

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

QUANTITATIVE INHERITANCE OF LEAF SHAPE
CHARACTERS IN TOBACCO
(Nicotiana tabacum L.)

A Thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of

Master of Agricultural Science
in
Plant Science

at
Massey University
Palmerston North
New Zealand

Musa bin Yaacob
1982

ABSTRACT

An F_1 half diallel cross experiment with 8 parents (i.e. $\frac{1}{2} p (p + 1)$ combinations) was used to study the quantitative inheritance of leaf shape characters in tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L.). The effect of stalk positions on the inheritance of these characters was also included. The study was carried out under a glasshouse conditions. The parental lines used in the crosses represent a random sample of leaf shape characters available in New Zealand germplasm collection.

Except for wing area (2nd leaf), phenotypic analysis showed that there was a high genetic variability for other characters.

The genetic analysis of the diallel indicated that inter-locus interaction (epistasis) was of little importance for most of the characters studied. Additive genetic variance was the main component of the total genetic variance. Heritability estimates ranged from moderate (approximately 40 %) to moderately high (approximately 70 %) for most characters. Near similar values were obtained from both the narrow and broad-sense heritability estimates. Very little hybrid vigour was observed for both leaf area and leaf dry weight.

Both the phenotypic and genotypic correlation coefficients between selected pairs of characters were in good agreement with each other in terms of direction and levels of significance. The estimates were generally high and highly significant.

The components of genetic variance (i.e. additive and dominance genetic variance), heritability and correlation coefficient estimates were generally larger in the middle as compared to the top or bottom leaves.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisor, Dr. I. L. Gordon of the Agronomy Department for his guidance and assistance during the course of the work and the preparation of this thesis. His guidance has been invaluable in all aspects of the work.

Thanks are also due to postgraduate students and the staff of the Agronomy Department, Computer Centre, Library and Photographic Unit who assisted in many ways at various stages of this study.

Special thanks are due to Mr. R.W. James of the Tobacco Section, D.S.I.R. Riwaka Research Station for supplying the tobacco seeds used in the study.

I am particularly grateful to M.A.R.D.I. (Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute) for study leave and financial assistance.

The financial assistance given by D.S.I.R. Genotype-Environment Interaction Grant is also gratefully acknowledged.

Finally, I would like to thank Mr. K.Y. Ilio for his considerable time, effort, and patience in typing the manuscript and my wife Zaharah for her encouragement and understanding while I completed this thesis.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES AND PLATE	xii
LIST OF APPENDICES	xiii
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE	4
2.1 LEAF SHAPE CHARACTERS IN TOBACCO	4
2.1.1 Variations of leaf shape character	4
2.1.2 Main laminar shape measurements	6
2.2 LEAF SHAPE CHARACTERS AND THE VALUE OF THE CROP	7
2.3 QUALITATIVE ANALYSIS OF LEAF SHAPE CHARACTERS IN TOBACCO	11
2.4 QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF LEAF SHAPE CHARACTERS IN TOBACCO	14
2.4.1 The concept of quantitative genetics in plant breeding	14
2.4.2 Analysis of variance	15
2.4.3 Estimation of genetical variance	17
2.4.4 Diallel analysis: Theory and applications	19
2.4.5 Diallel analysis of leaf shape characters in tobacco	25
2.4.6 Heritability	26
2.4.6.1 Method of estimation	26
2.4.6.2 Heritability and practical plant breeding	28
2.4.6.3 Heritability studies in tobacco	28

2.4.7 Heterosis: possible use of F_1 hybrids in tobacco breeding programme	30
2.4.8 Correlation studies	31
3. MATERIALS AND METHODS	35
3.1 PARENTAL LINES	35
3.2 MATING DESIGN	35
3.3 EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN AND MANAGEMENT OF PLANT MATERIALS	42
3.4 CHARACTERS MEASURED	43
3.4.1 Leaf definition and management	43
3.4.2 Measurement of leaf shape and other characters	44
3.5 ANALYSIS OF THE DATA	52
3.5.1 Analysis of variance	53
3.5.2 Diallel analysis	55
3.5.2.1 Tests of adequacy of additive-dominance model	55
3.5.2.2 Second degree statistics	56
3.5.2.3 Estimates of genetical components	57
3.5.2.4 Graphical analysis	59
3.5.2.5 Direction of dominance	60
3.5.3 Heritability estimates	61
3.5.4 Estimates of hybrid vigour	61
3.5.5 Correlation coefficients	61
3.5.6 Symbols used to indicate levels of significance	62

