

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**Mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) activation  
during ruminant mammary development and function**

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy**

in  
Animal Science

At Massey University  
Palmerston North  
New Zealand

**Quentin Leon Sciascia  
2013**

This thesis is dedicated to my daughter

**Caitlyn Grace Sciascia**

“You lift me when all else fails”

***Whāia te iti kahurangi - Ki te tūohu koe, me he maunga teitei***

Pursue excellence – should you stumble, let it be to a lofty mountain

---

## ABSTRACT

This thesis examines the abundance of total and activated mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway components in the developing and functional ruminant mammary gland. mTOR pathway activation is stimulated by a wide range of intra- and extracellular signals, such as amino acids (AA) and hormones, making the mTOR pathway a potential candidate for the development of intervention strategies designed to increase ruminant lactation potential.

Tissues from two trials shown to improve lactation potential; dam-fetal nutrition and exogenous growth hormone (GH) administration during lactation, were used to measure changes in total and activated mTOR pathway protein abundance. Results show mammary glands of d 140 fetal lambs carried by maintenance fed dams and dairy cows administered exogenous GH, had increased abundance of total and activated mTOR and mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway proteins. Increased abundance was associated with changes in biochemical indices. In the GH study MAPK pathway activation was stimulated by IGF-1 signaling whilst mTOR pathway activation was proposed to be mediated by AA signalling. Data from the GH study shows, L-arginine a known activator of the mTOR pathway, was the only AA reduced in both plasma and the lactating gland. Upstream factors were not identified for the phenotype observed in the dam-fetal nutrition study, but similar mechanisms were proposed.

To elucidate the potential regulation of mTOR pathway activation by L-arginine and examine the effect on milk production, *in vitro* bovine cell culture models were evaluated.

Results show that none of the models evaluated produced a lactating phenotype – a prerequisite to accurately study the lactating gland *in vitro*.

Finally, this thesis shows L-arginine administration from d 100 to d 140 of pregnancy, in twin bearing ewes had no effect on mTOR protein abundance or activation. However, administration from d 100 to parturition improved maternal gland health.

In summary, this thesis associates improved lactation potential with increased total and activated mTOR pathway protein abundance, and the administration of L-arginine during late gestation with improved gland health. These findings provide fundamental knowledge that may lead to the development of novel technologies to increase ruminant gland performance and health.

---

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisors from AgResearch, Dr Sue McCoard, Dr David Pacheco and Dr Monica Senna-Salerno for all their intellectual and personal support, their dedication and guidance in seeing me over the finish line. To Dr Sue McCoard, you have become a friend; with an ear to listen, a mentor; with hands to guide and career navigator; with eyes to chart the way. To my Massey University supervisor; Prof Hugh Blair your advice has helped me immensely. *Ehara taku toa, he taki tahi, he toa taki tini*

To my research colleagues, the molecular nutrition team (Dr Sue McCoard) Dr Danni van der Linden, Nina Wards and Francisco Sales. Thank you for your support, guidance and knowledge, we made a great team. *Ma whero ma pango ka oti ai te mahi*

To my fellow Ph.D. students "*He waka eke noa*"

Last but not least to my whanau.

I could not have made it this far without your love, dedication and emotional support.

