

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

AN EVALUATION OF OSMOTIC PRE-SOWING SEED TREATMENTS
AS A POTENTIAL METHOD FOR IMPROVING THE GERMINATION
PERFORMANCE OF *PINUS RADIATA* D. DON SEEDS

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment
of the requirements for the degree of
Master of Agricultural Science in Seed Technology
at Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand

KUSMINTARDJO

1992

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

For me writing up a thesis manuscript is not an easy task. I would like to express my gratitude on this occasion to my supervisor, Dr Peter Coolbear, of Seed Technology Centre, Massey University for his tremendous guidance, involvement and fertile discussion and constructive criticisms during this course of work, and also his patience in correcting this manuscript and shaping up this thesis. Without him probably this thesis would not become a reality.

I am also deeply grateful to Professor Murray J. Hill, the Director of Seed Technology Centre, for his encouragement to pursue this study, his cheerful support and help in many aspects as needed. I am particularly happy with the friendly and warm reception by all staff of Seed Technology Centre and that has made my stay in New Zealand, as a foreigner, more comfortable and exciting.

I wish to thank Mr Tony Firth of the New Zealand Forest Research Institute, Rotorua for his involvement in this study and for providing material of *Pinus radiata* seeds.

My deep appreciation also goes to the New Zealand Government, in particular for providing a postgraduate scholarship; the Government of the Republic of Indonesia, the Director of Tree Seed Technology Centre, Bogor for giving me the nomination and permission to study at Seed Technology Centre, Massey University, Palmerston North, New Zealand.

To Mrs Kathy Hamilton, I am indebted for your admirably patient and excellent typing. To all friends of mine, both in the Seed Technology Centre and other Departments, I should thank you for good friendship: there was a time to meet, and now is a time to leave.

Finally, I am especially grateful to my wife, Pramudhita Andrianty, and my sons, Aditya and Agastya, for their love and sacrifices while waiting for me in my home country while I am studying in New Zealand. It was only by telephone calls that I could hear your cheerful noises.

ABSTRACT

KUSMINTARDJO, 1992. **An Evaluation of Osmotic Pre-sowing Treatment as a Potential Method for Improving the Germination Performance of *Pinus radiata* D. Don Seeds**

Supervisor: Dr Peter Coolbear

This study was conducted to characterise optimum conditions for osmotic pre-sowing treatment as an effective means of improving the germination and/or emergence performance of *Pinus radiata* from different seed grades.

The results indicated that osmotic treatment could reduce the germination and/or emergence times of *Pinus radiata* seeds by 40% of controls, if treated seeds were not subsequently dried back to original moisture contents. Osmotic treatment did not alter both the uniformity and final percentage germination. Rapid germination at this rate was only obtained if seeds were treated in optimum treatment conditions, i.e. with a -1.0 MPa solution for 10 d at 20°C. The correct choice of water potential and treatment duration is crucial in determining the level of treatment benefits. At high water potential, seeds were lost due to pre-germination during treatment, while at low water potential treatment benefits were less. Treatment with salt solutions (KNO₃ + KH₂PO₄) was better than with polyethylene glycol, an effect which seemed to be a result of differing seed moisture content attained during treatment as no pre-germination occurred during PEG treatment while the moisture content of PEG-treated seeds attained following drying was less than that attained by salt-treated seeds.

Since seeds are kept in the imbibed state during treatment, the prevention of microbial proliferation is of prime importance. The use of Thiram at 1% seed weight and applied before osmotic treatment gave good protection against microbial attacks without losing treatment benefits in terms of rapid germination. Application of Thiram beyond its optimum rate should be avoided as it can delay seed germination.

Treated seed should not be dried back to low moisture contents rapidly, even at ambient temperatures (22-27°C, 50-6-% RH) as drying for 4 d in these conditions resulted in a complete loss of treatment benefit. However, slow drying of osmotically treated seeds at high relative humidity (20°C, 80-85% RH) prevented the adverse effects of desiccation on germination performance. Seed dried back in this way had 30% less in median germination times relative to untreated controls.

