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Impact of Social Media Usage on Performance of Construction Businesses (CBs) in Abuja-Nigeria

Abstract

Purpose: Many construction businesses are currently building and keeping social media pages so that their enterprises could be visible to the public in order to improve their social interaction, promote business interest, build trust and relationships with their targeted audience on social media. The purpose of the study is to examine the impact of social media usage on performance of Construction Businesses (CBs).

Design/methodology/approach: The study used a quantitative research approach by identifying constructs that reveal three aspects of organisation’s physiognomies that impact the process of espousing, implementing and using technological innovations in conducting businesses. Well-structured questionnaire was used to obtain data from 113 purposively sampled building materials’ merchants operating in Dei-Dei Market, Abuja-Nigeria. The study used partial least squares structural equation modelling technique to establish the relationship among the constructs.

Findings: The results of the study indicated that technology has significant relationship with social media adoption while social media adoption has a very strong positive impact on organization’s performance (P<0.001) with respect to improved customer relations and services, and enhanced information accessibility.

Research limitations/implications: This study has implications for CBs that wish to adopt social media to promote their businesses by presenting to them the opportunity to understand the impact of technology, environment and organisational potential in improving business performance. The study is cross-sectional in nature and this calls for caution in interpreting the results.

Originality/value – The paper developed and tested a conceptual framework presented to understand the interrelationships amongst the constructs which would be of great significance to business owners in developing their social interaction and promote business interest via social media. The outcome of this research is beneficial to researchers to further study how the different social media tools could help in influencing business decisions.
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Introduction

The continuous revolution in IT systems has made it difficult for organisations in the construction industry, particularly construction businesses, to adjust to market dynamics. Indeed, the implementation of innovative ideas through research and development is becoming more difficult due to changes in customer perceptions that are changing much more rapidly (Palacios-Marque’s, Devece-Caran, Llopis-Albert, 2016). CBs are recognized as a major contributor to the growth and development of every country and a well-known source of gross domestic product, work
opportunities and revenue (Steffens and Omarova, 2019; Sharafizad & Brown, 2020). Despite this key role in today's global economy, they face challenges in the adoption of new technology. Notwithstanding the increase in the use of the internet and the communication network, CBs still face obstacles in accepting new technology to do business (Dahnil et al., 2014).

Therefore, many CBs have taken to the social media to keep up with business environment shifts and to meet potential customers. Social media is the place to meet a huge consumer pool. Hsu (2012) described social media as a consumer information source and serves as a way to disseminate information in the interests of increasing market presence. The evolution and use of social media by organisations, which has gained wider acceptance in today's business world, cannot be overstated.

The level of choices and interaction based on personal experience on many social media platforms have influenced the way business is done in the business world. Parveen, Jaafar and Ainin (2016) viewed social media, social networks, blogs, and online communities as combined concepts within the philosophy known as Web 2.0, and Sigala (2009) emphasised that these tools are enabling the development of computer-based social networking and the relationship of internet users. Gopakumar (2017) argued that many companies use different social media channels to support business growth and improve their performance. There is a paradigm shift in CB's strategy to conduct business in the hyper-competitive construction business climate around the globe due to the rapid spread and adoption of social media by the general public and, in particular, by customers. These platforms provide an opportunity for organisations to explore the positive potential of the internet by shifting their attention to e-commerce and online transactions in order to achieve optimum performance.

According to Golden (2010), social media platforms are classified into two types based on ownership such as: firm sponsored or individual publications (e.g. blogs) and third-party forums (e.g. Facebook, Twitter and LinkedIn). While Scott (2014) and Grahl (2015) classified social media into six types based on the main activity of the platform which includes: Social networks: Facebook, LinkedIn, Google+; Media sharing: YouTube, Instagram, Pinterest, Flickr; Microblogging: Twitter, Tumblr; Blog Comments and Forums: Blogger; Social news: Reddit and Bookmarking site: Delicious, StumbleUpon. These platforms perform different functions and they have been employed by different individuals and organisations for different reasons to assist their businesses grow.

Nigeria, like many other developing countries, has a strong small and medium enterprises (SMEs), accounting for 96 per cent of all businesses and contribute about 48 per cent to the national gross domestic products (GDP), and provide employment for 86 per cent of the workforce. Despite these contributions by SMEs enterprises to the Nigerian economy, challenges remain that impede the development and growth of the sector. The challenges range from the lack of the skills required to promote their goods or services effectively or to gather enough customers to develop and be sustainable; to the lack of resources to gain external marketing support. In fact, Li et al. (2019) argued that one of the difficulties faced by small business owners in developing countries is access to information that is essential to their businesses. This study believes that using social media effectively can help companies boost their market efficiency because it is an inexpensive tool that has been shown to help businesses meet their consumers more quickly (Ahmad et al., 2018).

