

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.



UV radiation as a new tool to control microalgal bio-product
yield and quality

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Industrial Biotechnology

at

Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand

Roland Schaap

2018

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Abstract

While ultraviolet (UV) radiation is most commonly known as an abiotic stress, various studies have shown targeted UV exposure increases bioproduct and biomass yields in microalgae. Microalgal cultivation processes face significant limitations in achievable bioproduct and biomass yields and thus improvements offered by targeted UV treatments during large-scale microalgae cultivation provide an opportunity for development of a novel UV treatment tool. Growing demand in microalgae (bio)products indicate there may be a substantial market for such UV treatment tools. No initiatives that explore the development of targeted UV treatments during large-scale microalgae cultivation have been found in the literature or in the industry. In collaboration with industrial partner BioLumic, a company specializing in applying targeted UV treatments in plants as a tool in agriculture, this PhD research examined if specific treatments of UV radiation (i.e. specific in UV waveband, irradiance and exposure duration) can reliably increase carotenoid accumulation in the microalga *Dunaliella salina* and if this new understanding can be feasibly used to develop an industrial system for UV treatment of microalgae.

The PhD research was conducted utilizing *D. salina* after evaluation in four commercially relevant microalgae species: *Arthrospira platensis*, *Chlorella vulgaris*, *Haematococcus pluvialis* and *D. salina*. A UV-A induced carotenoid accumulation response was identified in *D. salina* (strain UTEX 1644). Targeted UV-A treatments reliably induced carotenoid accumulation in this species, and the magnitude of the response depended on the UV-A wavelength, UV irradiance, UV exposure duration, and UV dose. The UV-A carotenoid accumulation response was induced within 6 hours and was largely complete in 96 hours (24 h·d⁻¹ UV exposure). The highest UV-A dose tested induced the highest carotenoid accumulation rates and the highest total carotenoid concentrations after continuous UV exposure (24 h·d⁻¹) at the highest UV-A irradiance tested (30 W·m⁻²). Total carotenoid concentration increases of up to 162% were thus observed after 72 hr of UV-A exposure. UV-A exposure was associated with slowed or stopped cell proliferation as well as increased *D. salina* cell size (up to 15%) and altered intracellular structural organization. Carotenoid accumulation ceased and cell proliferation increased when UV-A exposure was stopped, leading to a subsequent resumption of cell proliferation. UV-A induced carotenoid accumulation was improved 51% during UV-A exposure concomitant with non-UV carotenogenic stimuli (high PAR intensity and salinity) compared to UV-A exposure alone.

The observations from experiments carried out in the thesis served as inputs in a techno-economic analysis (TEA) model developed to assess feasibility of large-scale UV treatment. The TEA model was developed to allow assessment of the most critical areas for improving profitability of large-

scale UV treatment technology, rather than provide absolute economical outputs for revenue and profit. The TEA was based on two reference cultivation systems currently used for commercial *D. salina* cultivation. The TEA analysis considered four locations for the UV treatment system applied along the cultivation process: pre-cultivation stage (i.e. inoculum), main cultivation stage, post-cultivation stage (i.e. immediately prior to harvest) and during fluid transfer between stages. A dedicated post-cultivation UV treatment stage was shown to have a number of advantages over other treatment options.

A model cultivation system for the case-study of *D. salina* was developed assuming an annual β -carotene production of 1,000 kg. The developed TEA model cultivation system and TEA UV treatment system were able to identify a potential increase in profitability generated from the application targeted UV treatment during large-scale *D. salina* cultivation. The maximum increase in profitability was achieved using a broad wavelength UV treatment system (irradiance = $30 \text{ W}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}$, exposure duration = $24 \text{ h}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$, surface area coverage = 100%) applied during an intensive cultivation post-cultivation system. A relatively small contribution of the UV treatment system to CAPEX and OPEX to overall β -carotene production cost (i.e. < 10%) combined with the large increase in β -carotene production ($711 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ and $895 \text{ kg}\cdot\text{y}^{-1}$ for fluorescent UV tube and UV LED systems, respectively) leads to potentially large increases in profitability. The TEA analysis identified the magnitude of the UV-A induced carotenoid accumulation response to be the most important factor to influence the potential profitability. Moreover, the TEA indicated the increases in profitability are strongly influenced by optical efficiency, electrical efficiency and maximum optical power. The profitability estimates from the current TEA indicate that UV treatment during commercial microalgae cultivation has potential and justifies further research.

