

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Morphological, physiological, and molecular
studies on the effect of shoot architecture on
phase change and floral transition in *Eucalyptus
occidentalis* and *Metrosideros excelsa***

Elizabeth. S.K.D. Jaya

2007

**Morphological, physiological, and molecular
studies on the effect of shoot architecture on
phase change and floral transition in *Eucalyptus
occidentalis* and *Metrosideros excelsa***

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the
requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

in

Plant Biology

at

Massey University, Palmerston North,
New Zealand

Elizabeth S.K.D. Jaya

2007

Abstract

Shoot morphogenesis in *Eucalyptus occidentalis* and *Metrosideros excelsa* was analysed at the morphological, physiological and molecular levels to understand the regulation of phase change and the floral transition. Study of the regulation of these developmental plant processes is limited in woody species due to their long juvenile phase.

Six ecotypes of *E. occidentalis* were grown to two predetermined architectures (free branching or single stem). Free branching plants of ecotype 13648 displayed adult shoot phenology (lanceolate leaves) earlier than single stem counterparts. In addition, changes in leaf morphology in free branching plants were accompanied with changes in leaf anatomy and gas exchange signifying that in *E. occidentalis* complexity of shoot architecture had a significant effect on rate of phase change. Flowering was observed in all but one ecotype irrespective of architecture demonstrating that vegetative phase change and floral transition are temporally uncoupled in this species.

To understand the floral transition at the molecular level in *E. occidentalis*, partial homologues of the inflorescence meristem identity gene *TERMINAL FLOWER1* and floral meristem identity genes *LEAFY* and *APETALA1* were isolated. The expression patterns of these meristem identity genes during development of free branching and single stem plants were analysed by quantitative real-time PCR. Increased levels of expression of *EOLFY* and *EOAPI* (relative to α -*TUBULIN*) were displayed at more proximal nodes in free branching plants than in single stem plants. Elevated floral meristem identity gene expression levels correlated with flower initiation.

Further, effects of architecture and environment on gene expression were monitored in *E. occidentalis*. The overriding effect of shoot architecture on the floral transition was observed under warm long day and ambient environments. Elevated levels of *EOLFY* and *EOAPI* were correlated with floral bud score and *EOAPI* was found to be a reliable marker of floral transition in *E. occidentalis*. Low levels of *EOTFL1* expression were detected in buds irrespective of their position on the plant leading to the suggestion that this might have contributed to the precocious flowering observed in this species.

In contrast to *E. occidentalis*, *M. excelsa* attained adult shoot phenology (pubescent leaves) faster when grown as single stem plants than as free branching plants. It appears that growth as height is required for vegetative phase change in this species. However, floral transition occurred only once single stem plants were allowed to branch. Vegetative phase change and the transition to flowering seem to be coordinated in this species with the former being a pre-requisite for the latter.

Acknowledgements

My heart felt gratitude to my main supervisor Professor Paula Jameson for giving the opportunity to undertake this project, continual support, guidance, advice and encouragement throughout. I would like to convey my sincere gratitude to my co-supervisor Dr. John Clemens for valuable suggestions, discussions, guidance, and encouragement.

I would like to thank my co-supervisor Dr. Huaibi Zhang for advice in setting up molecular experiments. I am thankful to my co-supervisor Prof. Michael McManus for allowing me to continue my experiments in the lab and providing support.

I am also thankful to Dr. Southerton for kindly providing *E. occidentalis* seed and information about the provenances.

My special thanks are due to Dr. Dave Kubien for advice in conducting photosynthesis experiments and to Dr. Jason Song for introducing me to real-time PCR analyses. I am thankful to Prof. Peter Lockhart for advice in the construction of phylogenetic trees.

I would like to thank Dr. Alasdair Noble for the expert statistical advice and Mrs. Lesley Taylor and Plant Growth Unit staff for the assistance provided to grow the plants and conduct experiments in greenhouses.

I would like to express my appreciation to my lab mates Jan Binnie, Susanna Leung and Anu Sooda for their support and friendship during the study and the Institute of Molecular BioSciences for the facilities provided.