4.	RESULTS AND ASSOCIATED DISCUSSION	63
4.1	PHENOTYPIC ANALYSIS	63
4.2	DIALLEL ANALYSIS	63
4.2.1	Leaf ratio	67
4.2.2	Differential index	69
4.2.3	Raper's index I	74
4.2.4	Raper's index II	76
4.2.5	Tip score	80
4.2.6	Petiole length	85
4.2.7	Wing width	87
4.2.8	Wing area	93
4.2.9	Auricle area	98
4.2.10	Vein angle	104
4.2.11	Leaf dry weight	106
4.2.12	Leaf area	113
4.3	HERITABILITY ESTIMATES	115
4.4	HETEROSIS	119
4.5	CORRELATION STUDIES	119
5.	GENERAL DISCUSSION	125
5.1	PHENOTYPIC ANALYSIS	125
5.2	DIALLEL ANALYSIS	125
5.2.1	Validity of assumptions	125
5.2.2	Variance components estimates	128
5.2.3	Further information on genetical system operating for each character	131
5.2.4	Graphical analysis	132
5.2.5	Direction of dominance	134
5.3	HERITABILITY ESTIMATES	136
5.4	HETEROSIS	138

	Page
5.5 CORRELATION STUDIES	139
5.6 PLANT BREEDING ASPECTS	140
5.6.1 Diallel analysis	140
5.6.2 Tobacco breeding programme	142
6. CONCLUSIONS	144
BIBLIOGRAPHY	146
APPENDICES	158

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	Page
2.1 Phenotypic (r_P), genotypic (r_G) and additive genetic (r_A) correlation coefficients between pairs of characters as reported by some workers	33
3.1 The parental numbers, genotypes and the strata used to sample the parental lines	36
3.2 Expectation of mean squares for random effect in single environment	54
3.3 Diallel table for the four families obtained by mating two true-breeding lines differing in one gene <u>A-a</u>	58
4.1 Genotypic variance component estimates, its standard error, level of significances and ratio to errors	64 - 65
4.2 Grand mean for each leaf position	66
4.3 Leaf ratio: (a) Mean squares of the analyses of variance of W_r and V_r , (b) (W_r , V_r) regression statistics, (c) ($(W_r + V_r), \bar{P}$) correlation coefficients and (d) genetical components estimates	68
4.4 Differential index: (a) Mean squares of the analyses of variance of W_r and V_r , (b) (W_r , V_r) regression statistics, (c) ($(W_r + V_r), \bar{P}$) correlation coefficients, and (d) genetical components estimates	71
4.5 Raper's Index I: (a) Mean squares of the analyses of variance of W_r and V_r , (b) (W_r , V_r) regression statistics, (c) ($(W_r + V_r), \bar{P}$) correlation coefficients and (d) genetical components estimates	75
4.6 Raper's Index II: (a) Mean squares of the analyses of variance of W_r and V_r , (b) (W_r , V_r) regression statistics, (c) ($(W_r + V_r), \bar{P}$) correlation coefficients, and (d) genetical components estimates	78
4.7 Tip Score: (a) Mean squares of the analyses of variance of W_r and V_r , (b) (W_r , V_r) regression statistics, (c) ($(W_r + V_r), \bar{P}$) correlation coefficients and (d) genetical components estimates	82
4.8 Petiole length: (a) Mean squares of the analyses of variance of W_r and V_r , (b) (W_r , V_r) regression statistics (c) ($(W_r + V_r), \bar{P}$) correlation coefficients, and (d) genetical components estimates	86

LIST OF TABLES (Cont.)