To our knowledge the exploration of the fundamental UV photobiology in microalgae required to develop UV treatment regimes from discrete UV wavebands, complemented with a commercial microalgal-engineering insight, to produce UV treatment regimes and UV treatment technology for application during large-scale microalgae cultivation, has never been attempted. The multidisciplinary approach employed during this PhD research explored for the first time the development of a UV treatment system from laboratory observations to commercial cultivation. The current research described for the first time the UV response behaviour of *D. salina* (strain UTEX 1644) to varying UV waveband, UV irradiance, UV exposure durations as well as UV response interactions with PAR and salinity. The case-study of UV treatment during large-scale *D. salina* cultivation in this PhD research allowed recommendations to be made to the industrial partner BioLumic on potential areas of focus for continued research and development.

Acknowledgments

First and foremost, I would like to thank my supervisors Professor Benoit Guieysse, Associate Professor Jason Wargent and Professor Julian Heyes for their support and encouragement throughout this project. My time as a PhD student got off to a rocky start but I am thankful to my supervisors for their understanding and efforts during the unplanned end of one PhD project and the seamless transition into another. I am grateful to have had the opportunity to develop myself academically and professionally under their tutelage.

Thank you to BioLumic for offering me the opportunity to work on this project. Thank you to all the staff at BioLumic and in particular Warren Bebb and Hangfeng Ji at BioLumic for all their help. Also, I would like to thank Callaghan Innovation for providing the funding that made this research possible by awarding the R&D Fellowship Grant on not one, but two occasions.

My PhD would not have been the same had I not been surrounded by great people at Massey. Thank you first and foremost to all my colleagues in the office, in the lab and all around Massey with whom I have gotten to share my PhD journey. I'd like to thank everyone for the help they provided during my research in between the endless hours of banter, lunches, activities and beers we got to enjoy together. I'm glad I got to share so many good times with so many great people.

I would like to extend my gratitude to all the staff at the Riddet Institute for making my time at Massey a good one. Special thanks go out to all the staff at the Microlab for all their technical support and for always providing laughter and chatter during the endless hours in the lab. Thank you to Ann-Marie Jackson, Kylie Evans, John Edwards, John Sykes, Julia Good and Nereda Corbett for making the lab such an enjoyable place to be and tolerating me poking my nose in everywhere. Thank you to Glenda for all her work and for letting us stay in our office despite 'the state of it'.

As our three-year trip turned in to four (and counting) there has been a lot that we have had to miss out on back home. I would like to thank my family and friends in the Netherlands for all the support we have received and all the effort everyone has made to stay in frequent contact all these years. I am very grateful I got to share some of our life here in New Zealand with those who were able to make the time and effort to come and visit us on the other side of the world.

And last but definitely not least, I would like to thank my partner Mirjam de Oude who agreed to join me on a journey to the other side of the world, into the unknown, just so I could do my PhD research. She has been my support through thick and thin and I am proud of everything we have achieved here in New Zealand.

Table of contents

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgments	v
List of figures	xi
List of Tables	xvii
Abbreviations and terms	xix
1 Introduction.....	1
2 Literature Review	5
2.1 Introduction.....	6
2.2 Ultraviolet radiation	7
2.2.1 UV radiation and UV damage	7
2.2.2 UV radiation as a tool	8
2.2.3 UV damage	10
2.2.4 Defence against UV in aquatic environments	13
2.2.5 UV perception.....	15
2.3 <i>Dunaliella</i> UV response	21
2.3.1 <i>Dunaliella</i> biotechnology.....	21
2.3.2 <i>Dunaliella</i> carotenogenesis	29
2.3.3 <i>Dunaliella</i> UV responses.....	32
2.4 Conclusions – Using UV as a tool in the culture of <i>D. salina</i>	41
3 Experimental design	43
3.1 Research strategy.....	44
3.2 Research scope	44
3.3 Materials and Methods	49
3.3.1 Microalgae	49
3.3.2 <i>D. salina</i> culture conditions.....	51