I am grateful to my husband Raj and my children Joseph and Joel for their encouragement and love, which made this endeavor a success.

I acknowledge thankfully the funding provided by the Public Good Science Fund, Crop and Food Research, Massey University for awarding a Doctoral Scholarship, and IMBS and NZSPB for sanctioning travel grants to attend conferences.

Finally I am grateful to my Lord Jesus for His abiding presence and enabling me to complete this study successfully.

Table of Contents

	Page
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Figures	ix
List of Tables	xiii
List of Abbreviations	xv
Chapter 1. Introduction	
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Models of phase change	3
1.3 Phase change in herbaceous species	6
1.4 Phase change in perennial species	9
1.4.1 Morphological and anatomical markers	10
1.4.2 Physiological markers	11
1.4.3 Genetic markers	13
1.4.4 Phase change and shoot architecture	14
1.4.5 Acceleration of phase change	16
1.5 Floral transition in herbaceous species	17
1.5.1 Floral transition models	17
1.5.2 Flower development pathways in <i>Arabidopsis</i>	18
1.5.2.1 Photoperiod response pathway	20
1.5.2.2 The vernalization response pathway	21
1.5.2.3 The autonomous pathway	22
1.5.2.4 The gibberellin (GA) pathway	23
1.5.2.5 Integration pathway	23
1.5.3 Meristem identity genes	25
1.5.3.1 <i>LEAFY</i>	25
1.5.3.2 <i>APETALA1</i>	27
1.5.3.3 <i>TERMINAL FLOWER1</i>	27
1.6 Floral transition in woody perennials	29
1.6.1 Expression patterns of <i>LEAFY</i> homologues	29
1.6.2 Expression patterns of <i>APETALA1</i> homologues	32
1.6.3 Expression patterns of <i>TERMINAL FLOWER1</i> homologues.	35
1.7 Definition of terms	36
1.8 Summary of phase change, floral transition and shoot architecture in <i>M. excelsa</i> and <i>E. occidentalis</i>	37
1.9 Aims and objectives	39
Chapter 2. Phase change and flowering in <i>Eucalyptus occidentalis</i> in relation to shoot architecture: morphological study	
2.1 Introduction	41
2.2 Materials and Methods	42
2.2.1 Plant material	42
2.2.2 Leaf morphology	43

2.2.3 Flowering	43
2.2.4 Statistical analysis	45
2.3 Results	45
2.3.1 Leaf morphology	45
2.3.2 Flowering	58
2.4 Discussion	62

Chapter 3. Phase change in *Eucalyptus occidentalis* in relation to shoot architecture (physiological studies)

3.1 Introduction	65
3.2 Materials and Methods	65
3.2.1 Plant material and treatments	65
3.2.2 Gas exchange	67
3.2.3 Leaf anatomy	68
3.2.4 Leaf mass per unit area (LMA)	68
3.2.5 Leaf mineral analysis	69
3.2.6 Statistical analysis	69
3.3 Results	70
3.3.1 Gas exchange	70
3.3.2 Leaf anatomy	78
3.3.3 Leaf mass per unit area	79
3.3.4 Mineral concentration	79
3.4 Discussion	86
3.4.1 Gas exchange	86
3.4.2 Leaf anatomy	88
3.4.3 Leaf mass per unit area and mineral concentrations	89

Chapter 4. Floral transition in *Eucalyptus occidentalis* in relation to shoot architecture (molecular studies)

4.1 Introduction	91
4.2 Materials and Methods	92
4.2.1 Plant material	92
4.2.2 Total RNA extraction	92
4.2.2.1 Hot borate RNA extraction	92
4.2.2.2 QIAGEN RNA extraction	95
4.2.3 Genomic DNA isolation	96
4.2.4 Quantification of total nucleic acids	96
4.2.5 Reverse transcription reaction (RT)	97
4.2.6 Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)	98
4.2.7 Agarose gel electrophoresis and band purification	98
4.2.8 Isolation of the partial homologues of meristem identity genes	98
4.2.9 Reference genes	99
4.2.10 Sequencing of isolated meristem identity and reference genes	99
4.2.11 Sequence verification	100
4.2.12 Phylogenetic analysis	101
4.2.13 Southern analysis	101
4.2.13.1 Electrophoresis of DNA digests and blotting	101