The response of osmotic treatment applied to different seed grades gave consistent results. Rapid germination due to osmotic treatment occurred in all seed grades at similar rates and was reflected in a significant increase in seedling dry weight. As the increases in seedling dry weight were more evident in larger or heavier seeds than in smaller or lighter seeds, it is suggested that osmotic treatment seems to influence relative growth at this stage of seedling development.

Osmotic treatment reduced the storability of seed, although applications of this treatment after storage restored the level of vigour of aged seeds which just begun to decline. Although total dehydrogenase activity in osmotically treated seeds was higher than in untreated controls, there was no difference in oxygen uptake between treated and untreated controls prior to radicle emergence. It was suggested that factors other than energy production are perhaps responsible for ensuring rapid germination of treated seeds.

The commercial implications of this study are potentially good. Osmotic treatment in tree seeds is no longer restricted to using only polyethylene glycol. Improved seedling growth as a result of early emergence can help low vigour/moderate vigour seedlings become more vigorous and meet standard specifications required for outplanting.

CONTENTS

	PAGE
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ii
ABSTRACT	iv
CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Seed Quality and Vigour	6
2.1.1 General concepts	6
2.1.1.1 Vigour concepts: ISTA vs AOSA	7
2.1.1.2 Vigour variations: The effect of seed size	8
2.1.2 Physiological Basis of Poor Vigour and Deterioration	12
2.1.2.1 General causes	12
2.1.2.2 Mechanism of seed deterioration during ageing	13
2.1.2.2.1 Enzyme changes	16
2.1.2.2.2 Respiration changes	16
2.1.2.2.3 Changes in nucleic acids	18
2.1.2.2.4 Hormonal changes	19

2.1.3	Current Problems in <i>P. radiata</i> Forest Establishment in New Zealand	20
2.1.3.1	The role of large sized seeds	20
2.1.3.2	Causes of seed size variation	22
2.2	Pre-sowing Seed Treatment for the Improvement of Seed Performance	23
2.2.1	The Need for Pre-Sowing Treatment	23
2.2.2	Methods of Pre-sowing Hydration Treatment	25
2.2.3	Mechanisms of Action of Osmotic Treatment	30
2.2.3.1	Water relation in osmotic treatment	30
2.2.3.2	Physiological and biochemical changes in osmotic treatment	32
2.2.3.2.1	ATP changes	32
2.2.3.2.2	Changes in DNA	33
2.2.3.2.3	RNA changes	33
2.2.3.2.4	Changes in protein and enzymes	34
2.2.3.2.5	Hormonal changes	35
2.2.3.2.6	Respiration changes	35
2.2.4	Osmotic Treatment of Forest Tree Seeds	35
2.3	Conclusion	39
III.	MATERIALS AND METHODS	41
3.1	Osmotic Pre-sowing Treatments	41
3.2	Drying Seed After Treatment	43
3.2.1	Surface drying	43

3.2.2	Drying back to low moisture content	43
3.2.3	Slow drying	43
3.3	General Testing Procedures	44
3.3.1	Germination and seedling growth measurements	44
3.3.2	Moisture content determination	45
3.3.3	Data collection and statistical analysis	45
3.4	Organisation of Experiments	46
3.4.1	Experiment 1. Preliminary Study on the Effects of Salt Treatment and Subsequent Drying on Grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> Seeds	47
3.4.2	Experiment 2. The Effects of Salt Treatment Duration and Fungicide Applications on the Germination Performance of Grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> Seeds	47
3.4.3	Experiment 3. Comparison of Polyethylene glycol and Salt Treatments and Interactions with Drying Back	48
3.4.4	Experiment 4. The Effect of Slow Drying After Salt Treatment	48
3.4.5	Experiment 5. Germination and Seedling Emergence from Different Grades of Salt Treated <i>Pinus radiata</i> Seeds	49
3.4.6	Experiment 6. Evaluation of Osmotic Treatment for Improving the Germination Performance of Artificially Aged Seeds	50
3.4.7	Experiment 7. Respiration Studies in the Early Germination of Osmotically Treated Seeds	51
3.4.8	Experiment 8. Measurements of Dehydrogenase Activity in Osmotically Treated Seeds	53

