

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**The impact of contextual factors on the
predicted bulk water pipe repair times in
Wellington City**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of Master In Emergency
Management

At Massey University, Wellington
New Zealand

Andrew Keith Sherson

2017

[This page has been intentionally left blank]

Abstract

Lifelines, like the water supply, are essential for the survival of people, communities, and businesses. In the event of a significant natural disaster, like an earthquake, it can be expected that these regional lifelines will be severely damaged. Wellington, the capital of New Zealand, contains many lifelines that are highly vulnerable to failure. The water supply is especially susceptible, as it crosses the Wellington Fault multiple times and carries water through landslide prone corridors. Because of the risk, and potential impact on people, several predictive models have been created to calculate the likely downtimes so individuals and organisations can prepare for the loss. Many of these predictive models are comprehensive in what they calculate. However, they require improvement as they do not include local and contextual factors or the influence of other lifelines. For example, they do not include the impact of staff logistics, assume access to required equipment is a given, and ignore interdependencies between lifelines, such as the loss of access to repair sites because of damage to the transportation network.

This research aims to improve these current models by investigating the magnitude of these site-specific and interdependency factors. Following a sequential mixed methods approach and using a pragmatic viewpoint, experts directly involved in the repair and maintenance of lifelines were selected for interviews. In total 20 professionals were contacted using a snowball and convenience sampling technique. Out of these 20, five were available for in-depth semi-structured phone interviews. From these interviews, anything stated to affect the repair times was highlighted, the most prominent of which were incorporated into current predictive models and their influence on repair times calculated. In total 12 different issues were discussed, 4 of which were examined further. These factors were: staff logistical problems; the slope of the land affecting damage inspection processes; the impact of uncommon pipe diameters on the repair process; and access problems. Once identified, these factors were incorporated into current predictive models, and the impact on repair times calculated. By including these contextual influences, it was found that they increased repair times by between 3 and 13 days depending on the water source and 31 and 111 days when incorporating the influence of landslides. Thus, proving contextual influences have a significant impact on repair times. Overall this study 1) revealed the importance of including contextual factors into predictive calculations and 2) created more accurate downtime predictions for the water supply in Wellington City, allowing for people, organisations, and planners to better prepare for the potential risk.

Acknowledgements

Writing this thesis has been great a challenge and learning experience, where I have grown and developed in many different facets. Throughout the research process, there have been key people that have made the project possible, have kept me going, and have given me great feedback, all of whom I would like to express gratitude to.

Firstly, I would like to thank my thesis supervisors, Dr Raj Prasanna and Dr SR Uma who were incredibly patient, repeatedly gave me great advice, made sure that the project was on schedule, and coached me throughout the research.

Secondly, I want to thank all the experts involved in the research project, who took time out of their busy schedules for the interviews, sharing their knowledge, and helping the project come to fruition.

Thirdly I would like to thank all my friends in Wellington who have been active in their support, giving great advice, and just spending time with me away from the thesis. I want to thank my flatmates Phil Mtambo and Lucas Sklenars specifically for their patience and for all the fun adventures. In addition, I want to offer my gratitude to all my fellow Life group members who rooted for me through the process, especially Liam Koedyk who had been through the thesis process before and gave me great words of wisdom.

Fourthly I would like to thank my family for all the support from afar, listening to all my queries, and answering all of my crazy questions about life.

Fifthly I want to express gratitude to all those who read through the thesis and helped me improve my grammar.

Finally, I would like to thank my wonderful fiancée Eleanor who continually offered love, and without whom, I probably wouldn't have been able to finish the project.