3.3.3	Culture vessel	52
3.3.4	Experimental setup	54
3.3.5	Analytical techniques	56
3.4	Data analysis	57
3.4.1	PAR and UV distribution experimental setup	57
3.4.2	Photosynthetic pigment content	58
3.4.3	Cell count	59
3.4.4	Data normalization.....	60
4	Experimental chapter 1 – Characterizing the UV carotenoid accumulation response in <i>D. salina</i>	61
4.1	Materials and methods.....	63
4.2	Results.....	65
4.2.1	Photosynthetic pigment content	65
4.2.2	UV-B inclusion	71
4.2.3	Cell numbers	72
4.2.4	Cell morphology.....	74
4.2.5	Settling	77
4.3	Conclusions	79
5	Experimental chapter 2 – Manipulating the UV-A response	81
5.1	UV-A Irradiance.....	83
5.1.1	Experimental procedure	83
5.1.2	Results.....	84
5.2	Exposure duration.....	89
5.2.1	Response longevity after removal of UV-A signal.....	89
5.2.2	UV exposure duration	93
5.3	Determining the effect of environmental conditions: UV transmission.....	98
5.3.1	Experimental procedure	98

5.3.2	Results	99
5.4	Determining the effect of environmental conditions: Non-UV carotenogenesis stimuli - PAR intensity and salinity	103
5.4.1	Experimental procedure –PAR intensity and salinity	103
5.4.2	Results – Increased PAR and salinity	104
5.5	Conclusions.....	109
6	Rational design of a targeted UV treatment system – technical and economic feasibility .	111
6.1	Potential locations suitable for UV treatment during commercial algae cultivation...	114
6.2	UV-A treatment: Pre-cultivation stage ‘Treat-and-Release’	120
6.2.1	Experimental procedure.....	121
6.2.2	Results - UV pre-treatment during pre-cultivation	122
6.3	UV-A treatment: Cultivation stage ‘Intermittent exposure’	126
6.3.1	Experimental procedure.....	126
6.3.2	Results – Intermittent UV exposure	128
6.4	Large-scale UV treatment system: <i>D. salina</i> case-study techno-economic analysis....	131
6.4.1	<i>D. salina</i> case study – Model cultivation system and TEA inputs and assumptions 132	
6.4.2	<i>D. salina</i> case study – Model UV treatment system.....	136
6.4.3	<i>D. salina</i> case study – TEA Results.....	139
6.4.4	<i>D. salina</i> case study – BioLumic recommendations and limitations	148
6.5	Conclusions.....	151
7	General conclusions and future prospects.....	153
7.1	Conclusions.....	154
7.2	Recommendations for future work.....	157
8	Bibliography.....	161
9	Appendices	177
9.1	Appendix – Industrially relevant cultivated microalgae species and their products ...	178

9.2	Appendix – Proof of Principle Results.....	181
9.2.1	Proof-of-principle - Materials and methods	181
9.2.2	Proof-of-principle - Results	184
9.3	Appendix – Growth experiments	191
9.4	Appendix - UV transmission of culture flasks	193
9.5	Appendix – UV filter.....	195
9.6	Appendix – Algae counting methodology.....	197
9.7	Appendix – UV-B inclusion results	201
9.8	Appendix – UV-A Irradiance.....	203
9.9	Appendix – UV exposure duration	207
9.10	Appendix – UV exposure duration (II).....	211
9.11	Appendix – UV-A transmittance	213
9.12	Appendix – UV-A treatment: Cultivation stage ‘Intermittent exposure’ - Lamp warmup.....	215
9.13	Appendix – Intermittent exposure.....	217
9.14	Appendix – TEA model assumptions.....	219
9.14.1	Background	219
9.14.2	Cultivation system.....	220
9.14.3	Cultivation CAPEX.....	222
9.14.4	Cultivation OPEX	227
9.14.5	CAPEX and OPEX biomass production – Overall	230
9.14.6	UV treatment system.....	231
9.14.7	UV treatment CAPEX.....	232
9.14.8	UV treatment OPEX.....	233
9.14.9	Final CAPEX and OPEX calculations.....	236
9.15	Appendix - TEA UV treatment scenarios.....	239

