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Abstract

There is anecdotal and scientific evidence to suggest that schoolbag carriage is associated with musculoskeletal discomfort (MSD) and possibly long-term back pain. Thus schoolbag carriage is an area of concern for students, parents and both education and health professionals. A schoolbag weight limit of 10% of body weight (BW) is currently recommended. However, it is based on subjective observations rather than objective findings and does not consider other aspects of schoolbag carriage such as schoolbag design and adjustment or carriage patterns. Five studies were conducted in order to determine the effects on students’ responses to schoolbag carriage of schoolbag design, adjustment, carriage duration and weight. Backpack design had a significant effect on reported musculoskeletal discomfort and choice of backpack. Schoolbag hip-belt and shoulder strap adjustment and weight significantly affected shoulder strap tension forces and shoulder interface pressure in simulated schoolbag carriage. Using activity monitoring, school students were found to spend approximately two hours carrying their schoolbags each day. This usually comprised 11-15 times per day of 8-9 minutes of carriage. Using this temporal pattern information, 16 boys (13-14 years) were exposed to a simulated school day using schoolbags weighing 0, 5, 10, 12.5 and 15% BW and an additional condition of 10% BW with tighter shoulder straps. Posture, rating of perceived exertion (RPE), muscular strain and reported ability to walk and balance were significantly affected when schoolbag load reached 10% BW. However, despite these findings, the magnitude of self reported muscular strain and MSD suggested that 15% BW may be too heavy for school students. Thus, 10% BW may be an appropriate upper schoolbag weight limit for a typical school day. Using a psychophysical approach the mean (standard deviation) maximum acceptable schoolbag weight (MASW) selected by 16 school boys (13-14 years) was 10.4(3.8)%BW. This finding agrees with the findings of the previous study and supports the current schoolbag weight recommendation of 10% BW. The results of the five studies can be used in developing schoolbag carrying guidelines to help reduce the prevalence of MSD amongst school students.
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Thesis structure

This thesis comprises five studies addressing the effects of schoolbag design, adjustment, carriage duration and weight on students’ responses to schoolbag carriage. Each study forms an individual chapter of the thesis (chapters 2-6). These five chapters are preceded by an introduction to the thesis topic and chapter 1, which is a review of literature. This builds a rationale for the thesis aim.

Each study (chapters 2-6) is preceded by a preface. This describes the relevance of each study to the rest of the thesis. All of the studies have been published as papers in, accepted for publication in, or submitted to a journal of international scope and quality. The style of each of these chapters is in the style of the journal to which the paper has been submitted, except for heading formats. Each study is reproduced in its entirety except for the tables and figures, which have been embedded in the text and the table and figure legends which are located as a list for the whole thesis after the table of contents and list of appendices for the thesis. In some cases, ‘in press’ references have since been published. In these cases the most recent version of the reference is included in the main reference list for the thesis. A discussion (chapter 7) links the findings of each of the studies to create the overall thesis findings and conclusions. A post-script follows chapters 2, 3 and 4, providing additional information that would not be considered necessary for a journal article, but is necessary to provide the required depth of a thesis. Also, in some cases examiners comments are addressed in the post-scripts.

Following the thesis conclusions and references, the appendices for each study are included. Additional information that was not included within each submitted study, including summarised or raw data, is supplied. All summarised and raw data are supplied on a CD that is attached to the inside back cover of this thesis. Included in the appendices to chapter 4 is a methodological study that has been quality assured and published in a journal.
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