4.2.13.2	Probe preparation	102
4.2.13.3	Hybridisation and detection of non-radioactive probes	102
4.2.14	Temporal expression of meristem identity genes	103
4.2.14.1	Primers used for quantification of meristem identity genes	103
4.2.14.2	Quantitative real time PCR assay	103
4.2.14.3	Optimisation of real-time PCR conditions	104
4.2.14.4	Selection of reference gene for relative quantification	104
4.2.14.5	Calculation of PCR efficiencies	104
4.2.14.6	Relative quantification of meristem identity genes	105
4.3	Results	106
4.3.1	Meristem identity gene isolation and analysis	106
4.3.1.1	<i>LEAFY (LFY)</i>	106
4.3.1.2	<i>TERMINAL FLOWER1 (TFL1)</i>	112
4.3.1.3	<i>APETALA1 (API)</i>	117
4.3.2	Isolation and identification of reference genes	122
4.3.2.1	α - <i>TUBULIN</i>	122
4.3.2.2	<i>GAPDH</i>	122
4.3.2.3	<i>18S RNA</i>	124
4.3.2.4	β - <i>ACTIN</i>	124
4.3.3	Southern analysis	126
4.3.4	Temporal expression of meristem identity genes using qRT-PCR	127
4.3.4.1	Total RNA extraction from bud samples	127
4.3.4.2	Reference gene validation by RT-PCR	127
4.3.4.3	Real time PCR optimisation: determination of template and MgCl ₂ concentration	131
4.3.4.4	Performance of α - <i>TUBULIN</i> in LightCycler™ experiments	133
4.3.4.5	PCR amplification efficiency determination	133
4.3.4.6	Melting curve analysis	134
4.3.4.7	Meristem identity gene expression in relation to architectural manipulation and flowering in <i>E. occidentalis</i>	137
4.4	Discussion	141
4.4.1	Identification of partial homologues of meristem identity genes	141
4.4.2	Optimisation and advantages of real-time qRT-PCR assay	143
4.4.3	Gene expression in architecturally manipulated plants	144

Chapter 5. Effect of environment and shoot architecture on floral transition and gene expression in *Eucalyptus occidentalis*

5.1	Introduction	147
5.2	Materials and Methods	147
5.2.1	Plant material	147
5.2.2	Plant growth and flowering	148
5.2.3	Bud collections	149
5.2.4	Statistical analysis	149
5.2.5	Temporal expression of meristem identity genes	149
5.2.5.1	Total RNA extractions	149
5.2.5.2	cDNA preparations	149
5.2.5.3	Quantification of <i>EOLFY</i> , <i>EOAPI</i> and <i>EOTFL1</i>	149

5.3 Results	151
5.3.1 Plant growth and flowering	151
5.3.2 Floral score and meristem identity gene expression	153
5.3.2.1 Floral score	153
5.3.2.2 Expression of meristem identity genes	153
5.4 Discussion	158
Chapter 6. Effect of environment and shoot architecture on gene expression in relation to phase change and floral transition in <i>Metrosideros excelsa</i>	
6.1 Introduction	161
6.2 Materials and Methods	162
6.2.1 Plant material and growth conditions	162
6.2.2 Leaf anatomy	163
6.2.3 Bud collection and cymule scale scar count	163
6.2.4 Statistical analysis	165
6.2.5 Total RNA extractions	165
6.2.6 cDNA preparations	165
6.2.7 Isolation and quantification of <i>MEL</i> , <i>MESAP1</i> and <i>METFL1</i>	165
6.3 Results	167
6.3.1 Leaf anatomy	167
6.3.2 Bud scale scar counts	167
6.3.3 Quantification of meristem identity genes	167
6.4 Discussion	173
6.4.1 Leaf anatomy	173
6.4.2 Floral transition and expression of meristem identity genes	173
Chapter 7. Final Discussion	176
Appendices	
Appendix 1 p values of ANOVA analyses	180
Appendix 2 Candisk analysis-1	187
Appendix 3 Candisk analysis-2	191
References	198