List of Figures

<i>Figure 2-1 Schematic representation of the solar spectrum</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Figure 2-2 Schematic representation of points of UV damage at a cellular level.</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>Figure 2-3 A selection of photoprotective compounds found in nature.....</i>	<i>14</i>
<i>Figure 2-4 Microalgae photoreceptors potentially involved in sensing and responding to UV radiation..</i>	<i>16</i>
<i>Figure 2-5 Electron micrograph of D. bardawil cell with intermediate carotenoid content.....</i>	<i>22</i>
<i>Figure 2-6 Examples of the two most common cultivation methods employed during commercial Dunaliella cultivation.....</i>	<i>24</i>
<i>Figure 2-7 The biological weighting function for photoinhibition in D. salina.....</i>	<i>35</i>
<i>Figure 2-8 BWF of xanthophyll cycle de-epoxidation state (DEPS), biomass yield and carbon assimilation (C assimilation) in D. tertiolecta.....</i>	<i>40</i>
<i>Figure 3-1 (Left) Schematic representation of the setup as seen from above (Right) Image of experimental setup.....</i>	<i>54</i>
<i>Figure 3-2 Emission spectra of fluorescent UV tubes used during the experiments.</i>	<i>55</i>
<i>Figure 3-3 PAR light intensity distribution across the PAR light source used during experiments.</i>	<i>57</i>
<i>Figure 3-4 Mean total carotenoid concentration (left) and total chlorophyll (a+b) concentration (right) of all PAR-Only controls.....</i>	<i>58</i>
<i>Figure 3-5 Mean cell count of all PAR-Only controls.</i>	<i>59</i>
<i>Figure 4-1 Relative contribution of wavelengths to total waveband irradiance for the three different wavebands described in the text.</i>	<i>64</i>
<i>Figure 4-2 Absorption spectra of whole cell methanol extracts.....</i>	<i>65</i>
<i>Figure 4-3 (Top) Total carotenoid concentration under different UV-A waveband and irradiance exposure regimes (Bottom) Total chlorophyll(a+b) concentration under different UV-A waveband and irradiance exposure regimes.....</i>	<i>66</i>
<i>Figure 4-4 Dose efficiency of UV-A induced carotenoid accumulation under different UV-A waveband and irradiance exposure regimes.....</i>	<i>69</i>

<i>Figure 4-5 Cell proliferation in D. salina under different UV-A waveband and irradiance exposure regimes.....</i>	<i>72</i>
<i>Figure 4-6 Time course of morphological changes observed during UV-A exposure after UV exposure = 0 h (left), 48 h (middle) and 96 h (right).</i>	<i>74</i>
<i>Figure 4-7 Time course of cell area (left) and cell roundness (right) based on digital image analysis.....</i>	<i>75</i>
<i>Figure 4-8 Timecourse of cell settling after UV exposure.</i>	<i>77</i>
<i>Figure 5-1 Total carotenoid concentration changes under different UV-A irradiance exposure regimes.....</i>	<i>84</i>
<i>Figure 5-2 Dose efficiency of UV-A induced carotenoid accumulation under different UV-A irradiance exposure regimes.....</i>	<i>85</i>
<i>Figure 5-3 UV-A induced carotenoid accumulation rates under different UV-A irradiance exposure regimes.....</i>	<i>86</i>
<i>Figure 5-4 Impact of the magnitude of the UV-A induced carotenoid accumulation on cell proliferation under different UV-A irradiance exposure regimes.</i>	<i>87</i>
<i>Figure 5-5 Time course of total carotenoid concentration over the PAR-Only control (%) under different UV-A irradiance exposure regimes.....</i>	<i>88</i>
<i>Figure 5-6 Total carotenoid concentration changes during 48 h UV-A exposure and 83 h PAR-Only,</i>	<i>90</i>
<i>Figure 5-7 Cellular carotenoid content changes during 48 h UV-A exposure and 83 h PAR-Only. .</i>	<i>91</i>
<i>Figure 5-8 Cell numbers changes during 48 h UV-A exposure and 83 h PAR-Only.</i>	<i>91</i>
<i>Figure 5-9 Total carotenoid concentration during semi-continuous (6 h·d⁻¹) and continuous UV exposure regimes.....</i>	<i>94</i>
<i>Figure 5-10 Dose efficiency of UV-A induced carotenoid accumulation under semi-continuous (6 h·d⁻¹) and continuous UV exposure regimes.....</i>	<i>95</i>
<i>Figure 5-11 Carotenoid accumulation rates under semi-continuous (6 h·d⁻¹) and continuous UV exposure regimes.</i>	<i>96</i>
<i>Figure 5-12 UV transmittance as a function of cell density.</i>	<i>99</i>

