

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

101015

**A STUDY OF GROWTH, DEVELOPMENT AND N-FIXATION
OF SEVERAL WHITE CLOVER (*Trifolium repens* L.) CULTIVARS
UNDER DIFFERENT WATER DEFICIT AND PHOSPHORUS LEVELS**

A thesis

Presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

at Massey University

Palmerston North

New Zealand

1092054426



XINJUN WANG
1991

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS -----	I
LIST OF FIGURES AND PLATE -----	II
LIST OF TABLES -----	IV
LIST OF APPENDICES -----	VI
ABSTRACT -----	VII
CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION -----	1
CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW -----	2
2.1 Growth and Development Characters of White Clover -----	2
2.1.1 Origin and Distribution of White Clover -----	2
2.1.2 White Clover Varieties and Classification -----	3
2.1.3 Growth and Development of White Clover -----	4
2.2 N-Fixation -----	7
2.2.1 Introduction -----	7
2.2.2 Nodulation of White Clover -----	7
2.2.3 The Process of N-Fixation -----	8
2.2.4 Methodology of N-Fixation Determination -----	10
2.2.5 Environmental Factors Affecting N-Fixation-----	11
2.3 Effect of Water Deficit Stress on Plant Growth and Development -----	13
2.3.1 Development of Water Deficiency in Plants -----	13
2.3.2 Plant Water Deficiency Measurement -----	14
2.3.3 Plant Responses to Water Stress -----	15
2.4 Effect of Phosphorus (P) Fertility Level on Plant Growth -----	18
2.4.1 Function and Content of Phosphorus in Plant -----	18
2.4.2 Effect of P Deficiency on White Clover Growth and Development -----	18
2.4.3 Factors Affecting P Uptake and Utilization -----	19
CHAPTER THREE EFFECTS OF WATER DEFICIT STRESS ON GROWTH OF FIVE WHITE CLOVER CULTIVARS UNDER FIELD CONDITIONS -----	22
3.1 Introduction -----	22
3.2 Materials and Methods -----	23
3.2.1 Plant and Experimental Site -----	23
3.2.2 Establishment of the Trial Plots -----	25
3.2.3 Design and Treatments -----	25

3.2.4 Measurements -----	26
3.2.5 Statistical Analysis -----	28
3.3 Results -----	28
3.3.1 Soil Data -----	28
3.3.2 Plant Data -----	30
3.4 Discussion -----	42
3.4.1 Water Stress and Plant Water Status -----	42
3.4.2 Effect of Water Stress on Plant Growth -----	43
3.4.3 The Differences among Cultivars in Response to Water Stress -----	45
3.5 Conclusions -----	45
CHAPTER FOUR EFFECT OF THREE WATER DEFICIT LEVELS ON THE GROWTH OF FIVE WHITE CLOVER CULTIVARS -----	47
4.1 Introduction -----	47
4.2 Materials and Methods -----	48
4.2.1 Plants and Experimental Site -----	48
4.2.2 Design and Treatments -----	48
4.2.3 Measurements -----	49
4.2.4 Statistical Analysis -----	50
4.3 Results and Discussion -----	50
4.3.1 Introduction -----	50
4.3.2 Relative Water Content (RWC) -----	50
4.3.3 Plant Dry Weight (DW) and DW Partitioning -----	52
4.3.4 Morphological Parameters -----	62
4.3.5 Growth Parameters -----	67
4.4 Conclusions -----	77
CHAPTER FIVE THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN SOIL WATER STATUS, PLANT WATER STATUS AND LEAF EXTENSION GROWTH OF FIVE WHITE CLOVER CULTIVARS -----	78
5.1 Introduction -----	78
5.2 Material and Methods -----	79
5.2.1 Plants and Experimental Site -----	79
5.2.2 Treatments -----	79
5.2.3 Measurements -----	80
5.2.4 Statistical Analysis -----	80
5.3 Results and Discussion -----	81
5.3.1 Relationship between Soil Water Status (SWS) and Leaf Relative Water Content (RWC) -----	81
5.3.2 Relationship between Leaf RWC and Leaf Extension Growth -----	84