List of Figures

	Page
Figure 1.1 Simple model of the four pathways controlling flowering time in <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> (from Corbesier and Coupland, 2006)	19
Figure 1.2 Genetic regulatory networks controlling flowering in <i>Arabidopsis</i> Showing four pathways (from Welch et al., 2004).	19
Figure 2.1 Typical <i>Eucalyptus occidentalis</i> juvenile (A) and adult leaves (B). <i>E. occidentalis</i> ecotype 15416 grown in single stem (C) and free branching (D) architecture in a greenhouse under conditions specified in Section 2.2.1.	44
Figure 2.2 Leaf length to maximum width ratio differences between ecotypes of <i>E. occidentalis</i> (shown in panels) from node 10 to 60	54
Figure 2.3A Two-way interaction between ecotype and node number for the variable roundness in <i>E. occidentalis</i> .	55
Figure 2.3B Two-way interaction between architecture and node number for the variable roundness of single stem and free branching plants in <i>E. occidentalis</i> .	55
Figure 2.3C Two-way interaction between ecotype and architecture for the variable roundness of single stem and free branching plants in <i>E. occidentalis</i> .	56
Figure.2.4 Two-way interaction between ecotype and architecture, for the variable petiole length of single stem and free branching plants in <i>E. occidentalis</i> .	56
Figure 2.5 Axes Can1 and Can2 of canonical ordinations of morphological data of <i>E. occidentalis</i> .	59
Figure 2.6 Axes Can1 and Can2 of canonical ordinations of morphological data of architecture and ecotype treatments of <i>E. occidentalis</i> .	60
Figure 2.7 Percentage of plants shown at each bar of six ecotypes of <i>E. occidentalis</i> that initiated flower buds nine months after sowing when grown with either free branching or single stem architecture.	61
Figure 3.1 Experimental treatments for gas exchange, leaf mass per unit area, and leaf mineral analysis of <i>E. occidentalis</i> . + F, supplementary fertiliser; – F, no supplementary fertiliser.	66
Figure 3.2 The response of net photosynthesis rate (A) (mean \pm S.E.) to intercellular CO_2 (C_i) in <i>E. occidentalis</i> of ecotypes 13648 and 15416.	71
Figure 3.3 Correlation between V_{cmax} and J_{max} parameters in <i>E. occidentalis</i> estimated for January and February 2004 gas exchange measurements.	73

Figure 3.4 The response of photosynthesis (A) (mean \pm S.E.) to intercellular CO_2 (C_i) in <i>E. occidentalis</i> .	75
Figure 3.5 Correlation between V_{cmax} and J_{max} parameters in <i>E. occidentalis</i> estimated for March and April 2004 gas exchange measurements (+/- supplementary fertiliser application).	77
Figure 3.6 Photomicrographs of traverse sections of representative single stem and free branching leaves of <i>E. occidentalis</i> ecotype 13648 and 15416 at node 30, 40 and 50 on the main stem. Each section has incorporated scale size bar of 100 μm .	81
Figure 4.1 Typical example showing sites of bud collection for meristem identity gene expression analysis.	93
Figure 4.2A Isolation of partial homologue of <i>LFY</i> (270 bp) from <i>E. occidentalis</i> .	107
Figure 4.2B Isolation of partial homologue of <i>LFY</i> (1000 bp) from <i>E. occidentalis</i> .	107
Figure 4.3 Comparison of the amino acid sequence of EOLFY with LFY-like protein sequences from GenBank.	109
Figure 4.4 Phylogenetic relationship of some <i>LFY</i> homologues derived from plants from a wide range of angiosperm species using the neighbour joining method and TreeView software.	110
Figure 4.5 Deduced gene structure of <i>EOLFY</i> sequence.	111
Figure 4.6 Isolation of partial homologue of <i>TFL1</i> (170 bp) from <i>E. occidentalis</i>	113
Figure 4.7 Comparison of the amino acid sequence of EOTFL1 with TFL1-like protein sequences from GenBank.	114
Figure 4.8 Phylogenetic relationships of some <i>TFL1</i> homologues derived from plants from a wide range of angiosperms using the neighbour joining method and TreeView software.	115
Figure 4.9 Deduced gene structure of the <i>EOTFL1</i> sequence	116
Figure 4.10 Isolation of partial homologue of <i>API</i> (650 bp) from <i>E. occidentalis</i> .	118
Figure 4.11 Comparison of amino acid sequence of EOAP1 with AP1-like protein sequences from GenBank.	119
Figure 4.12 Phylogenetic relationship of some <i>API</i> homologues derived from plants from a wide range of angiosperms using neighbour joining method and TreeView software.	120
Figure 4.13 Deduced gene structure of the <i>EOAPI</i> sequence.	121