IV. OPTIMISING THE PRESOWING TREATMENT	55
4.1 Experiment 1. Preliminary Study on the Effects of Osmotic Treatment and Drying Back Treated <i>Pinus radiata</i> Seeds	55
4.1.1 Pre-germination and Moisture Content of Seeds During Osmotic Treatment	55
4.1.2 Final Percentage Radicle Emergence and Normal Seedling Production	57
4.1.3 Median Times (T_{50}) and Spread Times (T_{90} - T_{10}) of Germination	57
4.2 Experiment 2. The Effects of Salt Treatment Durations and Fungicide Applications on the Germination Performance of Grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> Seeds	61
4.2.1 Pre-germination and Moisture Content	61
4.2.2 Final Percentage of Radicle Emergence and Normal Seedling Production	63
4.2.3 Median Times (T_{50}) of Germination	64
4.2.4 Mean Spread of Times (T_{90} - T_{10}) of Germination	67
4.3 Experiment 3. Comparison of Polyethylene glycol and Salt Treatments and Their Interactions with Drying	68
4.3.1 Seed Moisture Contents Following Drying	69
4.3.2 The Final Number of Germination	70
4.3.3 Median Times (T_{50}) of Germination	70
4.3.4 Mean Spread Times (T_{90} - T_{10}) of Germination	71
4.4 Experiment 4. Effect of Slow Drying After Salt Treatment	71
4.4.1 Moisture Losses During Drying	72

4.4.2	Final Percentage Radicle Emergence and Normal Seedling	72
4.4.3	Median Times (T_{50}) and Spread Times ($T_{90} - T_{10}$) of Germination	76
4.5	Discussion	78
4.5.1	The Optimum Osmotic Treatment	78
4.5.2	The Effects of Fungicide Application	82
4.5.3	Effect of Different Osmotica	83
4.5.4	The Effects of Drying After Treatment	84
V.	APPLICATIONS OF SEED TREATMENT	87
5.1	Experiment 5. Germination and Seedling Emergence from Different	
	Grades of Salt Treated <i>Pinus radiata</i> Seeds	87
5.1.1	Laboratory Experiment	88
5.1.1.1	Final percentage radicle emergence and normal seedling production	88
5.1.1.2	Median time (T_{50}) of germination	88
5.1.1.3	Mean spread of times ($T_{90}-T_{10}$) of germination	88
5.1.2	Glasshouse Experiment	93
5.1.2.1	Seedling emergence	93
5.1.2.2	Median times (T_{50}) and spread times ($T_{90}-T_{10}$) of seedling emergence	93
5.1.2.3	Mean seedling dry weight	93
5.2	Experiment 6. Effect of Salt Treatment Applied Before or After Ageing	96
5.2.1	Moisture Content After Ageing	96

5.2.2	Final Percentage Radicle Emergence and Normal Seedling Production	96
5.2.3	Median Times (T_{50}) of Germination	99
5.2.4	Uniformity (T_{90} - T_{10}) of Germination	103
5.2.5	Mean Seedling Dry Weight	103
5.3	Discussion	109
5.3.1	Osmotic Treatment in Different Grades of Seed	109
5.3.2	Effect of Osmotic Treatment and Ageing	111
VI.	PHYSIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF SEED TREATMENT	115
6.1	Experiment 7. Respiration of Osmotically Treated <i>P. radiata</i> Seeds	116
6.1.1	Oxygen Uptake	116
6.1.2	Respiratory Quotients (RQ)	120
6.2	Experiment 8. Changes in Dehydrogenase Activity of Osmotically Treated Seeds	121
6.3	Discussion	121
6.3.1	Respiration	121
6.3.2	Dehydrogenase Activity	125

VII. GENERAL DISCUSSION	128
7.1 Best Conditions for Osmotic Treatment and Problems with Drying Back After Treatment	128
7.2 Application of Osmotic Treatment in Different Seed Grades and Aged Seeds	130
7.2.1 Effect on Different Seed Grades	130
7.2.2 Effects on Aged Seeds	132
7.3 Physiological Changes Associated with Osmotic Treatment	133
7.4 Limitations of Study and Scope of Further Work	135
VIII. CONCLUSION	138
8.1 Conclusion	138
8.2 Commercial Applications	139
REFERENCES	141
APPENDICES	164