5.3.3 Relationship between Leaf RWC and Plant Transpiration Rate -----	90
5.4 Conclusions -----	102
CHAPTER SIX RESPONSES OF GROWTH AND NITROGEN-FIXATION TO WATER DEFICIT STRESS AND PHOSPHORUS LEVELS OF SEVEN WHITE CLOVER CULTIVARS -----	103
6.1 Introduction -----	103
6.2 Material and Methods -----	104
6.2.1 Experimental Site and Medium Preparation -----	104
6.2.2 Treatments -----	105
6.2.3 Measurements -----	105
6.2.4 Statistical Analysis -----	106
6.3 Results and Discussion -----	107
6.3.1 Growth Responses -----	107
6.3.2 Morphological Characters of White Clover Cultivars -----	114
6.3.3 N-Fixation -----	115
6.3.4 The Canonical Correlations between Plant Parameter Groups -----	126
6.3.5 The Differentiation of Cultivars and Relative Sensitivity of the Variables to the Treatments -----	128
6.4 Conclusions -----	138
CHAPTER SEVEN GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS -----	140
7.1 Introduction-----	140
7.2 Differences in Cultivar Responses-----	140
7.2.1 Growth and Development-----	140
7.2.2 Effect of Soil Water Deficit on Leaf RWC, Leaf Extension and Transpiration-----	145
7.2.3 N-Fixation-----	146
7.2.4 Important Characters Differentiating the Cultivars-----	147
7.3 Conclusions-----	148
7.3.1 Growth and Development-----	148
7.3.2 Plant Water Relation-----	148
7.3.3 N-Fixation-----	149
7.3.4 Variables Useful in Differentiating between Cultivars-----	149
APPENDICES-----	150
REFERENCES-----	160

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I sincerely thank my chief supervisor, Dr. A.C.P. Chu, for his continuous encouragement, invaluable guidance throughout this study, particularly in the last stage of the thesis preparation. I am also grateful to my co-supervisors, Dr. T.R.O. Field and Dr. J.R. Caradus for their guidance and critical comments. The support from Professor B.R. Watkin and Professor J. Hodgson is sincerely acknowledged.

I would also like to thank the following groups of people and individuals who have given me help and assistance in my work.

-The Field Laboratory Staff of Pasture and Crop Research Unit, Agronomy Department for helping in field work.

-Agronomy Department Staff for their kind help in many ways;

-Grasslands Division, DSIR and Dr. G. Sheath for providing seeds;

-Dr. J. Crush of the Grasslands Division, DSIR, Palmerston North for permission to use gas chromatography and some assistance provided;

-Mr. A.G. Robertson of the Agronomy Department, Massey University for providing *Rhizobium* cultures and computer programme to calculate Acetylene Reduction Rate;

-Staff in Computer Centre and Library, Massey University for their assistance throughout this study;

-Dr. and Mrs. J. Needham for prove-reading this thesis.

Financial assistance from the following are gratefully acknowledged:

-Plant Physiology Division, DSIR under a Research Contract;

-Sir James Gunson Scholarship;

-D.J. McGowan Scholarship;

I like to thank many of my friends who have made my stay in lovely New Zealand a very pleasant one.

Finally, I want to deeply thank my family and my wife for their love and support.

LIST OF FIGURES AND PLATE

Figure	Page
Figure 2.1 A scheme for the action of nitrogenase -----	9
Figure 3.1 Rate of soil water deficit development under water stress environment -----	29
Figure 3.2 Rate of leaf water deficit development under water stress environment -----	32
Figure 3.3 Rate of leaf water deficit development of five cultivars under water stress environment -----	33
Figure 3.4a Air and canopy temperatures under control environment -----	34
Figure 3.4b Air and canopy temperatures under water stress environment -----	35
Figure 3.5 Relationship of air and canopy temperature under two environments -----	37
Figure 4.1 Leaf relative water content (RWC) under 3 levels of water deficit -----	51
Figure 4.2 Effect of water deficit level on white clover DW -----	53
Figure 4.3 Mean plant DW of five cultivars -----	55
Figure 4.4a Mean plant DW of five cultivars -- control -----	56
Figure 4.4b Mean plant DW of five cultivars -- mild stress -----	57
Figure 4.4c Mean plant DW of five cultivars -- severe stress -----	58
Figure 4.5 Proportion of white clover components under 3 water deficit levels -----	59
Figure 4.6 Relationship between performance under control and reduction under two water stress levels(leaf no.)-----	64
Figure 4.7 Relationship between performance under control and reduction under two water stress levels(leaf size)-----	65
Figure 4.8a Effect of water deficit levels on leaf development on a scale of phytochron age (Kopu)-----	72
Figure 4.8b Effect of water deficit levels on leaf development on a scale of phytochron age (Pitau)-----	73
Figure 4.8c Effect of water deficit levels on leaf development on a scale of phytochron age (Huia)-----	74
Figure 4.8d Effect of water deficit levels on leaf development on a scale of phytochron age (Tahora)-----	75
Figure 4.8e Effect of water deficit levels on leaf development on a scale of phytochron age (Whatawhata)-----	76
Figure 5.1 Relationship between leaf RWC and soil water status (% of field capacity)--	82
Figure 5.2a Relationship between RWC and leaflet extension rate (Kopu)-----	85
Figure 5.2b Relationship between RWC and leaflet extension rate (Pitau)-----	86
Figure 5.2c Relationship between RWC and leaflet extension rate (Huia)-----	87
Figure 5.2d Relationship between RWC and leaflet extension rate (Tahora)-----	88

