

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Mechanisms of complex programmed patterns of
anthocyanin pigment formation in *Antirrhinum majus***

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Plant Molecular Biology

at Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

Nilangani Nadyeshda Pathirana

2007

Abstract

Antirrhinum majus is a model plant used in flower pigmentation studies. Anthocyanin pigment production is mainly controlled by regulation of transcription of the anthocyanin biosynthetic genes. Two types of transcription factors, MYB and bHLH, together with a WD40 type co-regulator have been shown to regulate the transcription of the anthocyanin biosynthetic genes. In *antirrhinum*, in addition to the wild type *Roseal* phenotype, in which pigmentation occurs throughout the inner and outer epidermis of the petal, other complex pigmentation patterns are observed, such as anthocyanins being produced only in the outer (abaxial) epidermis of both lobes and upper tube region of the dorsal petals (*rosea^{dorsea}* phenotype). The major objective of this research project was to understand the genetic regulatory system leading to the development of the two different floral pigmentation patterns in *antirrhinum* as a means to understanding differential regulation of gene expression in similar cells.

Promoter deletion analysis coupled with linker scanning mutagenesis identified the -162 bp to -120 bp region of the *Roseal* promoter as important for the regulation of the *Roseal* gene. Four putative transcription factor-binding sites within this region: a W-box, a pyrimidine box, a DOF and a WRKY transcription factor binding site were shown to be important for *Roseal* gene regulation.

Promoter deletion analysis carried out on the *roseal^{dorsea}* promoter showed that the proximal 187 bp deletion was, surprisingly, not responsible for the *rosea^{dorsea}* phenotype. Cloning and characterisation of the *Roseal* promoter sequence from various *Antirrhinum* species and accessions verified this finding. The *roseal^{dorsea}* promoter analysis also indicated that -151 bp of the promoter was sufficient for its expression as well as for the maintenance of petal specific expression. The *roseal^{dorsea}* allele was also shown to encode a functional protein.

In situ hybridisation analysis showed that *Roseal* transcripts were present in the inner and outer epidermis of the petal tissue of both wild type and *rosea^{dorsea}* petal tissue.

Vascular expression of the *Roseal* mRNA is indicative of regulation of this gene through sugar or hormonal cues. However, *roseal*^{dorsea} transcript levels (in *rosea*^{dorsea}) were much lower than *Roseal* (wild type). Lowered expression of *roseal*^{dorsea} transcripts may be responsible for the overall weak pigmentation in the *rosea*^{dorsea} flowers. Analysis of the intron sequences of the two alleles revealed that many sequence changes were present in the intron 2 of *roseal*^{dorsea}. These changes may lead to instability or the lower expression of the *roseal*^{dorsea} mRNA and may be responsible for the *rosea*^{dorsea} phenotype. Another possibility is that a fourth *Myb* gene may be responsible for the *rosea*^{dorsea} phenotype.

The role of the *Deficiens* gene in direct regulation of *Roseal* was analysed by RNAi and bioinformatics-based methods. The presence of potential MADS box binding sites in the intron 2 region of the *Roseal* allele indicated that *Roseal* might be directly regulated by *Deficiens*. Initial experiments using transient assays did not support this suggestion. However, silencing of *Deficiens* in wild type antirrhinum buds led to the loss of anthocyanin pigments in the petals. Further analysis of the RNAi tissue using SEM revealed that the proper development of conical shaped epidermal cells was also affected. The RNAi tissue also developed chlorophyll pigments underscoring the plasticity of petal identity. This work demonstrated that proper expression of *Deficiens* is required throughout flowering for anthocyanin pigment production as well as maintenance of petal cell identity.

The current investigation revealed that the higher order regulation of the *Roseal* alleles in antirrhinum petals is much more complex than initially postulated.

**Dedicated to the loving memory
of Chumpa aunty and Podisudu mami**

Acknowledgments

I would like to express my gratitude and heartfelt thanks to my supervisors Professor Paula Jameson, Dr Kathy Schwinn, Dr Kevin Davies and Associate Professor Michael McManus. Thank you very much for your support, guidance, encouragement and faith in me. I am also grateful for the support and advice from Professor Cathie Martin.