Table of Contents

Chapter: 1	Introduction	1
1.1	Understanding of Disaster Risks	2
1.2	Research Context	4
1.3	Scope of the Research.....	5
1.4	The Cousins Model.....	6
1.5	Current New Zealand Damage and Downtime Predictive Models and Their Limitations	6
1.6	Overarching Research Aim.....	7
1.7	Research Questions and Objectives.....	7
1.8	Organisation of the Thesis	8
1.8.1	Chapter 2: Literature Review.	8
1.8.2	Chapter 3: Methodology	8
1.8.3	Chapter 4: Data Analysis	8
1.8.4	Chapter 5: Results	9
1.8.5	Chapter 6: Discussion and Conclusions.....	9
1.9	Summary	10
Chapter: 2	Literature Review	11
2.1	Background on Lifelines	11
2.1.1	Lifeline Damage.....	12
2.1.2	Impact on People	13
2.1.3	Impact on Businesses.....	14
2.2	Interdependency Between Lifelines	15
2.3	How Local Contextual Factors Affect the Accuracy of Predictive Models	18
2.3.1	How the Locational Setting Affects the Calculations.	19
2.4	Models that Incorporate Lifeline Interdependency in a New Zealand Context.....	20
2.5	Wellington Situation	22
2.5.1	Landslide Hazards	26
2.5.2	The Impact of Damage to Other Lifelines	26
2.6	Factors that Determine Pipe Damage.....	28
2.6.1	Pipe Attributes	28
2.6.2	Further Difficulties and Complexities Learnt from the Christchurch Earthquakes	29
2.6.3	Current New Zealand-Specific Damage Fragility Curves for the Water Pipes.....	30

2.7	The Cousins Model.....	31
2.8	The Wellington-Lifelines-Group Model	36
2.9	Summary	37
Chapter: 3	Methodology.....	38
3.1	Research Paradigm	38
3.1.1	Positivism	38
3.1.2	Constructivism	38
3.1.3	Postpositivism	39
3.1.4	Pragmatism	40
3.2	Methodology.....	40
3.2.1	Mixed Methods.....	41
3.3	Methods.....	43
3.3.1	Qualitative Data Collection and Sampling Methods.....	43
3.3.2	Quantitative Data Collection and Sampling.....	45
3.4	Data Analysis.....	46
3.4.1	Primary Data Analysis Methods.....	47
3.4.2	Secondary Data Analysis Methods.....	48
3.5	Research Design	49
3.6	Ethics.....	52
3.7	Summary	52
Chapter: 4	Data Collection and Analysis.....	53
4.1	Primary Data Collection and Analysis	53
4.1.1	Interview Structure and Questions.....	53
4.1.2	Interview Procedure	54
4.1.3	Analysis of Interview Transcripts	55
4.2	Secondary Data Collection and Analysis Methods, Improving the Cousins Model	58
4.2.1	Overview of Data Collected from the Cousins Model.....	58
4.2.2	Allocation of Geological and Land Conditions to the Pipes	59
4.2.3	Addition of Source Identifiers, Bypass Pipe locators, and Redundancies.....	67
4.2.4	Changes to the Damage Calculation Process.....	68
4.3	Repair Time Calculations.....	72
4.3.1	Integration of Contextual Factors	73
4.3.2	Added Factors	73
4.3.3	Fault Repair Times.....	76
4.3.4	Overall Repair Times	77
4.3.5	Landslides and Access.....	77

4.4	Summary	78
Chapter: 5	Results	79
5.1	Overview of the Interview Data Collected	79
5.2	Predicted Pipe Damage Results	80
5.2.1	Redundancy	81
5.3	The Impacts of the Key Factors Raised in the Interviews.	82
5.3.1	Uncommon Pipe Diameters	83
5.3.2	Elevation and Inspection	84
5.3.3	Staff Logistics	87
5.3.4	Power Needs and Generators	89
5.3.5	Landslides and Access, Addressing the Interdependency Between the Water Pipe and Transportation Networks	90
5.4	Fault Ruptures	93
5.5	Miscellaneous Factors	93
5.6	Total Repair Time from Each Source	94
5.7	Contextual Factors Identified in the Interviews that were not Included in the Model	96
5.8	Summary	96
Chapter: 6	Discussion and Conclusions	99
6.1	Role of Other Lifelines and Interdependency	99
6.1.1	Telecommunications	100
6.1.2	Transportation	100
6.1.3	Possible Access Solutions	101
6.2	Meaning of Results	102
6.2.1	Pipe Attributes	102
6.2.2	Fault Ruptures	103
6.2.3	Staff Logistics	104
6.3	Contribution from Research Findings to Reduce the Research Gap. ...	104
6.4	Alteration of Current Models, and Comparisons with Literature	105
6.4.1	Why Redundancy was Included	105
6.4.2	Why the Cousins Model Damage Calculations Were Altered	106
6.5	Further Damage if the Landscape and Scenario Were Different	106
6.6	Recommendations for Management Decisions That Need to be Done Considering Findings	108
6.6.1	Recognising the Risk	108
6.6.2	Improve lifeline Resilience	109
6.6.3	Update Databases	109
6.6.4	Adapt Communication and Aid Procedures	110

