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Abstract

This thesis examines the implications for New Zealand secondary drama educators of the introduction of the National Certificate of Educational Achievement (NCEA) assessment in drama. Traditionally a “fringe” subject with little academic credibility, drama has moved to the mainstream of secondary education with the introduction of NCEA assessment. This has carried with it improvements in terms of the recognition of drama as a secondary subject; however, it has also required a re-evaluation of pedagogical priorities for drama practitioners.

The data which formed the basis of this research were collected through interviews with drama educators throughout New Zealand. A hermeneutic approach was employed in the analysis of data in order to understand the extent to which the teachers’ priorities for teaching and learning were challenged by NCEA.

With no pre-existing models of national assessment in drama on which to scaffold the transition to NCEA, the introduction of NCEA assessment has necessitated the formulation of an entirely new system derived from a wide range of existing practices and approaches. In this study sites of tension were identified in the interface between the historic practices of drama education and the requirements of a national assessment system. Drama is an open and creative subject. Assessment systems, on the other hand, are necessarily defined by criteria designed to meet the objectives of national curricula. This thesis explores teachers’ perceptions of the pedagogical challenges associated with arriving at a synthesis of these competing discourses in drama education.
The main findings from this study indicate that the personal ideologies of the interviewees, which had been influenced by the particular nature of the historical development of drama education, had a direct effect on their pedagogical decisions in the classroom. The participants in the study evinced an intrinsic commitment to nurturing student creativity through drama education by utilising a combination of kinaesthetic, interpersonal and linguistic approaches to teaching and learning. Interviewees perceived the existence of sites of tension in the process of assimilating the creative and explorative features of drama education into a prescribed schedule of curriculum and assessment requirements. These included challenges associated with structure and management of the assessment schedule and the workload generated by the implementation of NCEA.

The teachers in this study acknowledged that the introduction of NCEA assessment in drama had resulted in a shift from a marginalised position in New Zealand education to one of recognition as a mainstream secondary subject. This change in the status of drama education had generated increased enrolments in the subject; participants in the study, however, discerned a lack of appropriate pre-service teacher education in drama which would ensure its continued success in the future.
Acknowledgements

The completion of this thesis would not have been possible without the contribution of the participants who generously agreed to be interviewed for this study. I am taking this opportunity to thank both them and Drama New Zealand, who assisted in their recruitment. In particular, I would like to thank Verity Davidson, then Chair of Drama New Zealand, and Merryn Dunmill and Trevor Sharp, as managers of the Dramanet website, for their support.

I also acknowledge a debt of gratitude to Professor John O’Neill, whose patience and perspicacity kept me on track despite all obstacles, and Dr Kathleen Vossler for her incisive input.

In providing me with a community of fellow travellers, I have valued my Wednesday mornings with the “breakfast club”, Kama Weir, Jenny Boyack, Judith Donaldson and Rowena Taylor. Doctoral study can sometimes be a lonely course and they have understood.

Financial assistance has been much appreciated from Massey University and The New Zealand Federation of Graduate Women (NZFGW), not forgetting a very welcome study award from The Post Primary Teachers’ Association (PPTA).

I would also like to thank my husband, Peter Brooks, who constructed the space so that I would have a “room of one’s own” in which to work; and Jonquil Brooks, editor extraordinaire, whose professionalism was an education in itself.

Finally, as the whole family has shared this journey with me, I would like to express my gratitude to my children, Greg and Emily, my step-children, Olivia and Sarah, and
future daughter-in-law, Charlotte, for their tolerance and support.
## CONTENTS

**ABSTRACT** iii

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** v

**1. INTRODUCTION** 1

1.1 A PERSONAL STORY 2
1.2 PROVIDING THE CONTEXT 5
1.3 COMPETING DISCOURSES IN DRAMA 8
1.4 SITUATING THE DRAMA PRACTITIONER 9
1.5 THE STRUCTURE OF THE THESIS 11