I appreciate all the help Steve Arathoon, Jan Manson, Margaret Young and Andrew Mullen have given me in the lab. Special thanks to Erin O'Donoghue, Dave Brummell, Don Hunter, Huaibi Zhang, Lyn Watson, Simon Deroles, Jocelyn Eason, David Lewis and Marian McKenzie for helping with numerous queries, and Ian King and Julie Ryan for looking after my many tobacco plants. I am grateful to Ross Lill and Julian Heyes for letting me work at Crop & Food Research. Thanks to Tatyana, Lia, Anya, Nick, Lei, Margaret B., Margaret T., Sheryl, Phil, Carol, Maryanne, Darren, Trev and everyone in the molecular biology lab for your friendship.

Thathi and ammi, none of this would have been possible without your love, guidance, support and encouragement. You are the best parents in the whole world and I would like to thank you so much for giving me the best of everything, I am very grateful. Thank you John, for your love, support and encouragement. Thanks also to my little sis for her love and support and her faith in me. I'm also grateful to my grandparents, uncles and aunties for their best wishes in my endeavours. I would like to dedicate this thesis to the loving memory of Chumpa aunty and Podisudu mami, two people who would have loved to call me Dr. Nadyeshda.

I also acknowledge the Marsden Fund, the Institute of Molecular BioSciences, The Royal Society of New Zealand and the New Zealand Society of Plant Biologists for contributions made towards research and conference travels. I am grateful for the Bright Future Scholarship from the Tertiary Education Commission for providing me with tuition fees, general living expenses and conference monies.

Table of Contents

Title	I
Abstract	II
Acknowledgments	V
Table of Contents	VI
List of Figures	XI
List of Tables	XIV
Abbreviations	XVI
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Plant pigments	3
1.2.1 Anthocyanin pigments	3
1.2.2 The anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway	3
1.3 Genetic regulation of the anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway in flowers	7
1.3.1 Eukaryotic gene transcription	8
1.3.2 Regulation of anthocyanin biosynthetic genes in model species	10
1.4 Regulation of anthocyanin production in <i>Antirrhinum majus</i>	15
1.4.1 <i>Antirrhinum</i> as a model plant for pigmentation patterning studies	20
1.4.2 <i>Antirrhinum majus</i> flower architecture	21
1.4.3 Pigmentation patterns conferred by the <i>Roseal</i> alleles	21
1.5 Regulation of the transcription factors controlling the anthocyanin biosynthetic genes	22
1.6 Role of <i>Deficiens</i> in <i>Antirrhinum majus</i> flower development	24
1.7 Aims, objectives and hypothesis	30
Chapter 2: Materials and Methods	31

2.1 Plant material	31
2.2 Nucleic acid manipulation	31
2.2.1 Plasmid DNA preparation	31
2.2.1.1 Purification of plasmid DNA using alkaline lysis method	31
2.2.1.2 Purification of plasmid DNA using commercial kits	31
2.2.2 Isolation of genomic DNA	32
2.2.2.1 Cetyl Trimethyl Ammonium Bromide (CTAB)-based DNA extraction protocol	32
2.2.2.2 Genomic DNA preparation using the Nucleon™ Phytopure™ Genomic DNA Extraction Kit	33
2.2.3 Restriction enzyme digestion of DNA	34
2.2.4 Agarose gel electrophoresis for determination of DNA fragment sizes	34
2.2.5 Insert preparation	35
2.2.6 Vector preparation	35
2.2.6.1 End filling	35
2.2.6.2 Dephosphorylation	36
2.2.7 Determination of DNA/RNA concentration	36
2.2.7.1 Spectrophotometric method	36
2.2.7.2 Agarose gel concentration standards	36
2.2.8 Ligation	37
2.2.9 DNA purification	37
2.2.9.1 Precipitation using ethanol/isopropanol	37
2.2.9.2 Purification of DNA using commercial kits	38
2.3 Transformation	38
2.3.1 Preparation of competent <i>E. coli</i> cells	38
2.3.2 Transformation of <i>E. coli</i> by the heat shock method	38
2.3.3 Identification of positive transformants	39
2.3.4 Transformation of <i>A. tumefaciens</i> by electroporation	40
2.4 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	40
2.5 Sequencing	41

2.6 Sequence analysis software	41
2.7 <i>A. tumefaciens</i> mediated tobacco transformation protocol	41
2.8 Biolistic mediated transformation of <i>A. majus</i> petal tissue	43
2.9 Histochemical localisation of GUS	44
2.10 Light microscopy	45

