

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Improving teaching and learning for
chemical equilibrium and acids and bases in
year 12 chemistry**

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the
degree of Master of Education at
Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

by

Lauren M. Downs

2005

ABSTRACT

The aims of this action research study were to develop, implement, and test the efficacy of four strategies designed to improve the teaching and learning of *chemical equilibrium* and *acids and bases* in year 12 chemistry. The study took place in a New Zealand secondary school, with two year 12 chemistry teachers and fifteen randomly selected students taking part. Semi-structured interviews used to elicit students' pre-teaching mental models of concepts within *chemical equilibrium* and *acids and bases* revealed a range of misconceptions and a limited ability to represent the sub-microscopic level of chemistry concepts. Teachers then used information from the interviews to inform the planning of lessons for each topic. The new teaching strategies employed by the teachers centred around Johnstone's three levels of chemistry; using a macroscopic, sub-microscopic, symbolic sequence during teacher explanations of concepts. Particular emphasis was placed on modelling the sub-microscopic level of each concept with magnetic cardboard dots and student role plays.

The action research process allows teachers to improve their own understandings and teaching practices through cycles of planning, action, observation and reflection. Although the action research methodology used here was new to both teachers at the start of the study, it provided a useful structure in which to trial the new strategies. Reflection in action research is an opportunity for teachers to reflect on, and evaluate, the effects of their action.

This study demonstrates that understanding of concepts within *chemical equilibrium* and *acids and bases* is significantly improved if the sub-microscopic level of concepts is represented. For the students in this study, the preferred method of representing the sub-microscopic level was with cardboard dots rather than student role plays. Ideally, students themselves need to practise representing the sub-microscopic level with cardboard dots or other concrete models if they are to gain better understanding of the sub-microscopic level.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Heartfelt gratitude is extended to those who have made the completion of this thesis possible.

Thanks to the students, teachers, Head of Department and school who so enthusiastically took part in this research. It was a privilege to work with you.

Thanks to Mr. Bill Macintyre, a wonderful teacher, supervisor and friend for his time, patience, encouragement and excellent questions. Thanks also to Dr. Clel Wallace for his clear thinking and helpful editing advice and Dr. Jenny Poskitt for helping me to wade through raw data.

Thanks to Massey University College of Education for grants from the Graduate Research Fund.

Thanks to my parents, family and friends for their understanding, encouragement and for entertaining my children during the data collection phase.

Thanks to my amazing husband for his unwavering support, enthusiasm and love. I couldn't have done it without you.

Thanks to my gorgeous children for making me laugh.

Trust in the Lord with all your heart and lean not on your own understanding;
in all your ways acknowledge Him and He will make your paths straight.

Proverbs 3: 5,6

“I love teaching.

What other job gives you the opportunity to be an actor, doctor, priest, business entrepreneur, academic researcher, banker, lawyer, lecturer, psychiatrist, coach, artist, manager, cleaner, policewoman and clerk and

all in one day.”

Charmaine Pountney

(2000, p.24)

Learning our living

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| ABSTRACT | i |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | ii |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | iv |
| LIST OF FIGURES | ix |
| LIST OF TABLES | x |
| | |
| CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 Background to the study | 1 |
| 1.2.1 Learners construct knowledge | 2 |
| 1.2.2 The three levels of chemistry | 2 |
| 1.2.3 Models and modelling | 3 |
| 1.3 Nature of the study | 3 |
| 1.4 The research questions | 4 |
| 1.5 An overview of the thesis | 5 |
| | |
| CHAPTER TWO : REVIEW OF LITERATURE | |
| 2.1 Introduction | 6 |
| 2.2 Misconceptions of chemical equilibrium | 6 |
| 2.2.1 Left and right sidedness | 8 |
| 2.2.2 Dynamic or static equilibrium ? | 10 |
| 2.2.3 Problems with the application of Le Chatelier's principle | 11 |
| 2.2.4 Rates of reaction approaching and at equilibrium | 12 |
| 2.2.5 The effects of catalysts in equilibrium systems | 13 |
| 2.3 Misconceptions of acids and bases | 13 |
| 2.3.1 General knowledge – what are acids and bases? | 13 |
| 2.3.2 Neutralization | 14 |
| 2.3.3 Strength and concentration | 15 |
| 2.3.4 pH | 15 |
| 2.3.5 Problems with the symbolic | 16 |
| 2.4 Constructivism and conceptual change | 17 |
| 2.4.1 Learning as construction of meaning | 17 |