*Aroha nui*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT.....</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>IV</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES.....</b>	<b>VIII</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES.....</b>	<b>X</b>
<b>ABBREVIATIONS.....</b>	<b>XIII</b>
<b>GENERAL INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>XV</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1: LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 INTRODUCTION.....	2
1.2 MILK PRODUCTION IN NEW ZEALAND.....	2
1.2.1 <i>Economic significance</i> .....	2
1.2.2 <i>Production strategies</i> .....	3
1.2.2.1 <i>Environmental</i> .....	3
1.2.2.2 <i>Feed</i> .....	3
1.2.2.3 <i>Genetics</i> .....	3
1.3 NUTRITIONAL AND HEALTH SIGNIFICANCE OF RUMINANT MILK.....	4
1.3.1 <i>Humans</i> .....	4
1.3.2 <i>Ruminant neonates</i> .....	4
1.4 MAMMARY GLAND DEVELOPMENT AND FUNCTION – PHYSIOLOGY.....	5
1.4.1 <i>Fetal</i> .....	5
1.4.2 <i>Neonatal</i> .....	6
1.4.3 <i>Pregnancy</i> .....	6
1.4.4 <i>Lactation</i> .....	7
1.5 MODELS TO STUDY MAMMARY GLAND DEVELOPMENT AND FUNCTION.....	8
1.5.1 <i>Growth hormone</i> .....	8
1.5.2 <i>Nutrition</i> .....	10
1.5.2.1 <i>Plane of nutrition</i> .....	10
1.5.2.2 <i>Amino Acids</i> .....	11
1.6 THE MECHANISTIC TARGET OF RAPAMYCIN (mTOR) PATHWAY.....	14
1.6.1 <i>A brief history of mTOR</i> .....	14
1.6.2 <i>Upstream of mTORC1</i> .....	17
1.6.2.1 <i>Amino acids</i> .....	17
1.6.2.2 <i>Growth factors (Growth hormone and IGF1)</i> .....	18
1.6.3 <i>Downstream of mTORC1</i> .....	20
1.6.4 <i>Role in mammary development and function</i> .....	21
1.7 RATIONALE FOR THE STUDY.....	23
<b>CHAPTER 2: NUTRITIONAL PLANE OF TWIN-BEARING EWES ALTERS FETAL MAMMARY BIOCHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND MTOR / MAPK PATHWAY SIGNALLING. ....</b>	<b>25</b>
2.1 ABSTRACT.....	26
2.2 INTRODUCTION.....	27
2.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	30
2.3.1 <i>Ethics</i> .....	30
2.3.2 <i>Animals, treatments and tissue processing</i> .....	30



2.3.3 Mammary gland weight and biochemical indices.....	31
2.3.4 Immunoblotting.....	32
2.4 RESULTS.....	34
2.4.1 Effect of dam nutrition on fetal mammary gland weight and biochemical indices (Table 2.1)	34
2.4.2 Effect of dam nutrition on the abundance of mTOR and MAPK signalling proteins in the fetal mammary gland.....	36
2.4.3 Localization of mTOR protein in the fetal mammary gland at d 140 of gestation.....	39
2.5 DISCUSSION.....	41
2.5.1 Maternal nutrition alters d 100 and d140 fetal mammary gland weight through changes in cell number and size.....	41
2.5.2 Increased abundance of total and activated MAPK and mTOR signalling proteins is associated with increased protein synthetic capacity.....	43

### **CHAPTER 3: INCREASED MILK PROTEIN SYNTHESIS IN RESPONSE TO EXOGENOUS GROWTH HORMONE IS ASSOCIATED WITH CHANGES IN MECHANISTIC (MAMMALIAN) TARGET OF RAPAMYCIN (MTOR)C1-DEPENDENT AND INDEPENDENT CELL SIGNALING. .... 48**

3.1 ABSTRACT.....	50
3.2 INTRODUCTION.....	52
3.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	55
3.3.1 Animals and treatments.....	55
3.3.2 Biochemical indices.....	55
3.3.3 Quantitative real-time PCR.....	56
3.3.4 Protein precipitation and immunoblotting.....	60
3.3.5 Analysis of mRNA 3'UTR for putative 4E-SE.....	62
3.4 RESULTS.....	63
3.4.1 Effect of GH treatment on mammary gland weight and biochemical indices.....	63
3.4.2 Effect of GH treatment on the mTORC1 signalling pathway.....	65
3.4.3 Effect of GH treatment on the MAPK signalling pathway.....	68
3.4.4 Effect of GH treatment on mammary gland gene expression.....	71
3.4.5 Effect of GH treatment on eIF4E-mediated nucleocytoplasmic export of mRNA.....	72
3.5 DISCUSSION.....	73
3.5.1 GH treatment increases mammary gland protein synthetic capacity.....	73
3.5.2 Elevated total and activated mTOR abundance is associated with increased mammary gland protein synthetic capacity.....	73
3.5.3 Changes in MAPK signalling are associated with increased nucleocytoplasmic mRNA export and translation.....	76
3.5.4 Changes in IGF1-IGF1R signalling potentially regulate the MAPK and mTORC1 pathways.....	78

### **CHAPTER 4: TREATMENT WITH EXOGENOUS GROWTH HORMONE IS ASSOCIATED WITH CHANGES IN PLASMA AND MAMMARY AMINO ACID PROFILES AND THE EXPRESSION OF MAMMARY GLAND AMINO ACID TRANSPORTERS..... 81**