Chapter 3: Characterisation of the *Roseal* promoter region and analysis of *Roseal* expression **46**

3.1 Introduction **46**

3.2 Materials and Methods **47**

3.2.1 Promoter deletion construct generation 47

3.2.2 Southern blot analysis 49

3.2.4 Bioinformatic analysis 53

3.2.5 Cloning of the 3' UTR of *Roseal* into pBlueScript vector 53

3.2.6 DIG labelled probe synthesis 54

3.2.7 Tissue fixation and sectioning 54

3.2.8 *In situ* RNA hybridisation 55

3.3 Results **60**

3.3.1 Promoter deletion analysis 60

3.3.2 Linker scanning mutagenesis of the -189 bp to -123 bp region of the *Roseal* promoter 63

3.3.3 Bioinformatic analysis 71

3.4 Discussion **79**

Chapter 4: Cloning and characterisation of the *roseal*^{*dorsea*} gene **85**

4.1 Introduction **85**

4.2.1 Generation of *roseal*^{*dorsea*} promoter deletion constructs 91

4.2.2 Cloning of the *Roseal* promoter region from different *Antirrhinum* species 91

4.2.3 Cloning of the <i>roseal</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>} genomic sequence	92
4.2.4 Construction of the <i>roseal</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>} expression vector	92
4.2.5 Construction of pPN 371 reporter vector	93
4.2.6 <i>In situ</i> hybridisation	93
4.2.7 Bioinformatics	93
4.3 Results	93
4.3.1 <i>roseal</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>} promoter deletion analysis	93
4.3.2 Cloning of the <i>Roseal</i> promoter region from different <i>Antirrhinum</i> species and accessions	97
4.3.3 Cloning, characterising and testing the functionality of the <i>roseal</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>} allele	104
4.3.4 Analysis by promoter replacement of the importance to gene expression of the intron regions in <i>roseal</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>}	105
4.3.5 <i>In situ</i> hybridisation	105
4.3.6 Bioinformatic analysis	106
4.4 Discussion	106
Chapter 5: Analysis of the regulation of <i>Roseal</i> gene expression by the <i>Deficiens</i> gene	114
5.1 Introduction	114
5.2 Materials and Methods	115
5.2.1 Bioinformatics	115
5.2.2 Deletion of the intron 2 region of <i>Roseal</i> and expression vector construction	115
5.2.3 Mutation of a site similar to a MADS box binding site in the <i>Roseal</i> promoter and expression vector construction	117
5.2.4 <i>Deficiens</i> RNAi vector construction	117
5.2.4.1 <i>Deficiens</i> inverted NOS construct	117
5.2.4.2 <i>Deficiens</i> hairpin construct	118
5.2.5 Transient transformation of antirrhinum buds with the RNAi constructs	118

5.2.6 Scanning electron microscopy	119
5.3 Results	120
5.3.1 Bioinformatics	120
5.3.2 Intron 2 deletion analysis	120
5.3.3 Mutation analysis of a site similar to a MADS box binding site in the <i>Roseal</i> promoter	120
5.3.4 RNAi	121
5.4 Discussion	123
Chapter 6: General discussion and conclusions	136
Appendix I: Buffers and solutions	145
Appendix II: Plasmid maps	148
Appendix III: Primer sequences	158
Appendix IV: Cloning strategy for generating <i>Roseal</i> and <i>roseal</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>} promoter deletion constructs	160
Appendix V: GUS phenotype and transgene copy number data for the <i>Roseal</i> promoter deletion constructs analysed in tobacco	164
Appendix VI: GUS phenotype data for the <i>Roseal</i> linker scanning mutant constructs analysed in tobacco	165
Appendix VII: GUS phenotype data for the <i>Roseal</i> finer linker scanning mutant constructs analysed in tobacco	166
Appendix VIII: GUS phenotype data for the <i>roseal</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>} promoter deletion constructs analysed in tobacco	167
Appendix IX: Sequence alignment between the promoter regions from the different <i>Antirrhinum</i> species and accessions, for <i>Roseal</i> and <i>roseal</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>}	168
Appendix X: Sequence alignment between the <i>Roseal</i> and <i>roseal</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>} alleles	175
Bibliography	181