LIST OF TABLES

	PAGE
Table 2.1	The effects of size or weight on laboratory and/or field performance of different tree seeds 10
Table 2.2	Seedling specifications for radiata pine (FRI, 1988) 21
Table 2.3	The effectiveness of osmotic treatment in different tree seeds 36
Table 3.1	The diameter and weight of seed from different grades 41
Table 4.1.1	The percentage pre-germinants of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds during treatment in mixed salt solution at different water potentials and treatment durations 56
Table 4.1.2	The moisture contents (% fresh weight basis) of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after salt treatment at different water potentials and treatment durations followed by drying back at ambient temperatures 56
Table 4.2.1	The number of pre-germinants of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds during treatment at -1.0 MPa for different durations 63
Table 4.2.2	The moisture contents of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after different durations of salt treatment at -1.0 MPa followed by drying at ambient temperature (percentage fresh weight basis) 63

Table 4.2.3	Mean spread of times (T_{90} - T_{10}) of radicle emergence of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds which were treated at -1.0 MPa of mixed $KNO_3 + KH_2PO_4$ solution (1:1 w/w) for different treatment durations	67
Table 4.2.4	Mean spread of times (T_{90} - T_{10}) of radicle emergence and normal seedling production of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after salt treatment and fungicide applications	68
Table 4.2.5	Mean spread of times (T_{90} - T_{10}) of radicle emergence and normal seedling production of grade IV salt-treated <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after drying at ambient temperatures	68
Table 4.3.1	The moisture content of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after 10 d treatment at -1.0 MPa of different osmotica following by drying back	69
Table 4.3.2	Median times (T_{50}) of radicle emergence of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after osmotic treatment with different osmotica and drying back after treatment	70
Table 4.3.3	Median times (T_{50}) of normal seedling (days) of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after osmotic treatment with different osmotica and drying back after treatment	71
Table 4.4.1	Mean spread of times (T_{90} - T_{10}) of radicle emergence of salt treated grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after drying to different moisture contents	78

Table 6.1.1	Cumulative percentage radicle emergence of osmotically treated and untreated control grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds at different period of imbibition	119
Table 6.1.2	Respiratory quotients of osmotically treated and untreated control grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds during early imbibition	120

LIST OF FIGURES

	PAGE
Figure 4.1.1 The final percentage radicle emergence of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds which were osmotically treated for either 1 week or 2 weeks and dried back at ambient temperatures	58
Figure 4.1.2 The final percentage normal seedlings of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after salt treatment at different water potentials and treatment durations for either 1 week or 2 weeks	59
Figure 4.1.3 The median times (T_{50}) of radicle emergence of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after salt treatment at different water potentials and treatment durations for either 1 week or 2 weeks	60
Figure 4.1.4 The effects of drying back on the median times (T_{50}) radicle emergence of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after salt treatment at different water potentials	62
Figure 4.2.1 The effects of fungicide Thiram applications on the incidence of infected seedlings from grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after salt treatment	65
Figure 4.2.2 The effects of different durations of salt treatment and drying after treatment on the median times (T_{50}) of radicle emergence of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds	66

Figure 4.4.1	The moisture losses of salt-treated grade I <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds during drying at 20° C and 80-85% relative humidity (RH) and subsequent drying over silica gel or at ambient temperatures	73
Figure 4.4.2	The final percentage radicle emergence of salt-treated grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after drying back to different seed moisture contents	74
Figure 4.4.3	Red coloured formazan developed from megagametophyte and embryo tissues of secondary dormant salt-treated <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds which were dried to < 5% moisture content	75
Figure 4.4.4	The relationship between moisture contents after drying and the median times (T_{50}) of radicle emergence of grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds which were salt treated at -1.0 MPa at 20° C for 10 d	77
Figure 5.1.1A	Percentage radicle emergence from different grades (I-V) of <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds which were previously treated with a -1.0 MPa solution of mixed $KNO_3 + KH_2PO_4$ for 10 d at 20° C and dried back slowly to around 8% seed moisture content	89
Figure 5.1.1B	The effects of seed grade on mean final percentage normal seedling of <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds	90