According to Perera et al. (2015), the use of social media has moved much further than individual or private use in the contemporary world; its acceptance and application has been applied to
business in order to increase exposure and sales. This is due to the fact that social media allow
two-way communication between potential clients and business organisations (Parveen et al.,
2016). However, there is evidence in the literature (Harris & Rea, 2009) that companies that utilize
up-to-date social media technologies are likely to outperform their competitors by taking
advantage of benefits such as lower costs and improved efficiency. Although experiencing barriers
to adoption, the use of social media marketing by CBs may give rise to an enormous opportunity
that can change the shape and nature of their companies around the globe. Therefore, the study
presented here discusses the relevant literature on the effect of social media on the performance of
the construction businesses, and describes the concepts that are capable of influencing performance
and develop a conceptual framework that could be tested empirically.

Literature Review

Previous studies on social media

A number of studies have been conducted across industries to determine the impact of social media
on the performance of organizations. Some studies have looked at how and why the use of social
media has played an important role in the minds of consumers and the impact of social media in
the global market boom in recent times (Kaplan, 2012; Michaelidou et al., 2011; Mathew Mount,
2014). Dahnilis et al. (2014) examined the factors that had an impact on the adoption of social
media marketing by SMEs and organizations in Malaysia, which provided them with an
opportunity to establish a balanced image of the current state of global social media marketing
adoption research. In a related development, Berthon et al. (2012), Kusera (2012) and Jussila et
al. (2014) explored both the tangible and intangible benefits of social media in achieving effective
marketing and their impact on the consumer decision-making process. According to Parveen et al.
(2016), many of the research on the use of social media centred on individual perceptions, while
only few were based on the organisational perspective. Despite this, only a small number of studies
have investigated the actual effect of social media use on organisational efficiency. For example,
Parveen et al. (2015) examined the effect of social media use on organizational performance and
found that social media has a greater influence on the performance of companies in terms of
enhancing customer interactions and customer support operations, increasing access to
information and reducing marketing and consumer costs. Perera et al. (2015) studied the use of
social media in the construction industry using a case study approach. The findings of the study
showed that the use of social media in construction organisations was very small. The study
concluded that, given the market potential of social media for construction firms, social media
channels were not well regarded by workers and that their benefits were undervalued. This
statement could be inferred that, like all other sectors, the construction industry will similarly
benefit from the resources and tactics used by social media to improve its productivity and returns.

Social media and construction businesses

There is a general belief that the continuous development of information technology has
inspiringly changed the approach in which businesses are conducted nowadays. That is largely
because companies now work in a digital environment, where firms are no longer being limited to
buildings or a geographical place such as market. The construction industry is quite distinct in
comparison with other industries due to its fragmented nature creating hyper-competition in such
a way that construction business organisations must outperform competitors in order to ensure
their survival in the turbulent construction environment (Oyewobi 2014; Oyewobi, Windapo & Rotimi 2016). Nonetheless, developments in the global way of doing business have continually forced construction industry operators to be more creative in order to meet the demands and needs of construction customers while at the same time improving their profitability in successful and challenging markets (Sexton & Barrett, 2003).

This means that corporate organisations have begun to appreciate social media as an instrument of corporate management (Kietzmann et al., 2011). Ahmad et al. (2018) however, reported the existence of evidence in literature indicating the need for SMEs, at appropriate times, to embrace technological innovations such as social media in order to remain competitive and at optimum market performance. Previous research on the use of social media in businesses reported that social media has a great deal of benefits for organisations (Siamagka et al., 2015; White, Kenly & Poston, 2010; Ahmad et al., 2018). In corroboration, Durkin et al. (2013) stated that while SMEs often have insufficient resources to have traditional business management approaches, they are able to benefit from the use of social media as alternative management tools.

Similarly, construction firms have begun to seek more efficient ways to improve profitability and retain prospects for development (Flanagan et al., 2007; Keung & Shen, 2017). The recent use of social media because of the numerous benefits it offers is one of the strategies used by CBs to improve their efficiency. Altimeter (2015) and Li and Solis (2015) espoused that social media is a social business strategy that allows companies to integrate social media into business goals and objectives. The way companies operate has been changed by social media. Open communication helps organisations to recognize customer needs and also motivates organisations to respond effectively and efficiently to customer requirements in real time. Another important feature of social media is that of monitoring of messages, input and opinions of customers that stimulate innovation (Matuszak, 2007; Tapscott & Williams, 2006). CBs achieve this through the use of their social media accounts to post their construction questions as well as by providing support to crowdsourcing companies, best-in-class corporate strategies for new buildings and new offers. In addition, construction organisations, by feedback on their current and past ventures, may use their social platforms to present their goods, provide updates and obtain valuable insights from their customers. This is in line with Broughton et al. (2010)'s suggestion that social media experts recommend that social media be fostered for better results in the workplace.