List of Figures

Figure 1.1	Examples of pigmentation patterns in antirrhinum (adapted with permission from Dr Kathy Schwinn).	2
Figure 1.2	The anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway [adapted from Schwinn and Davies, (2004); Grotewold, 2006)].	5
Figure 1.3	Current model for the initiation of transcription of anthocyanin biosynthetic genes.	9
Figure 1.4	MYB-bHLH protein interactions in the different spatial domains of the antirrhinum flower (Schwinn <i>et al.</i> , 2006).	17
Figure 1.5	Floral phenotypes of <i>deficiens</i> mutants.	28
Figure 3.1	The initial three regions of the <i>Roseal</i> promoter mutated by PCR linker scanning mutagenesis.	51
Figure 3.2	The eight regions of the <i>Roseal</i> promoter mutated by finer PCR linker scanning mutagenesis.	52
Figure 3.3	Transient GUS assay using particle bombardment transformation of <i>rosea</i> ^{dorsea} antirrhinum (line #112) petal tissue with the <i>Roseal</i> promoter deletion constructs listed in Table 3.1.	61
Figure 3.4	Typical GUS expression pattern in petals of stably transformed tobacco harbouring the <i>Roseal</i> promoter deletion constructs.	65
Figure 3.5	Temporal GUS expression pattern over petal development in flowers of stably transformed tobacco plants harbouring the pPN 237 (1.2 kb <i>Roseal</i> promoter:GUS:OCS) construct.	67
Figure 3.6	Southern analysis showing transgene copy number in ten different transgenic lines containing the pPN 242 (0.493 bp <i>Roseal</i> promoter fragment:GUS:OCS) construct.	68

Figure 3.7	Examples of GUS expression pattern in flower tissue of stably transformed tobacco harbouring the linker scanning mutant constructs ALS1, ALS2 and ALS3.	73
Figure 3.8	Putative <i>cis</i> -elements predicted to be present in the region between 162-123 bp of the <i>Roseal</i> promoter.	76
Figure 3.9	Analysis of <i>Roseal</i> gene expression in stage 3, wild type antirrhinum flowers (line #522) by <i>in situ</i> hybridisation.	77
Figure 4.1	Sequence alignments between the <i>Roseal</i> and the <i>roseal</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>} promoter regions.	87
Figure 4.2	Floral phenotypes of different species within the <i>Antirrhinum</i> genus.	89
Figure 4.3	Transient assay using particle bombardment transformation of <i>rosea</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>} antirrhinum petal (line #112) tissue using the <i>roseal</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>} promoter deletion constructs.	95
Figure 4.4	GUS expression in petal tips of stably transformed tobacco harbouring a transgene containing the GUS reporter gene driven by 151 bp of the <i>roseal</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>} promoter.	99
Figure 4.5	Graphical representation of the sequence alignment of the <i>Roseal</i> promoter region from wild type (line #522), <i>rosea</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>} (line #112) and other <i>Antirrhinum</i> species and accessions.	102
Figure 4.6	<i>In situ</i> hybridisation on stage 3 (10-15 mm) wild type (line #522) and <i>rosea</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>} (line #112) antirrhinum petal tissue.	108
Figure 4.7	PLACE database analysis of the proximal 151 bp region of the <i>roseal</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>} promoter.	110
Figure 5.1	Sequence of the intron 2 region of the <i>Roseal</i> allele and the location of the two putative MADS box protein binding sites.	116
Figure 5.2	Development of antirrhinum flower buds (line #522) shot with gold-only preparation cultured <i>in vitro</i> over a 14-day period.	125

Figure 5.3	Inhibition of <i>Deficiens</i> activity in developing antirrhinum flower buds (line #522) using transient RNAi.	126
Figure 5.4	Phenotype of antirrhinum flower buds (line #522) silenced for <i>CHS</i> and <i>Deficiens</i> genes using transient RNAi.	128
Figure 5.5	SEM analysis of <i>Deficiens</i> RNAi petal tissue of antirrhinum (line #522).	130
Figure 5.6	Light microscopy and SEM analysis of <i>deficiens</i> ^{chlorantha} antirrhinum petal tissue.	132