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 2.4.2 | Constructing meaningful knowledge – the conceptual change process | 17 |
| 2.4.3 | Constructivist approaches to conceptual change teaching and learning | 21 |
| 2.4.4 | Identifying and measuring conceptual change | 24 |
| 2.4.5 | Implications for teacher development | 26 |
| 2.5 | Models and Modelling | 28 |
| 2.5.1 | What is a model? | 28 |
| 2.5.2 | Models and conceptual change | 28 |
| 2.5.3 | The move from mental model to conceptual model – using expressed models | 30 |
| 2.5.4 | Implications for teaching science and chemistry – modelling in practice | 35 |
| 2.6 | Why modelling in chemistry is unique | |
| | - the three levels of chemistry | 37 |
| 2.6.1 | Introduction | 37 |
| 2.6.2 | The three levels of chemistry | 37 |
| 2.6.3 | How do students cope with the three levels of chemistry? | 39 |
| 2.6.4 | Implications for teaching chemistry | 42 |
| 2.6.5 | Conclusion | 45 |

CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 3.1 | Introduction | 47 |
| 3.2 | What is action research? | 48 |
| 3.2.1 | Planning | 50 |
| 3.2.2 | Action | 51 |
| 3.2.3 | Observation | 51 |
| 3.2.4 | Reflection | 52 |
| 3.3 | Approaches in action research methodology | 52 |
| 3.3.1 | Technical action research | 52 |
| 3.3.2 | Practical / participatory action research | 53 |
| 3.3.3 | Emancipatory action research | 54 |
| 3.4 | Strengths and limitations of action research | 55 |
| 3.5 | Ethical considerations | 57 |
| 3.6 | Conclusion | 58 |

CHAPTER FOUR: METHOD

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 4.1 | Introduction | 59 |
| 4.2 | Setting up the study | 59 |
| 4.2.1 | Contact with potential research sites | 59 |
| 4.2.2 | Recruitment of teachers | 59 |
| 4.2.3 | Recruitment of students | 60 |
| 4.2.4 | Teacher education – preparing the teachers for the action research study | 61 |
| 4.3 | Culture of the school and classrooms | 62 |
| 4.3.1 | Culture of the school | 62 |
| 4.3.2 | Culture of the classrooms | 62 |
| 4.4 | The action research process | 63 |
| 4.4.1 | Planning | 64 |
| 4.4.2 | Action | 64 |
| 4.4.3 | Observation | 65 |
| 4.4.4 | Reflection | 67 |
| 4.5 | Ethical considerations | 67 |

CHAPTER FIVE: RESULTS - THE ACTION RESEARCH PROCESS

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 5.1 | Introduction | 69 |
| 5.2 | The action research process | 69 |
| 5.2.1 | How did the action research process help to make changes? | 69 |
| 5.2.2 | What was the effect on each teacher's practice in each cycle? | 71 |
| 5.2.3 | Was the action research process useful in implementing change? | 85 |

CHAPTER SIX: RESULTS – THE PRODUCT

| | | |
|-------|---|----|
| 6.1 | What presinstruction mental models did students have for <i>chemical equilibrium</i> ? | 87 |
| 6.1.1 | “Equilibrium” is related to physics | 87 |
| 6.1.2 | “Dynamic” has a wide variety of meanings | 87 |
| 6.2 | How did students' mental models change? | 90 |
| 6.2.1 | Chemical equilibrium is dynamic | 90 |

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| 6.2.2 | Chemical equilibrium is not like physics | 91 |
| 6.2.3 | Double arrows | 91 |
| 6.2.4 | Relationship between concentration of reactants and products at equilibrium | 91 |
| 6.2.5 | The effect of stresses on a system | 91 |
| 6.2.6 | The equilibrium constant (K_c) | 92 |
| 6.3 | What preinstruction mental models did students have for <i>acids and bases</i> ? | 92 |
| 6.3.1 | Acids and bases are defined by their pH | 93 |
| 6.3.2 | Neutralisation is a physical reaction | 93 |
| 6.3.3 | Concentrated means more solute and less solvent, dilute means less solute, more solvent | 93 |
| 6.3.4 | Strength and concentration mean the same thing | 93 |
| 6.3.5 | Proton transfer | 94 |
| 6.4 | How did students' mental models change for <i>acids and bases</i> ? | 98 |
| 6.4.1 | Strong acid vs weak acid performance in chemical reactions | 101 |
| 6.5 | Student feedback on strategies used in <i>chemical equilibrium</i> and <i>acids and bases</i> | 101 |
| 6.5.1 | Role plays | 101 |
| 6.5.2 | Cardboard dots | 104 |

