

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Host-finding Behaviour of Female Apple Leaf
Curling Midge, *Dasineura mali* Kieffer
(Diptera: Cecidomyiidae)**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree
of Masters of Applied Science in Plant Health at
Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand**

Lalitha Damayanthi Galanihe

1996

*Dedicated to my loving parents
who showed me the way to succeed in my life*

Abstract

In the past few years New Zealand populations of apple leaf curling midge, *Dasineura mali* Kieffer (Diptera: Cecidomyiidae) (hereafter referred to as ALCM) have exploded, making control in commercial apple orchards more difficult. The present studies were initiated to generate information about the basic biology and behaviour of adult female ALCM. Experiments were conducted in the laboratory during two consecutive summer seasons in 1994/95 and 1995/96 at Massey University, Palmerston North and at HortResearch, Mt. Albert Research Center, Auckland, respectively.

The diel emergence patterns of adult ALCM males and females were synchronized. Adults of both sexes started emerging at 05.00 h, with approximately 95% of adults emerging before noon. Females exhibited calling behaviour (a posture associated with the release of sex pheromone) within minutes after emergence. After mating females ceased calling. When tested in a wind tunnel containing apple foliage, mated females were rarely active before 10.00 h. After this, greater numbers of females flew upwind and landed on apple foliage, with peak responses to apple foliage occurring after 14.00 h.

When given a choice between apple and pear foliage, female ALCM oviposited four times more eggs on apple than on pear. However, when given no choice between plant species females oviposited similar numbers of eggs on the two plant species. Female ALCM laid more eggs on immature apple leaves and buds than on mature apple leaves.

Chemical cues from apple foliage were found to be of major importance in the host-finding behaviour of ALCM females. Volatile

chemicals from apple foliage triggered upwind flight, approach and landing. Volatile chemicals from a non-host plant, pear, stimulated only half as many females to fly upwind and rarely stimulated approach or landing. Females were more responsive to chemical stimuli from immature foliage of apple than to stimuli from mature foliage.

A dichloromethane extract of apple leaves increased the percentages of females flying upwind and approaching extract treated filter papers six and thirty times, respectively, over filter papers treated with dichloromethane. Out of the females that flew upwind to apple foliar extracts, 48.7% landed and 23% exhibited post-landing plant-examination behaviours. No females landed on filter papers treated with dichloromethane.

Acknowledgements

I am extremely grateful to my supervisor Dr. Marion Harris for her constant encouragement, invaluable supervision and guidance throughout my programme of study, for being available and always willing to help solve my problems, for her moral support, kindness and patience which lead me along the path of success in this research project. I am also thankful to Dr. Stephen Foster for his suggestions and support provided whenever needed in conducting this study.

My gratitude towards Prof. Kenneth Milne, Head, Department of Plant Science, Massey University for his valuable support throughout the period of my course of study and to Dr. Qiao Wang for his valuable comments and suggestions on an earlier draft of this thesis. I appreciated very much the excellent academic and technical support received from the staff of the Department of Plant Science, Massey University. I am thankful to Dr. N.S. Bolan, Senior Lecturer, and Mr. Ian Furket, Technician, Department of Soil Science, Massey University for analysing my culture media for moisture holding capacity.

I am grateful to Mr. Ray Johnstone, Manager, Plant Growth Unit, Massey University, who was always kind and supportive, and also to his staff for providing me facilities and technical assistance to carry out a part of this research project. I am Thankful to Mr. Shane Max, Manager, Fruit Crops Unit, Massey University for allowing me to use his plant material. I also thank the owners of the apple orchards who allowed me to continuously remove leaf and insect samples needed for this study.

The HortResearch Institute, Mt. Albert Research Center, Auckland provided excellent facilities to conduct my research project: laboratory equipment, Library and Computer services, stationary and plant material. I am grateful for that. My profound thanks to Dr. Louise Malone and the staff of the Insect Science Group, HortResearch, Mt. Albert Research Center for providing me with a supportive and friendly work environment during this study. I greatly appreciate the technical help and friendship extended to me by Miss Francis Mafilio and Mr. Steve Dhana. I also grateful to Mr. Willie Cornett of Computer Services, HortResearch for his excellent technical help.

