

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

Epidemiology, diagnosis and vaccination control of leptospirosis in farmed deer in New Zealand

A thesis presented in partial fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Veterinary
Clinical Science at Massey University,
Palmerston North, New Zealand

Supatsak Subharat

2010

Abstract

Leptospirosis is a bacterial zoonotic disease of global importance. It is caused by infection with pathogenic *Leptospira* species. Leptospirosis encompasses a wide spectrum of clinical or subclinical disease in both humans and animals. In New Zealand (NZ), leptospirosis is considered to be the most important occupational zoonosis. Livestock farming plays an important role as a major occupational risk factor for human leptospirosis and farmed deer is one of the contributing factors.

Commercial farming of deer began in NZ in the early 1970s. It remains the world's largest and most advanced deer farming industry. Leptospirosis in farmed deer can cause illness and possibly sub-clinical production losses. Farmed deer also play an important role in the transmission of leptospirosis by shedding the organisms in their urine, putting both other animals and humans at risk. *Leptospira* serovars Hardjobovis and Pomona are the most commonly found serovars in this stock group. The first substantial case report of leptospirosis in farmed deer was in the 1980s but it was not until 2006 that a substantial epidemiological study of this disease in farmed deer was reported. The purpose of this research was to improve and extend current knowledge on the epidemiology of leptospirosis on mixed-species deer farms, to develop and validate a novel molecular diagnostic tool and to enhance understanding of control measures and their outcomes by means of vaccination.

A pilot longitudinal seroprevalence survey of leptospirosis on mixed-species deer farms was conducted. Results from this study revealed that leptospiral infection averaged 70% in all species on mixed-species farms in the lower North Island of NZ. Co-grazing with infected sheep and/or cattle was positively associated with deer herd serological status to both serovars Hardjobovis and Pomona which suggests the possibility of inter-species transmission. Results from this study justify further investigation of leptospirosis on mixed-species farm at the national level.

A collaborative study between Massey University and the WHO/FAO/OIE reference laboratory for leptospirosis in Brisbane to investigate for exotic serovars in farmed deer revealed seropositivity to Arborea which has never been found before in NZ. Attempts to isolate Arborea from kidney samples of farmed deer were unsuccessful and require further investigation.

Real-time PCR assay was developed and validated against culture as the gold standard for use on deer kidney tissue and urine as a research and diagnostic tool for determining infection, carrier and shedding status of deer. This research revealed that the real-time PCR assay was highly sensitive (sensitivity: 85% for kidney and 96.7% for urine) and specific (specificity: 99.2% for kidney and 100% for urine). It is a useful tool for the rapid and cost-effective detection of pathogenic leptospire in clinical samples. It can also be used to quantify the concentration of leptospire from clinical samples and identify the likely infecting serovar in NZ when adjunct with a DNA sequencing technique.

Vaccination control for leptospirosis has proven to be efficacious and likely to be cost-effective. Present research has determined the effect of a commercial bivalent leptospiral vaccine (Leptavoid-2, Intervet/Schering-Plough Animal Health Limited, NZ) on leptospiral shedding, growth and reproduction of farmed deer under NZ pastoral conditions. The study was designed to simulate an infection-free herd scenario followed by exposure to natural challenge. Results have shown the potential of vaccine to improve mean weight gain (up to 6.5 kg) and weaning rate (average 6.9%) in infected herds and prevent urinary shedding after natural challenge with Hardjobovis. It also provides the first evidence of adverse subclinical effects on deer production by Hardjobovis alone.

A pilot study to investigate the presence and localisation of pathogenic *Leptospira* in the uterus and foetus of female deer revealed evidence of a foetal infection using real-time PCR. This finding suggests a possible explanation for effects of leptospiral infection on NZ farmed deer reproduction. However, further study is required to justify this proposition.

This research has contributed significantly to understanding of epidemiology of leptospirosis in NZ farmed deer, providing objective data to assist producers in decision-making on leptospirosis control. Furthermore, this study has made available a valuable diagnostic resource for future leptospirosis studies, and has provided direction for future research into leptospirosis on farmed deer and mixed-species farms.

Acknowledgements

First of all, I would like to give sincere thanks to my supervisors; Professor Peter Wilson, Associate Professor Cord Heuer and Dr. Julie Collins-Emerson for their encouragement, enthusiasm, patience and guidance through my PhD. I am truly grateful for many discussions and excellent advice over the years.