Theoretical background

A plethora of hypotheses have led to research on how the transfer of innovative technologies from other industries may have an effect on the output of organisations operating within the construction industry. Popular among these theories are Technology Adoption Model (TAM), Innovation Diffusion Theory (IDT), Technology-Organisation-Environment (TOE) and Resources Based View (RBV). These theories are discussed briefly in this paper and how they influence the study.

Considering the technological dimension that is needed in the use of social media, some researchers have explored the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) as one of the most commonly used models of acceptance of technology (Venkatesh & Davis, 2000; Park et al., 2009). Lee et al. (2003) used the theory of TAM to examine the psychological challenges often faced by users in accepting new technologies from the point of view of information technology. The adoption of new technology by consumers is therefore based on several factors, including perceived utility, perceived ease of use, attitudes towards use and behavioural intentions (Dulcic, Pavlic, & Silic, 2012). Despite the success of this model, researchers in the information system
found it weak because it did not address external environmental concerns (Hossain & Silva, 2009; Lee et al., 2003) and was only able to clarify the general acceptance of technology (Lu, Yao & Yu, 2005).

Apart from Technology Acceptance Model, the Davis (1989) Innovation Diffusion Theory (IDT) model was also used by marketing and business researchers such as Venkatesh et al. (2003) to describe the technical aspects of social media. Diffusion of innovation theory identifies a variety of exogenous factors that affect decision-making on the use of information technology innovation and noted that the diffusion of innovation is a primary determinant of the performance of companies (Rogers, 2003). Proponents of the diffusion of innovation theory argued that an organisation will consider technology to be innovative only if it is considered to be new, relevant and advantageous in terms of convenience, economic, social, prestige and satisfaction (Zaltman, Duncan & Holbek 1973; Rogers, 1995). However, Rogers (1995) emphasised that the way in which innovation is adopted or sustained by an organisation depends on certain attributes classified under the five main characteristics: relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, testability and observability. However, Parveen (2014) argued that the theory ignores the social context of the adoption of information technology in organisations which makes it unsophisticated to address issues of the social context in which information technology is adopted and disseminated. Earlier, Du Plooy (1998) argued that the diffusion of innovation theory could not have grasped the environmental and organisational setting needed for the successful implementation of information technology. This implies that, for diffusion theory to be all-encompassing, environmental issues and the organisational context of the TOE model need to be addressed.

In order to have a comprehensive perspective on how social media influences performance, some researchers have combined three dimensions, such as the Technology-Organisation-Environment Model (TOE) (Parveen et al., 2016; Ahmad et al., 2018). Considering the failure of the diffusion of innovation theory to take into account external factors and the organizational context, which is a possible recipe for the positive implementation of information technology. The TOE model was developed by Tornatzky and Fleischer (1990) to complement the TAM and IDT models. The limitation of IDT, as described by Du Plooy (1998) and Parveen (2014), is the environmental and organisational setting for the implementation of innovation, while the TOE model derives its strength from three main aspects of the organisation's unique technical, organizational and environmental characteristics. Such features are considered to have an effect on the process of adopting, introducing and applying new ideas through technology (Parveen, 2014). The TOE model has been criticised for failing to provide an inclusive model needed to explain the factors that have an impact on the adoption of information technology (IT) decision-making within organisations, despite its ability to successfully classify the adoption factors in their respective contexts (Bose & Luo, 2011). The key contribution of technology-organisation-environment theory has been to enable researchers to extend the scope of IT adoption in organisations (Jokonya et al., 2012).

Whereas the theories discussed above concentrated on technology adoption and retention without a clear description of how emerging technologies, such as social media, can affect the performance of organisations, the Resources Based View (RBV) theory has been suggested by researchers (Barney, 2001; Peteraf, 1993). While social media has been seen from a number of theoretical viewpoints as derived from literature (Tajvidi & Karami, 2017), some of these theories are either focused on the adoption of social media at the individual or organisational level (Schaupp & Interessen, 2013). They have not been able to explain the connection between innovative ideas
(social media) and organizational performance. Recently, the RBV theory has been used to help lay the foundation for a relationship between social media and their relevance to organizations. This is because the theory considered valuable, rare, inimitable and distinctive organisational resources and capabilities to be an important source of competitive advantage and superior performance (Barney, 2001; Peteraf, 1993). Li and Ling (2012) asserted that perhaps the cause of a sustainable competitive advantage rests solely on the internal capacity of an organisation to effectively exploit and restore distinctive organisational resources, rather than focusing on positioning the organisation in the right industry niche. Internal capability enables the company to make full use of its IT resources and networking skills to enhance its efficiency by reducing marketing costs, strengthening customer relationships, enhancing brand reputation and competitive advantage (Molla & Heeks, 2007; Trainor et al., 2014).