List of Tables

Table 1.1	Transcription factors involved in the regulation of the anthocyanin biosynthetic pathway in model species.	16
Table 2.1	Antibiotic selection used for the screening of positive transformants containing different base vectors.	39
Table 3.1	Details of <i>Roseal</i> promoter deletion constructs used for transient and stable assays.	48
Table 3.2	Details of the constructs used for the initial PCR linker scanning mutagenesis of the <i>Roseal</i> promoter.	50
Table 3.3	Details of the constructs used for the finer PCR linker scanning mutagenesis of the <i>Roseal</i> promoter.	53
Table 3.4	<i>In situ</i> hybridisation protocol for day one.	58
Table 3.5	<i>In situ</i> hybridisation protocol for day two.	59
Table 3.6	GUS expression results of transient assays carried out on <i>rosea</i> ^{dorsea} antirrhinum petal tissue using the <i>Roseal</i> promoter deletion constructs.	63
Table 3.7	GUS expression results of stable transgenic tobacco plants harbouring <i>Roseal</i> promoter deletion constructs ^a .	64
Table 3.8	GUS expression results of stable transgenic tobacco plants harbouring the initial linker scanning mutagenesis constructs.	72
Table 3.9	GUS expression results of stable transgenic tobacco plants harbouring the finer linker scanning mutagenesis constructs.	75
Table 4.1	Details of <i>roseal</i> ^{dorsea} promoter deletion constructs used for transient and stable assays ^a .	91
Table 4.2	GUS expression results of transient assays carried out on <i>rosea</i> ^{dorsea} antirrhinum petal (line #112) tissue using the <i>roseal</i> ^{dorsea} promoter deletion constructs.	97
Table 4.3	GUS expression results of stable transgenic tobacco plants harbouring <i>roseal</i> ^{dorsea} promoter deletion constructs.	98

Table 4.4	Results of the PCR amplification of the <i>Roseal</i> promoter region from different <i>Antirrhinum</i> species and accessions.	101
Table 4.5	Summary of sequence differences, insertions and deletions present between the <i>Roseal</i> and <i>roseal</i> ^{<i>dorsea</i>} alleles.	105

Abbreviations

IAA	TFIIA
2-ME	2-mercaptoethanol
3-AT	3-amino 1,2,4-triazole
ANS	Anthocyanidin synthase
BAP	Benzyl Amino Purine
Ω	Omega
bp	base pair
CHI	Chalcone isomerase
CHS	Chalcone synthase
CTAB	Cetyl Trimethyl Ammonium Bromide
Ci	Curie
DNA	DeoxyriboNucleic Acid
dNTP	deoxy Nucleotide TriPhosphate
DMF	Dimethylformamide
DMSO	Dimethyl sulfoxide
DFR	Dihydroflavonol 4-reductase
DTT	Dithiothreitol
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetra-acetate
F3H	Flavanone 3-β-hydroxylase
F3'H	Flavonoid 3'-hydroxylase
F3'5'H	Flavonoid 3'5'-hydroxylase
g	gram
GFP	Green Fluorescent Protein
GST	Glutathione-S-transferase
GMO	Genetically Modified Organism
Hepes	4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid
h	hour
IAA	Isoamylalcohol
IPTG	Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside

kPa	kilo Pascal
L	litre
LB	L-Broth
LS	Linsmaier and Skoog
MES	2-(<i>N</i> -morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid
min	minutes
ms	milliseconds
N ₂	nitrogen
mg	milligram
mL	millilitre
MS	Murshige and Skoog
MOPS	3-(<i>N</i> -morpholino)propanesulfonic acid
NAA	Naphthaleneacetic acid
OCS	Octopine synthase
PBS	Phosphate Buffered Saline
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
PIPES	Piperazine-NN'-bis-2-ethanesulphonic acid
PMSF	Phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride
Poly A	Polyadenylic Acid
PVP	Polyvinylpyrrolidone
rpm	revolutions per minute
3RT	UDP-rhamnose: anthocyanidin-3- <i>O</i> -glucoside rhamnosyltransferase
sec	seconds
SD	Synthetic Dropout
SDS	Sodium Dodecyl Sulphate
SEM	Sucrose-EDTA-Morpholinepropanesulfonic acid
SOT	Solenoid Opening Time
TAE	Tris-Acetate-EDTA
TAFs	TBP associated factors
TB	Terrific Broth
TBP	TATA-box binding protein

TBE	Tris-Borate-EDTA
T _m	melting temperature
μ	micro
UFGT	UDP-Glc:flavanoid 3- <i>O</i> -glucosyltransferase
UV	Ultra Violet
w/v	weight by volume
v/v	volume by volume
V	Volume
X-Gal	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-beta-D-galactopyranoside
X-GlcA	5-Bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl β-D-glucuronide cyclohexylamine salt