Special thanks to Professor Nigel French in providing me all the access to laboratory facilities in the Campy group, Dr. Anne Midwinter and Errol Kwan for their useful advice in laboratory techniques, Associate Professor Mark Stevenson and Dr. Naomi Cogger for their advice in managing data and statistical analysis, Dr. Simone Hoskin for sharing her knowledge in deer farming management, nutrition and productions and Peter Wildbore for his helpful assistance in purchasing, international courier and accounting.

I would like to thank all the deer farmers who participated and made this study possible. A big thanks to Simon Wishnowsky, Venison Packers Fielding Ltd and Martin Donnelly, Alliance Group Makarewa Plant Ltd for their enthusiastic help in sample collection at the DSPs.

I would like to thank a large number of people for their invaluable technical assistance, Geoff Purchas for his field work support for my entire project, Martin Chesterfield for sharing his deer farm experience, Jan Machacek, from Czech Republic for his assistance during the beginning of the vaccine trial, groups of visiting students from the Netherlands (Suzanne, Ellen, Anales, Jan-Willem, Carolien and Martsje) and France (Martin, Frederick, Julien, Samuel and Ludivine) who took turns in coming out and helping me with sampling.

I would also like to thank my deer research group colleagues, Alejandra Ayanegui-Alcérreca, Jaimie Hunnam, Lesley Stringer, Cristobal Verdugo, Natasha Swainson, Jonna Swanson, Kirsty Hammond and James Mwendwa for their support and assistance during the project. Thanks to all my friends in the EpiCentre who always shared their knowledge in epidemiology and always took me in as part of the class during the block courses.

This study was funded by Intervet/Schering Plough Animal Health (special thanks to John Moffat for his enthusiastic cooperation), Stockguard Animal Health, the McGeorge research funds and IVABS post grad funds from Massey University. Special thanks to the Joan Berry Fellowship for veterinary science for financial support during my study for which I am truly grateful.

Thanks to all my wonderful friends in Thailand and New Zealand for their friendships and support. Thanks to the Thai students association of Palmerston North and members for creating fun activities and social gatherings which helped me relax from the study and enjoy life in Palmy.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my beloved family for their full support, encouragement and sacrifices.

Declaration

Each chapter in this thesis is set out as a paper in the style and format required of the journal to which it is in the process of being submitted to at the date of submitting this thesis. As a result, there is some repetition, particularly in the methods, and there are inconsistencies with the style and format between the chapters. Contributions to the research have been made by co-authors indicated in each chapter. However, my input was the greatest as I designed the study, undertook all the fieldwork and laboratory work, analysed the data and wrote the manuscripts.

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	iii
Acknowledgements	v
Declaration.....	vi
Table of contents.....	vii
List of figures.....	xv
List of tables.....	xvi
Appendices.....	xix
Publications.....	xx
Presentations.....	xxi
CHAPTER 1 Literature Review.....	1
1.1. Introduction.....	2
1.2. History of leptospirosis.....	3
1.3. The organisms.....	3
1.4. Isolation.....	4
1.5. Taxonomy and classification.....	5
1.5.1. Serological classification.....	6
1.5.2. Genotypic classification.....	6
1.6. Epidemiology.....	6
1.7. Pathogenesis.....	9
1.7.1. Attachment.....	10
1.7.2. Toxins.....	11
1.8. Clinical signs.....	12
1.8.1. Humans.....	12
1.8.2. Animals.....	12
1.9. Pathology.....	13

1.10. Immune response.....	13
1.11. Diagnosis.....	14
1.11.1. Direct examination and antigen detection.....	15
1.11.2. Antibody detection.....	15
1.11.3. Molecular detection.....	17
1.11.4. Molecular typing.....	18
1.12. Treatment.....	21
1.13. Control.....	22
1.14. Effects of leptospirosis on animal production.....	24
1.15. Leptospirosis in New Zealand.....	24
1.15.1. Humans.....	25
1.15.2. Deer.....	26
1.15.3. Cattle.....	27
1.15.4. Sheep.....	27
1.16. Conclusion.....	28
References.....	28
CHAPTER 2 Growth response and bacterial shedding in urine following vaccination for leptospirosis in young farmed deer.....	49
Abstract.....	50
2.1. Introduction.....	52
2.2. Materials and methods.....	53
2.2.1. Ethical approval.....	53
2.2.2. Farms and animals.....	53
2.2.3. Trial design.....	53
2.2.4. Vaccination.....	54
2.2.5. Blood sampling.....	55