CHAPTER SEVEN: DISCUSSION

| | | |
|-------|---|-----|
| 7.1 | Introduction | 107 |
| 7.2 | The action research process | 107 |
| 7.2.1 | Did the teachers employ the new strategies? | 107 |
| 7.2.2 | Did the teachers become more reflective? | 112 |
| 7.2.3 | Was the action research process practical? | 114 |
| 7.3 | Did students' mental models change for chemical equilibrium and acids and bases? | 115 |
| 7.3.1 | Chemical equilibrium | 115 |
| 7.3.2 | Acids and bases | 118 |
| 7.4 | What helped the student's mental models change for both topics? | 120 |
| 7.4.1 | Strategy 1 | 120 |
| 7.4.2 | Strategy 2 | 121 |

| | | |
|-------|------------|-----|
| 7.4.3 | Strategy 3 | 123 |
| 7.4.4 | Strategy 4 | 126 |
| 7.5 | Summary | |

127

CHAPTER EIGHT: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

| | | |
|-------|--|-----|
| 8.1 | Introduction | 128 |
| 8.2 | Major findings of the study | 128 |
| 8.2.1 | Was the action research process useful and practical? | 128 |
| 8.2.2 | Did the teachers change their teaching strategies? | 129 |
| 8.2.3 | Did the students mental models for chemical equilibrium and acids and bases change? Why? | 130 |
| 8.3 | What are implications for teaching and assessment? | 131 |
| 8.4 | Limitations of the study | 132 |
| 8.5 | Recommendations for further research | 134 |
| 8.6 | Concluding remarks | 134 |

| | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|
| REFERENCES | | 135 |
|-------------------|--|-----|

APPENDICES

| | | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| Appendix 1 | Information sheet for Board of Trustees and Principal | 145 |
| Appendix 2 | Information sheet for Teachers | 148 |
| Appendix 3 | Principal / Board of Trustees consent form | 151 |
| Appendix 4 | Teacher consent form | 152 |
| Appendix 5 | Information sheet for students | 153 |
| Appendix 6 | Student consent form | 155 |
| Appendix 7 | Example of open observation notes | 156 |
| Appendix 8 | Example of structured observation notes | 163 |
| Appendix 9 | Chemical equilibrium pre-teach interview questions | 164 |
| Appendix 10 | Chemical equilibrium post-teaching interview questions | 165 |
| Appendix 11 | Acids and bases pre-teaching interview questions | 166 |
| Appendix 12 | Acids and bases post-teaching interview questions | 167 |
| Appendix 13 | Example of informal reflection notes | 168 |
| Appendix 14 | Interview questions for teacher reflection | 169 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | | |
|-------------------|---|----|
| Figure 2.1 | The liquid transfer model | 10 |
| Figure 2.2 | Historical development of atomic structure | 31 |
| Figure 2.3 | Four types of molecular models commonly used in secondary chemistry classrooms | 32 |
| Figure 2.4 | Three levels of chemistry | 37 |
| Figure 2.5 | A model for concept acquisition in science | 42 |
| Figure 2.6 | The Rising Iceberg – A theoretical framework for learning chemistry | 44 |
| Figure 3.1 | The action research spiral | 49 |
| Figure 5.1 | Dots and symbolic equation at beginning of cycle 1 | 76 |
| Figure 5.2 | Dots and symbolic equation at end of cycle 1 | 77 |
| Figure 5.3 | Representation of a liquid with cardboard dots by Teacher 2 | 83 |
| Figure 5.4 | Representation of a liquid with cardboard dots by Teacher 2 | 83 |
| Figure 6.1 | Student drawings of behaviour of particles during boiling of water before instruction | 89 |
| Figure 6.2 | Student drawings of behaviour of particles during boiling of water before instruction | 89 |
| Figure 6.3 | Student model of behaviour of particles during boiling of water before instruction | 89 |
| Figure 6.4 | Student model of behaviour of particles during boiling of water before instruction | 89 |
| Figure 6.5 | Student model of behaviour of particles during boiling of water before instruction | 89 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | | |
|-------------------|---|-----|
| Table 5.1 | Lesson observation Teacher 1 - <i>chemical equilibrium</i> lesson 1 | 74 |
| Table 5.2 | Lesson observation Teacher 2 - <i>chemical equilibrium</i> lesson 1 | 75 |
| Table 5.3 | Lesson observation Teacher 1 – acids and bases lesson 1 | 81 |
| Table 5.4 | Lesson observation Teacher 2 – acids and bases lesson 1 | 82 |
| Table 6.1 | Students' mental models of equilibrium before and after instruction | 90 |
| Table 6.2 | Students' presinstruction mental models for dilute and concentrated acids | 95 |
| Table 6.3 | Students' presinstruction mental models for strong and weak acids | 97 |
| Table 6.4 | Students' definitions of acid and/or base before and after instruction | 99 |
| Table 6.5 | Students' mental models of neutralisation before and after instruction | 98 |
| Table 6.6 | Students' pre and post-instruction mental models of dilute and concentrated solutions. | 99 |
| Table 6.7 | Students' pre and post-instruction mental models of strong and weak acids. | 100 |
| Table 6.8 | Student activities in beginning lesson of chemical equilibrium (Teacher 1) | 102 |
| Table 6.9 | Student activities in beginning lesson of chemical equilibrium (Teacher 2) | 102 |
| Table 6.10 | Student activities in an acids and bases lesson (Teacher 1) | 104 |
| Table 6.11 | Student activities in an acids and bases lesson (Teacher 2) | 105 |