Figure 5.2e Relationship between RWC and leaflet extension rate (Whatawhata)-----	89
Figure 5.3a Relationship between RWC and plant transpiration(Kopu)-----	91
Figure 5.3b Relationship between RWC and plant transpiration(Pitau)-----	92
Figure 5.3c Relationship between RWC and plant transpiration(Huia)-----	93
Figure 5.3d Relationship between RWC and plant transpiration(Tahora)-----	94
Figure 5.3e Relationship between RWC and plant transpiration(Whatawhata)-----	95
Figure 5.4a Relationship between soil water status and transpiration (Kopu)-----	97
Figure 5.4b Relationship between soil water status and transpiration (Pitau)-----	98
Figure 5.4c Relationship between soil water status and transpiration (Huia)-----	99
Figure 5.4d Relationship between soil water status and transpiration (Tahora)-----	100
Figure 5.4e Relationship between soil water status and transpiration (Whatawhata)-----	101
Figure 6.1 Effect of P levels on plant total DW(shoot and root DW)-----	109
Figure 6.2 Relationship between DW response of white clover and P levels(harvest 4)	110
Figure 6.3 Effect of P levels on total DW of seven cultivars-----	111
Figure 6.4 Relationships between shoot:root ratio and P levels under 2 water deficit levels-----	113
Figure 6.5 Effect of P levels on number of leaves and branches per stolon-----	117
Figure 6.6 Leaf appearance rate of 7 white clover cultivars under 5 P levels-----	128
Figure 6.7 Relationship between cultivar leaf size and acetylene reduction-----	123
Figure 6.8 Relationship between ARA under control conditions and acetylene reduction under water deficit stress-----	125
Figure 6.9 The relative position of seven white clover cultivars based on discriminant functions of their morphological characters-----	126
Figure 6.10 The relative position of seven white clover cultivars based on discriminant functions of their DW variables-----	135
Figure 6.11 The relative position of seven white clover cultivars based on discriminant functions of their DW partitioning variables-----	136
Figure 6.12 The relative position of seven white clover cultivars based on discriminant functions of their N and P concentration variables-----	137
Plate 1 Example of a 'tagged' white clover plant with a plastic ring-----	29