6.6.5	Public Education About the Risks.....	111
6.7	Limitations and Future Research	111
6.8	Conclusions	112
References	113
Appendices	123

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	The six dimensions of lifeline interdependency. Image copied from Rinaldi et al., (2001) pp 12 with permission from IEEE, Copyright © 2001 IEEE.	16
Figure 2.2	Major faults in the Wellington Region. Copied from GNS Science (n.d.) with permission from GNS Science.....	22
Figure 2.3	The Wellington City bulk water pipe network, with the four water sources and final destination, the Karori Reservoir, represented by stars. The predicted liquefaction severity hazard, extracted from the Excel Spreadsheet (Section 4.2.2), is used to show which pipes are included into the model.....	24
Figure 2.4	Map of the Wellington urban centres. State Highways represented by red labels and yellow lines. Map from Google (2017).	25
Figure 2.5	Stored water depletion rates after a strong earthquake in Wellington. Figure copied from Cousins (2013, p. 19) with permission from GNS Science.	32
Figure 2.6	Increments applied to the MMI based on soil type. Figure from Cousins (2013, p. 72). Copied with permission from GNS Science.	34
Figure 3.1	Teddlie & Tashakkori's (2006, p. 22) sequential mixed methods structure, showing two integration phases. The first is where one study informs the conceptualisation phase of the other and the second is at the end, during the meta-inference phase. Copied with permission from the Mid-South Educational Research Association.	42
Figure 3.2	Methods conceptual map, showing how each method answers the research questions. The arrows represent flow of information and the grey bubbles are the various information sources.	51
Figure 4.1	Figure 4.2 The process of how each coded statement from the interviews is summarised and tabulated with other statements. Problem and solution bubbles represent the final summarised sentences that are included in the summary tables in Section (5.3).....	57
Figure 4.2	liquefaction map for the February M6.3 Christchurch Earthquake. Data obtained from Canterbury Geotechnical Database (2013).....	60
Figure 4.3	Wellington Region slope map. The thin red line represents the coast, while the black lines show the location of the bulk water pipes. The Elevation information is	

from Land Information New Zealand (2017), and the Coastal lines are from Greater Wellington Regional Council (2012).	62
Figure 4.4 Wellington Region elevation map. The thin red line represents the coast, while the black lines show the location of the bulk water pipes. The elevation data is from Land Information New Zealand (2017), and the coastal lines are from Greater Wellington Regional Council (2012).	63
Figure 4.5 Landslide severity map of the Wellington region. The slope hazard information is from Kingsbury (1994).....	65
Figure 4.6 Landslide severity map of Wellington City. The slope hazard information is from Kingsbury (1994).....	66
Figure 4.7 (a) Displays the child number for each pipe. Each new fork increases the child number by one. The treatment plant is in the top left corner, and the water sources are located at the tip of each other line. (b) Explains how the child numbers are calculated by demonstrating what happens when one of the pipes breaks. The yellow lightning bolt and red pipe represent the broken pipe, while the three other possible routes water could flow are in green.	68
Figure 4.8 The increments made to the Dowrick and Rhoades MMI because soft soil amplification. The diagram is based on Cousins (2013).	70
Figure 4.9 The relationship between parallel or redundant water pipes and the segmented network. Each different colour represents a different 20m pipe segment.....	71
Figure 5.1 Number of problem and solution coding occurrences in the interviews. Blue refers to solutions and orange problems.	80

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 The different multipliers used to alter damage calculations. Table copied from Cousins (2013, p. 99) with permission from GNS Science.	33
Table 2.2 Repair times required for different repair processes. The table is copied from Cousins (2013, p. 103) and Numbers are from McCarthy (2009). The table is used with permission from GNS Science.	35
Table 4.1 Pipe attributes from each source. Cement pipes include brittle materials such as concrete and asbestos cement. Steel and Iron contain all the different variants of steel and Iron pipes. Plastic pipes include plastic materials such as polyvinyl chloride and polyethylene. Mains refer to pipes larger and equal to 600mm in diameter. Branches are pipes smaller than 600mm. Old couplings are pipes with non-welded joints that were created in 1960.	59
Table 4.2 Soft soil amplification factors.	61