CHAPTER ONE – INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

The study described in this thesis has two main aims. The first is to identify and employ new teaching strategies to improve the teaching of *chemical equilibrium* and *acids and bases* in year 12 chemistry. The second is to try to establish whether these strategies help students in constructing understanding of these topics. This chapter describes the background to the study, the nature of the study, the research questions and an overview of the thesis.

1.2 Background to the study

Teaching chemistry is a challenge. Having spent a number of years teaching secondary school science and chemistry, I believe that many students struggle with linking the chemical equations they write, with the reactions they see in the laboratory. Some teachers find that students are frequently “turned off” to chemistry and resort to “mindlessly” memorising scientific terms, and performing laboratory experiments with “preordained right and wrong answers” instead of learning and understanding the concepts they are presented with (Stinner, 1992, p. 5). Students’ attitudes to chemistry are often amplified by their parents’ descriptions of how difficult chemistry was when they were at school. In addition to this bad reputation, many studies “reveal that students’ conceptions are often inconsistent with the scientific conceptions they are expected to learn” (Garnett, Garnett, & Hackling, 1995, p. 69). The two topics dealt with in this study, *chemical equilibrium* and *acids and bases*, are no exception. Difficulties with *chemical equilibrium* can be attributed to the “inherent abstract nature of the topic” and “the static equilibrium system so emphasised in physics teaching” (Johnstone, MacDonald, & Webb, 1977, p. 171). A year 12 chemistry assessment report produced by the New Zealand Qualifications Authority acknowledges the problems students have with *acids and bases*. The report stated that students had shown “limited understanding of the chemical principles involved in acid-base systems” in their National Certificate of Educational Achievement (NCEA) exam responses, with many failing to do correct calculations and unable “to use the related language of chemistry accurately and clearly” (NZQA, 2003, p. 2).

As chemistry teachers then, we need to examine our teaching and begin to teach for understanding. If we are to do this successfully, the literature suggests that there are a number of factors which need consideration.

1.2.1 Learners construct knowledge

The constructivist view of learning describes the learning process as a gradual, dynamic and active process, where learners build on their *mental model*, the ideas they already have about a concept (Greca & Moreira, 2000) taking into account their beliefs, experiences and background (Posner, 1982). Posner, Strike, Hewson and Gertzog (1982) suggest that for a learner to start to change their mental model, a new concept needs to be *intelligible*, *plausible* and *fruitful* to the learner. Intelligibility means the learner can make sense of a concept. A plausible concept needs to either have some degree of fit or to cause dissatisfaction with the learner's current conceptions. For a new concept to be fruitful, it might assist in solving problems or explaining phenomena the learner could not previously solve or explain. While these three ideas seem simple, the conceptual change process is highly complex and rarely linear (Posner et al., 1982). The mental models a learner holds are often "highly difficult to shift, and can offer a serious barrier to learning" (Tytler, 2004, p. 20).

