

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

**PROTOCOL DESIGN FOR  
REAL TIME MULTIMEDIA COMMUNICATION  
OVER HIGH-SPEED WIRELESS NETWORKS**

**BY  
SUHAIMI BIN ABD LATIF**

**A THESIS SUBMITTED IN FULFILMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE  
AWARD OF  
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**

**SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING AND ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY  
COLLEGE OF SCIENCES  
MASSEY UNIVERSITY  
NEW ZEALAND**

**21<sup>ST</sup> MAY 2010**

## ABSTRACT

The growth of interactive multimedia (IMM) applications is one of the major driving forces behind the swift evolution of next-generation wireless networks where the traffic is expected to be varying and widely diversified. The amalgamation of multimedia applications on high-speed wireless networks is somewhat a natural evolution. Wireless local area network (WLAN) was initially developed to carry non-real time data. Since this type of traffic is bursty in nature, the channel access schemes were based on contention. However real time traffic (e.g. voice, video and other IMM applications) are different from this traditional data traffic as they have stringent constraints on quality of service (QoS) metrics like delay, jitter and throughput. Employing contention free channel access schemes that are implemented on the point coordination function (PCF), as opposed to the numerous works on the contending access schemes, is the plausible and intuitive approach to accommodate these innate requirements. Published researches show that works have been done on improving the distributed coordination function (DCF) to handle IMM traffic. Since the WLAN traffic today is a mix of both, it is only natural to utilize both, DCF and PCF, in a balanced manner to leverage the inherent strengths of each of them. We saw a scope in this technique and develop a scheme that combines both contention and non-contention based phases to handle heterogeneous traffic in WLAN. Standard access scheme, like 802.11e, improves DCF functionality by trying to emulate the functions of PCF. Researchers have made a multitude of improvements on 802.11e to reduce the costs of implementing the scheme on WLAN. We explore improving the PCF, instead, as this is more stable and implementations would be less costly. The initial part of this research investigates the effectiveness of the point coordination function (PCF) for carrying interactive multimedia traffic in WLAN. The performance statistics of IMM traffic were gathered and analyzed. Our results showed that PCF-based setup for IMM traffic is most suitable for high load scenarios. We confirmed that there is a scope in improving IMM transmissions on WLAN by using the PCF. This is supported by published researches on PCF related schemes in carrying IMM traffic on WLAN. Further investigations, via simulations, revealed that partitioning the superframe (SF) duration according to the need of the IMM traffic has considerable impact on the QoS of the WLAN. A theoretical model has been developed to model the two phases, i.e., PCF and DCF, of WLAN medium access control (MAC). With this model an optimum value of the contention free period (CFP) was calculated to meet the QoS requirement of IMM traffic being transmitted. Treating IMM traffic as data traffic or equating both IMM and non-IMM together could compromise a fair treatment that should be given to these QoS sensitive traffic. A self-adaptive scheme, called MAC with Dynamic Superframe Selection (MDSS) scheme, generates an optimum SF configuration

according to the QoS requirements of traversing IMM traffic. That particular scheme is shown to provide a more efficient transmission on WLAN. MDSS maximizes the utilization of CFP while providing fairness to contention period (CP). The performance of MDSS is compared to that of 802.11e, which is taken as the benchmark for comparison. Jitter and delay result for MDSS is relatively lower while throughput is higher. This confirms that MDSS is capable of making significant improvement to the standard access scheme.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise be to the Almighty the most Gracious most Merciful.

During the period of this research work I have accumulated many debts, only a proportion of which I have space to acknowledge here.

My sincere thanks go to my wife Norasieh Md Amin, for her patience and continuous support throughout my studies. Her love and encouragements kept me going amidst the challenges. My thanks also go to my children Amier Ashraf, Asma Humaira, Ashraf Danial and Sara Safiyya, who have made this journey an exciting one.

I am heartily grateful to my supervisor, Dr. M.A Rashid, whose encouragement, guidance and support from the initial to the final level enabled me to develop an understanding of the subject and complete this research work. My thanks also to Dr. F.Alam, my co-supervisor; his critical comments challenged me to take things a step further. I appreciate them always.