My gratitude goes to the Department of Agriculture, Sri Lanka for awarding me the scholarship and to the Agricultural Research Project, Sri Lanka for providing funds for my Masters Degree programme.

Miss Charlotte Madie, Miss Tony Withers and Ms Tessa Mills were always open-hearted during the times I went through difficulties. My Sri Lankan friends living in New Zealand, including Mala, Mano and Ayoni offered their constant help in numerous ways. I am extremely thankful to all of them for their friendship, help and moral support. They made my stay in New Zealand, away from my family, a wonderful one.

Table of contents

ABSTRACT.....	II
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF TABLES	xii
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW	5
<i>II.1 General characteristics of cecidomyiid flies</i>	6
<i>II.2 Apple leaf curling midge</i>	7
II.2.1 Taxonomic relationships of apple leaf curling midge, <i>Dasineura mali</i>	7
II.2.2 Description of apple leaf curling midge.....	8
II.2.3 Life history.....	8
II.2.4 Phenology	10
II.2.5 Damage	10
II.2.6 Control of apple leaf curling midge	12
<i>II.3 Ecology and behaviour of cecidomyiids</i>	14
II.3.1 Patterning of emergence and onset of activities.....	14
II.3.2 Mating behaviour.....	15
II.3.3 Oviposition behaviour.....	15
<i>II.4. Host-selection by herbivorous insects</i>	17
II.4.1. Sensory cues in host-selection.....	17
II.4.1.1 Vision.....	18
II.4.1.2 Chemoreception.....	21
II.4.1.2.1 Contact chemoreception	22
II.4.1.2.2 Olfaction.....	23
II.4.1.3 Mechanoreception	24
II.4.1.4 Temperature and humidity receptors	25
II.4.2 Generalist vs specialist insects	25
II.4.3 Host-selection mechanisms	29
II.4.3.1 Habitat and plant searching.....	29
II.4.3.1.1 Orientation during flight.....	31
II.4.3.2 Landing.....	32
II.4.3.3 Host-plant evaluation	33
<i>II.5 Non-plant factors influencing the behaviour of herbivorous insects</i>	35
II.5.1 Internal factors	35
II.5.1.1 Host-plant deprivation period	35

II.5.1.2 Experience	36
II.5.1.3 Age.....	36
II.5.1.4 Physiological states of the female	37
II.5.2 External factors	38
II.5.2.1 Effect of climatic factors	38
II.5.2.2 Conspecific insect density.....	38
II.6 Concluding remarks	39
CHAPTER III: STUDIES ON REARING TECHNIQUES FOR APPLE LEAF CURLING	
MIDGE LARVAE AND BIOLOGY OF ADULT MIDGES	40
III.1 Introduction.....	40
III.2 Methods common to experiments.....	40
III.3 Experiments on rearing technique.....	42
III.3.1 Materials and Methods	42
III.3.1.1 Rearing Method.....	42
III.3.1.2 Identification of a suitable culture medium.....	43
III.3.2 Results.....	45
III.3.2.1 Rearing method	45
III.3.2.2 Identification of a suitable culture medium.....	46
III.4 Diel emergence pattern of adult apple leaf curling midges.....	48
III.4.1 Materials and Methods	48
III.4.2 Results.....	49
III.5 Pre-ovipositional behaviour of females.....	49
III.5.1 Materials and Methods	49
III.5.1.1 Pre-ovipositional behaviour of females in wind tunnel ...	49
III.5.1.2 Pre-ovipositional behaviour of virgin females in vials ...	51
III.5.1.3 Pre-ovipositional behaviour of mated females in vials ...	52
III.5.2 Results.....	53
III.5.2.1 Pre-ovipositional behaviour of females in wind tunnel ...	53
III.5.2.2 Pre-ovipositional behaviour of virgin females (in vials)..	55
III.5.2.3 Pre-ovipositional behaviour of mated females in vials ...	57
III.6 Host-plant specificity of ovipositing females.....	61
III.6.1 Materials and Methods	61
III.6.1.1 Host-plant specificity of ovipositing females.....	61
III.6.1.2 Temporal patterns of oviposition on host and non-host plant species	62
III.6.2 Results.....	63
III.6.2.1 Host-plant specificity for oviposition.....	63
III.6.2.2 Temporal patterns of oviposition on host and non-host plants (choice)	64
III.7 Choice of oviposition sites by mated females.....	67
III.7.1 Materials and Methods	67