- Figure 5.1.2** Changes in median times (T_{50}) of radicle emergence from different grade (I-V) of *Pinus radiata* seeds due to osmotic presowing treatment with a -1.0 MPa solution of mixed $\text{KNO}_3 + \text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ (1:1 w/w) for 10 d at 20°C and dried back slowly to around 8% seed moisture content 91
- Figure 5.1.3** Changes in mean spread times ($T_{90} - T_{10}$) of radicle emergence and normal seedling production from different grades (I-V) of *Pinus radiata* seeds due to osmotic presowing treatment with -1.0 MPa solution of mixed $\text{KNO}_3 + \text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ for 10d at 20°C and dried back slowly to around 8% seed moisture content 92
- Figure 5.1.4** Changes in median times (T_{50}) of seedling emergence in the glasshouse from different grades of *Pinus radiata* seeds due to osmotic pre-sowing treatment with a -1.0 MPa solution of mixed $\text{KNO}_3 + \text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ for 10 d at 20°C and dried back slowly to around 8% seed moisture content 94
- Figure 5.1.5** The relationship between mean dry weight of seedlings grown 45 d in the glasshouse and mean 1000 seed weight of *Pinus radiata* seeds which were treated or untreated at -1.0 MPa of mixed $\text{KNO}_3 + \text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ solutions for 10 d at 20°C and dried back slowly to around 8% seed moisture content 95

- Figure 5.2.1** Percentage radicle emergence of grade V *Pinus radiata* seeds which were osmotically treated before ageing (TBA) or after ageing (TAA) compared to untreated aged controls (AO) 97
- Figure 5.2.2** Percentage normal seedlings produced by grade V *Pinus radiata* seeds which were osmotically treated before ageing (TBA) or after ageing (TAA) compared to untreated aged controls (AO) 98
- Figure 5.2.3** Fungal infections during 10 d osmotic treatment of grade V *Pinus radiata* seeds which were previously aged at 45°C and 20% seed moisture content for different periods of time 100
- Figure 5.2.4** Median times (T_{50}) of radicle emergence of grade V *Pinus radiata* seeds which were osmotically treated before ageing (TBA) or after ageing (TAA) compared to untreated aged controls (AO) 102
- Figure 5.2.5** Relationships between the percentage of radicle emergence (A) and normal seedling production (B) and their median times (T_{50}) of germination of grade V *Pinus radiata* seeds 104
- Figure 5.2.6** Mean spread times ($T_{90}-T_{10}$) of radicle emergence of grade V *Pinus radiata* seeds which were aged at 45°C and 20% seed moisture content for different periods of time 105

Figure 5.2.7	Mean spread times (T_{90} - T_{10}) of radicle emergence of grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds which were osmotically treated before ageing (TBA) or after ageing (TAA), compared to untreated aged controls (AO)	106
Figure 5.2.8	Mean dry weight of seedlings from grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds grown for up to 12 d after T_{50} of normal seedling at 20°C in the laboratory	107
Figure 5.2.9	Relationships between either percentage normal seedlings (A) or median times (T_{50}) of radicle emergence (B) of grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds and their mean dry weight of seedlings grown at 20°C in the laboratory	108
Figure 6.1.1A	Osmotic treatment-induced changes in oxygen uptake during early germination of grade V intact <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds	117
Figure 6.1.1B	Osmotic treatment-induced changes in oxygen uptake during early germination of grade V decoated <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds	118
Figure 6.2.1	Dehydrogenase activity from imbibed embryos of osmotically treated and untreated control grade III <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds which were imbibed in 2,3,5 Triphenyl Tetrazolium Chloride (TTC) for different periods of time	122

Figure 6.2.2 The initial rate of formazan production from embryos of osmotically treated and untreated control grade III *Pinus radiata* seeds which were imbibed in 2,3,5 Tripheyly Tetrazolium Chloride (TTC) for different periods of time 123