To International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM) and the Ministry of Higher Education (MoHE) Malaysia, thank you for the sponsorships and grants to keep me and my family afloat financially. Thanks are also due to the staff at the School of Engineering and Advanced Technology of Massey University Albany particularly Prof. Ian Maddox and Ms. Jenny Kauffmann, for making my stay at the University a hassle-free and enjoyable one.

Lastly, I offer my regards and appreciation to all of those who have supported me in any respect during the course of the research.

**ABSTRACT**

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

**CONTENTS**

**LIST OF PUBLICATIONS**

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

**LIST OF TABLES**

**LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. WIRELESS LOCAL AREA NETWORKS.....	1
1.2. SCOPE OF THE STUDY.....	4
1.3. CONTRIBUTIONS.....	5
1.4. ORGANISATION OF THE THESIS.....	7
<b>CHAPTER 2 QOS IN MULTIMEDIA NETWORKS.....</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1. WLAN STANDARD AND OSI NETWORK PROTOCOL STACK.....	10
2.2. MEDIUM ACCESS CONTROL (MAC).....	11
2.3. PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS.....	15
2.4. CROSS-LAYER DESIGN CONCEPT.....	19
<b>CHAPTER 3 REVIEW OF RELATED WORK.....</b>	<b>21</b>
3.1. WLAN MAC IMPROVEMENTS.....	22
3.2. IEEE 802.11E.....	24
3.3. CENTRALIZED CONTENTION FREE FUNCTION WITH PCF.....	33
3.4. POLLING AND SCHEDULING.....	37
<b>CHAPTER 4 MODELLING AND SIMULATION OF THE PCF.....</b>	<b>47</b>
4.1. FUNDAMENTALS OF PCF AND POLLING.....	47
4.2. DISCRETE-EVENT SIMULATION.....	49
4.3. THE OPNET SIMULATION SOFTWARE.....	50
4.4. PCF AND POLLING MECHANISMS IN OPNET.....	53
4.5. PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT METRICS.....	58
4.6. SUMMARY OF SIMULATION MODELS.....	60
<b>CHAPTER 5 THE MAC WITH DYNAMIC SUPERFRAME SELECTION SCHEME.....</b>	<b>61</b>
5.1. INTRODUCTION.....	61
5.2. CFP AND SF DURATION VARIATION.....	62
5.3. PCF VS. DCF IN MIXED TRAFFIC.....	62
5.4. IMPACT OF THE SIZE OF CFP.....	65
5.5. SIMULATION RESULTS.....	66
5.5.1. VARYING CFP (20MSEC SF).....	66
5.5.2. VARYING SF WITH CFP FIXED AT 50% OF SF.....	70
5.5.3. VARYING IMM (FIXED SF 20 MSEC AND CFP 10 MSEC).....	74
5.6. CFP SELECTION USING LOOK-UP TABLE.....	77
5.7. VALIDATION OF SIMULATION MODELS.....	84
<b>CHAPTER 6 ANALYTICAL MODEL OF MDSS.....</b>	<b>87</b>
6.1. CFP AND CP OPTIMIZATION.....	87
6.2. ANALYTICAL MODEL.....	89
6.3. A MODEL OF THE MDSS.....	91
6.4. ALGORITHM COMPLEXITY.....	94
6.5. COMPARISON OF OPTIMIZATION MODELS.....	95
6.6. SIMULATION MODEL AND RESULTS.....	97

<b>CHAPTER 7 IMPLEMENTATION OF MDSS .....</b>	<b>104</b>
7.1. VARIATION OF THE MODELS .....	104
7.2. MODELS WITH FIXED NUMBER OF NON-IMM AND INCREASING NUMBER OF IMM NODES .....	105
7.2.1 RESULTS OF SIMULATION MODEL .....	106
7.3. MODELS WITH FIXED NUMBER OF NON-IMM AND IMM NODES.....	108
7.3.1 RESULTS OF SIMULATION MODEL .....	109
7.4. HOMOGENEOUS WLAN SETUP WITH ONLY NON-IMM NODES .....	111
7.4.1 RESULTS OF SIMULATION MODEL .....	112
7.5. HOMOGENEOUS WLAN SETUP WITH ONLY IMM NODES .....	113
7.5.1 RESULTS OF SIMULATION MODEL .....	114
7.6. SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS .....	116
<b>CHAPTER 8 CONCLUSIONS AND DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE WORKS.....</b>	<b>117</b>
8.1. RESEARCH CONTRIBUTIONS .....	117
8.2. DIRECTIONS FOR FUTURE WORKS .....	120
<b>REFERENCES .....</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>APPENDIX A PSEUDOCODE OF THE <i>wlan_mac</i> PROCESS MODEL IN OPNET</b>	
<b>APPENDIX B SNAP SHOTS OF OPNET CONFIGURATION MENUS FOR SIMULATION OF THE MDSS SCHEME</b>	

## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS

1. Suhaimi Bin Abd Latif; Rashid, M.A.; Alam, F., "An Analytical Model for MAC Protocol Configuration in WLAN," Advanced Information Networking and Applications, 2009. AINA '09. International Conference on , Bradford, UK, 26-29 May 2009, pp.711-718.
2. Suhaimi Bin Abd Latif, M.A. Rashid, and F. Alam, Interactive Multimedia Communication over WLAN in a Mixed Traffic Environment, The International Journal of Principles and Applications in Information Science and Technology, 2008.
3. Suhaimi Bin Abd Latif, M.A. Rashid, and F. Alam, Profiling Delay and Throughput Characteristics of Interactive Multimedia Traffic over WLANs Using OPNET. International Conference on Advanced Information Networking and Applications Workshops, Ontario, Canada, 2007. 2(2).
4. Suhaimi Bin Abd Latif, M.A. Rashid, and F. Alam, Effects of Varying Superframe Duration on Jitter in Interactive Multimedia Traffic Transmission over WLANs. 6th IEEE/ACIS International Conference on Computer and Information Science, Melbourne, Australia, 2007. 1(1).
5. Suhaimi Bin Abd Latif, M.A. Rashid, and F. Alam, "Cross-layer protocol design for wireless multimedia network", in Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer and Communication Engineering, ICCCE '06, Volume 2, Malaysia, 9 - 11 May 2006, pp. 755-760.
6. Suhaimi Bin Abd Latif, "Cross-layer protocol design for real time multimedia over WLANs", in Proceedings of the IIMS Post-graduate Conference , New Zealand, 27 October 2005, pp. 1-10.
7. Suhaimi Bin Abd Latif, M.A. Rashid, and F. Alam, Profiling Interactive Multimedia Traffic over WLANs using OPNET. IIMS PostGraduate Journal, 2005. 1:pp. 1-10.



## **LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

AC – Access Categories  
ACK – Acknowledgment  
AID – Association Identifiers  
AP – Access Point  
ARQ – Automatic Repeat Request  
BER – Bit Error Rate  
BSS – Basic Service Set  
CBR – Constant Bit Rate  
CFP – Contention-Free Period  
CFPri – CFP Repetition Interval  
CODEC – Compressor/Decompressor  
CP – Contention Period  
CSMA – Carrier Sense Multiple Access  
CSMA/CA – CSMA with Collision Avoidance  
CW – Contention Window  
DCF – Distributed Coordination Function  
DCF/CD – Distributed Coordination Function with Collision Detection  
DES – Discrete-Event Simulation  
DIFS – DCF Interframe Space  
DRR – Deficit RR  
DS – Distribution System  
DTIM – Delivery Traffic Indication Message  
EBA – Early Backoff Announcement  
EDCA – Enhanced Distributed Channel Access  
EIFS – Extended Interframe Space  
FQ – Fair Queuing  
GHz – Gigahertz  
HCCA – HCF Controlled Channel Access  
HCF – Hybrid Coordination Function  
HTML – Hypertext Markup Language  
IEEE – The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers  
IFS – Interframe Space