2.2.6. Serology.....	55
2.2.7. Growth.....	55
2.2.7.1. Sample size.....	55
2.2.7.2. Weight.....	55
2.2.7.3. Statistical analysis.....	55
2.2.8. Urine shedding of leptospire.....	56
2.2.8.1. Sample size.....	56
2.2.8.2. Urine collection and processing.....	56
2.2.8.3. Culture and serotyping.....	56
2.2.8.4. DNA extraction and Real-time PCR.....	57
2.2.8.5. Statistical analysis.....	57
2.3. Results.....	57
2.3.1. Serology.....	57
2.3.2. Growth.....	58
2.3.3. Urine culture/PCR for <i>Leptospira</i>	61
2.4. Discussion.....	62
References.....	64
CHAPTER 3 Reproduction response following vaccination for leptospirosis in New Zealand farmed deer.....	67
Abstract.....	68
3.1. Introduction.....	70
3.2. Materials and methods.....	71
3.2.1. Ethical approval.....	71
3.2.2. Farms and animals.....	71
3.2.3. Trial design.....	72
3.2.4. Vaccination.....	72

3.2.5. Blood sampling.....	72
3.2.6. Serology.....	72
3.2.7. Urine shedding.....	73
3.2.7.1. Urine collection and processing.....	73
3.2.7.2. Culture and serotyping.....	73
3.2.7.3. DNA extraction and Real-time PCR.....	74
3.2.8. Pregnancy determination and calf rearing.....	74
3.2.9. Statistical analysis.....	74
3.3. Results.....	75
3.3.1. Pregnant hinds included in study analysis.....	75
3.3.2. Serology.....	75
3.3.3. Urine culture/PCR.....	76
3.3.4. Calving rate.....	76
3.3.5. Weaning rate.....	77
3.4. Discussion.....	77
References.....	79
CHAPTER 4 Longitudinal serological survey and herd-level risk factors for <i>Leptospira</i> serovar Hardjobovis and Pomona on deer farms with sheep and/or beef cattle.....	83
Abstract.....	84
4.1. Introduction.....	86
4.2. Materials and methods.....	87
4.2.1. Ethical approval.....	87
4.2.2. Farms and animals.....	87
4.2.3. Study design.....	87
4.2.4. Serology.....	88
4.2.5. Statistical analysis.....	89

4.3. Results.....	92
4.3.1. Farm characteristics.....	92
4.3.2. Herd level infection.....	92
4.3.3. Individual animal level infection.....	92
4.3.4. Associations between leptospiral positive deer herd and risk factors.....	97
4.4. Discussion.....	99
References.....	104
CHAPTER 5 Evaluation of a SYTO9 real-time PCR to detect and identify pathogenic	
<i>Leptospira</i> species in kidney tissue and urine of New Zealand farmed	
deer.....	107
Abstract.....	108
5.1. Introduction.....	108
5.2. Material and methods.....	110
5.2.1. Sample sources.....	110
5.2.2. Sample collection and preparation.....	110
5.2.2.1. Blood.....	110
5.2.2.2. Kidney.....	110
5.2.2.3. Urine.....	111
5.2.3. Serology.....	111
5.2.4. Bacterial culture.....	111
5.2.5. DNA extraction.....	111
5.2.6. PCR amplification.....	111
5.2.7. PCR product purification.....	112
5.2.8. DNA sequencing and phylogenetic analysis.....	112
5.2.9. Detection limit.....	112
5.2.10. Diagnostic sensitivity and specificity.....	113
5.2.11. Quantification of urinary shedding.....	113

5.2.12. Identification of pathogenic <i>Leptospira</i> species.....	113
5.3. Results.....	114
5.3.1. Detection limit.....	114
5.3.2. Diagnostic sensitivity and specificity.....	115
5.3.3. Quantification of urinary shedding.....	117
5.3.4. Identification of <i>Leptospira</i> species.....	117
5.4. Discussion.....	118
References.....	121
CHAPTER 6 Investigation of possible novel leptospiral serovars in farmed deer in New Zealand.....	125
Abstract.....	126
6.1. Introduction.....	128
6.2. Materials and methods.....	128
6.2.1. Study design.....	128
6.2.2. Serum samples.....	129
6.2.2.1. Initial serological screening.....	129
6.2.2.2. Follow-up serology.....	129
6.2.2.3. Animal blood and tissue sampling.....	129
6.2.3. Additional sample collection and processing.....	130
6.2.3.1. Blood.....	130
6.2.3.2. Kidney.....	130
6.2.4. Laboratory procedures.....	130
6.2.4.1. Serology.....	130
6.2.4.2. Bacterial culture.....	130
6.3. Results.....	131
6.3.1. Initial serological screening.....	131