1.2.2 The three levels of chemistry

Johnstone states that chemistry "exists in three forms which can be thought of as corners of a triangle" (2000, p. 11). These are:

- a) the *macroscopic*, the tangible, observable aspect. An example is a glass of water,
- b) the *sub-microscopic* aspect which represents the invisible particles within a substance. An example is the water molecules and their interactions,
- c) the *symbolic* aspect where symbols are used to represent the substance and its particles. The symbol H_2O can be used to represent one water molecule or the entire glass of water.

For most people, difficulties with the learning of chemistry can be attributed to the majority of chemistry instruction being conducted on the symbolic level, which is abstract in nature (Gabel, 1999). Students have problems with making

macroscopic observations in their practical work and then representing these with symbolic equations because they do not understand what the symbols represent. Other reports suggest that unlike their instructors, students do not have the knowledge or skill to transfer easily between the three levels and find the sub-microscopic level particularly difficult to grasp (Gabel, 1999; Johnstone, 1991; Nicoll, 2003).

1.2.3 Models and modelling

Recent studies suggest that students have a poor understanding of the sub-microscopic level of chemistry (Nakleh & Krajcik, 1994; Nicoll, 2003).

Gabel suggests that a reason for this could be that “many of the concepts studied in chemistry are abstract and inexplicable without the use of analogies or models” (Gabel, 1999, p. 548).

Models and analogies are examples of *expressed models*. Expressed models are the result of us translating our mental model into a new medium so that we can communicate it to others (Gilbert & Ireton, 2003). In chemistry, we often represent the sub-microscopic level with the use of ball and stick models or symbols. In this study, the sub-microscopic level of chemistry was modelled using small magnetic cardboard dots and student role-plays. To use models successfully in the representation of the sub-microscopic level of chemistry, researchers recommend:

- a) the use of multiple models so that students can realise the inadequacy of any one model to accurately represent a concept (Gilbert & Ireton, 2003; Harrison & Treagust, 2000a, 2000b),
- b) discussion of the limitations of expressed models with students (Chittleborough, Treagust, & Mocerino, 2005),
- c) acknowledgement that modelling of the sub-microscopic level relies on theory, not real observations as sub-microscopic particles are impossible to see (Chittleborough et al., 2005).

1.3 Nature of the study

This thesis describes the development, implementation and efficacy of teaching strategies for two topics in year 12 chemistry; *chemical equilibrium* and *acids and*

bases. The study took place in two year 12 chemistry classes at a girls' school with about 700 students. The two teachers of these classes, in conjunction with the author, employed action research methodology in order to develop and implement the strategies through planning, trialling, reflecting on and revisiting these strategies. Macintyre (2000, p. 1) describes action research as

“an investigation, where, as a result of rigorous self-appraisal of current practice, the researcher focuses on a ‘problem’ (or a topic or an issue which needs to be explained), and on the basis of information (about the up-to-date state of the art, about the people who will be involved and about the context), plans, implements and then evaluates an action then draws conclusions on the basis of the findings”.

Two cycles of planning, action, observation and reflection were completed with each teacher.

1.4 The research questions

In order to develop and implement effective teaching strategies for year 12 chemistry, four key questions were posed:

- What mental models do Year 12 students hold for “chemical equilibrium” and for “acids and bases”?
- What are effective teaching strategies for these concepts according to current science education literature, especially Johnstone’s three levels of chemistry?
- Can students gain a real understanding of the concepts as a result of these teaching strategies ? How do we know ?
- What are the implications for teaching and assessment of these concepts?

In using these questions as the basis of the study, it was hoped that this study would provide the author and other chemistry teachers with practical strategies that they can use to help students make sense of a subject that is often disliked and reluctantly endured.

1.5 An overview of the thesis

This thesis is presented in eight chapters and fourteen appendices

Chapter One outlines the main aims and provides a background of the reasons for the study. The nature of the study is also introduced. The key research questions and overview of the study are given.

Chapter Two further explores the background for the study, by exploring and summarising relevant literature.

Chapter Three describes the theory behind the action research methodology used in this study.

Chapter Four describes how the action research methodology was employed in this context.

Chapter Five examines the results of the study in the context of the action research process.

Chapter Six describes the results of the action research process, namely the changes in the mental models of students.

Chapter Seven discusses the significance of the findings in chapters five and six in light of the literature discussed in chapter two.

Chapter eight draws together the preceding seven chapters, stating the main conclusions from the study, and recommendations and implications for teaching.