Conceptual framework and hypotheses

Literature on the relationship between the identified constructs formed the basis for the development of a conceptual framework indicating the nature of the relationship among the constructs and their combined impact on the construction business performance in Abuja-Nigeria. The focus of this section is to explain the concepts adopted in order to make clear the links between the main constructs identified in the literature that explained how social media could influence organisational performance in the context of the current discussion in the construction management field. Consequently, a conceptual framework is developed to provide the needed understanding on the issues relating to the organisation business orientation, social medial usage and performance. The conceptual framework presented here shows the alignment of the researchers on how the problem being examined is conceptualised in order to give direction to the study by showing the interrelationship proposed among the constructs. The constructs included in the framework and their relationships are discussed briefly to provide a better understanding of the conceptual framework as indicated in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Conceptual Framework

Technology, Organisation, Environment and Social Media

The paper engaged a number of theories to show the connectivity and relationships amongst the constructs presented in the conceptual framework. For instance, complementarity of TOE model and IDT could be used to explain the impact of social media adoption on CBs performance. TOE model is more positioned in explaining the environmental context in which CBs operate which could not be explained by IDT. According to Rogers (1995, 2003), there are five technological features of innovation adoption that must be present within an organisation. These are relative
advantage, compatibility, complexity, testability and observability. An organisation will only adopt new technology if it is considered advantageous, consistent with the organisation’s existing technology, convenient to use, has a visible advantage and is very easy to test before use (Rogers, 1995). Incongruities remain in the findings of previous research, however, as to how technology acceptance and performance affect each of the characteristics (Teo & Pok, 2003; Valenzuela et al., 2009). Evidence shows that SMEs have an important need to implement technological advances in a timely and market level (McCann & Barlow, 2015; Ahmad et al., 2018) in order to stay competitively relevant and to achieve improved profitability.

Organisation in this context refers to the internal environment of a company that could impact on the adoption of new technology (Ahmad et al., 2018). This study therefore, argued that organisation depicted by top management is very important in the adoption and implementation of new technology by creating enabling environment that is conducive for the implementation of innovative ideas (Ahmad et al., 2015; Ahmad et al., 2018). Meanwhile, existing research gave credence to the assertion that top management strongly influences the intention of organisations to use new technology (Ahmad et al., 2015; Maduku et al., 2016). Therefore, top management is a significant factor in an organisation’s innovation approach and how innovation aligns with the overall plan of the organisation in order to accomplish the entire purpose of the business. In the meantime, environmental issues determine the climate in which companies work and force companies to remain competitively active in the sector. Combining TOE and RBV theories, therefore, suggest that organizational efficiency will only be affected if the organisation's structure and the environmental variables are balanced (Oyewobi, 2014). This reinforces the claim of previous researcher (for example Hartmann, 2006), that such theories can also recognise opportunities to efficiently and effectively manage organisation by introducing creative ideas such as social media which can lead to adjusting the business strategy of the company in response to market threats. This paper therefore argues, that the technological tools used, the market environment and the organization's internal capabilities may either contribute directly to performance or mediate a social media usage relationship. The study postulated based on the above explanation that:

H1. There is a positive relationship between technology and social media adoption by CBs
H2. There is a positive relationship between environment and social media adoption by CBs
H3. There is a positive relationship between organisation and social media adoption by CBs

Social media and organisational performance

Researchers (such as Parveen et al., 2015; 2016; Ahmad et al., 2018) have emphasised that the usage of social media has grown enormously among organisations. However, there is paucity of research that actually examined the impact of social media usage on organisational performance. In recent times, organisations across industries have started developing and maintaining public pages on social media to enhance their social network relationship, promote interest in their organisations, and build trust with the online public (Parveen et al., 2015). Previous researches
have shown that internet usage exhibits positive impact on organisations in many areas and capable
of providing strategic benefits to organisations in terms of reduction in cost, generation of revenue,
enhancing innovation and effectiveness of managerial capability (Teo & Cho, 2001; Anderson,
2001). Specifically, Ferrer et al. (2013) examined the use of social media technologies in growing
business and found that positive relationship exists between the social investment of an
organisation and organisational performance. In the same vein, Rodriguez et al. (2014) also
reported that social media usage within an organisation could positively influence the customer-
orientated process, therefore, impacts the performance of an organisation. It can therefore, be
argued that social media has positive influence on organisational performance. Thus, it was
hypothesised that:

