experimental Design	40
Measures	42
Apparatus	43
Tasks and Sequence of Events	48
Procedure	51
Data Analysis	54
 RESULTS	
Analysis of the FSQ	56
Analysis of Visual Duration Discrimination Task	56
Performance Measures: Accuracy and Reaction Time	56
Accuracy	57
Reaction Time	60
Analysis of Recognition Memory Task	61
Accuracy	61
Confidence	61
Accuracy and Confidence	62

DISCUSSION

FSQ Analysis	64
Visual Duration Discrimination	65
Recognition Memory	68
Statistical Power	70
Future Research	72
General Conclusion	75

REFERENCES	77
-------------------	----

APPENDIX A	Participant Information and Questionnaires	87
APPENDIX B	Recognition Memory Test Sheet and FSQ	92
APPENDIX C	Participant Instructions	95
APPENDIX D	Recognition Memory Abstract Shape	104
APPENDIX E	Access to Raw Data	106

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1:	Common appliances and their magnetic field strengths ...	6
Table 2:	Limits of exposure to 50/60 Hz electric and magnetic fields	7
Table 3:	Mean percent of correct decisions and reaction time for participants in sham or real exposure conditions during a visual duration discrimination task	58
Table 4:	Mean percent of correct decisions and reaction time for all participants during Blocks 1 and 2 of the visual duration discrimination task	59
Table 5:	Mean percent of correct decisions and confidence ratings on the recognition memory task for participants who had been either sham or MF exposed	62

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 1:	Magnetic field surrounding a current-carrying conductor ...	3
Figure 2:	Number of participants in the sham and real exposure conditions during experimental sessions run in the morning and afternoon	41
Figure 3:	Photograph of the exposure apparatus	45
Figure 4:	Flow diagram of the ELF magnetic field exposure system ...	47
Figure 5:	Temporal sequence of events for one visual duration discrimination trial	50