<i>Figure 5-13 Cellular carotenoid content resulting from UV-A exposure at different cell densities</i>	100
<i>Figure 5-14 UV-A induced carotenoid accumulation during UV-A exposure with and without non-UV carotenogenesis stimuli high PAR and high PAR + high salinity.</i>	104
<i>Figure 5-15 Dose efficiency of UV-A induced carotenoid accumulation during UV-A exposure with and without non-UV carotenogenesis stimuli high PAR and high PAR + high salinity.</i>	105
<i>Figure 5-16 Cell proliferation during UV-A exposure with and without non-UV carotenogenesis stimuli high PAR and high PAR + high salinity.</i>	106
<i>Figure 5-17 Time course of total carotenoid concentration over the ‘Low PAR+Low salinity’ PAR-Only control (%) during UV-A exposure with and without non-UV carotenogenesis stimuli high PAR and high PAR + high salinity.</i>	107
<i>Figure 6-1 Schematic representation of the commercial microalgae cultivation process.</i>	114
<i>Figure 6-2 Time course of cellular carotenoid accumulation during low PAR, low to high PAR and low PAR + UV to high PAR exposure regimes.</i>	122
<i>Figure 6-3 Time course of cellular chlorophyll (a+b) content during low PAR, low to high PAR and low PAR + UV to high PAR exposure regimes.</i>	123
<i>Figure 6-4 Time course of cell proliferation during low PAR, low to high PAR and low PAR + UV to high PAR exposure regimes.</i>	124
<i>Figure 6-5 Schematic representation of open raceway pond partially covered with UV treatment system.</i>	127
<i>Figure 6-6 Total carotenoid concentration changes under intermittent UV-A exposure regimes.</i>	128
<i>Figure 6-7 Dose efficiency of UV-A induced carotenoid accumulation during different intermittent UV regimes.</i>	128
<i>Figure 6-8 Time course of total carotenoid concentration over the PAR-Only control (%) as a function of intermittent UV exposure.</i>	129
<i>Figure 6-9 Schematic representation of the commercial D. salina cultivation.</i>	132
<i>Figure 6-10 Increase in profit resulting from changes in UV LED optical power from the initial TEA assumptions.</i>	142

Figure 6-11 Contribution of individual components to total annualised production cost for β -carotene from <i>D. salina</i>	145
Figure 6-12 Sensitivity analysis of CAPEX and OPEX items influencing the profitability of a UV treatment system.....	146
Figure 9-1 Schematic representation of experimental setup used during experiments carried out with BioLumic LED boards.....	181
Figure 9-2 PAR and UV irradiance of panels PAR-Only, PAR+UV336 ($\lambda_{max} = 336 \text{ nm}$) and PAR+UV353 ($\lambda_{max} = 353 \text{ nm}$).....	182
Figure 9-3 Carotenoid to chlorophyll (a+b) ratio changes during UV-A irradiance.	184
Figure 9-4 Changes in cellular carotenoid content during from continuous ($24 \text{ h}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$) UV-A exposure.....	185
Figure 9-5 Optical density measurements of <i>C. vulgaris</i> under UV325 UV-A exposure.....	186
Figure 9-6 Phycocyanin levels as measured in <i>A. platensis</i> during to UV exposure under UV336 board.....	187
Figure 9-7 Chlorophyll a and carotenoid levels as measured in <i>A. platensis</i> exposed to UV under UV336 board for 6 days.	188
Figure 9-8 Comparison between cells of <i>H. pluvialis</i> exposed to PAR-Only (left) and those exposed to PAR and UV-A.	189
Figure 9-9 Impact of increased PAR ($45 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$), added NaHCO_3 and increased PAR + added NaHCO_3 on <i>D. salina</i> (strain UTEX 1644) cell proliferation.....	191
Figure 9-10 UV transmission of borosilicate flasks used during experiments.....	193
Figure 9-11 Emission spectra of Q-panel UVA-340 fluorescent tube.....	195
Figure 9-12 Example image of workspace and output resulting from automated cell counting using ImageJ.....	197
Figure 9-13 Cellular chlorophyll (a+b) content changes resulting from the inclusion or removal of UV-B in the UV-A spectrum.	201
Figure 9-14 Cellular carotenoid content changes resulting from the inclusion or removal of UV-B in the UV-A spectrum.....	201

