

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

The behaviour and health of dairy lambs reared artificially with and without early access to meal

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of Master of Science in Animal Science at Massey
University.

BRADLEY ALLAN NIEPER

2017

Abstract

Many large-scale dairy sheep producers use lamb-rearing systems and provide lambs with milk replacer (MR) *ad libitum* and grain-based meal to accelerate rumen development to support early weaning methods. Lambs are raised inside for three weeks before being relocated to a pasture paddock and weaned off MR, followed by weaning off meal 3-4 weeks later onto a pasture-only diet. The potential to replace the early provision of meal with early access to good quality pasture before weaning has not been evaluated and may have effects on the development of feeding behaviour. Studies on feeding behaviour in lambs have focused on differences between restricted and *ad libitum* quantities of MR, with little research on differences in use of solid feed before weaning. Sixty East Friesian crossbred ewe lambs aged 3-4 days were randomly allocated to one of two treatment groups; MR *ad libitum* with access to meal (M) or MR *ad libitum* without meal (NM). Both groups had access to pasture at the beginning of week 4, and were abruptly weaned off MR at week 6 and gradually weaned off meal (M lambs only) beginning in the middle of week 8 until the end of week 10. It was hypothesised that; (1) while lambs were being reared inside, M lambs would initiate rumination earlier due to their early access to meal. (2) There would be no difference in the milk feeding behaviour between lambs on the two diets. (3) There would be no difference in the health of the lambs and lastly (4), once outside, M lambs would spend more time grazing and subsequently ruminating as a result of their earlier rumen development. All lamb behaviours were visually recorded during weeks 2, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 12 (nine hours/week). Milk feeding behaviour traits were automatically recorded hourly through the automatic MR dispenser while being reared inside for 23 days. Health scores were recorded twice daily and total days health incidences were recorded. Meal access accelerated onset of rumination, while NM lambs spent more time consuming wood shavings during week 3 ($P<0.001$). Once outside, NM lambs spent more time grazing during weeks 5 ($P<0.05$), 7 and 12 ($P<0.001$), and increased rumination time compared to M lambs by week 12 ($P<0.001$). Lambs with meal access on average had a greater number of rewarded meals (meals in which lambs consumed more than 1 ml), with a greater intake of MR per meal than lambs without meal access ($P<0.001$). There were no mortalities of lambs fed either diet. Loose faeces (mild scours), pink eye and navel infections were observed in both M and NM lambs. These findings may allow refinement of lamb-rearing practices by adjustments to the provision of meal to lambs since no adverse behavioural or health effects were observed in lambs without meal access.

Acknowledgements

First, I would like to thank Sam Peterson, Kevin Stafford and Sue McCoard for taking their time to be my supervisors and providing me with their years of knowledge and understanding of animal science for me to complete my masters. Thank you for helping to coordinate and integrate my research objectives into the lamb trial that was done at AgResearch. The skills I have developed and knowledge gathered over the past year will be useful for the rest of my life as I move forward. Thank you to Sue for providing me with an office at AgResearch and creating a friendly environment where I could go and work daily without any distractions.

Thank you to Ajmal Khan for providing his skills and time to help create with my research project and helping edit of my conference proceedings. Thank you to Siva Ganesh for his statistical knowledge and patience as my 'go-to' statistician at AgResearch and enabling me to successfully analyse my data.

I sincerely thank all the scientist and technicians from AgResearch's Animal Nutrition & Physiology Team and the Ulyatt Reid Large Animal Facility Staff for their assistance during the lamb trial as well as accepting me into the team and supporting me over the past year.

This study was funded by the Ministry of Business, Innovation & Employment (MBIE; contract C10X1305), in partnership with Kingsmeade Artisan Cheese, Maui Milk, Spring Sheep Dairy. Without this funding, this study wouldn't have been possible.

Lastly, a huge thank you to my family, partner and friends for motivating and encouraging me over the past year, without you all I don't know how I would have completed my masters or even be here in the first place.