LIST OF APPENDICES

	Page
Appendix I. Worked example of calculating the desired water potential of mixed KNO_3 + KH_2PO_4	164
Appendix II. Worked example of calculation to produce the desired water potential using polyethylene glycol solution (PEG 6000)	167
Appendix III.	
Table A.1 Analysis of variance (Anova) for percentage radicle emergence of salt treated <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds at different water potentials, treatment durations and drying back after treatment.....	168
Table A.2 Anova for median radicle emergence times at 20°C of salt treated <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds at different water potentials, treatment durations and drying back after treatment.....	168
Table A.3 Anova for mean spread radicle emergence times of salt treated <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds at different water potentials	169
Table A.4 Anova for percentage normal seedling of salt treated <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds at different water potentials, treatment durations and drying back after treatment.	169
Table A.5 Anova for median normal seedling times at 20°C of salt treated <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds at different water potentials, treatment durations and drying back after treatment.	170
Table A.6 Anova for mean spread normal seedling times of salt treated <i>Pinus radiat</i> seeds at different water potential,	

	treatment durations and drying back after treatment.	170
Table B.1	Anova for final percentage radicle emergence of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after treatment at -1.0 MPa with different durations, fungicide applications and drying after treatment.....	171
Table B.2	Anova for median times of radicle emergence of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after salt treatment at -1.0 MPa with different treatment durations, fungicide applications and drying after treatment.	171
Table B.3	Anova for mean spread times of radicle emergence grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after salt treatment at -1.0, MPa with different treatment durations, fungicide applications and drying after treatment.	172
Table B.4	Anova for percentage normal seedling of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after treatment at -1.0 MPa with different durations, fungicide applications and drying after treatment.....	172
Table B.5	Anova for median times of normal seedling of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after salt treatment at -1.0, MPa with different treatment durations, fungicide applications and drying after treatment	173
Table B.6	Anova for mean spread times of normal seedling of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after salt treatment at -1.0, MPa with different treatment durations, fungicide applications and drying after treatment..	173

Table C.1	Anova for percentage radicle emergence from grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after salt treatment at -1.0 MPa with different osmotica and drying after treatment.....	174
Table C.2	Anova for median times of radicle emergence of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after salt treatment with different osmotica and drying after treatment	174
Table C.3	Anova for mean spread times of radicle emergence grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after salt treatment at -1.0, MPa with different treatment durations, fungicide applications and drying after treatment...	175
Table C.4	Anova for the percentage normal seedling emergence from grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after salt treatment at -1.0 MPa with different osmotica and drying after treatment.....	175
Table C.5	Anova for median times (T50) of normal seedling production of grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after salt treatment with different osmotica and drying after treatment	176
Table C.6	Anova for mean spread times (T90 - T10) of normal seedling production from grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after treatment with differnt osmotica and drying after treatment	176
Table C.7	Anova for mean seedling dry weight from grade IV <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after salt treatment at -1.0, MPa with different	

	osmotica and drying after treatment	177
Table E.1	Anova for percenatge radicle emergence of different grades <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds following osmotic treatment.....	178
Table E.2	Anova for median times (T50) of radicle emergence from different grades <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after osmotic treatment.....	178
Table E.3	Anova for mean spread times (T90 - T10) of radicle emergence from different grades <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after osmotic treatment.....	179
Table E.4	Anova for percenatge normal seedling production from different grades <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds following osmotic treatment.....	179
Table E.5	Anova for median times (T50) of normal seedling production from different grades <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after osmotic treatment.....	180
Table E.6	Anova for mean spread times (T90 - T10) of normal seedling production from different grades <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds after osmotic treatment.....	180
Table E.8	Anova for percentage seedling emergence from different seeds grades <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds in the glasshouse.....	181
Table E.9	Anova for median times (T50) of seedling emergence of treated and untreated control <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds from different grades	181