Figure 9-15 Cell number changes resulting from the inclusion or removal of UV-B in the UV-A spectrum.....	202
Figure 9-16 Total carotenoid increases under different irradiances in the broad wavelength UV-A regimes.....	203
Figure 9-17 Total carotenoid increases during different irradiances in the short wavelength UV-A ($\lambda = 300-350$ nm) regimes.....	203
Figure 9-18 Time course of total carotenoid concentration over the PAR-Only control (%) as a function of UV irradiance.....	204
Figure 9-19 Total carotenoid accumulation changes during different irradiances in the broad wavelength regimes	205
Figure 9-20 Total carotenoid accumulation changes during different irradiances in the short wavelength UV-A regimes.....	205
Figure 9-21 Impact of the magnitude of the UV-A induced carotenoid accumulation (x-axis) on cell proliferation (y-axis) under different UV-A irradiance exposure regimes.	206
Figure 9-22 Total carotenoid accumulation during semi-continuous and continuous UV-A exposure regimes.....	207
Figure 9-23 Time course of total carotenoid concentration over the PAR-Only control (%) as a function of UV exposure duration.....	208
Figure 9-24 Dose efficiency of UV-A induced carotenoid accumulation during semi-continuous and continuous UV-A exposure.	208
Figure 9-25 Cell proliferation under the influence of 6, 12 or 24 $h \cdot d^{-1}$ UV exposure.	209
Figure 9-26 Cell proliferation during semi-continuous ($6 h \cdot d^{-1}$) and continuous UV exposure regimes.....	211
Figure 9-27 UV transmittance as a function of optical path length for a range of cell densities.	213
Figure 9-28 Fluorescent UV tube warmup as a function of time.....	215
Figure 9-29 Images of cells from PAR-Only (left) and intermittent UV-A exposed '15 min on/15 min off' (right) cultures.....	217
Figure 9-30 Total carotenoid content and cellular chlorophyll(a+b) content during from intermittent UV exposure regimes	218

Figure 9-31 Cell number changes during intermittent UV exposure regimes.218

Figure 9-32 Sensitivity analysis of CAPEX item cost. Bars represent the impact of an increase or decrease in the price of the component on the production cost of biomass.230

Figure 9-33 Sensitivity analysis of OPEX item cost. Bars represent the impact of an increase or decrease in the price of the component on the production cost of biomass.230

Figure 9-34 Total production β -carotene cost ($\text{\$}\cdot\text{year}^{-1}$) and profit ($\text{\$}\cdot\text{kg } \beta\text{-carotene}^{-1}$) under varying UV treatment parameters.239

List of Tables

<i>Table 2-1 General overview of UV damage commonly experienced by photosynthetic organisms.</i>	11
<i>Table 2-2 Overview of microalgal photoreceptors focussed on UV-A absorbance and response.</i>	17
<i>Table 2-3 Summary of the influence of various environmental factors on the biomass and β-carotene content in D. salina.</i>	23
<i>Table 2-4 Key cultivation parameters as found in literature describing commercial D. salina cultivation.</i>	25
<i>Table 3-1 Project constraints.</i>	45
<i>Table 3-2 Analytical parameters measured during experiments.</i>	49
<i>Table 3-3 Chemical composition of Modified Johnson media.</i>	51
<i>Table 3-4 Mean total carotenoid concentration and total chlorophyll (a+b) concentration of all PAR-Only controls.</i>	59
<i>Table 3-5 Mean cell count of all PAR-Only controls.</i>	59
<i>Table 4-1 Summary of wavebands and UV irradiance used during experiments.</i>	63
<i>Table 4-2 Total pigment concentration and cellular pigment content treated with PAR-Only or different UV-A waveband and irradiance exposure regimes.</i>	67
<i>Table 5-1 UV control parameters.</i>	82
<i>Table 5-2 Starting optical densities used during the experiment. Experiments were normally started at an $OD_{687} = 0.100 \pm 0.050$.</i>	99
<i>Table 5-3 Increased PAR and increased PAR+salinity exposure regimes tested.</i>	104
<i>Table 6-1 Suitability of proposed locations for UV treatment during commercial algae culture.</i>	117
<i>Table 6-2 UV treatment regime during ‘Treat-and-release’ experiments.</i>	121
<i>Table 6-3 Total carotenoid content of PAR-Only and UV pre-treated cultures exposed to $1000 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ PAR exposure.</i>	123
<i>Table 6-4 Key cultivation parameters as found in literature describing commercial D. salina cultivation.</i>	133
<i>Table 6-5 Overview of TEA base-case study for extensive and intensive cultivation systems.</i>	133