H4: There is a positive relationship between social media and organisational performance

Research methodology

In order to test the hypotheses formulated, the study adopted quantitative research approach. This
study is grounded in a post-positivism paradigm which relies on experience of respondents as a
valid source of knowledge through which the world is viewed. Hence, the study aligns with
ontological position of objectivity and therefore, there was no relationship between the study and
the researchers from the epistemological point of view. Based on these premises, the study was
value free (Gill & Johnson, 2010). The study conducted extensive review of literature to identify
the variables used to measure each of the major constructs included in the conceptual framework.
The study adopted the approach used by Bowen et al. (2010) where a sectioned questionnaire was
employed utilising closed-ended questions. The survey questionnaire consisted of three sections.
Section A focused on demographic information of the respondents such as position within the
organisation, age and experience, and characteristics of the organisation. Section B examined the
impact of technology, environment and organisation on the adoption of social media. While
Section C explored the level of performance of organisation using social media. Questions in this
section focused on three main constructs involved in the study and this entailed the questions about
the constructs and their constituent variables which were designed to analyse the effect of social
media on business performance. The variables included in the survey instrument were adapted
from the works of Parveen et al. (2015; 2016) and Ahmad et al. (2017; 2018). The questions were
designed to obtain data on the perceptions of the respondents on the influence of social media
relative to exogenous factors. The respondents were requested to rate the answers on a scale of 1
to 5, where 1 represented "strongly disagree" to 5 "strongly agree". The observed latent constructs
as well as the indicators used in the study are shown in Table 1.

[Table 1 about here]

Method of data collection

Data were collected from the construction materials’ merchants in Dei-Dei regional building
material market in Abuja-Nigeria. The market is one of the largest construction materials market
in the central and northern geographical regions of Nigeria. Most of the organisations sampled
started having social media presence for over 5 years most especially on Facebook, Twitter,
WhatsApp, YouTube and LinkedIn. These were visible on their complimentary cards as well as
on the sign-posted addresses of their business premises. Structured questionnaires were self-administered on 113 purposively sampled respondents. This method of data collection allowed for clarification and ensured high response rate. Since, the variables used in the study were adapted, there was no need for pilot study to demonstrate that all questions were clearly understood. A total of 79 responses were obtained. The survey response of 79 was considered good enough for the method of data analysis adopted thus, considered suggestive and appropriate for exploratory research.

Data analysis

The survey data were analysed using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM). The study adopted Partial Least Squares (PLS) approach to Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) to examine the reliability and validity of the latent variables and to test the hypotheses formulated. PLS-SEM was choosing for some reasons, however, Rigdon (2014) submitted that some of the reasons should not be used as justification for adopting the PLS method in research. PLS handles data that are not normally distributed because of the flexibility in distributional assumptions (Henseler et al., 2009). This assertion was underscored by Beebe et al. (1998), who opined that PLS-SEM is better than CB-SEM for non-normally distributed data and small sample sizes. This is due to the fact that PLS normally offers a better level of statistical power and also shows improved convergence behaviour (Henseler & Fassott, 2010; Reinartz et al., 2009). Previous studies on social media have employed PLS to test path models (Parveen et al., 2016; Ahmed et al., 2016) and for testing theory (Chin, 1998). Thus, this study used SmartPLS v2.0 to determine discriminant validity, convergent validity, and test the stated hypotheses.

Results

Out of the 113 questionnaires administered, 79 valid responses were obtained given an effective response rate of 71.8 per cent. According to Idrus and Newman (2002), response rate of around 30% is considered acceptable for a research in the construction industry. Table 2 presents the demographic characteristics of the respondents. Almost all the respondents were top managers in their respective companies and approximately 27% of the respondents were females. This is a true reflection of businesses in Africa particularly Nigeria where women participation in construction related businesses are at the lowest level (Oyewobi et al., 2019). From Table 2, 72% of the respondents had post-secondary school education, while approximately 84% of the respondents had above 5 years of work experience.

From Table 3, circa 70 per cent of the respondents were within 30 to 50 years of age and according to Ahmad et al. (2018), this is considered typical of SMEs who are mostly young and often knowledgeable about current happenings with respect to business developments in construction industry. Over 60 per cent of the respondents have been using social media platform for over five years, this is tune with Ahmad et al. (2018). With respect to the number of employees in their organisations, 47% had above 11 number of employees.