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Change of soil water deficit (mm) with time (days)-----	28
Table 3.2a Leaf RWC under 2 contrasting environments-----	30
Table 3.2b Leaf RWC of 5 cultivars under 2 contrasting environments-----	31
Table 3.3 Leaf appearance rate (days leaf ⁻¹) of 5 cultivars under 2 environments-----	38
Table 3.4 Number of branches per mature stolon under 2 environments-----	38
Table 3.5 Number of leaves per stolon under 2 environments-----	39
Table 3.6 Leaflet length (mm) under 2 environments-----	40
Table 3.7 Growing point survival rate (%) under 2 environments-----	41
Table 3.8 Leaf duration (days) under 2 environments-----	42
Table 3.9 The reduction in performance caused by water stress treatment-----	44
Table 4.1 RWC of five cultivars under three water deficit levels-----	52
Table 4.2 Effect of water deficit stress on plant component DW (g)-----	59
Table 4.3 Plant component DW of five cultivars-----	59
Table 4.4 Effect of water stress on no. of leaves per stolon and the size of leaflet, petiole and internode-----	63
Table 4.5 Differences between cultivars in leaf, petiole and internode size and leaves per stolon-----	63
Table 4.6 Number of leaves per stolon, size of leaflet, petiole and internode of 5 cultivars at three water deficit levels-----	66
Table 4.7 Water stress effect on leaf appearance rate(lar)(days leaf ⁻¹) and leaf, petiole and internode extension rate (mm day ⁻¹)-----	67
Table 4.8 Leaf appearance rate(lar)(days leaf ⁻¹) and leaf, petiole and internode extension rate (mm day ⁻¹)-----	68
Table 4.9 Leaf appearance rate(lar)(days leaf ⁻¹) and leaf, petiole and internode extension rate (mm day ⁻¹)-----	70
Table 5.1 Relationship between SWS (%) and RWC-----	83
Table 5.2 Relationship between leaf RWC and leaflet extension rate (leaflet extension rate= $a-b \cdot RWC+c \cdot RWC^2$)-----	90
Table 5.3 Relationship between transpiration (g H ₂ Ocm ⁻² day ⁻¹) and RWC (Log _e (transpiration) = $a+b \cdot RWC$)-----	96
Table 6.1 Plant leaf, stolon, root and total DW of 7 cultivars under 2 water deficit levels (Harvest 2)-----	108
Table 6.2 Shoot:root ratios of 7 cultivars under 2 water deficit levels (harvest 2)-----	112
Table 6.3 Leaflet size, number of leaves and leaf weight (g) per stolon and leaf specific weight (cm ² g ⁻¹) of 7 cultivars under 2 water deficit levels-----	116

Table 6.4 Leaf appearance rate (days node ⁻¹) and branching rate (days branch ⁻¹) and leaflet extension rate (mm day ⁻¹) of 7 cultivars under 2 water deficit levels--	119
Table 6.5 Effect of water deficit stress on ARA (umC ₂ H ₄ hr ⁻¹) at harvest 2 (40 d) and harvest 4 (80 d)-----	120
Table 6.6 Effect of phosphorus level on ARA (umC ₂ H ₄ hr ⁻¹)-----	121
Table 6.7 Differences between cultivars in ARA (umC ₂ H ₄ hr ⁻¹)-----	122
Table 6.8 ARA (umC ₂ H ₄ hr ⁻¹ plant ⁻¹) of 7 cultivars under 2 water levels-----	124
Table 6.9 ARA (umC ₂ H ₄ hr ⁻¹ plant ⁻¹) of 7 cultivars under 3 P levels-----	126
Table 6.10 a) Discriminant analysis with component DW-----	130
b) Structure matrix/canonical correlation coefficient-----	130
Table 6.11 a)Discriminant analysis with some morphological variables-----	131
b) Structure matrix/canonical correlation coefficient-----	131
Table 6.12 a)Discriminant analysis with component DW ratios-----	132
b) Structure matrix/canonical correlation coefficient-----	132
Table 6.13.a) Discriminant analysis with N and P concentrations-----	138
b) Structure matrix/canonical correlation coefficient-----	138

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Page
Appendix 3.1 Comparison of long term climatic data (DSIR) with that on the site (Pasture & Crop Unit) during the trial-----	150
Appendix 3.2 Information on rainout shelter-----	151
Appendix 3.3 Procedures for RWC measurement-----	152
Appendix 4.1 Soil Water content under three water deficit levels and for the five cultivars-----	153
Appendix 4.2 Modified Carlson's scale-----	154
Appendix 6.1 Introduction of canonical analysis-----	155
Appendix 6.2a Relative sensitivity of plant characters to water deficit stress-----	156
Appendix 6.2b Relative sensitivity of plant characters to low P fertility-----	156
Appendix 6.3a Correlation matrix between DW and plant N & P variables-----	157
Appendix 6.3b Canonical correlations between DW variables and N & P concentration variables-----	157
Appendix 6.4a Correlation matrix between DW and plant morphological charaters-----	158
Appendix 6.4b Canonical correlations between DW variables and plant morphological characters-----	158
Appendix 6.5a Correlation matrix between DW partitioning and plant morphological charaters-----	159
Appendix 6.5b Canonical correlations between DW partitioning and plant morphological characters-----	159
Appendix 6.6a Correlation matrix between DW partitioning and N & P concentration variables-----	160
Appendix 6.6b Canonical correlations between DW partitioning and plant morphological charaters-----	160

ABSTRACT

White clover (*Trifolium repens* L.) is one of the most important pasture plants in New Zealand. It contributes nitrogen, high quality forage and seasonal spread of production. However, it has high requirements for phosphate and does not persist well under moisture stress conditions. In this study the effects of water deficit level and phosphorus (P) level on the growth, development and N-fixation of different white clover cultivars have been studied. Several New Zealand and overseas white clover cultivars of contrasting morphological characteristics were selected for this study. These cultivars were Kopu, Pitau, Dusi, Haifa, Huia, Tahora and Whatawhata.