Figure 4.14 Isolation of partial homologue of α - <i>TUBULIN</i> (650 bp) and <i>GAPDH</i> (380 bp) from <i>E. occidentalis</i> .	123
Figure 4.15 Isolation of partial homologue of <i>18S RNA</i> (180 bp) from <i>E. occidentalis</i> .	125
Figure 4.16 Isolation of partial homologue of β - <i>ACTIN</i> (450 bp) from <i>E. occidentalis</i> .	125
Figure 4.17 Southern analysis of <i>E. occidentalis</i> genomic DNA after restriction digestion.	126
Figure 4.18 Example of total RNA (RNA integrity) used for gene expression assays.	128
Figure 4.19 Expression of potential reference genes <i>18S RNA</i> and α - <i>TUBULIN</i> in random bud samples of <i>E. occidentalis</i> .	129
Figure 4.20A and B Expression of potential reference genes β - <i>ACTIN</i> and <i>GAPDH</i> , respectively in random bud samples of <i>E. occidentalis</i> .	130
Figure 4.21 Amplification curves obtained for cDNA template and $MgCl_2$ concentration optimisation assay.	132
Figure 4.22 Amplification performance of α - <i>TUBULIN</i> in five randomly selected bud samples.	133
Figure 4.23 Standard curves showing the starting cDNA log concentration verses C_p values in PCR amplification programme.	135
Figure 4.24 Melting curve analysis of meristem identity and reference genes.	136
Figure 4.25 Percent floral score of paired axillary buds.	138
Figure 4.26 Expression of meristem identity genes (<i>EOLFY</i> , <i>EOTFL 1</i> and <i>EOAP 1</i>) in (A) free branching and (B) single stem plants.	139
Figure 4.27 The ratios of expression of floral meristem identity genes <i>EOLFY</i> (A) <i>EOAP1</i> (B) as compared to shoot identity gene <i>EOTFL1</i> .	140
Figure 5.1 <i>Eucalyptus occidentalis</i> ecotype 13648 plants grown with free branching (A) and single stem-free branching architectures (B).	150
Figure 5.2A-D Plant growth and flowering pattern in <i>E. occidentalis</i> grown in Warm/long day and Ambient treatments.	152
Figure 5.3 Percent floral scores of paired axillary buds on plants grown in different environments with different architectures.	155
Figure 5.4 Relative quantification of meristem identity gene expression in plants grown under Warm/LD conditions with different shoot architecture.	156

Figure 5.5 Relative quantification of meristem identity gene expression in plants grown under Ambient conditions with different shoot architecture.	157
Figure 6.1 Schematic diagram of the inflorescence and one cymule of <i>M. excelsa</i> (Sreekantan et al., 2001).	164
Figure 6.2 Typical leaf sections (200 X) of <i>M. excelsa</i> at node 20, 40, 55 and 75 on the main stem of SsFb plants.	169
Figure 6.3 Number of bud scale scar pairs at distal ends of branches of plants grown under different environments with architecture modification.	170
Figure 6.4 Relative quantification of meristem identity gene expression on three occasions in <i>M. excelsa</i> plants grown under different environmental and architecture treatments.	171
Figure 6.5 A-D Plots showing the expression ratios of <i>MEL/METFL1</i> and <i>MESAPI/METFL1</i> on three occasions in plants grown under Ambient and Warm/LD environments with architecture modification.	172