6.3.2. Follow-up serology.....	132
6.3.3. Culture for Arborea.....	132
6.4. Discussion.....	133
References.....	135
CHAPTER 7 Detection of <i>Leptospira</i> spp. in an early foetus but not uterine tissue of non-pregnant farmed deer.....	137
Abstract.....	138
7.1. Introduction.....	139
7.2. Materials and methods.....	140
7.2.1. Animals.....	140
7.2.2. Sample collection and processing.....	140
7.2.2.1. Blood.....	140
7.2.2.2. Kidney.....	140
7.2.2.3. Uterus and foetus.....	141
7.2.3. Laboratory procedures.....	141
7.2.3.1. Serology.....	141
7.2.3.2. Bacterial culture and serotyping.....	141
7.2.3.3. DNA extraction and real-time PCR.....	142
7.3. Results.....	142
7.3.1. Serology.....	142
7.3.2. Bacterial culture.....	143
7.3.3. Real-time PCR.....	143
7.4. Discussion.....	144
References.....	147
CHAPTER 8 General discussion.....	151
8.1. Introduction.....	152

8.2. Epidemiology.....	152
8.2.1. Leptospirosis on mixed-species farm.....	152
8.2.2. Possible novel serovar of <i>Leptospira</i> in NZ.....	154
8.3. Diagnosis.....	155
8.4. Vaccination control of leptospirosis.....	157
8.4.1. Growth response.....	158
8.4.2. Shedding response.....	160
8.4.3. Reproduction response.....	161
8.4.4. Implementation of vaccination and control.....	163
8.5. Proposed industry action.....	165
8.6. Areas for further research.....	166
References.....	167
APPENDIX.....	173
Chapter 2.....	175
Chapter 3.....	207
Chapter 4.....	219
Chapter 6.....	253
Chapter 7.....	269

List of figures

CHAPTER 1

Figure 1.1 Leptospire under electron microscope and computerised graphic model.....	4
Figure 1.2 Taxonomy of <i>Leptospira sensu lato</i>	5
Figure 1.3 Transmission of leptospirosis.....	8

CHAPTER 2

Figure 2.1 Vaccination study design.....	54
Figure 2.2 Urine collection from female R1yo deer.....	56
Figure 2.3 Mean ADG ($\pm 95\%$ CI) at each weighing period in vaccinates (SV (--□--)) and controls (SC (-●-)) on farms with evidence of Hardjobovis infection.....	58

CHAPTER 3

Figure 3.1 Vaccination study design.....	73
Figure 3.2 (a) Rectal ultrasound scanning of a hind (b) Ultrasound image of a deer foetus..	75

CHAPTER 4

Figure 4.1 Blood collection from cattle (a), sheep (b) and deer (c).....	88
--	----

CHAPTER 5

Figure 5.1 Representative gel electrophoresis showing the limit of detection of real-time PCR in deer urine dilution of field isolates of <i>Leptospira</i> serovar Hardjobovis.....	114
Figure 5.2 Representative a) cycling and b) melt curve analysis showing the limit of detection of real-time PCR in deer urine dilutions of field isolates of <i>Leptospira</i> serovar Hardjobovis.....	115

CHAPTER 7

Figure 7.1 Processing of uterus and foetus samples. (a) Scraping of uterine epithelium (b) Deer foetus from pregnant uterus.....	141
Figure 7. 2 Real-time PCR results for foetuses: (a) cycling of positive control, positive foetus and negative control; (b) melt curve of positive control, the positive foetus and negative control.....	144

List of tables

CHAPTER 1

Table 1.1 Classification of <i>Leptospira</i> genomospecies.....	7
Table 1.2 Summary of laboratory diagnostic tests for leptospirosis, including sample required and advantages and disadvantages.....	20
Table 1.3 Classification of <i>Leptospira</i> species in New Zealand and their maintenance host	25