The main objectives of this study were to determine the effects of water stress and phosphorus levels on growth, development, and N-fixation of these cultivars and to identify the plant characteristics most effective in distinguishing the cultivars used in the study. The research approach was to measure the responses of these cultivars to water deficit and P fertility treatments; and the responses were then related to selected plant characteristics, particularly morphological characteristics. Attempt was also made to distinguish the cultivars using multivariate analysis techniques.

The results of this study showed that the cultivars had responded differently to water deficit treatment and P treatments. The retardation they suffered in their growth and development, expressed by both dry weight (DW) and growth rate of stolon components (leaf, petiole and branch), was significantly different and, in many cases, could be related back to their morphology and development characteristics. Cultivars of small stature, such as Whatawhata and Tahora, were generally less affected by the stress treatments. Cultivars grown well under control conditions, such as Kopu and Haifa, suffered more severely under stress conditions. For all cultivars, parameters associated with leaf area were more sensitive to water deficit stress than those associated with growing points.

The study of plant water status of these cultivars under progressively decreasing water availability failed to identify any significant difference between the cultivars in their ability to avoid dehydration at medium to high water deficit stress levels. So it could be suggested that the difference between the cultivars represented their ability to tolerate water stress and was unlikely to be associated with their dehydration avoidance ability but

more likely to be associated with morphological characteristics such as deep root and reducing leaf area when water deficit stress occurred. The cultivars were different in their N-fixation ability, as measured by the acetylene reduction analysis (ARA), and this difference was related strongly to leaf size. But when expressed as ARA per unit DW, the difference between the cultivars was non-significant.

Overall, under control conditions, mainly the morphological characteristics, such as leaf size, leaf weight and petiole length, caused the difference between cultivars, other characteristics, such as the plant DW components, DW partitioning, and P and N partitioning in plant components, were less important. Among the morphological characteristics, leaf size and leaf weight per stolon were the most important characteristics differentiating between cultivars. These two characteristics were also the most important for determining plant yield. Under water deficit stress and P deficiency treatment, root DW and branch number were the two most important characteristics differentiating between the cultivars.

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

While white clover is grown across a broad spectrum of climatic conditions (Gibson and Cope, 1985), it succeeds best in cool moist climates. It is not productive or even persistent under certain stress conditions; for instance, during summer drought or with severe soil phosphorus(P) and potassium(K) deficiency (Johns, 1978; Caradus, 1980; Thomas, 1984). Although such problems are relatively minor under normal farming conditions in New Zealand, summer drought can cause poor growth (Radcliffe, 1982) and so prevent the fulfilment of the advantage of a better seasonal spread of white clover (Brougham, 1966). Poor growth can also lead to poor persistence, especially of white clover cultivars selected under adequate environmental conditions for high yielding ability (Charlton, 1984). The white clover's productivity and persistency under poor conditions has been indicated as an important breeding objective by some workers (eg. Rhodes, 1984, 1985), however, the information of how white clover grows under water stress and its ability to adapt to such stress is not available.

Similarly there is a lack of information on the production and persistency of New Zealand white clover under conditions of phosphorus deficiency and with concurrent water stress. Overseas work indicates that some cultivars, such as Dusi, can tolerant water stress as well as low P fertility (Smith and Morrison, 1983). Such cultivars would provide an interesting comparison with New Zealand cultivars of similar growth characteristics. The limitation on fertilizer usage imposed by the current economic conditions makes it clear that for hill country pasture at least, a white clover cultivar tolerant of low fertility, particularly low P fertility, is desirable.

Therefore, the objectives of the present study are:

1. to determine the effects of water stress and P levels on growth, development, and N-fixation of selected white clover cultivars (or selection);
2. to identify and evaluate plant characteristics most effective in distinguishing the cultivars used in the study.