TABLE	Page
4.9.1 Wing width (original data): (a) Mean squares of the analyses of variance of W_r and V_r , (b) (W_r, V_r) regression statistics, (c) $((W_r + V_r), \bar{P})$ correlation coefficients, and (d) genetical components estimates	89
4.9.2 Wing width (reanalysed data): (a) Mean squares of the analyses of variance of W_r and V_r , (b) (W_r, V_r) regression statistics, (c) $((W_r + V_r), \bar{P})$ correlation coefficients, and (d) genetical components estimates	92
4.10 Wing area: (a) Mean squares of the analyses of variance of W_r and V_r , (b) (W_r, V_r) regression statistics, (c) $((W_r + V_r), \bar{P})$ correlation coefficients, and (d) genetical components estimates	95
4.11.1 Auricle area (original data): (a) Mean squares of the analyses of variance of W_r and V_r , (b) (W_r, V_r) regression statistics, (c) $((W_r + V_r), \bar{P})$ correlation coefficients, and (d) genetical components estimates	99
4.11.2 Auricle area (reanalysed data): (a) Mean squares of the analyses of variance of W_r and V_r , (b) (W_r, V_r) regression statistics, (c) $((W_r + V_r), \bar{P})$ correlation coefficients, and (d) genetical components estimates	102
4.12.1 Vein angle (original data): (a) Mean squares of the analyses of variance of W_r and V_r , (b) (W_r, V_r) regression statistics, (c) $((W_r + V_r), \bar{P})$ correlation coefficients, and (d) genetical components estimates	105
4.12.2 Vein angle (reanalysed data): (a) Mean squares of the analyses of variance of W_r and V_r , (b) (W_r, V_r) regression statistics, (c) $((W_r + V_r), \bar{P})$ correlation coefficients, and (d) genetical components estimates	108
4.13 Leaf dry weight: (a) Mean squares of the analyses of variance of W_r and V_r , (b) (W_r, V_r) regression statistics, (c) $((W_r + V_r), \bar{P})$ correlation coefficients, and (d) genetical components estimates	110
4.14 Leaf area: (a) Mean squares of the analyses of variance of W_r and V_r , (b) (W_r, V_r) regression statistics, (c) $((W_r + V_r), \bar{P})$ correlation coefficients, and (d) genetical components estimates	114

LIST OF TABLES (Cont.)

TABLE		Page
4.15	Heritability estimates for both 8 x 8 original data and reanalysed data (in brackets)	117 - 118
4.16	Heterosis - Per centage deviation of F ₁ hybrids from midparental values for leaf area and leaf dry weight	120 - 121
4.17	Genotypic correlation coefficients (r_G) between selected pairs of characters	123
4.18	Phenotypic correlation coefficients (r_P) between selected pairs of characters	124

LIST OF FIGURES AND PLATE

FIGURE	Page	
3.1	Illustration of leaf characters measured to obtain leaf ratio and Raper's indices	45
3.2	Illustration of measurements taken to obtain differential index and associated tip and petiole lengths	46
3.3	Leaf tip scores	50
3.4	Illustration of other leaf shape characters measured	51
4.1	(Wr, Vr) graphs for leaf ratio	70
4.2	(Wr, Vr) graphs for differential index	73
4.3	(Wr, Vr) graphs for Raper's index I	77
4.4	(Wr, Vr) graphs for Raper's index II	81
4.5	(Wr, Vr) graphs for tip score	84
4.6	(Wr, Vr) graphs for petiole length	88
4.7.1	(Wr, Vr) graphs for wing width (original data)	91
4.7.2	(Wr, Vr) graph for wing width (reanalysed data)	94
4.8	(Wr, Vr) graphs for wing area	97
4.9.1	(Wr, Vr) graphs for auricle area (original data)	101
4.9.2	(Wr, Vr) graph for auricle area (reanalysed data)	103
4.10.1	(Wr, Vr) graphs for vein angle (original data)	107
4.10.2	(Wr, Vr) graph for vein angle (reanalysed data)	109
4.11	(Wr, Vr) graphs for leaf dry weight	112
4.12	(Wr, Vr) graphs for leaf area	116
 PLATE		
3.1	Leaf characteristics of the parents used in the crosses	37 - 41