CHAPTER 2

Table 2.1 Location, number of deer in each group, sex and vaccination and sampling dates for each farm.....	53
Table 2.2 Seroprevalence (%) and reciprocal titre range for Hardjobovis and Pomona in female deer on each farm from March (pre-vaccination) to November.....	59
Table 2.3 Seroprevalence (%) and reciprocal titre range for Hardjobovis and Pomona in male deer on each farm from March (pre-vaccination) to November.....	59
Table 2.4 Mean ADG (and 95%CI) of vaccinated and control male deer on each farm for each period.....	60
Table 2.5 Number of deer (and %) urine culture and real-time PCR <i>Leptospira</i> positive in SC, SV and NSC groups in November.....	61

CHAPTER 3

Table 3.1 Location, number of deer in each group, vaccination and sampling date for each farm recruited for the study.....	71
Table 3.2 Seroprevalence (%) and (reciprocal titre range) for Hardjobovis and Pomona in streptomycin treated control hinds on each farm from February to March the following year.....	76
Table 3.3 Calving rate (number of hinds carrying foetus to term/number scanned pregnant (%)) of hinds in control and vaccinated groups, measured in November, and the difference.....	76
Table 3.4 Weaning rate (number weaned/number scanned pregnant (%)) of hinds in control and vaccinated groups in March 2008, and the difference.....	77

CHAPTER 4

Table 4.1 Summary of farm characteristics based on 2007 and 2008 questionnaire data.....	90
Table 4.2 Description of variables used for statistical analysis.....	91
Table 4.3 Within-herd/flock seroprevalence and positive reciprocal titre range to Hardjobovis in each species on farms each year.....	93
Table 4.4 Within-herd/flock seroprevalence and positive reciprocal titre range to Pomona in each species on farms each year.....	94
Table 4.5 Number (and %) of herds/flocks serologically positive for Hardjobovis and Pomona each year.....	95
Table 4.6 Number (and %) of herds/flocks persistently positive or negative for Hardjobovis and Pomona or change status between years.....	95
Table 4.7 Number (%) of animals seropositive to Hardjobovis and Pomona alone or combined each year.....	96
Table 4.8 Prevalence Ratio (PR), 95% confidence interval (CI) and p-value for risk factors associated with a deer herd being seropositive for Hardjobovis.....	98
Table 4.9 Prevalence Ratio (PR), 95% confidence interval (CI) and p-value for risk factors associated with a deer herd being seropositive for Pomona (Pom).....	99

CHAPTER 5

Table 5.1 Kidney culture, real-time PCR and MAT results from 19 slaughter lines.....	116
Table 5.2 Result of real-time PCR on kidney against culture and its performance (%) with 95% CI in brackets.....	116
Table 5.3 Result of real-time PCR on urine against inoculated samples at the detection limit of 10^3 cells/ml and its performance (%) with 95% CI in brackets.....	117
Table 5.4 Concentration of leptospire in real-time PCR positive urine from deer on commercial farms, and corresponding culture results.....	118

CHAPTER 6

Table 6.1 Number of farms tested by region.....	129
---	-----

Table 6.2 Herd and individual seroprevalence for seroreactive serovars with range of number positive/herd, reciprocal titre range and potential evidence of cross-reaction, from initial screening of 70 herds (5 samples/herd).....	131
Table 6.3 Seroprevalence and reciprocal titre range for Arborea and Ballum on each farm positive for Arborea at initial screening (Table 1) and follow-up, combined, and farm location.....	132
Table 6.4 Kidney culture and seroprevalence with reciprocal titre range, for Arborea and Ballum on two farms most likely to be infected, based on follow-up serological screening.....	132

CHAPTER 7

Table 7.1 Details of blood samples, the number and percentage seropositive, and the inverse titre range for each line.....	142
Table 7.2 Results of bacterial culture and real-time PCR of kidney, uterus and foetus samples.....	143

CHAPTER 8

Table 8.1 Mean liveweight (kg) (and range) of control and vaccinated male deer on each farm in November and the difference (from Chapter 2).....	160
--	-----

Appendices

CHAPTER 2

Appendix 2a. Raw data of ADG and serology in male deer.....	175
Appendix 2b. Raw data of urine shedding and serology in female deer.....	186
Appendix 2c. Raw data of urine shedding in no-streptomycin control deer.....	202