III.7.1.1	Height of oviposition sites	67
III.7.1.2	Developmental stage of foliage	68
III.7.2	Results.....	69
III.7.2.1	Height of oviposition sites	69
III.7.2.2	Developmental stage of foliage	69
CHAPTER IV: HOST-FINDING BEHAVIOUR OF APPLE LEAF CURLING MIDGE		
FEMALES	73
IV.1	<i>Introduction</i>	73
IV.2	<i>Materials and Methods</i>	74
IV.2.1	Insect rearing	74
IV.2.2	Experimental arena - the wind tunnel	75
IV.2.3	General procedures.....	75
IV.2.4	Experimental designs and data analysis.....	79
IV.3	<i>Time of peak activity of mated females</i>	80
IV.3.1	Materials and methods	80
IV.3.2	Results	80
IV.4	<i>Flight orientation of mated females exposed to host and non-host plant foliage</i>	85
IV.4.1	Materials and Methods	85
IV.4.2	Results	85
IV.5	<i>Responses of mated females to chemical cues</i>	88
IV.5.1	Responses to volatile foliar chemicals from host and non-host plant species.....	88
IV.5.1.1	Materials and Methods.....	88
IV.5.1.2	Results.....	90
IV.5.2	Responses to chemical stimuli from young and mature apple .. foliage	93
IV.5.2.1	Materials and Methods.....	93
IV.5.2.2	Results	94
IV.6	<i>Responses of mated females to a combination of visual and chemical cues</i>	96
IV.6.1	Materials and Methods	96
IV.6.2	Results	98
IV.7	<i>Responses of mated females to colour stimuli</i>	102
IV.7.1	Response of mated females to colour stimuli (cage study) ..	102
IV.7.1.1	Materials and Methods.....	102
IV.7.1.2	Results	103
IV.7.2	Responses of mated females to colour stimuli (wind tunnel)	103
IV.7.2.1	Materials and Methods.....	103
IV.7.2.2	Results	104
IV.7.3	Effect of background colour stimuli	107

IV.7.3.1 Materials and Methods.....	107
IV.7.3.2 Results	107
IV.8 <i>Responses of mated females to foliar extracts</i>	109
IV.8.1 Responses to foliar extract in host-finding	109
IV.8.1.1 Materials and Methods.....	109
IV.8.1.2 Results	111
IV.8.2 Effects of chemical extracts on post-landing behaviour.....	113
IV.8.2.1 Materials and Methods.....	113
IV.8.2.2 Results	114
CHAPTER V: DISCUSSION.....	117
V.1 <i>Rearing</i>	117
V.2 <i>Diel emergence pattern of adult ALCM</i>	117
V.3 <i>Behaviour of virgin and mated ALCM females</i>	118
V.4 <i>Host-plant specificity of ALCM females for oviposition</i>	122
V.5 <i>Effect of plant stimuli on host-finding behaviour of ALCM females</i>	124
V.6 <i>Future prospects for behavioural research on the host-plant</i> <i>relationships of ALCM</i>	128
REFERENCES CITED.....	131

List of figures

Fig. 1. Temporal patterns of adult apple leaf curling midge emergence in the laboratory, January 1995.....	50
Fig. 2. Behaviour of virgin ALCM females in vials with an apple leaf observed under laboratory conditions.....	58
Fig. 3. Behaviour of mated ALCM females in vials with an apple leaf observed under laboratory conditions.....	60
Fig. 4. Temporal patterning of egg laying of apple leaf curling midge on host- and non-host plant foliage.....	66
Fig. 5. Mean numbers of eggs laid by ALCM females on oviposition sites at different heights.....	70
Fig. 6. Mean numbers of eggs laid by ALCM females on foliage of different developmental stages.....	71
Fig. 7. Diagram of the wind tunnel and arrangement of the treatment and wooden pole inside the tunnel.....	77
Fig. 8. Procedure followed in releasing test females into the wind tunnel.....	77
Fig. 9. Take-off times from the release platform exhibited by females released into the wind tunnel at different times of day.....	81
Fig. 10. Percentages of females that exhibited behaviours in a wind tunnel at various times of the day.....	83
Fig. 11. Percentages of females out of the females that took-off that exhibited behaviours in a wind tunnel at various times of the day.....	84
Fig. 12. Responses of females to host and non-host plant foliage in the wind tunnel.....	87
Fig. 13. Experimental setup used for testing responses of female ALCM to apple and pear odour.....	89