4.1 ABSTRACT.....	81
4.2 INTRODUCTION.....	82
4.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	85
4.3.1 Animals and treatments.....	85
4.3.2 Free amino acid profiles.....	86
4.3.3 Quantitative real-time PCR.....	86
4.4 RESULTS.....	88
4.4.1 Effect of GH treatment on plasma and intracellular mammary tissue amino acid profiles.....	88
4.4.2 Effect of GH treatment on amino acid transporter gene expression.....	91

4.5 DISCUSSION .....	92
<b>CHAPTER 5: EFFECT OF PARENTERAL L-ARGININE ADMINISTRATION ON MATERNAL MAMMARY DEVELOPMENT AND FUNCTION.....</b>	<b>97</b>
5.1 ABSTRACT .....	97
5.2 INTRODUCTION .....	98
5.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	102
5.3.1 <i>Animals and treatments</i> .....	102
5.5 MILKING AND SAMPLE ANALYSIS.....	105
5.6 BIOCHEMICAL INDICES .....	106
5.6.1 <i>Immunoblotting</i> .....	107
5.6.2 <i>Profiles of free amino acids in milk</i> .....	108
5.6.3 <i>Profiles of free amino acids in plasma</i> .....	109
5.7 RESULTS .....	110
5.7.1 <i>Milk composition (crude protein, somatic cell count, fat and lactose) and milk yield</i> .....	110
5.7.2 <i>Plasma free amino acid profile</i> .....	114
5.7.3 <i>Milk free amino acid profile</i> .....	116
5.7.4 <i>Mammary gland weight, biochemical indices and mTOR pathway activation</i> .....	118
5.8 DISCUSSION .....	120
5.8.1 <i>L-arginine supplementation improves mammary gland health</i> .....	120
5.8.2 <i>L-arginine administration increases total mammary gland DNA content independent of mTOR signalling</i> .....	125
<b>CHAPTER 6: MILK SOMATIC CELLS ARE NOT SUITABLE FOR MEASURING BIOCHEMICAL INDICES AND GENE EXPRESSION IN THE LACTATING RUMINANT MAMMARY GLAND. ....</b>	<b>127</b>
6.1 ABSTRACT .....	129
6.2 INTRODUCTION.....	130
6.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	132
6.3.1 <i>Animal trial procedures</i> .....	132
6.3.2 <i>Collection of milk somatic cells</i> .....	132
6.3.3 <i>Biochemical indices</i> .....	133
6.3.4 <i>Quantitative PCR</i> .....	133
6.4 RESULTS .....	135
6.5 DISCUSSION .....	138
<b>CHAPTER 7: ASSESSMENT OF BOVINE MAMMARY EPITHELIAL CELL MODELS FOR LACTATION RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>141</b>
7.1 ABSTRACT .....	142
7.2 INTRODUCTION.....	144
7.3 MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	146
7.3.1 <i>Cell culture and treatments</i> .....	146
7.3.2 <i>Gene expression</i> .....	148
7.3.3 <i>Biochemical indices</i> .....	149
7.3.4 <i>Monolayer morphology</i> .....	150
7.4 RESULTS .....	150
7.5 DISCUSSION .....	154
<b>CHAPTER 8: GENERAL DISCUSSION .....</b>	<b>156</b>
8.1 METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS .....	157
8.1.1 <i>The problem</i> .....	157
8.1.2 <i>The solution</i> .....	157
8.2 GENERAL DISCUSSION.....	159