Table E.10	Anova for mean spread times (T90 - T10) in the glasshouse of treated and untreated <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds from different grades.	182
Table E.11	Anova for mean dry weight of seedling grown for 45d in the glasshouse of treated and untreated <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds from different grades.....	182
Table F.1	Actual moisture content after different durations of controlled deterioration (at a nominal 20% SMC) of grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds which osmotically treated and untreated with mixed $\text{KNO}_3 + \text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$ solutions.	183
Table F.2	Anova for percentage radicle emergence of grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds which were subjected to salt treatment (-1.0 MPa for 10d at 20° C before and after different durations of controlled deterioration at 45°C and 20% SMC.....	183
Table F.3	Anova for median times (T50) of radicle emergence of grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds which were subjected to salt treatment (-1.0 MPa for 10d 20°C) before or after different durations of controlled deterioration at 45°C and 20% SMC.....	184
Table F.4	Anova for mean spread times (T90 - T10) of radicle emergence of grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds which were subjected to salt treatment (-1.0 MPa for 10d at 20°C) before or after different durations of controlled deterioration at 45°C and 20% SMC.	184
Table F.5	Anova for percentage normal seedling production of grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i>	

	seeds which were subjected to salt treatment (-1.0 MPa for 10d at 20°C before and after different durations of controlled deterioration at 45°C and 20% SMC.	185
Table F.6	Anova for median times (T50) of normal seedling production of grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds which were subjected to salt treatment (-1.0 MPa for 10d 20°C) before or after different durations of controlled deterioration at 45°C and 20% SMC.	185
Table F.7	Anova for mean spread times (T90 - T10) of normal seedling production of grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds which were subjected to salt treatment (-1.0 MPa for 10d at 20°C) before or after different durations of controlled deterioration at 45°C and 20% SMC.	186
Table F.8	Anova for mean seedling dry weight from grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds which were subjected to salt treatment (-1.0 MPa for 10d at 20°C) before or after different durations of controlled deterioration at 45°C and 20% SMC.	186
Table G.1	Anova for the values of respiratory quotient during imbibition of decontaminated or intact coats of grade V <i>Pinus radiata</i> seeds which were treated or untreated at -1.0 MPa or mixed KNO ₃ + KH ₂ PO ₄ for 30d at 20°C.	187
Appendix IV	Significant test for constants of regression line.	188

I. INTRODUCTION

In the early days of forest establishment around the world, natural forests were viewed as an infinite resource to be utilised as markets demanded. Because they have not been managed sufficiently, the depletion of natural forest is inevitable. With important shifts of social and economic attitudes as well as increases in human populations, natural forests could no longer provide enough timber and other forest products.

New Zealand is no exception. Kauri, the indigenous tree, is no longer or hardly available in the market, the "kauri era" having finished a long time ago in the early 1900s. Since then the "radiata era" started to emerge as more and more timber was produced from the exotic radiata plantation (Simpson, 1973). New Zealand has perhaps the world's most intensively managed and productive forest resource. Radiata grows very well and performs better than if grown in its site of origin in central California (Roche, 1989).

Forestry in New Zealand is carried out on a huge scale by both the state-owned New Zealand Forest Service and private companies, notably New Zealand Forest Products Limited and Tasman Pulp and Paper Limited. Both these companies have extensive integrated forestry operations which include the care and development of forest stock, tree felling, timber milling, the manufacture of timber building boards, plywoods and veneers, pulp-processing and paper-making, as well as the production of other related forest products. Up until now New Zealand exports to 60 different countries. Therefore it is not surprising that timber and related products now generate about six percent of New Zealand's export earnings. These products, mainly from radiata plantations, are predicted to account for 15 percent by the end of this century when forestry production is projected to reach 300,000,000 cubic metres a year (Anonymous, 1986). This is an ambitious effort from the forestry sector.

Since seedling propagation seems to be the most efficient and economic method for plant establishment, a large number of seeds are needed for annual planting, particularly genetically improved seed from selected trees. The "268" multinodal breeds, for instance, are those trees which are considered to have fast growth, straight stems, an even multinodal branch habit, freedom from forking and resistance to *Cyclaneusma* needle cast. Similarly, the "875" breeds, despite having the same growth characteristics as the "268" breed, has higher wood density (Shelbourne, 1986).