Fig. 14. Responses of mated ALCM females to host and non-host plant odours	91
Fig. 15. Responses of females to odours from mature and immature apple foliage	95
Fig. 16. Flight responses of ALCM females to visual and/or chemical stimuli.....	99
Fig. 17. Mean percentages of females that responded to visual stimuli from blue and yellow coloured screens, in the presence and absence of odours from apple foliage.....	105
Fig. 18. Responses of females to an apple shoot with yellow or blue background colours.....	108
Fig. 19. Total numbers of females landed on the shoot or on the screen when the background colour was either blue or yellow.	108
Fig. 20. Mean percentages of females that exhibited behavioural responses to foliar chemical extracts of apple	112
Fig. 21. The experimental set up of extract and solvent treated filter papers in vials.....	114
Fig. 22. Mean percentages of females exhibited post- landing behaviours on filter paper strips treated with a dichloromethane extract of apple foliage or with dichloromethane.....	115

List of tables

Table 1. Rate of adult emergence from different culture medium in laboratory.....	47
Table 2. Activities of the mated females in relation to the time of the day.....	54
Table 3. Pre-ovipositional behaviours exhibited by ALCM females and associated body postures	56
Table 4. Mean numbers of eggs deposited on host and non-host plant foliage under choice and no-choice conditions	64
Table 5. Design of the treatments used to test the effect of chemical and visual stimuli on flight responses of ALCM females	97
Table 6. Responses of the mated ALCM females to combinations of visual and chemical stimuli tested in a wind tunnel.....	100
Table 7. Description of treatment layout for testing the effect of colour stimuli on the response of ALCM females.....	104

Chapter I: Introduction

The apple leaf curling midge, *Dasineura mali* Kieffer (hereafter referred to as ALCM), is a dipteran pest of apples. By feeding on apple foliage, ALCM larvae cause developing leaves to curl rather than unfold. Curled leaves eventually turn brown and drop prematurely. Larval feeding thus stunts shoot growth, especially on young trees. A second problem occurs with ALCM infestations when mature larvae exiting leaf curls enter the stalk or calyx end of mature fruit and pupate there instead of in the soil (Tomkins et al., 1994). Such infested fruit cannot be exported and can jeopardise the export of fruit grown in the same orchard block. Specific tolerances to the presence of live ALCM larvae or pupal cocoons on fruits apply for some markets. Japan, for example, which imported 400 tonnes of New Zealand apples in 1994 (Anon., 1995a), has a zero tolerance for ALCM (Anon., 1994). For other export markets, a 20 percent tolerance is allowed (Anon., 1994), e.g., the USA and Europe. However, even when ALCM populations are not high enough to exclude fruit from export to these markets, economic returns to the grower may be reduced because of costs associated with careful examination of fruit during quality control inspections and with labelling, documenting and segregating affected lines of fruit (Anon., 1994). As apples are one of New Zealand's major export crops, rejection of apples from the export market due to contamination of ALCM can have serious effects on the economy of the country.

ALCM was introduced to New Zealand from the Netherlands in the 1950s. During the decades that followed its introduction, it was only a minor pest in New Zealand. However, in recent years ALCM populations have increased greatly, making it one of the most important pests of apples in New Zealand (Anon., 1993). Pesticide resistance was suspected to be the

cause of the population outbreak of ALCM, but a recent study found that resistance to azinphos-methyl was not quantifiable (Chapman and Evans, 1995). Theoretically, pesticide resistance may be promoted in ALCM because of the protected nature of the larval stage within the leaf curls. This may result in sub-lethal exposure to insecticides, with susceptible individuals killed but individuals with heterozygous or homozygous genes for resistance surviving and contributing to the development of insecticide resistance (Dent, 1991; Anon., 1993).

