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Abstract

New Zealand is often described as a small open economy with substantial foreign ownership of its assets. The economy is therefore sensitive to exchange rate movements and the sharemarket being the barometer of economic activities should be no exception. Further, exchange rates may also be endogenous to sharemarket fluctuations. This thesis analyses the relationship between the value of the New Zealand dollar vis a vis the currencies of its five largest trading partners and the New Zealand sharemarket performance between 1999 and mid-2005 using the vector autoregression (VAR) and vector error correction model (VECM) approaches. Findings from the research suggest the New Zealand sharemarket is robust to currency fluctuations in both the short- and long-term. The only exception to this is the New Zealand dollar–Australian dollar exchange rate (NZD/AUD), which has a negative short term effect on the sharemarket. The NZD/AUD is also the only exchange rate to depreciate following a positive shock to the sharemarket.
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Chapter One:

INTRODUCTION

"International companies now know that what happens to the currencies in which they tot up the costs, revenues and assets, affects their results as much as their success in making and selling products."

- The Economist, April 4, 1987
1.1: Introduction

Economies are more interconnected today than ever before: exporters, importers and multinationals are continuously expanding operations into new and existing foreign markets. Further, technological advances are reducing barriers to international capital flows for shareholders and financial intermediaries.

Following such expansion necessitates foreign exchange turnover to increase, which may result in uncertain company and share price performances.

Costs, revenues and competitive environments for importers, exporters and multinationals are prone to exchange rates. Their values also influence overseas investment decisions and affects repayments on overseas borrowing. An appreciating domestic currency enhances investment returns to foreign investors, but dampens returns to domestic investment abroad. The notion of exchange rate pass-through also affects consumers directly and these all have flow-on effects throughout an economy. Hence, much of the economy’s performance is a function of exchange rates.

Because few elements of business practice are untouched by exchange rate fluctuations, the subsequent company management of exchange rate exposure can significantly affect profitability, which is the main driver of company share price.
The sharemarket (SM) is an aggregate weighted index of overall corporate performance. Therefore its value is sensitive to exchange rate fluctuations. This causal inference is often identified as the Goods Market approach. The Portfolio Balance approach is another theory, suggesting the existence of a feedback mechanism from the SM to exchange rates.

Empirical results are scattered between these two theories, which are both likely to characterise an economy. It is of value however, to understand the intricacies of such relationships, and this is the researcher’s intention. This research unravels answers to the following question:

**What relationships are there between the New Zealand Sharemarket performance and currency fluctuations?**

Employed methodologies include cointegration and vector error correction estimation, which provide insight into short- and long-run relationships. Further complementing this, are block Granger causality, weak exogeneity tests, and generalised impulse response functions.

Exchange rates included in the research are those comprising New Zealand’s trade weighted index (TWI). These include the NZD/USD, NZD/AUD, NZD/JPY, NZD/GBP, and NZD/EUR (refer to the list of abbreviations, p.7). Specific SM indexes to be analysed include the NZSX10, MidCap30, NZSX50 and NZSXALL. Ninety day bank bill rates will be included into the analysis, for the arguments put forward in Section 3.3.2.
1.2: Thesis Outline

Following this chapter, Chapter Two describes theories on how companies can be exposed, and how the SM is integrated with exchange rates. The historical performance of both the New Zealand SM and New Zealand dollar (NZD) are also within this chapter. Following Chapter Two is a literature review contributing relevant empirical background and more theory. Chapter Three also justifies the methodology employed in this thesis, which is outlined in Chapter Four. Results are within Chapter Five, and the conclusion in Chapter Six. Before Chapter Two begins, the value of researching this area is justified.

1.3: Value of this Research

To examine links between New Zealand’s currency and its SM is of interest to several groups. These include domestic and foreign investors—current and potential, as well as economists, investment analysts, general managers of New Zealand (NZ), members of the public sector and fellow researchers.

