

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Mind the gap! Policy change in practice**

**School qualifications reform  
in New Zealand,  
1980-2002**

**A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the  
requirements for the degree of**

**Doctor of Philosophy**

**In**

**Education**

**at Massey University,  
Palmerston North, New Zealand.**

**Judie Alison**

**2007**



## **Abstract**

'Policy gaps' in education mean that the visions of policy-makers frequently fail to materialise fully, or at all, in teacher practice. This thesis argues that a significant 'policy gap' developed in New Zealand around school qualifications policy during the 1990's, and puts forward some explanations for that. A significant shift in government discourses over that period, from largely social democratic to predominantly neo-liberal discourses, was not matched by a similar shift in the discourses of teachers or the union that represents them. During the same period, teachers and their representative bodies were excluded from policy development, reflecting this shift in government discourses. Government and teachers were 'talking past each other'. As a result, qualifications reforms that might have been expected to be generally welcomed by the profession, as a government response to calls from the profession over many decades, were instead rejected by the majority of teachers. Furthermore, the absence of the teacher voice from policy development meant that the shape of the reforms moved significantly away from the profession's original vision, a further reason for its unacceptability to teachers. Reform was only able to be achieved when teachers and their union were brought back into the policy-making and policy-communicating processes and a version of standards-based assessment closer to the union's original vision was adopted by government. Nevertheless, the National Certificate of Educational Achievement that resulted appears to still be perceived by teachers as externally imposed and its origins in the profession's advocacy for reform over many years have been lost. This indicates that 'policy gaps', while easily opened, are not as easily closed.

## **Acknowledgements**

I am immensely grateful to my supervisor and co-supervisor, 'the two Johns', Emeritus Professor John Codd and Professor John O'Neill, for their unflagging support and friendly critique during the writing of this thesis. Our regular meetings have been at times challenging but always fun, making every trip to Palmerston North a pleasure.

I am also grateful to my two daughters, Miranda and Kate, for their unswerving faith that I could undertake this task, even though Miranda, with the wisdom of experience, warned of the massive toll a PhD takes on one's life. She was right, and so I also am grateful to Jills for her patient acceptance that while the thesis has taken time that we could have spent together, it would not be forever!

I thank my employer, PPTA, for its generosity with funding, study leave and access to union documents, and my work colleagues, especially the members of the Policy and Advocacy team, for their encouragement and their contributions to my thinking.

And finally, I owe a debt to my participants, teachers and others, who gave their time to be interviewed and to meticulously check transcripts. It was a privilege to be allowed to share their memories of times that for many have been difficult, whichever side of the fence they have found themselves on.

## Table of contents

<b>Chapter One – Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
Origins of the research	3
Professional amnesia	3
Different lenses	4
Talking past each other	5
The structure of the thesis	5
<b>Chapter Two – Critical discourse analysis</b>	<b>8</b>
The theoretical framework	9
Discourses, social practices and ideology	9
The struggle for hegemony	11
Interaction of conflicting discourses	14
Critical theory	16
Application of critical discourse analysis	18
Analysis of policy documents	19
Interviews with 'expert participants'	21
Interviews with teachers	22
Participant memories	22
The major ideologies	23
Neo-liberal ideology	24
Neo-conservative ideology	31
Social democratic ideology	32
Conclusions	36
<b>Chapter Three – Teachers and policy change</b>	<b>37</b>
Teachers and the government	38
Pragmatic partnership	38
New Right reforms	38
The qualifications reforms	41
Changing constructions of professionalism	42
De-professionalisation	42
Outcomes-focused education and de-professionalisation	45
Teachers as intellectual workers	46
Teacher agency in policy change	47

Policy as text	47
Policy as discourse	52
The pace of change	54
The influence of school context	56
Teachers' values	57
Conclusions	60
<b>Chapter Four – The shift to standards-based assessment</b>	<b>62</b>
Early origins of standards-based developments	63
Developments in the 1980's	65
Challenges to norm-referenced assessment	65
Rising unemployment and credential inflation	67
Quality arguments	69
Modularisation	69
A framework for qualifications	70
Separation of curriculum from assessment	71
Developments in the 1990's	72
Lightning speed reform	72
A single standards-based framework	73
Competency-based assessment	74
Separation of curriculum from assessment	77
Skills versus knowledge	78
Reliability issues	79
A divided profession	80
The NCEA compromise	81
Discourses underpinning the reforms	83
Neo-liberalism, extreme bureaucratisation, or both?	84
Dissension on the political right	88
Social democratic rationales	89
Conclusions	92
<b>Chapter Five – The union's role in qualifications reform</b>	<b>93</b>
PPTA – The voice of teachers?	94
The 1960's – early talk of standards	97
1970's policy developments	100
University entrance	100
Internal assessment	100