Table of contents

Abstract.....	2
Acknowledgements.....	3
Table of figures and tables.....	6
Chapter one: Introduction	9
The sheep dairy industry in New Zealand.....	9
Artificial rearing of lambs.....	9
The problem.....	11
The gap in knowledge	11
Chapter Two: Literature Review	12
Lamb rearing.....	12
The welfare of dairy lambs artificially reared.....	13
Health of lambs reared artificially	15
Gastrointestinal development and function.....	18
Pre-ruminant to ruminant	18
Ruminal fermentation activity	23
Weaning transitions of lambs artificially reared	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Feeding behaviour.....	24
Introduction.....	24
Milk feeding behaviour and development.....	26
Competition.....	29
Transitioning from liquid to solid feed	29
Solid feed intake and the development of feeding behaviour	30
Diet preferences	34
Rumen capacity and gut fill	35
Pasture vs. concentrates.....	35
Rumination.....	36
Play behaviour and social interactions of lambs	37
Conclusion	40
Chapter Three: The behaviour of artificially reared dairy lambs with or without early access to meal	42
Introduction.....	42
Methods and materials	43
Animals, experimental design and feed management.....	43
Behavioural observations	48
Statistics	50
Results.....	51

Discussion and conclusions	55
Chapter four: Milk-feeding behaviour and health of dairy lambs reared indoors for the first three weeks of life.....	58
Introduction.....	58
Methods and materials	59
Milk feeding behaviour.....	59
Health.....	60
Statistics	62
Results.....	62
Discussion.....	66
Conclusion	69
Chapter five: General discussion.....	71
Introduction.....	71
Key findings.....	71
Limitations	73
Implications and future research	74
References.....	75

Table of figures and tables

Figure 1 The development in stomach compartments of a ruminant as it grows from a pre-ruminant to adult ruminant (FAO, 2011).....	20
Figure 2 Changes in suckling frequency as lambs age from weeks one to week twelve after parturition (Fletcher 1971).....	27
Figure 3 Pens containing lambs with access to meal (M, n=30) and lambs without access to meal (NM) with their collars for milk feeder identification, spray-painted sides for visual identification.	44
Figure 4 The automatic feeders with two entrances. Each entrance had one artificial teat and was for one of the two treatment groups; lambs with meal access (M, n=30) and lambs without meal access (NM, n=30).....	45
Figure 5 Lambs without access to meal (M, n=30) huddling around the milk feeder buckets with 4 artificial teats after fresh milk replacer was supplied at 8 am during the study..	466
Figure 6 Plastic troughs filled with a grain-based meal for lambs with access to meal (M, n=30) while reared inside during the first 3 weeks of the study.....	47
Figure 7 A shelter containing buckets filled with a grain-based meal for lambs with access to meal (M, n=30) during the outside part of the study.	47
Figure 8 Percentage (%) of time lambs in each treatment (n=30/group) were observed to ruminate during the nine-hour observation period (8 am to 5 pm) during weeks 3, 5, 7, 10 and 12, respectively. The two treatments groups were; lambs without meal access (NM) ●— and lambs with meal access (M) ▲---. Transition 1 (T1); moving from inside to outside, transition 2 (T2), abrupt weaning off MR, transition 3 (T3), gradual weaning off meal (M lambs only). Significance; $P < 0.001 = **$	533
Figure 9 Percentage (%) of time lambs in each treatment group (n=30/group) were observed to graze pasture during the nine-hour observation period (8 am to 5 pm) during weeks 5, 7, 10 and 12, respectively. The two treatments groups were; lambs without meal access (NM) ●— and lambs with meal access (M) ▲---. Transition 2 (T2), abrupt weaning off MR, transition 3 (T3), gradual weaning off meal (M lambs only). Significance; $P < 0.001 = **$, $P < 0.05 = *$..	54
Figure 10 Percentage (%) of time lambs in each treatment group (n=30/group) were observed to stand during the nine-hour observation period (8 am to 5 pm) during weeks 2, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 12, respectively. The two treatments groups were; lambs without meal access (NM) ●— and lambs with meal access (M) ▲---. Significance; $P < 0.001 = **$, $P < 0.05 = *$.	