---

<b>APPENDIX A.....</b>	<b>169</b>
<b>APPENDIX B.....</b>	<b>172</b>
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>175</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<p><i>Table 2.1. Maintenance (M) levels of ewe nutrition, from day 21 of pregnancy, increased the mammary gland weight of fetuses harvested at day 100, compared to fetuses from ad libitum (A) fed ewes<sup>‡</sup>. This was associated with trends for lower cell number and higher cell size, indicating size difference was due to cellular hypertrophy not hyperplasia. At day 140, fetal mammary glands harvested from M-fed ewes were significantly lighter than those harvested from fetuses carried by A-fed ewes. This was associated with decreased DNA concentration and total per gland, and increased protein synthetic potential, indicating that whilst fetal mammary glands from A-fed ewes were heavier due to increased hyperplasia, M-fed glands were developmentally active. Each biochemical indices value (excluding mammary gland weight) represents the average of three independent extractions. *<math>P \leq 0.05</math>; †<math>P \leq 0.15</math>. ‡Data previously published (99).</i>.....</p>	35
<p><i>Table 3.1. Name, symbol, gi number, primer sequence and amplicon size of genes analysed by qPCR.</i>.....</p>	58
<p><i>Table 3.2. Growth hormone (GH) treatment increased mammary gland weight, total parenchymal RNA and protein content and cell size (Protein:DNA), whilst a decrease in DNA concentration was observed. Each control and GH value (excluding mammary gland weight) represents the average of three independent extractions and their pooled SEM. <math>P \leq 0.05</math>; <math>P \leq 0.15</math>.</i>.....</p>	64
<p><i>Table 4.1. Name, symbol, accession number, primer sequence and amplicon size of genes analysed by qPCR.</i> .....</p>	87
<p><i>Table 4.2. Growth hormone (GH) treatment of mid-lactation Jersey cows decreased absolute plasma concentrations of free L-amino acids lysine, and tended to decrease arginine and tyrosine. The table shows the mean (<math>\mu\text{mol per litre of plasma}</math>), the standard error of the mean (SE), and the P value of difference between treatment means, adjusted for those amino acids with unequal variances. Significance (<math>P \leq 0.05</math>); trend (<math>P \leq 0.15</math>).</i>.....</p>	89
<p><i>Table 4.3. Growth hormone (GH) treatment of mid-lactation Jersey cows increased absolute concentrations of mammary intracellular free L-amino acids glutamate, glycine and serine, and tended to decrease arginine and increase total free amino acids. The table shows the mean (<math>\mu\text{mol per gram of tissue}</math>), the standard</i></p>	

---

<i>error of the mean (SE), and the P value of difference between treatment means, adjusted for those amino acids with unequal variances. Significance (<math>P \leq 0.05</math>); trend (<math>P \leq 0.15</math>). .....</i>	<i>90</i>
<i>Table 5.1. Composition of the concentrate diet fed to the ewes from d 100 of gestation to d 140 of lactation. ....</i>	<i>103</i>
<i>Table 5.2. Concentrations (<math>\mu\text{mol/L}</math>) of amino acids in the plasma of control and arginine-treated ewes 1 h following bolus administration at d 140 of pregnancy and 2 hours post-partum. Data are presented as means and average standard error of the means (SEM) .....</i>	<i>115</i>
<i>Table 5.3. Mammary glands of ewes administered L-arginine showed a trend towards increased total mammary DNA content tended compared to saline-treated controls. <math>\dagger P \leq 0.10</math>. .....</i>	<i>118</i>
<i>Table 6.1. Biochemical indices measurements from milk somatic cells and mammary tissue (n=6) collected from lactating goats. Back transformed data are represented as least squared means (95% CI). .....</i>	<i>135</i>
<i>Table 7.1. Biochemical indices (total DNA, RNA, protein and relative ratios) from MAC-T incubated in proliferation medium with DIP and GH. <math>P \leq 0.05</math> = significant; <math>P \leq 0.10</math> = trend. ....</i>	<i>153</i>

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. The mTOR protein is a highly conserved serine/threonine kinase that is currently known to exist in two different complexes, the rapamycin sensitive mTORC1 and rapamycin insensitive mTORC2. mTORC1 responds to amino acids, stress, oxygen, energy, and growth factors to promote ribosome biogenesis, mRNA translation, autophagy, mitochondrial metabolism, cell growth and size. mTORC2 responds to growth factors, amino acids to regulate cytoskeletal dynamics, actin association and cell survival. mTORC2 is insensitive to acute rapamycin treatment but chronic exposure to the drug can disrupt its structure. The bottom panel describes the known functions of the proteins that form each mTOR complex (Adapted from Laplante and Sabatini., 2012).....16

Figure 1.2. (A) Critical mTORC1 (and mTORC2) signalling factors involved in cellular development and protein synthesis. Inputs regulating mTORC1 (growth factors, amino acids), with a focus on those downstream, processes applicable to the experimental chapters of this thesis (protein synthesis, lysosome biogenesis, autophagy and cell survival/metabolism). (B) The key processes and protein factors, regulating and regulated by, mTORC1 (and mTORC2). (Adapted from Laplante and Sabatini., 2012) .....19