[Table 2 about here]

[Table 3 about here]
Measurement Model

The analysis was conducted using SmartPLS (Version 2.0 M3) software to test the predictive power of the model by employing PLS-SEM in evaluating the measurement dimensions of the explanatory latent constructs. The SmartPLS software was adopted as a result of the special features which allows for unobserved heterogeneity through the finite mixture routine (FIMIX) technique (Sarstedt & Ringle 2010; Ringle, Wende & Will 2010). In order to assess the measurement model, the reliability and validity of the latent variables were examined. The study measured the internal consistency reliability using composite reliability while indicator reliability was assessed through the outer loadings. According to Hair et al. (2017), the convergent validity which explains the degree of agreement between two or more indicators of the same latent variable was evaluated by examining the average variance extracted (AVE). Bagozzi and Youjae (1988) suggested that AVE should be above 0.5 threshold, which was exhibited by all the latent variables included in the model. Also, all the composite reliability of the latent variables surpassed the recommended threshold of 0.7 (Gefen, Detmar & Boudreau, 2000). Table 4 shows the indicators loading, indicator reliability, composite reliability and the AVE. In order to evaluate the discriminant validity, Chin (2010) suggested that it must be able to explain at least 50% of the variance by the constructs. It was further argued that, the value of AVE when square rooted should be greater than the level of the inter-correlations of the constructs with other constructs in the research model (Chin, 2010) as shown in Table 5. Therefore, it could be concluded that the measurement model was acceptable and offered evidence that it was sufficient with respect to its reliability, composite reliability, and discriminant validity.

| Tables 4 and 5 about here |

Structural Model

To assess the structural model in PLS-SEM, the study examined the path coefficients, their significance and variance explained ($R^2$). The assessed values for path associations in the structural model was estimated in terms of sign and magnitude (Parveen et al., 2016). Chin (2010) suggested that the predictive strength of a structural model is assessed by $R^2$ values of the endogenous construct; thus, if $R^2$ values are 0.67, 0.33, or 0.19 for endogenous latent variables in the inner path model, it could be described as substantial, moderate, or weak as stated by Chin (1998). Figure 2 shows the $R^2$ value for social media as 0.618, which is considered substantial, whereas the $R^2$ values of organizational performance is 0.378, which is considered moderate. The bootstrapping was used to examine the significance of the paths and test the hypotheses in the model as shown in Figure 3. Therefore, in order to test the significance of the hypothesized relationship, bootstrapping was applied. The bootstrapping procedure provides the t-value which indicates whether the corresponding path coefficient is significantly different from zero (Hair et al., 2006). According to Oyewobi (2014), if the t-value is above 1.65, this indicates that the path coefficient is significant at $p \leq 0.10$. If the t-value is greater than 1.96, the path coefficient is significant at the $p \leq 0.05$ significance level; and when the critical t-value is above 2.57, it can be said to be significant at $p \leq 0.01$. Based on the aforementioned criteria, the results indicated that all the latent
environmental, organisational and technological variables were significant in influencing social media adoption, explaining 61.8 percent of variance. In a related development, social media adoption constructs were significant in influencing organisational performance, explaining 37.8 percent of variance ($\beta=0.614$, $t=9.940$, $p<0.01$). Table 6 shows the results of the tested hypothesised paths. Based on the results of the t-values, which showed that all the paths were significant, all the four hypotheses were therefore supported.

[Figures 2 & 3, Table 6 about here]

Discussion

This paper addressed the impact of the use of social media on the performance of construction companies in Abuja-Nigeria. The study established a conceptual framework that was empirically evaluated using PLS-SEM. The results of the study showed that the use of social media has a significant and positive relationship with organisational performance in terms of improved accessibility of information; cost reduction impact; improved customer relations and service. This finding is in consonance with the previous results stated by Parveen (2016). The finding is also corroborated by Schniederjans, Cao, and Schniederjans (2013) who posited that positive effect of using social media on performance of organisations existed. This, according to Parveen (2016), means that the use of social media has enabled companies to strengthen their customer relations and the quality of customer service with a corresponding decrease in marketing and customer care costs. It has also made it easier for organisations to access information about customers and competitors.