Results will give an estimate of how significant foreign currency fluctuations are to NZ’s SM, and how significant fluctuations in the SM are to the NZD. To estimate the intricacies of how the SM and Foreign exchange markets have been integrated in the past, will uncover information regarding the exchange rate forces upon the SM performance in the future.

It was reported in early 2000 that 55 per cent of NZ’s SM was foreign-owned (Newman and Briggs, 2000, p.62). By 2005, this proportion was
approximately 48 percent (Stuff, 2006b). Foreign investment is a function of both share price movements and exchange rate fluctuations.\(^1\) Figures 1.1 and 1.2 below illustrate the favourable and unfavourable scenarios, from the perspective of foreign shareholders invested in New Zealand.

**Figure 1.1: Favourable Scenario**  
**Figure 1.2: Unfavourable Scenario**

Foreign investment returns in NZ are thus catalysed when both the NZD and share prices are low, and characterised by a pro-cyclical relationship: share value increases if the NZD is appreciating, but drops while depreciating. The unfavourable scenario inverts this relationship, such that share prices are negatively associated with a strongly performing NZD. Hence, the unfavourable scenario is where the exchange rate works against any gains made by foreign investment.

Results of this thesis will give insight towards which foreign investment sources should reap above-normal yields, and which currency sources earn relatively unattractive returns. Results shall therefore provide information for international portfolio investors, of investment risk in the NZ SM.

\(^1\) For simplicity, dividend yields are ignored in this thesis.
If it is found that the NZD/USD and NZ SM increase together, it means US-sourced investment could share a similar characteristic to Figure 1.1. Results could therefore promote further investment by NZ companies. In the case where the NZ SM shares a minimal relationship with a particular currency such as the NZD/JPY, it indicates investment in the NZ SM to be robust, which could eliminate some degree of currency risk for Japanese-based investment portfolios.

Currency exposure is among the many risks facing share price performance. Nonetheless, it is a risk that investors desire to hedge in their international portfolios. Results from this analysis will provide information for foreign investors, in deciding whether to incorporate NZ-based SM investments into their portfolio mix.

The Reserve Bank of New Zealand Act 1989 makes the primary responsibility for the Reserve Bank Governor to control price stability by altering the official cash rate (OCR). In January 2006, the most recent update of the Policy Targets Agreement was signed on September 17 2002, stating that “in pursuing its price stability objective, the Bank shall implement monetary policy in a sustainable, consistent and transparent manner and shall seek to avoid unnecessary instability in output, interest rates and the exchange rate.”

---

2 These include credit and interest rate risk, taxation, and inflation, among others. For a good summary of each of these, along with these risks to investment, refer to Watson, C. (2004, p.29).

Exchange rate forecasts have predicted the NZD to depreciate significantly in 2006. In January that year, the NZD/USD remained approximately US$0.68. At the time, this exchange rate was forecast fall by around 15 per cent (to US$0.58) by December 2006.\(^4\)

Because the SM is an indicator of an economy’s performance,\(^5\) it is important for the Reserve Bank to fully understand the dynamics between exchange rates, interest rates and SM performance. Tightening monetary policy in response to inflationary pressure will have more support for instance, if the NZD is currently depreciating, and findings suggest such depreciation to spur the economy via its SM (since interest rates generally appreciate a currency). On the other hand, if it is known the falling NZD dampens SM performance, there may be a new justification not to intervene, since inflationary pressures may naturally ease. This research contributes information to such matters.

For the arguments in Section 3.3.2, ninety-day bank bill rates are included in the analysis. These are a proxy for NZ interest rates overall. The Reserve Bank will therefore have more understanding on the effect interest rates have on the SM and exchange rates.

---


\(^5\) Empirical evidence linking the performance of the economy positively with the SM is vast. See for instance Goenewold (2004) finding this evidence for Australia, Fama (1990), Chen et al., (1986), Schwert (1990), find this evidence for the US. and Cheung and Ng (1997) provide evidence for various countries.
Supporting the comments of Chen et al. (2004), most research has focused this topic on large economies/sharemarkets. This thesis provides insight towards SM and exchange rate interactions of small open economies.