CHAPTER 3

Appendix 3a. Raw data of maternal status and serology.....	207
Appendix 3b. Raw data of urine shedding in no-streptomycin control deer.....	215

CHAPTER 4

Appendix 4a. Farm questionnaire.....	219
Appendix 4b. Raw data of serology for deer, cattle and sheep from 2006 to 2008.....	227

CHAPTER 6

Appendix 6a. Raw data of serology against 23 reference panel serovars.....	253
Appendix 6b. Raw data of follow-up serology (additional serum bank samples) for Arborea and Ballum and farm region.....	265
Appendix 6c. Raw data of serology for additional blood samples against Arborea and Ballum and farm region.....	268

CHAPTER 7

Appendix 7. Raw data of culture and PCR for kidney, uterus and foetus (if available) and serology.....	269
--	-----

Publications

Heuer C, Dreyfus A, Wilson PR, Benschop J, Subharat S, Ayanegui-Alcérreca MA, Fang F, Collins-Emerson JM, Midwinter AC. Epidemiology and control of leptospirosis in New Zealand. *Proceeding of the SVEPM conference*, 2010 in press

Subharat S, Wilson PR, Heuer C, Collins-Emerson JM. Leptospirosis: the facts. *Proceeding of the Deer Branch of the NZVA* 26, 85-88, 2009

Wilson PR, Heuer C, Subharat S, Ayanegui-Alcérreca MA, Collins-Emerson JM. Leptospirosis on deer farms: To vaccinate or not? *Proceeding of the Deer Branch of the NZVA* 26, 89-94, 2009

Subharat S, Wilson PR, Heuer C, Collins-Emerson JM. Leptospirosis: A Massey University research update. *Proceeding of the Deer Branch of the NZVA* 25, 115-20, 2008

Heuer C, Wilson PR, Benschop J, Subharat S, Collins-Emerson JM, Midwinter A. Leptospirosis - A rising public health hazard? *Proceeding of the combined meeting of the Food Safety, Animal Welfare & Biosecurity and Epidemiology & Animal Health Management Branches of the NZVA* 273, 101-6, 2008

Subharat S, Wilson PR, Heuer C, Collins-Emerson JM. Seroprevalence of *Leptospira* serovars Hardjobovis and Pomona on mixed-species farms in the lower North Island of New Zealand. *Proceeding of the Deer Branch of the NZVA* 24, 87-91, 2007

Presentations

Subharat S*, Wilson PR, Ayanegui-Alcérreca MA, Heuer C, Collins-Emerson JM.

Reproductive impairment in New Zealand farmed red deer associated with leptospiral infection. *The 6th annual scientific meeting of International Leptospirosis Society (ILS), Cochin, India, 2009*

Subharat S, Platero E, Collins-Emerson JM*, Benschop J, Fang F, Wilson PR, Heuer C.

Evaluation of molecular tools for diagnosis and epidemiological studies of leptospirosis in New Zealand. *The 6th annual scientific meeting of International Leptospirosis Society (ILS), Cochin, India, 2009*

Wilson PR*, Subharat S, Ayanegui-Alcérreca MA, Heuer C, Collins-Emerson JM.

Reduction of weight gain in farmed red deer due to leptospiral infection. *The 6th annual scientific meeting of International Leptospirosis Society (ILS), Cochin, India, 2009*

Subharat S*, Wilson PR, Heuer C, Collins-Emerson JM. Leptospirosis: the facts. *The Deer Industry Technical Conference, Christchurch, New Zealand, 2009*

Subharat S*, Wilson PR, Heuer C, Collins-Emerson JM. Leptospirosis: A Massey University research update. *The Deer Branch NZVA Conference, Christchurch, New Zealand, 2008*

Wilson PR*, Ayanegui-Alcérreca MA, Subharat S, Collins-Emerson JM, Smythe S, Dohnt M, Symonds M, Heuer C, Midwinter AM, Castillo-Alcala F, Mackintosh, CG. Leptospirosis and *Leptospira* serovar on deer farms in New Zealand. *The 5th annual scientific meeting of International Leptospirosis Society (ILS), Quito, Ecuador, 2007*

Subharat S*, Wilson PR, Heuer C, Collins-Emerson JM. Leptospirosis on mixed-species farm. *The Deer Branch NZVA Conference, Palmerston North, New Zealand, 2007*

*** Speaker**