At the moment, production from seed orchards is around 4000 kg a year which is numerically enough to supply current requirements for reforestation and afforestation throughout the country (Shelbourne, 1986), where planting activities are targeted at 40,000 hectares a year (Roche, 1989). Although seeds produced from orchards have quite high percentage germination as indicated from laboratory tests (Section 4.2.2), field performance seems to be quite low. Poor survival of planted seedlings has been reported, sometimes being less than 50% (Dale, 1981). Causes of poor survival in pine could include:

- i. poor quality of planting stock;
- ii. improper lifting, handling, culling, storage before planting and transportation;
- iii. poor planting techniques, and
- iv. unfavourable site conditions during and after planting (e.g. Rasanen, 1980; Venator, 1981; Xydias, 1980).

Poor seedling quality could be due to variation in seed size and weight, since large seeds or heavy seeds of *Pinus radiata* produces larger and more vigorous seedlings needing

a shorter period in the nursery compared to those from small or light seeds. This has resulted in *Pinus radiata* seeds being sold commercially in four different seed size grades, A, B, C and D; A being the largest seed and D the smallest one. Since seedlings produced from low grade seeds very often do not survive well after planting (perhaps due to small size) and the production of large grade seeds may be limited, the long term impact of any poor survival in large scale plantations for 40,000 hectares a year would be very significant.

It has been realised that seedling growth is governed by seed size, emergence rate, available space for growth and genetic components. Nursery practice should therefore minimise the adverse effects independently associated with those factors. In loblolly pine, late emergence adversely affected seedling morphology and increased mortality from < 1% in seed emerged early to around 23% for those emerged later, while in *Pinus ponderosa* comparable figures were < 23% for early emergents to over 50% for late (Mexal and Fisher, 1987). The same effect of late emergence on seedling morphology has also been observed in larch and *Pinus caribaea* (Venator, 1973; Logan and Pollard, 1979). It is possible that the problems of low vigour and poor seedling establishment owing to late emergence may be overcome by applying pre-sowing treatments to improve seed performance as have been developed in agriculture and horticulture. Such pre-sowing treatments include hydration-dehydration methods, also called seed hardening (May *et al.*, 1962), low temperature pre-sowing treatments (Coolbear *et al.*, 1984, 1987) and osmotic pre-sowing treatment or seed priming (Heydecker and Coolbear, 1977; Bradford, 1986; Haigh and Barlow, 1987a). Significant improvements caused by pre-sowing treatments in horticultural seeds in particular include: (i) advanced germination times, (ii) reduction in the spread of germination within a seed population (Heydecker and Coolbear, 1977; Heydecker and Gibbins, 1978); (iii) increased tolerance of seeds or seedlings to adverse conditions during seedling establishment (Coolbear and McGill, 1990) and probably (iv) increased yield or plant weight (Khan *et al.*, 1980; Brocklehurst and Dearman, 1983b).

Despite the successes of using osmotic treatment for enhancing germination performance of agricultural and horticultural seeds, large scale applications have not been adopted widely. This is, perhaps, partly due to the fact that every species of seed and even individual seed lots require specific conditions for optimum results. For large seeds sown in huge amounts, the cereals, legumes and many tree seeds for example, setting up a good priming system has not been explored far enough, and other problems may also occur, such as: toxicity of osmotica, low availability and mobility of oxygen in PEG solutions (Mexal *et al.*, 1975), microbial contamination during treatments, and also problems in drying back the seed after treatment. The mechanism of improved germination performance of treated seeds is very often suggested to be the initiation of repair mechanisms during treatment, however, firm evidence underlying this hypothesis is sparse, although some biochemical changes have been reported (see Literature Review, Section 2.2.3.2).

So far no one has published on the effects of osmotic treatment in *Pinus radiata*. This study was thus designed to identify and overcome some of the problems associated with osmotic treatment which might be encountered in developing a system for *Pinus radiata* in New Zealand as well as some physiological aspects relating to the treatment. The specific objectives of the study are:

- i. To characterise the best conditions of osmotic treatment for *Pinus radiata* seeds.
- ii. To investigate proper drying techniques which can retain maximum benefits of treatment.
- iii. To determine the effects of seed treatment on different seed grades and their effects on seedling growth.

- iv. To evaluate the interactions of osmotic treatment with seed storage.
- v. To conduct an investigation on some physiological aspects of osmotic treatment with particular emphasis on respiration and the activity of dehydrogenase enzymes.