IMM – Interactive Multimedia  
IP – Internet Protocol  
ITU – International Telecommunication Union  
Kbps – Kilobits per second  
MAC – Medium Access Control  
Mbps – Megabits per second  
MDSS – MAC with Dynamic Superframe Selection  
MPEG – Moving Pictures Expert Group  
MPLS – Multiprotocol Label Switching  
MSDU – MAC Service Data Unit  
Msec – Milliseconds  
MWN – Multimedia Wireless Network  
NAV – Network Allocation Vector  
NIC – Network Interface Card  
nRT – non Real Time  
OPNET – Optimized Network Engineering Tool  
OSI – Open Systems Interconnection  
PC – Point Coordinator  
PCF – Point Coordination Function  
PCM – Pulse-Code Modulation  
PER – Packet Error Rate  
PHY – Physical (Layer)  
PIFS – PCF Interframe Space  
QoS – Quality of Service  
RF – Radio Frequency  
RR – Round-Robin  
RT – Real Time  
Sec – Seconds  
SF – Superframe  
SIFS – Short Interframe Space  
STA – Workstation  
TCP – Transmission Control Protocol  
TCP/IP – TCP/Internet Protocol  
TS-MP – Two-step Multipolling

TXOP – Transmission Opportunity

UBR – Unspecified Bit Rate

VBR – Variable Bit Rate

WFQ – Weighted FQ

WLAN – Wireless Local Area Network

WRR – Weighted RR

## LIST OF TABLES

1. Table 1-1 Statistics of research on WLAN from IEEE Explore.....	2
2. Table 2-1 Performance targets for IMM applications .	18
3. Table 4-1 Performance targets for IMM applications .	60
4. Table 6-1 Constants for Optimization Function .....	93
5. Table 6-2 Feasible Starting Points.....	94
6. Table 6-3 Haines Optimization Results .....	95
7. Table 6-4 Li Optimization Results .....	96
8. Table 6-5 Proposed Optimization Results .....	96
9. Table 6-6 System Parameters .....	99
10. Table 6-7 Comparison Table for Schemes – 10 Non-IMM & 10 IMM Nodes .....	102
11. Table 7-1 Comparison Table for Schemes – 10 Non-IMM And 14 IMM Nodes .....	116
12. Table 7-2 Comparison Table for Schemes – 10 non-IMM and 0 IMM Nodes .....	116
13. Table 7-3 Comparison Table for Schemes – 0 non-IMM and 10 IMM Nodes .....	116

## LIST OF FIGURES

1. Figure 2-1 MAC Frame Format .....	12
2. Figure 2-2 DCF and PCF in MAC layer .....	13
3. Figure 2-3 Diagrammatic Representation of the Access Mechanisms Showing Interframe Spaces .....	13
4. Figure 2-4 Cross-layer Protocol Design Concept Showing Dynamic Exchange of Information Between Layers.....	20
5. Figure 3-1 Wireless MAC Protocol Technology Basic Functional Blocks .....	23
6. Figure 3-2 Channel Access in IEEE 802.11 MAC Layer .....	25
7. Figure 3-3 Channel access in IEEE 802.11e MAC layer .....	26
8. Figure 3-4 Graph Indicating Number of Publications for Polling and Scheduling in WLANs	35
9. Figure 3-5 Segments of Superframe .....	36
10. Figure 3-6 Round-Robin Scheduling of a Polling List.....	37
11. Figure 4-1 Typical Superframe Configuration.....	47
12. Figure 4-2 OPNET WLAN Protocol Features .....	51
13. Figure 4-3 OPNET WLAN Node Module .....	52
14. Figure 4-4 OPNET WLAN Model for Infrastructured Network .....	53
15. Figure 4-5 OPNET Access Point (AP) Attributes.....	54
16. Figure 4-6 OPNET <i>wlan_mac</i> Process Model .....	55
17. Figure 4-7 OPNET Polling Process Diagram .....	56
18. Figure 4-8 An Example of OPNET Polling List.....	57
19. Figure 4-9 An Example of OPNET Polling Code.....	57
20. Figure 4-10 OPNET DES Statistics .....	59
21. Figure 5-1 Experimental Setup of Infrastructured WLAN .....	62
22. Figure 5-2 Average Packet Delay for 20 Nodes Operating Under PCF and DCF.....	63
23. Figure 5-3 Average Jitter for 20 Nodes Operating Under PCF and DCF .....	64
24. Figure 5-4 Average Throughput for 20 nodes Operating Under PCF and DCF .....	64
25. Figure 5-5 Delay as a Result of Varying CFP.....	67
26. Figure 5-6 Jitter as a Result of Varying CFP .....	67
27. Figure 5-7 Throughput as a Result of Varying CFP .....	68
28. Figure 5-8 Average Delay as a Result of Varying CFP .....	68
29. Figure 5-9 Average Jitter as a Result of Varying CFP.....	69