Advocacy for standards	102
Industrial issues clash with professional goals	103
Board of studies	104
Membership engagement	104
Social democratic discourses	105
The 1980's – sharpening the focus	105
Success on University Entrance	106
Purposes of assessment	107
The jagged edge	110
Board of Studies at last?	111
PPTA origins of the framework	112
Workload	113
Conflict and re-engagement in the 1990's	115
Cautious support	115
Conflict builds	117
Expert panel	119
Achievement 2001	121
Conclusions	124
<b>Chapter Six – Government discourses on qualifications</b>	<b>126</b>
The 1970's – the debates begin	126
Assessment in schools	126
Towards partnership	127
The 1980's – change begins	128
CICAQ	128
Treasury outlines the neo-liberal agenda	131
Hawke proposes qualifications authority	135
Working party advocates standards-based assessment	135
The detail emerges	137
The 1990's – a decade of conflict	139
The framework outlined	139
Political propaganda	142
Advice for schools	145
NZQA handbooks	146
New minister, pragmatism emerges	148
The NCEA compromise materialises	150
Marketing 'Achievement 2001'	152

Conclusions	154
<b>Chapter Seven – Forces of change behind qualifications reforms</b>	<b>156</b>
The forces of change	158
The teacher view	158
The demands of global capitalism	161
Changing school population	162
Producing human capital	164
Increasing vocationalism	169
Flexibility and choice	174
Transparency of assessment	179
Making teachers accountable	186
Conclusions	189
<b>Chapter Eight – Policy-makers and change processes</b>	<b>191</b>
Provider capture	192
History of partnership	192
The discourse shifts	194
Surreptitious ‘partnership’	197
The language of neo-liberalism	198
The ‘missionaries’	200
Effect on teachers	204
Industrial response	207
A union ‘policy gap’?	210
An engaged membership	210
Engagement declines	214
Re-partnering	218
Conclusions	220
<b>Chapter Nine – Teachers and change</b>	<b>221</b>
Factors influencing responses to change	222
Getting involved to learn	222
Fit with values and current practice	224
Influence of context	230
Mediators	231
Subject status issues	235

Workload factors	237
Attitudes to change in general	240
Conclusions	247
<b>Chapter Ten – Final thoughts</b>	<b>249</b>
Conclusions	249
Limitations of study	252
Areas for further research	253
Last words	254
<b>Appendices</b>	<b>255</b>
Appendix 1 – Details of participants and sampling process	255
Appendix 2 - Sample interview schedules	264
Appendix 3 – Information sheets and consent forms	268
Appendix 4 – Ethical issues	276
Appendix 5 - Assessment terminology	278
Norm-referenced assessment	278
Achievement-based assessment	279
Competency-based assessment	279
Standards-based or criterion-referenced?	280
Moderation	281
<b>References</b>	<b>283</b>

## Abbreviations used

ABA	Achievement-based assessment (see Appendix 4)
CICAQ	Committee of Inquiry into Curriculum, Assessment and Qualifications
ERO	Education Review Office
HOD	Head of Department (subject grouping)
MMP	Mixed Member Proportional representation (electoral system since the 1996 general election)
NCEA	National Certificate of Educational Achievement (used here for the secondary school qualification implemented from 2002, not for earlier qualification with a similar name)
NEQA	National Education Qualifications Authority (early name for what became NZQA)
NQF	National Qualifications Framework (a system for registering assessment standards and qualifications on unified framework, from Level 1/Year 11 to Level 9/PhD)
NZQA	New Zealand Qualifications Authority (administers the NQF)
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
OBE	Outcomes-based education
PCET	Post-compulsory education and training
PPTA/NZPPTA	New Zealand Post Primary Teachers' Association (secondary teachers' union)