**2. THE ARTS AND DRAMA EDUCATION IN NEW ZEALAND** 15

2.1 INTRODUCTION 15
2.2 THE ARTS IN SOCIETY 20
   2.2.1 THE MARGINALISATION OF THE ARTS 20
   2.2.2 THE ARTS AND COGNITION 27
   2.2.3 CREATIVITY AND THE ARTS IN EDUCATION 32
2.3 THE NATURE AND PURPOSE OF DRAMA EDUCATION 40
   2.3.1 THE QUALITIES OF DRAMA EDUCATION 42
   2.3.2 AN HISTORICAL OVERVIEW OF DRAMA EDUCATION 53
      2.3.2.1 Harriet Finlay-Johnson. 59
      2.3.2.2 Henry Caldwell-Cook. 60
      2.3.2.3 Amateur theatre. 61
      2.3.2.4 Peter Slade. 63
      2.3.2.5 Brian Way. 64
      2.3.2.6 Dorothy Heathcote. 66
   2.3.3 A SYNTHESIS OF COMPETING DISCOURSES IN DRAMA EDUCATION 73
2.4 SUMMARY 83

**3. DRAMA AND THE CURRICULUM, ASSESSMENT AND PEDAGOGY** 86

3.1 INTRODUCTION 86
### 3.2 The Influence of Curricula on Pedagogy

3.2.1 The Framing of Arts Education 94
3.2.2 Classification in Secondary Schools 99

### 3.3 Curriculum and Assessment in Drama

3.3.1 Exploring the Arts Curriculum 103
3.3.2 The Purpose and Function of Assessment 108
3.3.3 The Influence of NCEA Assessment on Drama Pedagogy 111

### 3.4 Summary

---

### 4. To Hear the Teachers Speak: A Methodology

4.1 Introduction 122

4.2 The Context of the Research 124

4.2.1 Exploring a Native’s Eye View 127
4.2.2 Examining Subjectivity and Objectivity in Research 129

4.3 Making Conversation: The Research Strategies 132

4.3.1 The Approaches 132
4.3.2 Structuring the Interviews 134
4.3.3 Asking the Questions 136
4.3.4 Recruitment of Participants 139
4.3.5 The Rationale for Telephone Interviews 141

4.4 Getting to the Truth About Drama Education 142

4.4.1 Issues of Credibility 143
4.4.2 Ethical Considerations 145

4.5 Understanding the Voices 147

4.5.1 The Transcription of Interviews 148
4.5.2 Interpretation and Analysis 150
4.5.2.1 Coding. 151
4.5.2.2 Presenting the analysis. 153

4.6 Summary 153

---

### 5. Philosophy and Pedagogy 156

5.1 Introduction 156

5.2 Philosophy 159

5.2.1 Diversity of Participants 160
5.2.2 Intrinsic Commitment 164
5.2.3 Creativity 169
5.2.4 Exploring Process 171
5.3 Pedagogy 177
5.4 Summary 187

6. Curriculum and Assessment 189

6.1 Introduction 189
6.2 NCEA Drama 190
   6.2.1 Background 190
   6.2.2 Curriculum 196
   6.2.3 Unit Standards and Achievement Standards 199
   6.2.4 External Assessment 207
6.3 The Challenges 211
   6.3.1 A New Language 212
   6.3.2 Finding the Balance 215
   6.3.3 Moderation of Student Work 222
6.4 Summary 228

7. NCEA Drama in Schools 231

7.1 Introduction 231
7.2 Working with NCEA 231
   7.2.1 Workload Issues 232
   7.2.2 Compliance to Confidence 238
   7.2.3 Resources 241
7.3 Changing Status 244
   7.3.1 Drama as a Secondary School Subject 244
   7.3.2 Perspectives on the Future of Drama Education 254
7.4 Summary 259

8. Discussion: Drama and NCEA 261

8.1 Introduction 261
8.2 Working with Curriculum and Assessment 262