.....	544
Figure 11 Percentage (%) of time lambs in each treatment group (n=30/group) were observed to lie during the nine-hour observation period (8 am to 5 pm) during weeks 2, 3, 5, 7, 10 and 12, respectively. The two treatments groups were; lambs without meal access (NM) ●— and lambs with meal access (M) ▲---. Significance; P<0.001 = **, P<0.05 = *... ..	555
Figure 12 The diet-by-day interaction for the average milk replacer intake per meal each day for lambs with access to meal (M; ▲---, n=30) and without early access to meal (NM; ●—, n=30) over 22 days of the study when lambs were being reared inside. Significance; P<0.001 = **, P<0.05 = *.....	633
Figure 13 The diet-by-day interaction for the average total consumption of milk replacer each day for lambs with access to meal (M; ▲---, n=30) and without early access to meal (NM; ●—, n=30) over 22 days of the study when lambs were being reared inside. Significance; P<0.001 = **, P<0.05 = *.....	634
Figure 14 The diet-by-day interaction for the average number of rewarded meals per day for lambs with access to meal (M; ▲---, n=30) and without early access to meal (NM; ●—, n=30) over 22 days of the study when lambs were being reared inside. Significance; P<0.001 = **, P<0.05 = *.....	644
Figure 15 The number of lambs offered meal (M; ■, n=30) or no meal (NM; □, n=30) that had loose faeces during week one, two and three of the study when lambs were being reared inside.	655
Figure 16 The number of lambs offered meal (M; ■, n=30) or no meal (NM; □, n=30) that had pink eye during week one, two and three of the study when lambs were being reared inside.....	666
Table 1 The effects of herbage species white clover vs. ryegrass on grazing and rumination behaviour of lactating ewes with twin lambs (Penning et al. 1991)..	34
Table 2 A list and description of different play behaviours commonly expressed by lambs for observing and recording purposes. Adapted from Sachs and Harris (1978)..	38
Table 3 Composition of pasture grazed by lambs with meal access (M, n=30) and without meal access (NM, n=30) during the periods 2 (week 4-5) of milk, meal and pasture access (M lambs) or milk feeding and pasture access (NM lambs), period 3 (week 6-10) of meal and pasture access (M lambs) and pasture access (NM lambs), and period 4 (10-12) of pasture feed for both groups.	456

Table 4 Type, description and recording period of behaviours observed during the study. For lambs with access to meal (M, n=30) and without access to meal (NM, n=30). During period 1 (week 0-3) lambs were reared inside with milk and meal for M lambs and milk for NM lambs, periods 2 (week 4-5) of milk, meal and pasture access (M lambs) or milk feeding and pasture access (NM lambs), period 3 (week 6-10) of meal and pasture access (M lambs) and pasture access (NM lambs), and period 4 (10-12) of pasture feed for both groups.....	489
Table 5 The periods and transition phases during the study for lambs with meal (M, n=30) and without meal access (NM, n=30). All lambs were allowed unrestricted access to all feeds during the study.....	509
Table 6 Percentage (%) of time lambs were observed expressing other oral behaviours (suckling or chewing objects other than the automatic-feeder teat), consuming wood shavings, and playing for lambs with meal access (M, n=30) and without meal access (NM, n=30), during weeks 2 and 3, and the significance of differences.....	51
Table 7 The effect of time (week) on the average percentage (%) of time spent consuming meal for lambs with meal access (M, n=30) during weeks 2, 3, 5, and 7 of the study.....	522
Table 8 Percentage (%) of time lambs in each treatment (n=30/group) were observed consuming milk during the nine-hour observation period (8 am to 5 pm) during week 2, 3 and 5 and the p values. The two treatment groups were; lambs with meal access (M) and without meal access(NM)	522
Table 9 Milk-feeding behaviours recorded hourly from an automatic milk feeder.....	61
Table 10 Potential health complications and clinical signs for lambs reared artificially inside for 23 days.....	61
Table 11 The analysis of diet effect and diet-by-day interaction for the milk feeding behaviour traits; average number of rewarded meals, average MR intake per meal and average total MR intake per day, standard errors and significance for lambs with meal access (M, n=30) and lambs without meal access (NM, n=30).....	623