As the effect of technology on social media use has been studied, the conclusion has shown that technical characteristics (observability, trialability and complexity) have a significant and positive influence on social media use both individually and collectively. This result is incompatible with Ahmad et al. (2018) that the technical characteristics (relative advantage) do not individually have a major relationship with the adoption of social media. However, in a study conducted amongst Malaysian SMEs, Ainin et al. (2015) found a significant and positive relationship between the technological characteristics (relative advantage) and the intention to embrace social media. Similarly, the current research, which is in consonance with the results of Tsai et al. (2013) and Ahmad et al. (2018), has reported a significant and positive association between complexity and the intention to embrace social media. In contrast to the findings of this study, Ahmad et al. (2018) stated that trialability and observability had no impact on the adoption of social media. However, earlier studies (such as Chong, 2004; Lin & Chen, 2012) have shown that there are significant and positive associations between trialability, observability and intent to adopt.

Examination of the impact of top management support on the adoption of social media has revealed a significant and positive relationship at 90% confidence level. This is consistent with the findings of Ramdani et al. (2013) and Ahmad et al. (2015) showing that management support is crucial to the organisational adoption of new technologies. The findings stated that the adoption of social media technology in organisations requires a top-down approach that will allow senior managers to incorporate business development technology (Ahmad et al., 2018).
The effect of the business environment on social media adoption has been studied and the findings have shown that bandwagon pressure, competitive pressure and competitive strength separately and collectively have a strong relationship with the goal of social media adoption. This result is incongruent with Ahmad et al. (2018) that there is no significant impact between competitive strength and the intention to embrace social media. However, the findings of Lertwongsatien and Wongpinumwatana (2003) underscored the results of the current study, which reported a positive relationship between competitive intensity and e-commerce organisation. Results also showed that the main factor in business environment affecting the use of social media by SMEs was the pressure exerted by the bandwagon that is consistent with the result of this paper. The competitive pressure that determines the degree of competition within the industry has shown a significant relationship with the adoption of social media. This result is reflected in the observations of Lin (2014) and Wang and Cheung (2004), which argued that SMEs are constrained by the current level of competitiveness in their business environments in order to make a positive contribution to the adoption of social media.

Implications

There are a lot of theoretical and practical implications for academics and practitioners in this study. In the first place, this study represented a theoretical study on the impact of social media adoption on organisational performance in the construction industry albeit merchandising. Currently, there are limited studies that examined the impact of social media adoption on organisational performance in the study area. In order to better understand the theory, the paper addressed the effect of social media use in CBs and gained strength from four theoretical points of view: TAM, IDT, TOE and RBV. Although the adoption and application of social media have received considerable consideration from researchers in mainstream management, the same attention is lacking in the context of construction management research. The impact of the adoption of social media by construction companies, in particular CBs, remains unknown. Most of the previous studies have focused more on the individual or large organisational level of adoption, with little attention paid to SMEs. Secondly, the study thus presented a conceptual framework that was tested. Construction industry practitioners most especially merchants could leverage on social media from the standpoint of technology, by considering prevailing opportunities in their environment to improve the performance of their businesses as postulated and tested in this study. It is believed that the study presented in this paper will provide a good basis for further work by academics on how social media adoption could have an impact on the performance of construction businesses.

Limitations of the study

It is envisaged that the respondents surveyed and sampled were of the opinion of the firm that they could have better views on the impact of social media on their businesses, which may not be representative of all traders in the market. This potential weakness in survey research will be addressed in future research using the multi-case research approach as a means of triangulation of the primary data and providing an opportunity for further exploration of relevant issues. Secondly, the research used cross-sectional data to investigate the effect of social media on businesses; although we recognised that the impact was complex, longitudinal data for future studies was
encouraged. Thirdly, all businesses considered to be from a single industry, a single market and a
similar line of business, it may be more interesting to consider more businesses across sectors or
industries. Finally, the study did not examine the links between the adoption of social media and
the organisational structure or business strategy. Examination of organisations with clear business
strategies for the adoption of new technologies, such as social media applications, may produce
different outcomes.

Conclusion

This study revealed the contribution of social media in improving organisations' performance,
particularly for construction companies, and also identified latent variables that could boost their
competitive advantage in future. This study therefore provided a tested conceptual structure. PLS-
SEM was used to evaluate the hypothesised paths. The findings showed support for the formulated
hypotheses. The study showed that social media influences the performance of companies. We
also found that the social media adoption is affected by organisation, technology and the
environment. Nevertheless, in the mainstream management study, the use and implementation of
social media have gained significant attention, but the study on construction management lacks
the same consideration. Consequently, the impact of the adoption of social media by businesses,
particularly materials dealers, remains unknown. Most previous studies were more centred on
individual or large organisational adoption with little attention paid to construction materials
vendors.
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Figure 1: Conceptual Framework