Table 4.3 The difference between the original and new liquefaction and land sliding classes.....	64
Table 4.4 Pipe attribute multipliers. Each of these numbers adds towards the segment multiplier.	71
Table 4.5 Inspection time amplifiers for broken pipes. Each number adds time to repairs based on the slope of the land the pipes lay in and the diameter of the segments. Factors are picked using a random number, somewhere between the maximum and minimum. Steep slopes are slopes from 15 to 20 degrees, moderate slopes from 10-15 degrees, and shallow slopes are those less than 10 degrees. Branches are pipes smaller than 600mm in diameter. Mains are those greater than or equal to 600mm.	74
Table 4.6 Additional repair times based on the commonality of different pipe diameters.	75
Table 4.7 Time required to fix fault crossings with one repair crew. Numbers based on Cousins Model and McCarthy (2009).	76
Table 5.1 Pipe repair times based on the prospect times and raw pipe failures. Factors such as staff logistics, landslides, inspection times or fault ruptures are not included. Pipe redundancy or child numbers are not considered. Base repair times refer to the number of days' the repairs would take with one repair crew working on each break one at a time.	81
Table 5.2 Pipe repair times based on the prospect times and raw pipe failures. Factors such as staff logistics, landslides, inspection times or fault ruptures are not included. Pipe redundancy or child numbers are considered. Base repair times refer to the number of days' repairs would take with one repair crew working on each break one at a time.	81
Table 5.3 Interview summary table. The overview of all perspectives from the interviews around the effect of oddball pipes on overall repair times. The condensing of interview statements follows the procedure in section 4.1.2.4. The black text represents identified problems related to the topic, and the red text solutions.	83
Table 5.4 Total repair times for fixing oddball pipes from each source. Time is averaged across 15 runs of the model.	84
Table 5.5 Interview summary table. The overview of all perspectives from the interviews around the damage inspection process. The condensing of interview statements follows the procedure in section 4.1.2.4. The black text represents identified problems related to the topic and the red text solutions	86
Table 5.6 Total inspection time from each source, calculated from the model.	87
Table 5.7 Interview summary table. The overview of all perspectives from the interviews around staff logistics. The condensing of interview statements follows the procedure	

in section 4.1.2.4. The black text represents identified problems related to the topic and the red text solutions.	88
Table 5.8 Total calculated time from staff logistical impacts. Logistical considerations include the impact of not knowing where shutoff valves are located and from having to redo jobs because of discrepancies.	89
Table 5.9 Interview summary table. The overview of all perspectives from the interviews around electricity and generator requirements. The condensing of interview statements follows the procedure in section 4.1.2.4. The black text represents identified problems related to the topic and the red text solutions.	90
Table 5.10 Interview summary table. The overview of all perspectives from the interviews around the access requirements. The condensing of interview statements follows the procedure in section 4.1.2.4. The black text represents identified problems related to the topic and the red text solutions.	91
Table 5.11 The calculated additional times needed to create alternative routes around the broken pipes buried under landslides.	93
Table 5.12 Calculated fault rupture repair times, in days from each source	93
Table 5.13 Additional factors used in Cousins (2013) & McCarthy (2009). Numbers are in days.	94
Table 5.14 Final repair times, showing the added time, in days, from each factor investigated. Numbers have been rounded to the nearest day.	95
Table 5.15 Factors highlighted in the interviews that were not included in the calculations. The condensing of interview statements follows the procedure in section 4.1.2.4. The black text represents identified problems related to the topic and the red text solutions.	97
Table 6.1 Possible changes to damage inspection times if ground conditions were different. 'All small diameters', assumes that all pipes are 'small' and applies the small pipe considerations to each pipe (see Section 4.3.2.1). 'Altered slope conditions', assumes all pipes lie in sloped areas, where all moderate slopes were treated as steep slopes, and all flat land as moderate gradients. Thus, each broken pipe received some form of additional time.	107