Figure 1.3. (A) mTORC1 signalling factors that have been identified in ruminant mammary epithelial cells. (B) Key processes and protein factors identified in ruminant mammary epithelial cells, regulating and regulated by, mTORC1. (?) – highlight comparisons between Figure 1.2 and 1.3 where these factors have been identified in other cell / tissue types, but yet to be identified in ruminant mammary epithelial cells. (Adapted from Laplante and Sabatini., 2012) .....22

Figure 2.1. (A) Level of ewe nutrition, from d 21 until d 100 of pregnancy, had no effect on the abundance of fetal mammary gland eIF4E, eIF4E<sup>Ser209</sup>, RPS6, RPS6<sup>Ser235/236</sup>, 4E-BP1 and 4E-BP1<sup>Ser65</sup>. (B) At d140, mammary glands from fetuses carried by M-fed ewes had increased abundance of eIF4E, eIF4E<sup>Ser209</sup>, RPS6, RPS6<sup>Ser235/236</sup>, 4E-BP1 and 4E-BP1<sup>Ser65</sup>. No significant difference in the abundance of mTOR and mTOR<sup>Ser2448</sup> was observed. \*P ≤ 0.05; †P ≤ 0.15. ....37

Figure 2.2. At d 140, mTOR<sup>Ser2448</sup> was mostly observed in the fetal mammary fat pad (FP), mTOR, eIF4E, eIF4E<sup>Ser209</sup>, 4EBP1, 4EBP1<sup>Ser65</sup>, RPS6 and RPS6<sup>Ser235/236</sup> were detected in both the fat pad (FP) and parenchyma (D). ....40

Figure 3.1. Growth hormone (GH) treatment increased the abundance of total and Ser2448 phosphorylated mTOR compared to controls. No treatment effect was observed for 4EBP1, 4EBP1<sup>Ser65</sup>, p70S6K, p70<sup>S6K</sup>Thre389, p85S6K or p85<sup>S6K</sup>Thre412. The figure shows values for each animal measured, Growth hormone (GH) treatment increased the abundance of total and Ser2448 phosphorylated mTOR compared to controls. No treatment effect was observed for 4EBP1, 4EBP1<sup>Ser65</sup>, p70<sup>S6K</sup>, p70<sup>S6K</sup>Thre389, p85<sup>S6K</sup> or p85<sup>S6K</sup>Thre412. The figure shows values for each animal measured, n=4/treatment (•), the mean of those values (represented by the bars) ± SE (represented by the lines). \*P ≤ 0.05, †P ≤ 0.15. ....66

Figure 3.2. Growth hormone (GH) treatment increased the association of total 4EBP1 and eIF4G with total eIF4E. The figure shows values for each animal measured, n=4/treatment (-), the mean of those values (represented by the bars) ± SE (represented by the lines), \*P ≤ 0.05. ....67

Figure 3.3. Growth hormone (GH) treatment had no effect on the relative activation of mTOR or MAPK signalling. The figure shows values for each animal measured, n=4/treatment (-), the mean of those values (represented by the bars) ± SE (represented by the lines). ....69

Figure 3.4. Growth hormone (GH) treatment increased the abundance of MAPK signalling proteins eIF4E, eIF4E<sup>Ser209</sup>, MKNK1, MKNK1<sup>Thre197/202</sup> and RPS6KA1. The figure shows values for each animal measured, n=4/treatment (-), the mean of those values (represented by the bars) ± SE (represented by the lines), \*P ≤ 0.05. ....70

Figure 3.5. Growth hormone (GH) treatment increased the abundance of MAPK signalling proteins eIF4E, eIF4E<sup>Ser209</sup>, MKNK1, MKNK1<sup>Thre197/202</sup> and RPS6KA1. The figure shows values for each animal measured, n=4/treatment (-), the mean of those values (represented by the bars) ± SE (represented by the lines), \*P ≤ 0.05. ....71

Figure 3.6. Proposed model of the results presented in this study. Solid arrows represent data with direct links to results generated in this study, while dashed arrows represent data with indirect links supported by the literature.....80