Figure 2. Resulting path coefficients with loadings and $R^2$
Table 1: Constructs used in the study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latent Variable</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Source of measurement items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Dialogue</td>
<td>Sun (2013), Gutierrez et al. (2015); Parveen (2014); Ahmad et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Participative decision making</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Bandwagon pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Competitive pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Competitive Intensity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>Top management support</td>
<td>Parveen (2014); Ahmad et al. (2018); AlSharji et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enhanced Information Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>Impact on Cost Reduction</td>
<td>0.8807 0.776 0.8905 0.7316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved Customer Relations &amp; Service</td>
<td>0.7653 0.586 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Media</td>
<td>Customer relations and service</td>
<td>0.7498 0.562 0.8217 0.6061 0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Media for Information accessibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bandwagon pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Competitive pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Competitive Intensity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>Top management support</td>
<td>Parveen (2014); Ahmad et al. (2018); AlSharji et al. (2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enhanced Information Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>Impact on Cost Reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Media</td>
<td>Social Media for Customer relations and service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Media for Information accessibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Media for Marketing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Media</td>
<td>Observability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>Trialability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative advantage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compatibility</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Results Summary for Reflective Outer Models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latent Variable</th>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Loadings</th>
<th>Indicators Reliability</th>
<th>Composite Reliability</th>
<th>AVE</th>
<th>P-values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Bandwagon pressure</td>
<td>0.8698</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Competitive pressure</td>
<td>0.8449</td>
<td>0.714</td>
<td>0.8852</td>
<td>0.7199</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Competitive Intensity</td>
<td>0.8303</td>
<td>0.689</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>Top management support</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enhanced Information Accessibility</td>
<td>0.913</td>
<td>0.834</td>
<td>0.8905</td>
<td>0.7316</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>Impact on Cost Reduction</td>
<td>0.8807</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>0.8905</td>
<td>0.7316</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved Customer Relations &amp; Service</td>
<td>0.7653</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Media</td>
<td>Customer relations and service</td>
<td>0.7498</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>0.8217</td>
<td>0.6061</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Media for Information accessibility</td>
<td>0.8234</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bandwagon pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Competitive pressure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Competitive Intensity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>Top management support</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enhanced Information Accessibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>Impact on Cost Reduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Media</td>
<td>Social Media for Customer relations and service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Media for Information accessibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Social Media for Marketing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Media</td>
<td>Observability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>Trialability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative advantage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compatibility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Social Media for Marketing</th>
<th>Observability</th>
<th>Complexity</th>
<th>Trialability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.7602</td>
<td>0.8654</td>
<td>0.9107</td>
<td>0.8626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>0.749</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>0.744</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.9113</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Fornell-Larcker Criterion Analysis for Checking Discriminant Validity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latent Variable</th>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Performance</th>
<th>Social Media</th>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>R Square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisation</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>0.546</td>
<td>0.387</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Media</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>0.278</td>
<td>0.614</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology</td>
<td>0.553</td>
<td>0.241</td>
<td>0.561</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Diagonals represent the square root of the average variance extracted (AVE) while the other entries represent the correlations.

Table 6: Results of the hypotheses tested

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypotheses</th>
<th>Relationship</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>T Statistics</th>
<th>P-values</th>
<th>Decision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H1</td>
<td>There is a positive relationship between technology and social media adoption by SMCBs</td>
<td>0.1716</td>
<td>2.337</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2</td>
<td>There is a positive relationship between environment and social media adoption by SMCBs</td>
<td>0.6014</td>
<td>10.048</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H3</td>
<td>There is a positive relationship between organisation and social media adoption by SMCBs</td>
<td>0.0535</td>
<td>1.868</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H4</td>
<td>There is a positive relationship between social media and organisational performance</td>
<td>0.6492</td>
<td>9.940</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>Supported</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*** p<0.01 (>2.58), **p<0.05 (>1.96), p<0.10 (>1.645)

Table 2: Demographic characteristics of the respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics of respondents</th>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Position within the organisation</td>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>27.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Executive</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior manager</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Top manager/ Director</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>73.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristics of respondents</td>
<td>Participant</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>Percentage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age of Respondent</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 30 years</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40 years</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>37.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-50 years</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 50 years</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13.92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of Years since Adoption</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than a year</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2 years</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4 years</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>21.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 5 years</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>62.03</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of employees in your organization</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fewer than 10</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>53.16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 to 30</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>30.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 and above</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of business</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sanitary wares</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiles and granite slabs</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wooden laminate</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Security doors</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paints</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12.66</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roof materials</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15.19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium windows</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>frame and car port</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal works (doors &amp; Frames)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other businesses</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>79</td>
<td>100.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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