Little is known about factors regulating populations of ALCM. It has been documented that ALCM is attacked by three species of natural enemies, two predators, *Sejanus albosignatus* (Anon., 1994) and an anthocorid bug, probably *Orius (Triphleps) insidiosus* Say (Whitcomb, 1934), and a hymenopterous egg parasite, *Platygaster demades* Walker (syn. *Prosactogaster demades*), which was introduced to New Zealand in 1925 to control the pear leaf midge, *Dasineura pyri* Bouche (Todd, 1956). Although the parasite *Platygaster demades* is well-established throughout New Zealand, its life-cycle is not well-synchronised with that of ALCM. The parasite generally attains high levels of parasitism (44-95%) at the beginning and during the later part of the season, but is present only at low levels (0.7-2.8%) when the second generation of the midge occurs (Todd, 1959). This lack of a generation in the middle of the summer may restrict the effectiveness of *P. demades* as a control agent. No apple varieties are resistant to the pest (Todd, 1959); however, the degree of susceptibility varies among apple varieties. Infestation is in part determined by the quantity of terminal growth present.

In the recent past, ALCM management had been incidental to standard New Zealand orchard pest control practices. These practices are based on

insecticidal control of key apple pests: leafrollers and codling moth. However, full season programmes of insecticide applications are no longer able to effectively control ALCM (Tomkins, 1995). In 1993/94, a survey of pip fruit growers in the Waikato and Bay of Plenty revealed that increased use of diazinon and dimethoate sprays by growers for the control of ALCM had not resulted in effective control of the pest (Tomkins et al., 1994). A similar situation also was revealed in a survey conducted in 1994/95 in the Nelson district (Smith and Chapman, 1995). The increased use of insecticides in apple orchards for control of ALCM has many obvious disadvantages: higher residue levels at harvest, increased costs of production, and increased risk of the development of insecticide resistance in ALCM and other apple pests.

The research conducted on ALCM in New Zealand has dealt mainly with the pest status of ALCM, its seasonal history, varietal susceptibility, parasitism, and chemical control (Todd, 1956 & 1959; Woon and Haydon, 1973; Anon., 1993 & 1994; Tomkins et al., 1994). Several research projects are currently underway at Lincoln University and HortResearch to study its phenology and life cycle, factors affecting the triggering of emergence of the adult flies from the soil, resistance and the efficacy of insecticides, and the identification of sex pheromones (Anon., 1994).

One aspect of ALCM biology that has not been studied is the host-finding behaviour of the female. Like many other *Dasineura* species, ALCM is believed to be monophagous, having only one host, apple (Whitcomb, 1934). This specialisation on apple may be due to monophagy of ovipositing females or monophagy of larvae or monophagy of both adult females and larvae. However, as there is no evidence in the literature for the movement of ALCM larvae from their original feeding sites to other feeding

sites, monophagy of the adult female for apples will give larvae no choice but to develop on apple. Host-plant location and accurate egg placement by adult females are therefore critical aspects of the survival of the species. Furthermore, damage to the apple plant caused by the larval stage of the insect occurs only after the adult female has found, identified and accepted the plant as a host.

Understanding key factors involved in the insect-plant relationship between ALCM females and the apple plant is important for the development of alternative control techniques. As regards behaviour, the responses of a pest to its host-plant are fundamental to understanding the relationship between the pest and a crop. For example, information on the stimuli that are used by adult ALCM females to locate their host-plant may be useful in developing novel methods of controlling ALCM, such as varieties resistant to the pest via antixenosis. A second application would be the use of kairomones for monitoring populations of adult ALCM females. Finally kairomones used by female ALCM might also be used by the parasitoid *P. demades* to find ALCM eggs, and therefore might be useful in improving the efficacy of parasitoids (Lewis and Martin, 1990).

The present study was undertaken to document sensory inputs mediating host-finding behaviour of ALCM. More specifically, this research programme addressed the question: what plant stimuli influence host-finding by ALCM females? To answer this question, it was necessary to develop rearing and handling methods for adult ALCM and also to study reproductive behaviour in general, as well as the life history of adult midges. The latter studies are also discussed herein.