Figure 4.1. Growth hormone (GH) treatment increased the expression of the heterodimeric heavy-chain L-arginine transporter SLC3A2. No significant gene expression changes were detected for any of the other transporters measured. MAPK and Src homology 2 domain containing 1 (SHC1) had the most stable expression of all the genes analyzed using Normfinder and were used as reference genes. The figure shows

<i>the fold change in gene expression in GH animals compared with control animals (n = 4/treatment) ± SE (represented by the lines), *P ≤ 0.05.</i>	91
<i>Figure 5.1. Outline of the diverse role L-arginine and its metabolic products play within the ruminant mammary gland.</i>	101
<i>Figure 5.2. Increased crude protein percentage (A) was observed in ewes administered L-arginine (day 4 – 7), but tended to be higher in control ewes at day 14. Decreased milk somatic cell counts from d 4 to d 14 were observed in ewes administered L-arginine (B). Fat percentage was increased in controls at d 1, compared to treated ewes (C). No effect on lactose percentage (D) or milk yield (E) was observed. *P ≤ 0.05; †P ≤ 0.10.</i>	111
<i>Figure 5.3. Parenteral L-arginine administration is associated with changes in milk free amino acid profiles. *P ≤ 0.05; †P ≤ 0.10.</i>	117
<i>Figure 5.4. Abundance of total (A), Ser-2448 phosphorylated or activated (Ser-2448/total) (B) mammary mTOR protein was unaffected by L-arginine treatment, compared to saline-treated controls at d 140 of pregnancy.</i>	119
<i>Figure 6.1. Gene expression profiles of the major milk proteins and 18S ribosomal RNA in somatic cells and mammary tissue collected from lactating dairy cows treated with saline (n=3) or growth hormone (n=4). Columns represent relative expression in GH-treated animals compared to saline-treated controls, with p-values noted below.</i>	137
<i>Figure 7.1. Treatment of pbMECs with differentiation medium and grown on Matrigel induced the formation of mammospheres at Day-5 and Day-10, post-treatment. Photographs of cultured pbMECs: A. pre-treatment (no differentiation medium, no Matrigel) B. 5-days and C. 10-days post-treatment. In the absence of FBS, and on 1:1 and 1:10 Matrigel, MAC-T monolayer morphology changed compared to control. Photographs of cultured MAC-Ts: D. control (no differentiation medium) E. Synthemax F. no FBS G. 1:1 Matrigel H. 1:10 Matrigel.</i>	151
<i>Figure 7.2. Treatment of pbMECs with differentiation medium on 1:1 Matrigel increased the abundance of β-casein mRNA. The figure shows the fold change in gene expression in pbMECs treated with differentiation medium compared to Day-0, untreated controls, n=2/treatment, *P ≤ 0.01.</i>	152



---

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

<b>4EBP1</b>	Eukaryotic initiation factor 4E (eIF4E)-binding protein 1
<b>4E-SE</b>	Eukaryotic initiation factor 4E (eIF4E)-sensitivity element
<b>AA</b>	Amino acids
<b>AAT</b>	Amino acid transporter
<b>BCAA</b>	Branched-chain amino acids
<b>DNA</b>	Deoxyribonucleic acid
<b>EAA</b>	Essential amino acid
<b>eIF4E</b>	Eukaryotic initiation factor 4E
<b>eIF4G</b>	Eukaryotic initiation factor 4G
<b>FAA</b>	Free amino acid
<b>GH</b>	Growth hormone
<b>IGF</b>	Insulin-like growth factor
<b>IGF1</b>	Insulin-like factor 1
<b>IGF1r</b>	Insulin-like factor 1 receptor
<b>IGFBP3</b>	Insulin-like factor 1 binding protein 3
<b>IGFBP5</b>	Insulin-like factor 1 binding protein 5
<b>NEAA</b>	Non-essential amino acid
<b>MAPK</b>	Mitogen activated protein kinase
<b>MKNK1</b>	Mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) interacting serine/threonine kinase 1
<b>mLST8</b>	Mammalian lethal with SEC13 protein 8
<b>mRNA</b>	Messenger ribonucleic acid