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX		Page
1	Derivation of the variance component estimates for half diallel model, from Mather and Jink's full diallel model (Mather and Jinks, 1971)	158 - 160
2	The listing of the computer programme written to estimate the V_r (array variance) and W_r (array parent-offspring covariance)	161 - 163
3	The listing of the computer programme written to estimate the second degree statistics, genetical components, ratios of genetical components and heritability estimates	164 - 165
4	Analyses of variance for both the 8 x 8 original data and 7 x 7 reanalysed data	166 - 180
5	Genotypic mean for 8 x 8 original data	181 - 192
6	Estimates of the V_r (array variance), W_r (array parent-offspring covariance), $(W_r + V_r)$ and $(W_r - V_r)$ for both the original 8 x 8 data and reanalysed data	193 - 207
7	Estimates of second degree statistics for both the original 8 x 8 data and reanalysed data	208 - 209

1. INTRODUCTION

The genus Nicotiana, which has about sixty four, recognised species is a member of family Solanaceae (Smith, 1968, 1974). Of these species, tobacco, Nicotiana tabacum L. is the only species which is commercially grown and has never been found wild (Goodspeed, 1954). Tobacco is far the most important in modern agriculture and international trade as compared to other Nicotiana species.

Tobacco is the most widely grown non-food crop where only its leaves are of commercial value (Akehurst, 1981). As a result, much attention has been given to leaf characters. The total leaf area per plant is always high even though the leaf size varies from one cultivar to another. The individual leaf area depends on its position on the stalk of the plant. The plant is generally pyramidal in shape with the biggest leaf just above the ground (Lapham, 1975). As quoted by Garner (1946), a favourably grown tobacco leaf of many cultivars in America ranged from 93 to 139 cm² in area. A plant which has about 18 leaves therefore would produce a total area of about 1.7 m² to 2.5 m². Went (1957) has shown that the top : root ratio of tobacco is higher than any other cultivated species.

The economic yield and quality of the crop are determined by: the number and size of harvestible leaves, thickness and uniformity of the lamina, various other leaf shape characters and several biochemical factors. Leaf shape is important since it will determine the ratio

of lamina-to-midrib and lamina-to-vein. A measure of leaf area is also essential since it would be useful as an index of growth for the intermediate stages in agronomical and physiological studies (Hunt, 1978). An estimate of leaf area per hectare will aid in the correct application of fungicides and insecticides. Other characters such as the presence of auricles, petioles and characteristics of the veins are also important traditionally. Some of these characters have been used by some breeders as criteria of evaluation (Jones and Collins, 1959) and to characterise cultivars (Van der Veen, 1957; Van der Veen and Bink, 1961; Humphrey *et al.*, 1965; Gordon, 1967, 1969; Eugechi, 1971, 1972).

Leaf shape ranges from very broad to very narrow, at both the lamina and the petiole wing. Leaves may have petioles or be sessile; auricles may be present or absent; lamina may be flat or bubbled; and vein-angles may be acute or obtuse.

Qualitative genetics of these leaf shape characters have been studied widely (Van der Veen, 1957; Van der Veen and Bink, 1961; Humphrey *et al.*, 1965; Gordon, 1969; Eugechi, 1971, 1972). It has been shown that three major pairs of alleles (Pdpd, Ptpt and Brbr) affect leaf width, wing width, petiole length and size of auricle. The dominant genes Pd and Pt cause a longer petiole, narrower wing, narrower leaf blade and a more acute angle of veination as compared to the recessive pt and pd genes. Brbr, on the otherhand, affects wing width to a relatively large extent but was found to have insignificant effect on the other characters. The BrBr genotypes have petioles

(no wing) and brbr were sessiled (winged). With these three major pairs of alleles in combination, a range of leaf shape phenotypes can be obtained. The expression of these phenotypes was also affected by their stalk positions.

Of these characters, only leaf width and length have been studied quantitatively. It has been shown that additive genetic variance was the main variance component for these characters. However, the quantitative inheritance of other leaf shape characters such as wing width, petiole length, auricle area and characteristics of the veins has not been studied. This is of particular interest with respect to the range of genotypes and environments found in New Zealand.

This project was carried out to study the quantitative inheritance of these leaf shape characters using cultivars potentially of use in New Zealand. The effect of leaf positions, that is 'lug', 'cutter', 'leaf', and 'tip' on the quantitative inheritance of these characters was also examined.