List of Tables

	Page
Table 1.1 Traits showing changes during phase change in <i>Z. mays</i> (Table adapted from Poethig, 1990).	7
Table 2.1 <i>Eucalyptus occidentalis</i> provenances used in this study.	42
Table 2.2 Morphological attribute: leaf area (cm ²) measured in six ecotypes of <i>E. occidentalis</i> at nodes 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 on the main stem of free branching and single stem plants.	46
Table 2.3 Morphological attribute: leaf perimeter (cm) measured in six ecotypes of <i>E. occidentalis</i> at nodes 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 on the main stem of free branching and single stem plants.	47
Table 2.4 Morphological attribute leaf roundness measured in six ecotypes of <i>E. occidentalis</i> at nodes 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 on the main stem of free branching and single stem plants.	48
Table 2.5 Morphological attribute leaf length (cm): measured in six ecotypes of <i>E. occidentalis</i> at nodes 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 on the main stem of free branching and single stem plants.	49
Table 2.6 Morphological attribute leaf maximum width measured in six ecotypes of <i>E. occidentalis</i> at nodes 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 on the main stem of free branching and single stem plants.	50
Table 2.7 Morphological attribute leaf length/width ratio measured in six ecotypes of <i>E. occidentalis</i> at nodes 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 on the main stem of free branching and single stem plants.	51
Table 2.8 Morphological attribute petiole length (cm) measured in six ecotypes of <i>E. occidentalis</i> at nodes 10, 20, 30, 40, 50 and 60 on the main stem of free branching and single stem plants.	52
Table 3.1 Mean values (\pm S.E.) of the parameters V_{cmax} ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) and J_{max} ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) of ecotypes 13648 and 15416 of <i>E. occidentalis</i> .	72
Table 3.2 Mean values (\pm S.E.) of the parameters V_{cmax} ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) and J_{max} ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$) for ecotypes 13648 and 15416 of <i>E. occidentalis</i> with or without supplementary fertiliser application.	76
Table 3.3 Leaf anatomical characteristics (mean values \pm S.E.) of single stem and free branching plants at different nodes for <i>E. occidentalis</i> ecotypes 13648 and 15416.	80
Table 3.4 Leaf mass per unit area (g m ⁻²), of leaves of free branching and single stem plants for two ecotypes of <i>E. occidentalis</i> prior to supplementary fertiliser application	82

Table 3.5 Leaf mass per unit area (g m^{-2}), of leaves of free branching and single stem plants for two ecotypes of <i>E. occidentalis</i> following supplementary fertiliser treatment to half of the plants.	82
Table 3.6 Mean values (\pm S.E.) of leaf mineral concentration of single stem and free branching plants of <i>E. occidentalis</i> at different nodes prior to supplementary fertiliser application.	83
Table 3.7 Mean values (\pm S.E.) of leaf mineral concentration of single stem and free branching plants of <i>E. occidentalis</i> following supplementary fertiliser treatment to half of the plants.	85
Table 4.1 Primers used for isolating meristem identity genes.	99
Table 4.2 Primers used for isolating reference genes.	100
Table 4.3 Primers used for real-time quantitative RT-PCR.	103
Table 4.4 Optimisation of real time PCR with cDNA derived after RT reaction for template dilution and MgCl_2 concentrations.	131
Table 6.1 Primers used for real-time quantitative RT-PCR of <i>Metrosideros excelsa</i> .	166
Table 6.2 Anatomical parameters of <i>Metrosideros excelsa</i> leaves collected from SsFb plants. ^{1,2}	168

List of Abbreviations

ANOVA	analysis of variance
bp	base pair
cDNA	complementary deoxyribonucleic acid
CSIRO	Australian Commonwealth Scientific and Research Organization
DEPC	diethyl pyrocarbonate
EDTA	ethylene diamine tetracetic acid
FMI	floral meristem identity
JPEG	joint photographic experts group
Kb	kilo base
LED	light emitting diode
LMA	leaf mass per unit area
ORF	open reading frame
Pa	Pascal
PCR	polymerase chain reaction
rpm	revolutions per minute
SE	standard error
SDS	sodium dodecyl sulphate
Tris-HCl	tris (hydroxymethyl) aminomethane hydrochloride
UV	ultraviolet