<i>Table 6-6 Input parameters for TEA UV treatment system.....</i>	<i>136</i>
<i>Table 6-7 TEA results for ‘profit per unit β-carotene (\$·kg β-carotene⁻¹)’ for all scenarios considered.....</i>	<i>143</i>
<i>Table 6-8 Overview of TEA cost estimate for fluorescent UV tube and UV LED based UV treatment systems.....</i>	<i>144</i>
<i>Table 9-1 Industrially relevant cultivated microalgae species and their products</i>	<i>178</i>
<i>Table 9-2 Overview of proof-of-principle experiments.</i>	<i>183</i>
<i>Table 9-3 Comparing automated counting algorithm to manual counting.....</i>	<i>199</i>
<i>Table 9-4 Cell area and roundness with standard deviations as calculated from microscopy images and analysis using ImageJ</i>	<i>217</i>
<i>Table 9-5 Key cultivation parameters as found in literature describing commercial D. salina cultivation</i>	<i>220</i>
<i>Table 9-6 Base-case calculation inputs and outcomes.</i>	<i>222</i>
<i>Table 9-7 CAPEX cost assumptions</i>	<i>224</i>
<i>Table 9-8 OPEX cost assumptions</i>	<i>228</i>
<i>Table 9-9 Input parameters for TEA UV treatment system.....</i>	<i>231</i>
<i>Table 9-10 Inputs CAPEX and OPEX calculations UV treatment system.</i>	<i>234</i>

Abbreviations and terms

BWF	Biological weighing function
CAPEX	Capital expense
Car:Chl	Ratio 'total carotenoid concentration to total chlorophyll (<i>a+b</i>) concentration'
Cellular carotenoid/ chlorophyll (<i>a+b</i>) content	$\mu\text{g pigment}\cdot 10^6 \text{ cells}^{-1}$
CPD	Cyclobutane-type pyrimidine dimer
DW	Dry weight
Extensive cultivation	Commercial microalgae cultivation process using open ponds
Carboxy-H₂DFFDA	5-(and-6)-carboxy-2',7'-difluorodihydrofluorescein diacetate
HRT	Hydraulic retention time (days)
Intensive cultivation	Commercial microalgae cultivation process using raceway ponds
MAA	Mycosporine-like amino acid
OD₆₈₇	Optical density at $\lambda = 687 \text{ nm}$
ΔOD_{687}	Change in OD ₆₈₇ over time
OPEX	Operational expense
PAR	Photosynthetically Active Radiation ($\lambda = 400 - 700 \text{ nm}$)
PAR-Only	Cultures exposed to PAR radiation only during experimental treatments
PFD	Photon Flux Density ($\mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{m}^{-2}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$)
PSII	Photosystem II
ROS	Reactive oxygen species
TEA	Techno-economic analysis
Total carotenoid/ chlorophyll (<i>a+b</i>) concentration	$\mu\text{g pigment}\cdot\text{mL culture}^{-1}$
UV	Ultraviolet radiation ($\lambda = 100 - 400 \text{ nm}$)
UV-A	Part of UV waveband with $\lambda = 315 - 400 \text{ nm}$
UV-B	Part of UV waveband with $\lambda = 280 - 315 \text{ nm}$

UV-C

Part of UV waveband with $\lambda = 100 - 280$ nm

λ_{\max}

Emission peak