---

<b>mSin1</b>	Mammalian stress-activated protein kinase (SAPK)-interacting protein 1
<b>mTOR</b>	Mechanistic target of rapamycin
<b>mTORC1</b>	Mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1
<b>mTORC2</b>	Mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 2
<b>PI3K</b>	Phosphoinositide-3-kinase
<b>Pl</b>	Placental lactogen
<b>PRAS40</b>	Proline-Rich Akt Substrate, 40 KDa
<b>Prl</b>	Prolactin
<b>Raptor</b>	Regulatory associated protein of mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR), complex 1.
<b>Ras</b>	Rat sarcoma (Protein family)
<b>Rictor</b>	Regulatory associated protein of mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR), complex 1 (RPTOR) independent companion of mTOR, complex 2
<b>RPS6</b>	Ribosomal protein S6
<b>RPS6KA1</b>	Ribosomal protein S6 kinase A1
<b>rRNA</b>	Ribosomal ribonucleic acid
<b>S6K1 / S6K2</b>	Ribosomal protein S6 kinase 1 / ribosomal protein S6 kinase 2
<b>TSC1/TSC2</b>	Tuberous sclerosis 1 / tuberous sclerosis 2

---

## GENERAL INTRODUCTION

Ruminant milk production is a cornerstone of the New Zealand economy, earning in 2010 close to \$15.6-billion per annum in foreign currency. In addition, the dairy industry employs locally, almost seventy-five thousand people.

The nutritional importance of milk has seen global demand rise, creating an opportunity for New Zealand dairy farmers to sell more milk, but simultaneously placing pressure on farmers to make constant productivity increases. Productivity increases that are being met through the application of novel environmental, genetic and nutritional intervention strategies. Environmental (soil maintenance, grazing intensity, water management, the introduction of new pasture species / cultivars) and genetic (breed selection, cross-breeding) advances have traditionally focused on the whole animal, whilst nutritional strategies have focused on provision of an adequate nutrient supply to the mammary gland, in an effort to increase milk production.

The ruminant mammary gland goes through very distinct developmental stages, *fetal, pre-pubertal, post-pubertal, pregnancy and lactation* with each stage susceptible to nutrient manipulation that can negatively or positively influence lactation potential. Traditionally, nutrition studies in the dairy industry have focused on plane of nutrition (high, low, and stair-step), however, results have been inconsistent, with factors such as species, age of intervention and diet composition producing conflicting results. Current research shows supplementation with specific nutrients, such as amino acids (AA), at crucial stages of

---

development (pregnancy, gestation, lactation), may play an important role in helping the mammary gland reach full production potential.

Amino acid uptake studies using arterio-venous differences across the lactating ruminant mammary gland show valine, leucine, arginine, lysine, and threonine are extracted in excess of milk protein outputs indicating possible roles in maintaining lactation. Arginine supplementation studies with late-pregnant Holstein cows increased milk yield, whilst the arginine-free diets retards mammary gland growth in rats. Glutamine is proposed to be limiting for milk protein synthesis due to three unique factors: uptake by the mammary gland is close to 99% of the arterial supply, high levels of glutamate are synthesised from glutamine and both glutamine, and glutamate are the most abundant AAs found in milk proteins. Arginine and glutamine belong to the arginine-family of AA (arginine, leucine and glutamine), long known to be important regulators of protein synthesis in multiple organisms. Studies show the arginine-family of AA enhance lactation potential, via possible direct or indirect stimulation of the nutrient-sensing mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) pathway and reciprocal control of amino acid transporter (AAT) activity.

The mTOR pathway integrates multiple environmental signals and metabolic pathways to regulate protein synthesis, cellular proliferation and development via phosphorylation of downstream targets involved in mRNA translation and nucleocytoplasmic export, cell size, rRNA and gene transcription. The mTOR pathway may be a rate-limiting step in the cellular development and function of the ruminant mammary gland, and the ability to enhance

---

mTOR signalling via AA may provide a novel intervention to enhance the production of milk in ruminants.

The main objectives of this thesis were to understand the mTOR pathways role in the development and function of the ruminant mammary gland in response to external stimuli including nutrients and hormones, and to identify the potential role AAs play in stimulating mammary gland development and function through mTOR pathway signalling. The second objective was to develop a chronic *in vitro* model of bovine lactation to study the molecular mechanisms employed by AAs to regulate mTOR pathway signalling and the reciprocal control of AAT function.

Knowledge gained from this research could potentially contribute to the development of future nutritional intervention strategies designed to enhance milk protein yield, with potential economic benefits to the dairy industry.