30. Figure 5-10 Average Throughput as Result of Varying CFP.....	69
31. Figure 5-11 Delay as Result of Varying SF Duration.....	71
32. Figure 5-12 Jitter as Result of Varying SF Duration.....	71
33. Figure 5-13 Throughput as Result of Varying SF Duration.....	72
34. Figure 5-14 Average Delay as a Result of Varying SF Duration.....	72
35. Figure 5-15 Average Jitter as a Result of Varying SF Duration .....	73
36. Figure 5-16 Average Throughput as a Result of Varying SF Duration .....	73
37. Figure 5-17 Delay as a Result of Varying IMM nodes .....	74
38. Figure 5-18 Jitter as a Result of Varying IMM nodes.....	75
39. Figure 5-19 Throughput as a Result of Varying IMM nodes.....	75
40. Figure 5-20 Average Delay as a Result of Varying IMM nodes.....	76
41. Figure 5-21 Average Jitter as a Result of Varying IMM nodes .....	76
42. Figure 5-22 Average Throughput as a Result of Varying IMM nodes .....	77
43. Figure 5-23 Simulation Setup to Model Dynamic PCF Scheme.....	78
44. Figure 5-24 Delay Statistics as a Result of Default SF Configuration.....	79
45. Figure 5-25 Delay Statistics as a Result of Dynamic SF Configuration .....	79
46. Figure 5-26 Average Delay Trend for Dynamic and Default SF Configuration.....	80
47. Figure 5-27 Jitter Statistics as a Result of Default SF Configuration .....	80
48. Figure 5-28 Jitter Statistics as a Result of Dynamic SF Configuration.....	81
49. Figure 5-29 Average Jitter Trend for Dynamic and Default SF Configuration .....	81
50. Figure 5-30 Throughput Statistics as a Result of Default SF Configuration .....	82
51. Figure 5-31 Throughput Statistics as a Result of Dynamic SF Configuration.....	82
52. Figure 5-32 Average Throughput Trend for Dynamic and Default SF Configuration .....	83
53. Figure 5-33 Typical Rate of Video Traffic Sent to the WLAN .....	85
54. Figure 6-1 Diagram of SF Partitioning into CFP and CP.....	88
55. Figure 6-2 SF model showing wastages in CFP and CP .....	90
56. Figure 6-3 Network Setup in OPNET for Performance Study of MDSS.....	97
57. Figure 6-4 Video Conferencing Node Parameters .....	98
58. Figure 6-5 Voice Node Parameters .....	98
59. Figure 6-6 Jitter Statistics as a Result of Implementing Various Schemes.....	100
60. Figure 6-7 Delay Statistics as a Result of Implementing Various Schemes .....	101
61. Figure 6-8 Throughput Statistics as a Result of Implementing Various Schemes.....	102
62. Figure 7-1 IMM and non-IMM scenario setup in WLAN .....	105
63. Figure 7-2 Delay Statistics as a Result of Increasing Number of IMM Nodes.....	106

64.	Figure 7-3	Jitter Statistics as a Result of Increasing Number of IMM Nodes .....	107
65.	Figure 7-4	Throughput Statistics as a Result of Increasing Number of IMM Nodes.....	108
66.	Figure 7-5	Delay Statistics as a Result of 14 IMM Nodes.....	109
67.	Figure 7-6	Jitter Statistics as a Result of 14 IMM Nodes .....	110
68.	Figure 7-7	Throughput Statistics as a Result of 14 IMM Nodes.....	111
69.	Figure 7-8	Delay Statistics as a Result of 0 IMM Nodes.....	112
70.	Figure 7-9	Throughput Statistics as a Result of 0 IMM Nodes.....	113
71.	Figure 7-10	Jitter Statistics as a Result of Exclusively 10 IMM Nodes.....	114
72.	Figure 7-11	Delay Statistics as a Result of Exclusively 10 IMM Nodes .....	114
73.	Figure 7-12	Throughput Statistics as a Result of Exclusively 10 IMM Nodes.....	115